

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR TWO CSISZÁR DIVERGENCES AND APPLICATIONS

S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. Some inequalities for the Csiszár divergences of two mappings with applications to the variational distance, Kullback-Leibler distance, Hellinger discrimination, Chi-Square distance, Bhattacharyya distance, Jeffreys divergence, etc... are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the f -divergence functional

$$(1.1) \quad I_f(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right),$$

was introduced by Csiszár [1]-[2] as a generalized measure of information, a “distance function” on the set of probability distribution \mathbb{P}^n . The restriction here to discrete distributions is only for convenience, similar results hold for general distributions. As in Csiszár [1]-[2], we interpret undefined expressions by

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t), \quad 0 f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right) = 0, \\ 0 f\left(\frac{a}{0}\right) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon f\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right) = a \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}, \quad a > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following results (Theorems 1 and 2, and Corollary 1) were essentially given by Csiszár and Körner [3].

Theorem 1. (*Joint Convexity*) *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then $I_f(p, q)$ is jointly convex in p and q .*

Theorem 2. (*Jensen’s inequality*) *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$, $Q_n := \sum_{i=1}^n q_i > 0$, we have the inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad I_f(p, q) \geq Q_n f\left(\frac{P_n}{Q_n}\right).$$

If f is strictly convex, equality holds in (1.2) iff

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{p_2}{q_2} = \dots = \frac{p_n}{q_n}.$$

It is natural to consider the following corollary.

Date: January 24, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 94Xxx; Secondary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Csiszár f -divergence, Variational distance, Kullback-Leibler distance, Hellinger discrimination.

Corollary 1. (Nonnegativity) Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex and normalised, i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad f(1) = 0.$$

Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $P_n = Q_n$, we have the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad I_f(p, q) \geq 0.$$

If f is strictly convex, equality holds in (1.5) iff

$$(1.6) \quad p_i = q_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

In particular, if p, q are probability vectors, then Corollary 1 shows that, for strictly convex and normalized $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that

$$(1.7) \quad I_f(p, q) \geq 0 \text{ and } I_f(p, q) = 0 \text{ iff } p = q.$$

We now give some more examples of divergence measures in Information Theory which are particular cases of Csiszár f -divergences.

1. **Kullback-Leibler distance** ([12]). The *Kullback-Leibler distance* $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(1.8) \quad D(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right).$$

If we choose $f(t) = t \ln t$, $t > 0$, then obviously

$$(1.9) \quad I_f(p, q) = D(p, q).$$

2. **Variational distance** (l_1 -distance). The *variational distance* $V(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(1.10) \quad V(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i - q_i|.$$

If we choose $f(t) = |t - 1|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we have

$$(1.11) \quad I_f(p, q) = V(p, q).$$

3. **Hellinger discrimination** ([13]). The *Hellinger discrimination* $h^2(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(1.12) \quad h^2(p, q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2.$$

It is obvious that if $f(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$, then

$$(1.13) \quad I_f(p, q) = h^2(p, q).$$

4. **Triangular discrimination** ([24]). We define *triangular discrimination* between p and q by

$$(1.14) \quad \Delta(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|p_i - q_i|^2}{p_i + q_i}.$$

It is obvious that if $f(t) = \frac{(t-1)^2}{t+1}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$(1.15) \quad I_f(p, q) = \Delta(p, q).$$

5. χ^2 -**distance**. We define the χ^2 -*distance* (chi-square distance) by

$$(1.16) \quad D_{\chi^2}(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i}.$$

It is clear that if $f(t) = (t - 1)^2$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$(1.17) \quad I_f(p, q) = D_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

6. **Rényi α -order entropy** ([14]). The α -*order entropy* ($\alpha > 1$) is defined by

$$(1.18) \quad R_\alpha(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha}.$$

It is obvious that if $f(t) = t^\alpha$ ($t \in (0, \infty)$), then

$$(1.19) \quad I_f(p, q) = R_\alpha(p, q).$$

For other examples of divergence measures, see the paper [22] by J.N. Kapur, where further references are given.

2. THE RESULTS

In the recent paper [28], the author proved the following inequality for Csiszár f -divergence:

Theorem 3. *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex. Then for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ we have the inequality:*

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi'(1)(P_n - Q_n) \leq I_\Phi(p, q) - Q_n \Phi(1) \leq I_{\Phi'}\left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p\right) - I_{\Phi'}(p, q),$$

where $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$, $Q_n := \sum_{i=1}^n q_i > 0$ and $\Phi' : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the derivative of Φ .

If Φ is strictly convex and $p_i, q_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then the equality holds in (2.9) iff $p = q$,

If we assume that $P_n = Q_n$ and Φ is normalised, then we obtain the simpler inequality

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq I_\Phi(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi'}\left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p\right) - I_{\Phi'}(p, q).$$

Applications for particular divergences which are instances of Csiszár f -divergence were also given.

A similar result of the above theorem has been presented in another paper by the author [29].

Theorem 4. *Let Φ, p, q be as in Theorem 3. Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq I_\Phi(p, q) - Q_n \Phi\left(\frac{P_n}{Q_n}\right) \leq I_{\Phi'}\left(\frac{p^2}{q}, p\right) - \frac{P_n}{Q_n} I_{\Phi'}(p, q).$$

If Φ is strictly convex and $p_i, q_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then the equality holds in (2.3) iff $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \dots = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$.

Obviously, if $P_n = Q_n$ and Φ is normalised, then (2.3) becomes (2.2).

The following result concerning an upper and a lower bound for the Csiszár f -divergence in terms of the Kullback-Leibler distance $D(p, q)$ holds.

As in [30], we will say that the mapping $f : C \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where C is an interval (in [30], the definition was considered in general normed spaces), is

- (i) α -lower convex on C if $f - \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot |\cdot|^2$ is convex on C ;
- (ii) β -upper convex on C if $\frac{\beta}{2} \cdot |\cdot|^2 - f$ is convex on C ;
- (iii) (m, M) -convex on C (with $m \leq M$) if it is both m -lower convex and M -upper convex.

In [30], amongst others, the author has proved the following result for Csiszár f -divergence.

Theorem 5. *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $P_n = Q_n$.*

- (i) *If Φ is α -lower convex on \mathbb{R}_+ , then we have the inequality*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q) - Q_n \Phi(1).$$

- (ii) *If Φ is β -upper convex on \mathbb{R}_+ , then we have the inequality*

$$(2.5) \quad I_{\Phi}(p, q) - Q_n \Phi(1) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

- (iii) *If Φ is (m, M) -convex on \mathbb{R}_+ , then we have the following sandwich inequality*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{m}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q) - Q_n \Phi(1) \leq \frac{M}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q),$$

where $D_{\chi^2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the χ^2 -divergence.

Of course, if Φ is normalised, i.e., $\Phi(1) = 0$ and p, q are probability distributions, then we get the simpler inequalities:

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q), \quad I_{\Phi}(p, q) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{m}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q) \leq \frac{M}{2} \cdot D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

In [30], some applications for particular instances of Csiszár f -divergences were also given.

We start with the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let $f, g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two mappings such that $f(1) = g(1) = 0$. If there exists the real constants m, M such that*

$$(2.9) \quad m|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |g(x) - g(y)| \leq M|f(x) - f(y)|$$

for all $x, y \in [r, R] \subset (0, \infty)$,

then we have the inequality:

$$(2.10) \quad mI_{|f|}(p, q) \leq I_{|g|}(p, q) \leq MI_{|f|}(p, q)$$

for all p, q probability distributions with $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. By (2.9) it follows that

$$(2.11) \quad m \left| f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) \right| = m \left| f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) - f(1) \right| \leq \left| g\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) - g(1) \right| = \left| g\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) \right| \\ \leq M \left| f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) - f(1) \right| = M \left| f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right) \right|$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (2.11) by $q_i \geq 0$ and sum the obtained inequalities, we may deduce (2.10). ■

Corollary 2. *Assume that the mappings $f, g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are as above and f, g are differentiable on (r, R) with $f'(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in (r, R)$ and*

$$(2.12) \quad -\infty < m = \inf_{t \in (r, R)} \left| \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} \right|, \quad \sup_{t \in (r, R)} \left| \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} \right| = M < \infty,$$

then we have the inequality (2.10) for all p, q as above.

Proof. We use the following Cauchy's theorem:

If $\gamma, \phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and differentiable on (a, b) and $\phi'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in (a, b)$, then there exists a $c \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\frac{\gamma(b) - \gamma(a)}{\phi(b) - \phi(a)} = \frac{\gamma'(c)}{\phi'(c)}.$$

Now, suppose that $x, y \in [r, R]$ and $x < y$. Then, by Cauchy's theorem, we have

$$m \leq \left| \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{f(x) - f(y)} \right| = \left| \frac{g'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq M$$

and then we can conclude that for any $x, y \in [r, R]$ we have

$$m |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |g(x) - g(y)| \leq M |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Applying Theorem 6, we deduce (2.10). ■

The following corollary for the variational distance holds.

Corollary 3. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping such that $g(1) = 0$. If there exists the real constants n, N such that*

$$(2.13) \quad n|x - y| \leq |g(x) - g(y)| \leq N|x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in [r, R],$$

then we have the inequality

$$(2.14) \quad nV(p, q) \leq I_{|g|}(p, q) \leq NV(p, q)$$

for any probability distribution p, q with $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

The proof is obvious by Theorem 6, choosing $f(x) = x - 1$.

Corollary 4. *Assume that the mapping g is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) and*

$$-\infty < n = \inf_{t \in (r, R)} |g'(t)|, \quad \sup_{t \in (r, R)} |g'(t)| = N < \infty.$$

Then we have the inequality (2.14) for all p, q as above.

3. SOME PARTICULAR CASES IN TERMS OF THE VARIATIONAL DISTANCE

We start with the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \leq KL(p, q) \leq \begin{cases} [\ln R + 1] V(p, q) & \text{if } r \geq e^{-1}, \\ \max\{\ln R + 1; |\ln R + 1|\} V(p, q) & \text{if } r < e^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = t \ln t$. Then $g'(t) = \ln t + 1$ and obviously,

$$M := \sup_{t \in (r, R)} |g'(t)| = \begin{cases} \ln R + 1 & \text{if } r \geq e^{-1}, \\ \max\{\ln R + 1; |\ln R + 1|\} & \text{if } r < e^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Applying Corollary 4, we can state

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \frac{p_i}{q_i} \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq NV(p, q).$$

By the generalised triangle inequality, we have

$$KL(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq NV(p, q)$$

and the inequality (3.1) is proved. ■

Let us introduce the *modified Kullback-Leibler* distance

$$|KL|(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right|.$$

Then obviously,

$$(3.2) \quad K(p, q) \leq |KL|(p, q) \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{P}^n.$$

For this modified distance, we may prove the following as well.

Proposition 2. *Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality*

$$(3.3) \quad (\ln r + 1) V(p, q) \leq |KL|(p, q) \leq (\ln R + 1) V(p, q),$$

provided that $r \geq e^{-1}$.

Proof. The second inequality in (2.11) has been proven above.

For the first inequality, we can apply Corollary 4 by observing that for $g(t) = t \ln t$, and $r \geq e^{-1}$,

$$\inf_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \ln r + 1.$$

We omit the details. ■

The following proposition also holds.

Proposition 3. *Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality:*

$$(3.4) \quad KL(q, p) \leq \frac{1}{r} V(p, q).$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = \ln t$. Then $g'(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ and obviously,

$$M := \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying Corollary 3, we can state:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r} V(p, q).$$

By the generalised triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(q, p) &= \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \ln \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \ln \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r} V(p, q) \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition is proved. ■

The following result for the modified Kullback-Leibler distance also holds.

Proposition 4. *Let p, q be as above in Proposition 3. Then we have the inequality*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{R} V(p, q) \leq |KL|(q, p) \leq \frac{1}{r} V(p, q).$$

Proof. The second inequality in (3.5) has been proven above. The first inequality follows by the first inequality in Corollary 4 by taking into account that

$$m = \inf_{t \in (r, R)} |g'(t)| = \frac{1}{R}.$$

■

Now, the following result for *Hellinger discrimination* holds.

Proposition 5. *Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality:*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{\sqrt{R} - \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \left| \frac{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] V(p, q) \\ &\leq h^2(p, q) \leq \left[\frac{\sqrt{R} - \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} + \left| \frac{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] V(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$. Then obviously,

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{t} - 1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \inf_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \min \{|g'(r)|, |g'(R)|\} \\ &= \frac{|g'(r)| + |g'(R)| - ||g'(r)| - |g'(R)||}{2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{R} - \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \left| \frac{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \max \{|g'(r)|, |g'(R)|\} \\ &= \frac{|g'(r)| + |g'(R)| + ||g'(r)| - |g'(R)||}{2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{R} - \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} + \left| \frac{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

As $g(t) \geq 0$, then obviously

$$I_{|g|}(p, q) = I_g(p, q) = h^2(p, q).$$

Using (2.14), we obtain (3.6). ■

Remark 1. The inequality (3.6) is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \left| h^2(p, q) - \frac{\sqrt{R} - \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} V(p, q) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}{4\sqrt{rR}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| V(p, q)$$

Now, we point out some inequalities for the *chi-square distance*.

Proposition 6. Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality

$$(3.8) \quad [R - r - |R + r - 2|] V(p, q) \leq D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq [R - r + |R + r - 2|] V(p, q).$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = (t - 1)^2$. Then obviously $g'(t) = 2(t - 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \inf_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \min \{|g'(r)|, |g'(R)|\} \\ &= R - r - |R + r - 2| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N = R - r + |R + r - 2|.$$

Using the inequality (2.14), and taking into account that $g(t) \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$I_g(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i} = D_{\chi^2}(p, q),$$

we deduce (3.8). ■

Remark 2. The inequality (3.8) is equivalent with

$$(3.9) \quad |D_{\chi^2}(p, q) - (R - r) V(p, q)| \leq |R + r - 2| V(p, q).$$

We point out now some inequalities for the *Bhattacharyya distance*.

Proposition 7. *Let $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality:*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq 1 - B(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} V(p, q).$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g(t) = 1 - \sqrt{t}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then $g(1) = 0$, $g'(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}$ and

$$N = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}.$$

Applying Corollary 4, we may state

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| 1 - \sqrt{\frac{p_i}{q_i}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} V(p, q),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |q_i - \sqrt{p_i q_i}| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} V(p, q).$$

Using the generalised triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |q_i - \sqrt{p_i q_i}| &\geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - \sqrt{p_i q_i}) \right| \\ &= |1 - B(p, q)| = 1 - B(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

■

If we define the following distance $\tilde{B}(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{q_i} |\sqrt{q_i} - \sqrt{p_i}|$, then we may state the following proposition as well.

Proposition 8. *Assume that p_i, q_i, r, R are as above. Then*

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{R}} V(p, q) \leq \tilde{B}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} V(p, q).$$

The proof is obvious by Corollary 3 applied for the mapping $g(t) = 1 - \sqrt{t}$.

Now, let us consider the *harmonic distance*

$$M(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i}.$$

The following proposition holds.

Proposition 9. *Assume that p_i, q_i, r, R are as above. Then we have the inequality:*

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq 1 - M(p, q) \leq \frac{2}{(r+1)^2} V(p, q).$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g(t) = 1 - \frac{2t}{t+1}$. Then $g(1) = 0$, $g'(t) = -\frac{2}{(t+1)^2}$ and

$$N := \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \frac{2}{(r+1)^2}.$$

Applying Corollary 4, we can state that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| 1 - \frac{2 \frac{p_i}{q_i}}{\frac{p_i}{q_i} + 1} \right| \leq \frac{2}{(r+1)^2} V(p, q),$$

which is clearly equivalent to:

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i |p_i - q_i|}{p_i + q_i} \leq \frac{2}{(r+1)^2} V(p, q).$$

Using the generalised triangle inequality, we get (3.13). ■

If we introduce the divergence measure:

$$\tilde{M}(p, q) := \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \cdot \frac{|p_i - q_i|}{p_i + q_i} = I_l(p, q),$$

where $l(t) = \frac{|t-1|}{t+1}$, $t > 0$, then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 10. *With the above assumptions, we have*

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{2}{(R+1)^2} V(p, q) \leq \tilde{M}(p, q) \leq \frac{2}{(r+1)^2} V(p, q).$$

Finally, let us consider the *Jeffreys distance*

$$J(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right).$$

The following proposition holds.

Proposition 11. *Assume that $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$. Then we have the inequality:*

$$(3.16) \quad \left[\frac{R-r}{2rR} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} - \left| \frac{R-r}{2rR} - \ln \sqrt{rR} - 1 \right| \right] V(p, q) \\ \leq J(p, q) \leq \left[\frac{R-r}{2rR} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} + \left| \frac{R-r}{2rR} - \ln \sqrt{rR} - 1 \right| \right] V(p, q).$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g(t) = (t-1) \ln t$, $t > 0$. Then, obviously $g'(t) = \ln t - \frac{1}{t} + 1$, $g''(t) = \frac{t+1}{t^2}$, which shows that $g'(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $g'(1) = 0$. Then

$$n = \inf_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \min \{|g'(r)|, |g'(R)|\} \\ = \frac{R-r}{2rR} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} - \left| \frac{R+r}{2rR} - \ln \sqrt{rR} - 1 \right|$$

and

$$N = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |g'(t)| = \max \{|g'(r)|, |g'(R)|\} \\ = \frac{R-r}{2rR} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} + \left| \frac{R+r}{2rR} - \ln \sqrt{rR} - 1 \right|.$$

In addition, as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{|g|}(p, q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} - 1 \right) \right| \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i - q_i| |\ln p_i - \ln q_i| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) (\ln p_i - \ln q_i) = I(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

then by (2.14), we deduce (3.16). ■

Remark 3. *The above inequality (3.16) is equivalent to*

$$(3.17) \quad \left| J(p, q) - \left[\frac{R-r}{2rR} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} \right] V(p, q) \right| \leq \left| \frac{R+r}{2rR} - \ln \sqrt{rR} - 1 \right| V(p, q).$$

4. OTHER PARTICULAR CASES

Let us consider the modified Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$|KL|(q, p) := \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right|,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^n$.

We point out some estimates in terms of $|KL|$.

Proposition 12. *Assume that $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality*

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq KL(p, q) \leq \left[\frac{R-r}{2} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R^R}{r^r}} + \left| \frac{r+R}{2} + \ln \sqrt{R^R r^r} \right| \right] |KL|(q, p).$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $g(t) = t \ln t$, $f(t) = \ln t$, $t > 0$. Then $h(t) := \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} = t \ln t + t$.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |h(t)| = \max \{ |h(r)|, |h(R)| \} \\ &= \frac{R-r}{2} + \ln \sqrt{\frac{R^R}{r^r}} + \left| \frac{r+R}{2} + \ln \sqrt{R^R r^r} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 2, we may write

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \frac{p_i}{q_i} \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| = M |KL|(q, p)$$

and as, by the generalised triangle inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left| \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \right| \geq |KL(p, q)| = KL(p, q) \geq 0,$$

the inequality (4.1) is proved. ■

We now compare the Hellinger discrimination with $|KL|$.

Proposition 13. *Let p_i, q_i, r, R be as in Proposition 12. Then we have the inequality:*

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R-r}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{2} - \left| \frac{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{R}}{2} - \frac{r+R}{2} \right| \right] |KL|(q, p) \\ \leq h^2(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R-r}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{2} + \left| \frac{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{R}}{2} - \frac{r+R}{2} \right| \right] |KL|(q, p).$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $g(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t}-1)^2$, $f(t) = \ln t$, $t > 0$. Then

$$h(t) := \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}-1}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \cdot t = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{t}-1) \sqrt{t}, \quad t > 0.$$

We observe that

$$m = \inf_{t \in [r, R]} |h(t)| = \min \{ |h(r)|, |h(R)| \} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R-r}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{2} - \left| \frac{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{R}}{2} - \frac{r+R}{2} \right| \right]$$

and, analogously,

$$M = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} |h(t)| = \max \{ |h(r)|, |h(R)| \} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R-r}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{2} + \left| \frac{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{R}}{2} - \frac{r+R}{2} \right| \right].$$

Now, as $g(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$I_{|g|}(p, q) = I_g(p, q) = h^2(p, q)$$

and then, by Corollary 2, we deduce (4.2). ■

Remark 4. *The above inequality is equivalent with*

$$(4.3) \quad \left| h^2(p, q) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{R-r}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{2} \right] |KL|(q, p) \right| \\ \leq \left| \frac{\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{R}}{2} - \frac{r+R}{2} \right| |KL|(q, p).$$

We now compare the Chi-square distance with $|KL|$. The following proposition holds.

Proposition 14. *Let p_i, q_i, r, R be as above. Then*

$$(4.4) \quad [(R-r)(R+r-1) - |R+r - (R^2+r^2)|] |KL|(q, p) \\ \leq D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq [(R-r)(R+r-1) + |R+r - (R^2+r^2)|] |KL|(q, p).$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $g(t) = (t-1)^2$, $f(t) = \ln t$, $t > 0$. Then $h(t) = \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)} = 2t(t-1)$.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= \inf_{t \in [r, R]} |h(t)| = \frac{1}{2} [2r(1-r) + 2R(R-1) - |2r(1-r) - 2R(R-1)|] \\
 &= [r - r^2 + R^2 - R - |r - r^2 - R^2 + R|] \\
 &= R^2 - r^2 - (R-r) - |R+r - (R^2 + r^2)| \\
 &= (R-r)(R+r-1) - |R+r - (R^2 + r^2)|
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} (h(t)) = (R-r)(R+r-1) + |R+r - (R^2 + r^2)|.$$

Now, as $g(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$I_{|g|}(p, q) = I_g(p, q) = D_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

and then, by Corollary 2, we deduce (4.4). ■

Remark 5. *The above inequality is equivalent with*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & |D_{\chi^2}(p, q) - (R-r)(R+r-1)|KL|(q, p)| \\
 & \leq |R+r - (R^2 + r^2)| |KL|(q, p).
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] I. CSISZÁR, Information measures: A critical survey, *Trans. 7th Prague Conf. on Info. Th., Statist. Decis. Funct., Random Processes and 8th European Meeting of Statist.*, Volume B, Academia Prague, 1978, 73-86.
- [2] I. CSISZÁR, Information-type measures of difference of probability functions and indirect observations, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **2** (1967), 299-318.
- [3] I. CSISZÁR and J. KÖRNER, *Information Theory: Coding Theorem for Discrete Memoryless Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] J.H. JUSTICE (editor), *Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods in Applied Statistics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [5] J.N. KAPUR, On the roles of maximum entropy and minimum discrimination information principles in Statistics, *Technical Address of the 38th Annual Conference of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics*, 1984, 1-44.
- [6] I. BURBEA and C.R. RAO, On the convexity of some divergence measures based on entropy functions, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **28** (1982), 489-495.
- [7] R.G. GALLAGER, *Information Theory and Reliable Communications*, J. Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [8] C.E. SHANNON, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bull. Sept. Tech. J.*, **27** (1948), 370-423 and 623-656.
- [9] B.R. FRIEDEN, Image enhancement and restoration, *Picture Processing and Digital Filtering* (T.S. Huang, Editor), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [10] R.M. LEAHY and C.E. GOUTIS, An optimal technique for constraint-based image restoration and mensuration, *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, **34** (1986), 1692-1642.
- [11] S. KULLBACK, *Information Theory and Statistics*, J. Wiley, New York, 1959.
- [12] S. KULLBACK and R.A. LEIBLER, On information and sufficiency, *Annals Math. Statist.*, **22** (1951), 79-86.
- [13] R. BERAN, Minimum Hellinger distance estimates for parametric models, *Ann. Statist.*, **5** (1977), 445-463.
- [14] A. RENYI, On measures of entropy and information, *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.*, Vol. 1, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1961.
- [15] S.S. DRAGOMIR and N.M. IONESCU, Some converse of Jensen's inequality and applications, *Anal. Num. Theor. Approx.*, **23** (1994), 71-78.

- [16] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, A counterpart of Jensen's discrete inequality for differentiable convex mappings and applications in information theory, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **24** (2) (1996), 1-11.
- [17] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, Some counterpart inequalities in for a functional associated with Jensen's inequality, *J. of Ineq. & Appl.*, **1** (1997), 311-325.
- [18] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, Some bounds on entropy measures in information theory, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **10** (1997), 23-28.
- [19] S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.J. GOH, A counterpart of Jensen's continuous inequality and applications in information theory, *RGMIA Preprint*,
<http://matilda.vu.edu.au/~rgmia/InfTheory/Continuse.dvi>
- [20] M. MATIĆ, Jensen's inequality and applications in Information Theory (in Croatian), Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Zagreb, 1999.
- [21] S.S. DRAGOMIR, J. ŠUNDE and M. SCHOLZ, Some upper bounds for relative entropy and applications, *Comp. and Math. with Appl.* (in press).
- [22] J.N. KAPUR, A comparative assessment of various measures of directed divergence, *Advances in Management Studies*, **3** (1984), No. 1, 1-16.
- [23] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ and A.M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [24] F. TOPSOE, Some inequalities for information divergence and related measures of discrimination, *Res. Rep. Coll. RGMIA*, **2** (1) (1999), 85-98.
- [25] D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE, Ecole d'ete de Probabilités de Saint-Fleour, III-1997, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer 1978.
- [26] H. JEFFREYS, An invariant form for the prior probability in estimation problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, **1986** (1946), 453-461.
- [27] A. BHATTACHARYYA, On a measure of divergence between two statistical populations defined by their probability distributions, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **35** (1943), 99-109.
- [28] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Some inequalities for the Csiszár Φ -divergence, *submitted*.
- [29] S.S. DRAGOMIR, A converse inequality for the Csiszár Φ -divergence, *submitted*.
- [30] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Some inequalities for (m, M) -convex mappings and applications for the Csiszár Φ -divergence in information theory, *submitted*.

SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATICS, P.O. BOX 14428, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>