# ON TWO- AND FOUR-PARAMETER FAMILIES 

## ALFRED WITKOWSKI

Abstract. We investigate monotonicity and convexity properties of the two-parameter function of the form

$$
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)=\left(\frac{f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)}\right)^{1 /(p-q)} .
$$

## 1. Introduction

Let $f: \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$be a symmetric and positively homogeneous function (i.e. for $\lambda>0$ $f(\lambda x, \lambda y)=\lambda f(x, y))$, satisfying $f(1,1)=1$. For real $p, q$ we define the function

$$
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)}\right)^{1 /(p-q)} & p \neq q,  \tag{1.1}\\ \exp \left(\frac{d}{d p} \log f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)\right) & p=q \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{x y} & p=q=0\end{cases}
$$

We call $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ the two-parameter family generated by $f$. In 2005 Zhen-Hang Yang published series of preprints ( $[7,8,9,10,11]$ ) investigating monotonicity and logarithmic convexity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$. He showed that the sign of $(\log f)_{x y}$ is responsible for monotonicity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ in $p$ and $q$, while $(x-y)\left(x(\log f)_{x y}\right)_{x}$ decides the logarithmic convexity along some horizontal and vertical half-lines in the space $(p, q)$.
This note extends the results of Yang, simplifies proofs and gives other conditions equivalent to monotonicity and convexity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$. As a corollary we obtain some inequalities between Stolarsky, Heronian and Gini means.
We also investigate four-parameter families being iteration of the procedure (1.1).
While Yang uses straightforward differentiations to investigate convexity and monotonicity properties, we chose a different approach. Two functions will play an important role: $\widetilde{f}(t)=f(t, 1)$ and $\widehat{f}(t)=\log \widetilde{f}(\exp (t))$. Due to homogeneity of $f$ the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{f}(t)=t \widetilde{f}(1 / t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all positive $t$. Note that the formula $y \widetilde{f}(x / y)=f(x, y)$ gives 1-1 correspondence between homogeneous functions $f$ and functions satisfying (1.2).

The function $\widehat{f}$ is important due to the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)=y \exp \frac{\widehat{f}(p \log (x / y))-\widehat{f}(q \log (x / y))}{p-q} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows to express the properties of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ by those of $\widehat{f}$.
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Replacing $t$ by $e^{t}$ in (1.2) and differentiating we obtain the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{f}(t) & =t+\widehat{f}(-t)  \tag{1.4}\\
\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)-1 / 2 & =1 / 2-\widehat{f}^{\prime}(-t)  \tag{1.5}\\
\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(-t)  \tag{1.6}\\
\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =-\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(-t) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The identities below follow immediately from definition

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p,-p ; x, y) & =\sqrt{x y},  \tag{1.8}\\
\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(p, q ; x^{a}, y^{a}\right) & =\mathcal{H}_{f}^{a}(a p, a q ; x, y),  \tag{1.9}\\
\mathcal{H}_{f}(-p,-q ; x, y) & =\frac{x y}{\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)} . \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The last formula can be also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(-p,-q ; x, y)=\log (x y)-\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and generalized as follows:
Lemma 1.1. For $p+q \neq 0$

$$
\left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)}{\sqrt{x y}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}}=\left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}(|p|,|q| ; x, y)}{\sqrt{x y}}\right]^{\frac{1}{|p|+|q|}}
$$

Proof. For $p, q>0$ the lemma is obvious, case $p, q<0$ follows from identity (1.11), so let us assume that $q<0 \leq p$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) & =\left(\frac{f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)}\right)^{1 /(p-q)}=\left(\frac{f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)}{(x y)^{q} f\left(x^{|q|}, y^{|q|}\right)}\right)^{1 /(p-q)}= \\
& =(x y)^{\frac{-q}{|p|+|q|}}\left(\frac{f\left(x^{|p|}, y^{|p|}\right)}{f\left(x^{|q|}, y^{|q|}\right)}\right)^{1 /(|p|+|q|)} \\
& =(x y)^{\frac{|p|+|q|-(p+q)}{2(|p|+|q|)}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{f}(|p|,|q| ; x, y)\right)^{\frac{p+q}{|p|+|q|}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Monotonicity

In this section we will discuss the monotonicity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$. Taking $f(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}$ we see that although $f$ is increasing, $\mathcal{H}_{f}(2,1 ; x, y)=\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{x+y}$ is not, so we need something more to grant monotonicity in $x$ and $y$. But this property is sufficient for $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ to be a mean:

Theorem 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $f$ is increasing in both variables.
(b) $\tilde{f}$ is increasing.
(c) $\widehat{f}$ is increasing.
(d) for all $p, q \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is a mean, i.e. for all $x<y$

$$
x \leq \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) \leq y .
$$

Proof. The equivalence (a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ is obvious.
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (d): due to symmetry we can assume that $p>q$. We have

$$
x^{p-q}=\frac{f\left(x^{q} x^{p-q}, y^{q} x^{p-q}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)} \leq \frac{f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)} \leq \frac{f\left(x^{q} y^{p-q}, y^{q} y^{p-q}\right)}{f\left(x^{q}, y^{q}\right)}=y^{p-q} .
$$

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Let $x<y$.

If $1<x$ then $y=x^{p}$ for some $p>1$ and this yields

$$
\frac{f(y, 1)}{f(x, 1)}=\frac{f\left(x^{p}, 1\right)}{f(x, 1)}=\mathcal{H}_{f}^{p-1}(p, 1 ; x, 1)>1,
$$

similarly if $x<1$ then $y=x^{p}$ for some $p<1$ and the same inequality holds.
The two theorems that follow state the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ to be monotone in $p, q$ and $x, y$ respectively.

Theorem 2.2. The following conditions are equivalent
(a) (The Hölder inequality). If $p, q>1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, then for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}>0$

$$
f\left(x_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}\right) \leq f^{1 / p}\left(x_{1}^{p}, y_{1}^{p}\right) f^{1 / q}\left(x_{2}^{q}, y_{2}^{q}\right)
$$

(b) The function

$$
G(u, v)=\log f\left(e^{u}, e^{v}\right)
$$

is convex.
(c) For every $x, y>0$ the function

$$
T(p)=\log f\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)
$$

is convex.
(d) $\widetilde{f}$ is multipicatively convex, i.e. for every $0<\lambda<1$

$$
\widetilde{f}\left(x^{\lambda} y^{1-\lambda}\right) \leq[\widetilde{f}(x)]^{\lambda}[\widetilde{f}(y)]^{1-\lambda}
$$

(e) $\widehat{f}$ is convex
(f) The function $\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)$ increases in $p$ and $q$.

Note: the result of Yang states that if $(\log f)_{x y} \geq 0$ then $2.2(f)$ holds. In fact, the Yang's condition is equivalent to $T^{\prime \prime}(p) \geq 0$.

Proof.
$(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}) \operatorname{Set} \exp \left(u_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{p}, \exp \left(v_{i}\right)=y_{i}^{p}$.
$(\mathrm{d}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ obvious.
$(\mathrm{e}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{f}) h$ is convex (concave) if and only if the divided difference function $\frac{h(p)-h(q)}{p-q}$ is increasing (decreasing) in $p$ and $q$ [12]. By (1.3)

$$
\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)=\log y+\log (x / y) \frac{\widehat{f}(p \log (x / y))-\widehat{f}(q \log (x / y))}{p \log (x / y)-q \log (x / y)}
$$

hence the assertion follows.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$

$$
T(p)=G(p \log x, p \log y) .
$$

$(\mathrm{c}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ follows from the identity

$$
T(p)=p \log y+\log f\left((x / y)^{p}, 1\right)=p \log y+\widehat{f}(p \log (x / y))
$$

$(\mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}\right) & =y_{1} y_{2} \widetilde{f}\left(\left(x_{1}^{p} / y_{1}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(x_{2}^{q} / y_{2}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right) \\
& \leq y_{1} y_{2} \widetilde{f}^{1 / p}\left(x_{1}^{p} / y_{1}^{p}\right) \widetilde{f}^{1 / p}\left(x_{2}^{q} / y_{2}^{q}\right)=f^{1 / p}\left(x_{1}^{p}, y_{1}^{p}\right) f^{1 / q}\left(x_{2}^{q}, y_{2}^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A homogeneous positive symmetric function cannot decrease in it's whole domain because it satisfies the identity $f(x, x)=x f(1,1)$. Thus if it is monotone then it has to increase.

Theorem 2.3. For every $p, q$ the function $\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)$ is increasing in $x$ and $y$ if and only if the function $t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing .

Proof. Due to homogeneity and symmetry of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ in $x$ and $y$ if is enough to prove the theorem in case $y=1$.
The monotonicity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, 1)$ is the same as that of $\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; \exp (x), 1)$. Differentiating we obtain by (1.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \log \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; \exp (t), 1)}{d t} & =\frac{p \widehat{f}^{\prime}(p t)-q \widehat{f}^{\prime}(q t)}{p-q}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\frac{p t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(p t)-q t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(q t)}{p t-q t} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The divided difference (2.2) preserves sign if and only if the function $t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is monotone and the proof is complete.

If $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is nonnegative and $p q \leq 0$ then the numerator and the denominator of (2.1) are of the same sign, so we have
Corollary 2.4. If $f$ is increasing and $p q \leq 0$ then $\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)$ is increasing in $x$ and $y$.
Note the following necessary condition for monotonicity in $x, y$ :
Theorem 2.5. If for every $p, q \quad \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)$ is increasing in $x$ and $y$ then $f(x, y)=$ $\max (x, y)$ or $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{f}(t)=0$.
Proof. The limit of $\widetilde{f}$ at 0 exists because of monotonicity. If it is positive then for positive $p \neq q$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, 1)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\widetilde{f}\left(x^{p}\right)}{\widetilde{f}\left(x^{q}\right)}\right)^{1 /(p-q)}=1=\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; 1,1)
$$

and this is possible only if $\tilde{f}$ is constant on $(0,1)$ which corresponds to $f=$ max.
We conclude this section with some kind of Chebyhshev's inequality:
Corollary 2.6. If $\widehat{f}$ is convex then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \leq(\text { resp. } \geq) f\left(x_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right) \geq(\text { resp. } \leq) 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For concave $\widehat{f}$ the inequality in (2.3) reverses.

Proof. Let $a=x_{1} / y_{1}, b=x_{2} / y_{2}$. Then $\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right) \geq(\leq) 0$ holds if and only if there exists $p>(<) 0$ such that $b=a^{p}$. By Theorem 2.2

$$
f(a, 1)=\mathcal{H}_{f}(0,1 ; a, 1) \leq(\geq) \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, p+1 ; a, 1)=\frac{f(a b, 1)}{f(b, 1)}
$$

and this is equivalent to (2.3).

## 3. Logarithmic convexity

In this section we will cover the log-convexity of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ in variables $p$ and $q$. The identity (1.11) shows that concavity of $\log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ at some point implies convexity at its antipode. Milan Merkle [3] discovered the following characterization of convexity of divided difference functions:

Theorem 3.1. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be differentiable and

$$
F(p, q)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(p)-f(q)}{p-q} & p \neq q, \\ f^{\prime}(p) & p=q .\end{cases}
$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $f^{\prime}$ is convex on $I$,
(b) $f^{\prime}\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) \leq F(p, q)$ for all $p, q \in I$,
(c) $F(p, q) \leq \frac{f^{\prime}(p)+f^{\prime}(q)}{2}$ for all $p, q \in I$,
(d) $F$ is convex on $\stackrel{I}{I}^{2}$,
(e) $F$ is Schur-convex on $I^{2}$.

The eqivalence remains valid if the word 'convex' is replaced with 'concave' and inequalities in (b) and (c) are reversed.

Suppose now that $I \subset \mathbf{R}_{+}$and $\log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is convex in $p, q$ for all $x, y>0$. Using the representation (1.3) and Theorem 3.1 we see that $\frac{d \hat{f}(p \log (x / y))}{d p}=\log (x / y) \widehat{f}^{\prime}(p \log (x / y))$ must be convex on $I$. Because $\log (x / y)$ takes arbitrary values, this is possible only if $\widehat{f}^{\prime}$ is convex on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$and concave otherwise.
On the other hand (1.5) shows that convexity (concavity) of $\widehat{f}^{\prime}$ on $(0, \infty)$ implies its concavity (convexity) on $(-\infty, 0)$. Hence we have

Theorem 3.2. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) For all $p, q \geq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is convex (concave) in $p$ and $q$.
(b) For all $p, q \geq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) in $p$ and $q$.
(c) $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is convex (concave) for $t \geq 0$.
(d) For all $p, q \leq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is concave (convex) in $p$ and $q$.
(e) For all $p, q \leq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{H}_{f}$ is Schur-concave (Schur-convex) in $p$ and $q$.
(f) $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave (convex) for $t \leq 0$.

Before we investigate how $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ behaves along some straight lines in $(p, q)$ we formulate an useful lemma:

Lemma 3.3. Let $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be an even function. Then $f$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if for all $a, b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn} \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b}=\operatorname{sgn}(a+b) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and strictly decreasing if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn} \frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b}=-\operatorname{sgn}(a+b) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b}=(a+b) \frac{f(|a|)-f(|b|)}{a^{2}-b^{2}}=(a+b) \frac{|a|-|b|}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \frac{(f|a|)-f(|b|)}{|a|-|b|}
$$

and the lemma follows because $\operatorname{sgn} \frac{|a|-|b|}{a^{2}-b^{2}}=1$.
Consider first the covexity on lines passing through the origin.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ be concave (convex) for $t \geq 0$. Then for $p+q>0$

$$
h(t)=\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(t p, t q ; x, y)
$$

is concave (convex) for $t \geq 0$ and convex (concave) for $t \leq 0$. The convexity reverses if $p+q<0$.
Proof. By Lemma 1.1 we have

$$
\log \mathcal{H}_{f}(t p, t q ; x, y)=\frac{|p|+|q|-(p+q)}{|p|+|q|} \log \sqrt{x y}+\frac{p+q}{|p|+|q|} \log \mathcal{H}_{f}(t|p|, t|q| ; x, y)
$$

and the theorem follows from Theorem 3.2.
A concave function that is bounded in $+\infty$ must be increasing. The same applies to a convex function bounded in $-\infty$. If $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ is a mean then obviously $h$ is bounded, so we have

Corollary 3.5. If $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave for $t \geq 0$ and $\widehat{f}(t)$ is increasing then $h(t)$ is increasing.
Consider now lines that are parallel to the diagonal. The theorem that follows generalizes results obtained by Horst Alzer [1, 2] and the author [13].

Theorem 3.6. Let $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ be concave (convex) for $t \geq 0$. Then

$$
S_{h}(t)=\mathcal{H}_{f}(t+h, t ; x, y)
$$

is log-concave (log-convex) for $t \geq-h / 2$ and log-convex (log-concave) for $t \leq-h / 2$.
Proof. By (1.3) we have

$$
\left(\log S_{h}\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)=\log ^{3}(x / y) \frac{\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}((t+h) \log (x / y))-\widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t \log (x / y))}{(t+h) \log (x / y)-t \log (x / y)}
$$

and the assertion follows from (1.6), (1.7) and Lemma 3.3.
Applying the same reasoning as before we obtain
Corollary 3.7. If $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave for $t \geq 0$ and $\widehat{f}(t)$ is increasing then $S_{h}(t)$ is increasing. Finally let us consider lines perpendicular to the diagonal:

Theorem 3.8. Let $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ be concave (convex) for $t \geq 0$. For $a>0$ the even function

$$
v_{a}(r)=\mathcal{H}_{f}(a+r, a-r ; x, y)
$$

is decreasing (increasing) for $r>0$. The monotonicity reverses if $a<0$.
Proof. In the proof we shall assume that $\hat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave. Suppose that $a>0$. For $-a<r<a v_{a}(r)$ is concave by Theorem 3.2 hence is decreasing if $r>0$ due to symmetry. For $r>a$ we apply Lemma 1.1 and obtain

$$
v_{a}(r)=\left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r+a, r-a ; x, y)}{\sqrt{x y}}\right]^{a / r}
$$

Taking the logarithm we get

$$
\log v_{a}(r)=a \frac{\log S_{2 a}(r-a)-\log S_{2 a}(-a)}{r}
$$

where $S$ is defined in Theorem 3.6. $\log S_{2 a}(t)$ is concave, so its divided difference decreases.

## 4. Comparison of $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g}$

It is natural to ask whether $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g}$ can be compared. The identity (1.11) shows that the inequality $\mathcal{H}_{f} \leq \mathcal{H}_{g}$ reverses when $p, q$ change signs. The next theorem establises sufficient and necessary conditions for the ineqality to hold for $p+q>0$.
Theorem 4.1. The conditions are equivalent
(a) The inequality

$$
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{g}(p, q ; x, y)
$$

holds for all $x, y>0$ and all $p+q>0$.
(b) $(\tilde{f} / \tilde{g})(t)$ increases for $0<t \leq 1$.
(c) $(\tilde{f} / \tilde{g})(t)$ decreases for $t>1$.
(d) $\widehat{f}(t)-\widehat{g}(t)$ increases for $t<0$.
(e) $\widehat{f}(t)-\widehat{g}(t)$ decreases for $t<0$.

Proof. The equivalence $(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ follows from (1.2). Obviously (b) and (d) are equivalent and so are (c) and (d). For $0<p<q$ and $y=1$ the inequality (a) is equivalent to $(\tilde{f} / \tilde{g})\left(x^{q}\right) \leq(\tilde{f} / \tilde{g})\left(x^{p}\right)$, which shows that (a) implies (b) and (c). This also shows that (b) and (c) imply (a) in case of positive parameters $p, q$. To complete the proof we apply the Lemma 1.1 and obtain

$$
\left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)}{\mathcal{H}_{g}(p, q ; x, y)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}}=\left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}(|p|,|q| ; x, y)}{\mathcal{H}_{g}(|p|,|q| ; x, y)}\right]^{\frac{1}{|p|+|q|}}
$$

hence the inequality (a) holds for $p+q>0$.
Note: the condition (c) is denoted in [4] by $\tilde{f} \preceq \tilde{g}$ and called strong inequality, so our theorem can be restated as follows

Theorem 4.2. The inequality

$$
\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{g}(p, q ; x, y)
$$

holds for all $x, y>0$ and all $p+q>0$ if and only if $\tilde{f} \preceq \tilde{g}$.
For real $\alpha$ the function $f_{\alpha}(x, y)=f\left(x^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ generates $\mathcal{H}_{f_{\alpha}}(p, q ; x, y)=\mathcal{H}_{f}(\alpha p, \alpha q ; x, y)$ so the Corollary 3.5 yields

Corollary 4.3. If $\widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave for $t \geq 0$ and $\widehat{f}(t)$ is increasing then for $\alpha<\beta$ the strong inequality $\tilde{f}_{\alpha} \preceq \tilde{f}_{\beta}$ holds.

## 5. Four-parameter family

If $f$ is positively homogeneous then so are $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ for every $(r, s)$ and we can create a four-parameter family in the same way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{f}(p, q ; r, s ; x, y)=\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s)}(p, q ; x, y) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can easily apply the results from previous chapters, because we have simple formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s)}(t)=\frac{\widehat{f}(r t)-\widehat{f}(s t)}{r-s} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1. All members of the four-parameter family are means if and only if $t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing.
Proof. By Theorem 2.1 all $\mathcal{F}_{f}$ are means if and only if all $\mathcal{H}_{f}$ increase in $x$ and $y$, and this is equivalent to monotonicity of $t \widehat{f}^{\prime}(t)$ by Theorem 2.3.
Theorem 5.2. $\mathcal{F}_{f}$ increases (decreases) in $p$ and $q$ if and only if $r+s>0$ and $t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ increases (decreases) for $t>0$ or $r+s<0$ and $t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ decreases (increases) for $t>0$.
Proof. By 1.6 the function $t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is even. Applying Theorem 2.2 it is enough to check convexity of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s)}(t)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\left.\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s}\right)}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{r^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(r t)-s^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(s t)}{r-s}=\frac{1}{t} \frac{r^{2} t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(r t)-s^{2} t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(s t)}{r t-s t} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Lemma 3.3 the convexity depends on monotonicity of $t^{2} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and the sign of $\frac{s t+r t}{t}=s+r$.
Theorem 5.3. If $r+s>0$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) For all $p, q \geq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{F}_{f}$ is convex (concave) in $p$ and $q$.
(b) For all $p, q \geq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{F}_{f}$ is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) in $p$ and $q$.
(c) $t^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ increases (decreases) for $t \geq 0$.
(d) For all $p, q \leq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{F}_{f}$ is concave (convex) in $p$ and $q$.
(e) For all $p, q \leq 0$ and all $x, y>0 \quad \log \mathcal{F}_{f}$ is Schur-concave (Schur-convex) in $p$ and $q$.
(f) $t^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ decreases (increases) for $t \leq 0$.

If $r+s<0$ then the conditions (c) and (f) reverse.
Proof. Assume $r+s>0$ and $t>0$. By Theorem 3.2 it is enough to check convexity of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s)}{ }^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(r, s)^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\frac{r^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(r t)-s^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s t)}{r-s}=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \frac{r^{3} t^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(r t)-s^{3} t^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s t)}{r t-s t}
$$

and again the theorem follows from Lemma 3.3.
Theorem 5.4. The four-parameter means $\mathcal{F}_{f}$ increase in $x$, $y$ if and only if $t\left[t \widehat{f}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$ increases.

Proof. By Theorem $2.3 \mathcal{F}_{f}$ increases in $x, y$ if and only if $\left.t \widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s}\right)^{\prime}(t)$ increase. Differentiating we get

$$
\left[t \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{f}(r, s)^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}=\frac{r \widehat{f}^{\prime}(r t)+r^{2} t \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(r t)-s \widehat{f}^{\prime}(s t)+s^{2} t \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime}(s t)}{r-s}
$$

and the theorem follows.
Till the end of this section we shall assume that $f$ generates four-parameter family of means. Let us have a closer look at convexity of $S$-means defined in Theorem 3.6. In our case

$$
S_{1}(t ; r, s ; x, y)=\mathcal{F}_{f}(t+1, t ; r, s ; x, y)=\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{t+1}, y^{t+1}\right)}{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{t}, y^{t}\right)}
$$

From Theorems 3.6 and 5.3 we know that if $r+s>0$ and $t^{3} \widehat{f}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ decreases (increases) for $t>0$ then the function $S_{1}(t)$ is log-concave (log-convex) for $t>-1 / 2$ and $\log$-convex (log-concave) otherwise. In this section we investigate the function

$$
V(t)=\log S_{1}\left(t, \frac{r}{2 t+1}, \frac{s}{2 t+1} ; x, y\right) .
$$

A simple calculation shows that the function $V$ is symmetric with respect to the line $t=-1 / 2$.
The main result we aim to prove here is the following
Theorem 5.5. If $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{f}(r, s)^{\prime}}(t)$ is concave (convex) for $t>0$ then $V(t)$ increases (decreases) and is concave (convex) for $t>-1 / 2$.

For $t>-1 / 2$ let $\bar{t}=\frac{-t}{2 t+1}$. The function $t \rightarrow \bar{t}$ maps the half-line $(-1 / 2, \infty)$ onto itself, is decreasing, $\overline{\bar{t}}=t$ and $(2 t+1)(2 \bar{t}+1)=1$.
The function $S_{1}$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(t ; r, s ; x, y)=(x y)^{-t} S_{1}^{2 t+1}\left(\frac{-t}{2 t+1} ;(2 t+1) r,(2 t+1) s\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show it, let $\mu=2 t+1$ and $\nu=-t /(2 t+1)$. Then $-t=\mu \nu$, and $t+1=\mu(\nu+1)$. Using identities (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}(t ; r, s ; x, y) & =\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{t+1}, y^{t+1}\right)}{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{t}, y^{t}\right)}=\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{t+1}, y^{t+1}\right)}{(x y)^{t} \mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{-t}, y^{-t}\right)} \\
& =(x y)^{-t} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{\mu(\nu+1)}, y^{\mu(\nu+1)}\right)}{\mathcal{H}_{f}\left(r, s ; x^{\mu \nu}, y^{\mu \nu}\right)} \\
& =(x y)^{-t} S_{1}\left(\nu ; r, s ; x^{\mu}, y^{\mu}\right) \\
& =(x y)^{-t} S_{1}^{2 t+1}\left(\frac{-t}{(2 t+1)} ;(2 t+1) r,(2 t+1) r ; x, y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The identity (5.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y)^{t} S_{1}(t ; r, s)=S_{1}^{2 t+1}\left(\bar{t} ; \frac{r}{2 \bar{t}+1}, \frac{s}{2 \bar{t}+1}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can prove Theorem 5.5:
Proof. Let $-1 / 2<u<v$. Then $-1 / 2<\bar{v}<\bar{u}$ and we can write $\bar{v}$ as a convex combination of $-1 / 2$ and $\bar{u}$

$$
\bar{v}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \bar{u}-2 \bar{v}}{2 \bar{u}+1}+\frac{2 \bar{v}+1}{2 \bar{u}+1} \bar{u} .
$$

The log-concavity of $S_{1}$ implies the inequality

$$
S_{1}^{\frac{2 \bar{u}-2 \bar{v}}{2 \bar{u}+1}}(-1 / 2 ; r, s) S_{1}^{\frac{2 \bar{u}+1}{2 \bar{u}+1}}(\bar{u} ; r, s) \leq S_{1}(\bar{v} ; r, s)
$$

and since $S_{1}(-1 / 2 ; r, s, x, y)=\sqrt{x y}$ we have

$$
(x y)^{\frac{\bar{u}}{2 \bar{u}+1}} S_{1}^{\frac{1}{2 \bar{u}+1}}(\bar{u} ; r, s) \leq(x y)^{\frac{\bar{v}}{2 \bar{v}+1}} S_{1}^{\frac{1}{2 \bar{v}+1}}(\bar{v} ; r, s)
$$

and applying (5.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}\left(u ; \frac{r}{(2 u+1)}, \frac{s}{(2 u+1)}\right) \leq S_{1}\left(v ; \frac{r}{(2 v+1)}, \frac{s}{(2 v+1)}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the monotonicity is proved (obviously if $S_{1}$ is log-convex the inequalities are reversed). To show thet $V$ is concave it is enough to prove that for fixed $v>-1 / 2$ the function

$$
m(u)=\frac{V(u)-V(v)}{u-v}
$$

is decreasing. Let

$$
n(u)=\frac{\log S_{1}(u ; r, s ; x, y)-\log S_{1}(v ; r, s ; x, y)}{u-v} .
$$

Since $\log S_{1}$ is concave $n$ is decreasing and applying once more (5.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(\bar{u}) & =-\log (x y)+\frac{1}{v-u}\left[\frac{V(u)}{(2 \bar{v}+1)}-\frac{V(v)}{(2 \bar{u}+1)}\right] \\
& =-\log (x y)+\frac{(2 v+1) V(u)-(2 u+1) V(v)}{v-u} \\
& =-\log (x y)+2 V(v)-(2 v+1) \frac{V(v)-V(u)}{v-u} \\
& =-\log (x y)+2 V(v)-(2 v+1) m(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $n$ and $m$ are of the same monotonicity and the proof is complete.

## 6. Applications

6.1. Geometric mean. One can easily check that if $f(x, y)=\sqrt{x y}=G(x, y)$ then for every $p, q \quad \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y)=\sqrt{x y}$.
6.2. Arithmetic mean. Taking $f(x, y)=A(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}$ we obtain Gini means

$$
\operatorname{Gini}(p, q ; x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{x^{q}+y^{q}}{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)^{1 /(q-p)} & q \neq p  \tag{6.1}\\ \exp \left(\frac{x^{p} \log x+y^{p} \log y}{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right) & q=r .\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{A}(2 t) & =\log \frac{e^{2 t}+1}{2}=t+\log \cosh t  \tag{6.2}\\
2 \widehat{A}^{\prime}(2 t) & =1+\tanh t>0  \tag{6.3}\\
4 \widehat{A}^{\prime \prime}(2 t) & =\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2} t}>0  \tag{6.4}\\
8 \widehat{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}(2 t) & =-2 \frac{\sinh ^{\cosh ^{3} t}}{} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

so by (6.3) and Theorem 2.1
Property of Gini means 1. For every $p, q \operatorname{Gini}(p, q ; x, y)$ are means.
Combining (6.4) and Theorem 2.3 we see that
Property of Gini means 2. Gini $(p, q ; x, y)$ increases in $p$ and $q$.
By (6.5) $\widehat{A}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave for $t>0$ and convex for $t<0$ so Theorem 3.2 yields
Property of Gini means 3. Gini $(p, q)$ is logarithmically concave in $p q>0$ and logarithmically convex for $p q<0$.

As $A(0,1)=1 / 2$, Theorem 2.5 implies that Gini means are not monotone in $x, y$ for $p, q>0$. However, Corollary 2.4 shows that they are monotone if $p q<0$.

The following result of Horst Alzer ([2]) is a consequence of Theorem 3.6:
Corollary 6.1. For fixed $x, y$

$$
K(r ; x, y)=\operatorname{Gini}(r+1, r ; x, y)=\frac{x^{r+1}+y^{r+1}}{x^{r}+y^{r}}
$$

is increasing and log-concave for $r>-1 / 2$, and log-convex otherwise.
6.3. Logarithmic mean. The logarithmic mean $f(x, y)=L(x, y)=\frac{x-y}{\log x-\log y}$ leads to Stolarsky means

$$
E(p, q ; x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{p}{q} \frac{y^{q}-x^{q}}{y^{p}-x^{p}}\right)^{1 /(q-p)} & q p(q-p)(x-y) \neq 0,  \tag{6.6}\\ \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{y^{p}-x^{p}}{\log y-\log x}\right)^{1 / p} & p(x-y) \neq 0, q=0, \\ e^{-1 / p}\left(y^{y^{p}} / x^{x^{p}}\right)^{1 /\left(y^{p}-x^{p}\right)} & p=q, p(x-y) \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{x y} & p=q=0, \\ x & x=y .\end{cases}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{L}(2 t) & =\log \frac{e^{2 t}-1}{2 t}=t+\log \frac{\sinh t}{t}  \tag{6.7}\\
2 \widehat{L}^{\prime}(2 t) & =2\left(\frac{1}{1-e^{-2 t}}-\frac{1}{2 t}\right)=1+\frac{\cosh t}{\sinh t}-\frac{1}{t}>0  \tag{6.8}\\
4 \widehat{L}^{\prime \prime}(2 t) & =\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} t}>0  \tag{6.9}\\
8 \widehat{L}^{\prime \prime \prime}(2 t) & =-2 \frac{\sinh ^{3} t-t^{3} \cosh t}{t^{3} \sinh ^{3} t} \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$\widehat{L}$ increases, so by Theorem 2.1
Property of Stolarsky means 1. For every $p, q E(p, q ; x ; y)$ is a mean.
Theorem 2.2 combined with (6.9) shows
Property of Stolarsky means 2. $E$ is increasing in $p$ and $q$.
The function $\frac{e^{-t}-1}{t}$ is increasing as the divided difference of the convex function $e^{-t}$, hence so is $t \widehat{L}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}-1$, therefore

## Property of Stolarsky means 3. $E$ increases in $x$ and $y$.

To investigate further properties of Stolarsky means we need the following
Lemma 6.2. The function

$$
h(t)=\frac{t^{3} \cosh t}{\sinh ^{3} t}
$$

increases from 0 to 1 on $(-\infty, 0)$ and decreases on $(0, \infty)$.
Proof. It is clear that $h(0)=1$ and $h( \pm \infty)=0$, and since it is even all we have to do is to show that it decreases for positive $t$. Direct diffrentiation leads to quite complicated inequality, so let us make a little trick here: let

$$
g(t)=\frac{\sinh t}{\cosh ^{1 / 3} t} .
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{2}{3} \cosh ^{2 / 3} t+\frac{1}{3} \cosh ^{-4 / 3} t, \\
g^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{4}{9} \sinh t \cosh ^{-1 / 3} t\left(1-\cosh ^{-2} t\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $g$ is convex for $t \geq 0$, therefore its divided difference $g(t) / t$ increases and $h$ is its cubed reciprocal.

From this Lemma and (6.10) we see that $\widehat{L}^{\prime}(t)$ is concave for $t>0$ and convex otherwise, so by Theorem 3.2

Property of Stolarsky means 4. $E$ is logarithmically concave in variables $p, q$ in the quadrant $p, q>0$ and logarithmically convex in $p, q<0$

The following result of Horst Alzer ([1]) is a consequence of Theorem 3.6:

Corollary 6.3. For fixed $x, y$

$$
J(r ; x, y)=E(r+1, r ; x, y)=\frac{r}{r+1} \frac{x^{r+1}-y^{r+1}}{x^{r}-y^{r}}
$$

is increasing and log-concave for $r>-1 / 2$, and log-convex otherwise.
Consider now some one-parameter families generated by classical means:

- power means

$$
M(r ; x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{r}+y^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r}=E(r, 2 r ; x, y),
$$

- Heronian means

$$
H(r ; x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{r}+\sqrt{x y}^{r}+y^{r}}{3}\right)^{1 / r}=E(3 r / 2, r / 2 ; x, y),
$$

- Identric means

$$
I(r ; x, y)=e^{-1 / r}\left(y^{y^{r}} / x^{x^{r}}\right)^{1 /\left(y^{r}-x^{r}\right)}=E(r, r ; x, y)
$$

- Stolarsky means

$$
L(r ; x, y)=\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{x^{r}-y^{r}}{\log x-\log y}\right)^{1 / r}=E(r, 0 ; x, y) .
$$

They are all monotone in $r$ and by Theorem 3.4 they are log-concave for $r>0$ and log-convex otherwise.

Classical result of Tung-Po Lin ([5]) states that $L(x, y) \leq M(1 / 3 ; x, y)$. Let us refine it:

## Corollary 6.4.

$$
L(x, y) \leq L^{1 / 3}(x, y) I^{2 / 3}(1 / 2 ; x, y) \leq M(1 / 3 ; x, y)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
L=E(0,1), \quad M(1 / 3)=E(1 / 3,2 / 3), \quad I(1 / 2)=E(1 / 2,1 / 2) .
$$

As $E(p, q)$ is log-concave in $p q>0$ we have $M(1 / 3) \geq L^{1 / 3} I^{2 / 3}(1 / 2)$, and Theorem 3.8 implies that $L \leq M(1 / 3) \leq I(1 / 2)$
6.4. Logarithmic mean once more. Consider now the four-parameter means generated by the logaritmic mean (in other words the two-parameter means generated by the Stolarsky means $E(r, s ; x, y))$. They are important, because they contain two-parameter families generated by logarithmic, Heronian, arithmetic and centroidal means:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{L}(0,1 ; r, s ; x, y) & =E(r, s ; x, y) \\
\mathcal{F}_{L}(1 / 2,3 / 2 ; r, s ; x, y) & =N(r, s ; x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{s}+(\sqrt{x y})^{s}+y^{s}}{x^{r}+(\sqrt{x y})^{r}+y^{r}}\right)^{1 / s-r} \\
\mathcal{F}_{L}(1,2 ; r, s ; x, y) & =\operatorname{Gini}(r, s ; x, y) \\
\mathcal{F}_{L}(0,1 ; r, s ; x, y) & =T(r, s ; x, y)=\left(\frac{x^{2 s}+(x y)^{s}+y^{2 s}}{x^{s}+y^{s}} / \frac{x^{2 r}+(x y)^{r}+y^{2 r}}{x^{r}+y^{r}}\right)^{1 / s-r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Stolarsky means increase in $x$ and $y$, thus $\mathcal{F}_{L}$ are means, but in general they are not monotone (Gini means are not monotone).
Formula (6.9) combined with Theorem 5.2 shows that $\mathcal{F}_{l}(p, q ; r, s)$ increase in $p, q$ if $r+s>$ 0
Lemma 6.2 and (6.10) show that $t^{3} \widehat{L}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ decreases for $t>0$, thus by Theorem $5.3 \mathcal{F}_{L}$ is log-concave in the quadrant $p, q>0$ if $r+S>0$. Additionally by Theorem 3.6 the function

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}(t+1, t ; r, s ; x, y)=S(t ; r, s ; x, y)=\frac{E\left(r, s ; x^{t+1}, y^{t+1}\right)}{E\left(r, s ; x^{t}, y^{t}\right)}
$$

is log-concave in $t$ for $t>-1 / 2$ and log-convex otherwise and in consequence increasing in $t$. This establishes inequalities

$$
E(r, s)<N(r, s)<\operatorname{Gini}(r, s)<T(r, s)
$$

valid for $r+s>0$ and $x \neq y$. Theorem 5.5 implies also stronger inequalities (see [14] and references therein:

$$
E(r, s)<N(r .2, s / 2)<\operatorname{Gini}(r / 3, s / 3)<T(r / 5, s / 5)
$$

6.5. Product function. If $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}: \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$are positively homogeneous and symmetric, satisfy $f_{i}(1,1)=1,, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are positive and $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}=1$, then

$$
f(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(x^{\alpha_{i}}, y^{\alpha_{i}}\right)
$$

has the same property. In particular if all $f_{i}$ 's are means then $f$ is also a mean. Clearly

$$
\widehat{f}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{f}_{i}\left(\alpha_{i} t\right),
$$

so if $f_{i}$ 's generate means, monotone or log-convex two-parameter families, then so does their product.
We give two examples here:
6.5.1. Heinz means. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 / 2$ Heinz means are defined by

$$
A_{\alpha}(x, y)=\frac{x^{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}+x^{1-\alpha} y^{\alpha}}{2}=G\left(x^{2 \alpha}, y^{2 \alpha}\right) A\left(x^{1-2 \alpha}, y^{1-2 \alpha}\right) .
$$

Both $G$ and $A$ generate two-parameter means that are increasing in $p$ and $q, \log$-concave in $p, q$ for $p, q>0$, so the two-parameter means generated by $A_{\alpha}$ have the same properties. Monotonicity in $x, y$ is interesting, because Heinz means establish homotopy between monotone ( $\alpha=1 / 2$ ) and nonmonotone ( $\alpha=0$ ) families. Let us check when they fail to be monotone. By Theorem 2.3 we have to investigate when $t{\widehat{A_{\alpha}}}^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing:

$$
\left[t{\widehat{A_{\alpha}^{\prime}}}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) u\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) t\right)
$$

where $u(t)=\frac{\sinh t \cosh t+t}{\cosh ^{2} t}$. The function $u$ attains its minimun $M \approx-1.999679$ at $t \approx$ -1.1995 , so the two-parameter families generated by $A_{\alpha}$ are increasing in $x$ and $y$ for $\alpha \geq 0.24996 \ldots$...
6.5.2. logarithmic analogue of Heinz means. Using the logaritmic instead of the arithmetic mean we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\alpha}(x, y) & =\frac{x^{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}-x^{1-\alpha} y^{\alpha}}{(1-2 \alpha)(\log y-\log x)} \\
& =G\left(x^{2 \alpha}, y^{2 \alpha}\right) L\left(x^{1-2 \alpha}, y^{1-2 \alpha}\right)=\frac{1}{1-2 \alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha} x^{s} y^{1-s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the two-parameter families admit the same properties as Stolarsky means.
6.6. Seiffert mean. The Seiffert mean

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{x-y}{2 \arcsin \frac{x-y}{x+y}}
$$

was introduced in [6]. Peter Hästö proved in [4] that $M(1 / 2) \preceq P \preceq M(2 / 3)$ and that the constants $1 / 2$ and $2 / 3$ cannot be improved, therefore, by Theorem 4.1 the inequalities

$$
\operatorname{Gini}(p / 2, q / 2 ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{P}(p, q ; x, y) \leq \operatorname{Gini}(2 p / 3 ; 2 q / 3 ; x, y)
$$

hold for all $p, q$ such that $p+q>0$.
6.7. Nondiffrentiable case. Consider now two-parameter means generated by $\max (x, y)$ and $\min (x, y)$ (means, because max and min are monotone in both variables). We have

$$
\widehat{\max }(t)=\max (t, 0), \quad \widehat{\min }(t)=\min (t, 0)
$$

Elementary calculations lead to the following formulae:

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\max }(p, q ; x, y)=\sqrt{x y}{\sqrt{\frac{\max (x, y)^{2}}{\min (x, y)}}}^{\frac{p+q}{|p|+q \mid}}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\min }(p, q ; x, y)=\sqrt{x y}{\sqrt{\frac{\min (x, y)^{\frac{p+q}{|p|+q \mid}}}{\max (x, y)}}}^{\frac{p+q}{}}
$$

Applying our results we see that $\mathcal{H}_{\text {max }}$ increases and $\mathcal{H}_{\text {min }}$ decreases in $p, q$, both increase in $x, y$ ( $\widehat{\max }$ is not differentiable, but $t \widehat{\max }^{\prime}(t)$ can be interpreted as $[t \widehat{\max }(t)]^{\prime}(t)-\widehat{\max }(t)$ ). Application of Theorem 3.2 is immaterial here, because in areas $p q>0$ our functions are constant in variables $p, q$, but Theorem 4.1 gives an interesting (in case $\mathrm{pq}<0$ ) result:

Corollary 6.5. If for some $f$ and all $p, q \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q)$ are means, then for $p+q>0$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\min }(p, q ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\max }(p, q ; x, y)
$$

Proof. By Theorem $2.1 \tilde{f}$ increases, $\widetilde{\max }$ is constant in $(0,1)$ and $\widetilde{\min }$ is constatnt in $(1, \infty)$ thus by Theorem 4.1 required inequalities are valid.
6.8. Application of comparison result. For $w \geq 0$ the weighted Heronian mean is defined by

$$
h_{w}(x, y)=\frac{x+w \sqrt{x y}+y}{2+w} .
$$

Clearly $h_{0}=A$ and $h_{\infty}=G$. If $w>v \geq 0$, then

$$
\frac{\widetilde{h_{w}}(t)}{\widetilde{h_{v}}(t)}=\frac{2+v}{2+w}\left(1+\frac{w-v}{\sqrt{t}+v+1 / \sqrt{t}}\right)
$$

increases for $0<t<1$, so $h_{w} \preceq h_{v}$. and by Theorem 4.1 we have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{h_{w}}(p, q ; x, y) \leq \mathcal{H}_{h_{v}}(p, q ; x, y)
$$

for all $x, y>0$ and $p, q$ such that $p+q>0$.

## 7. Open questions

If $f$ is homogeneous of order 1 , then so are $\mathcal{H}_{f}(p, q)$ for every $p, q$. We can iterate this process bnulding a sequence of $2 n$-parameter functions $\mathcal{H}_{f, n}$. The geometric mean is a fixed point of this operation. Examples above show, that means do not necessary generate means. It would be interesting to answer the following questions:

- Does there exist for every n a function $f$ such that for all $k \leq n \mathcal{H}_{f, k}$ are means. If yes, do they converge in some sense to $G$ ?
- Does there exist a function $f \neq G$ such that all $\mathcal{H}_{f, n}$ are means?

It seems that $G\left(x^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}\right) L\left(x^{1-\alpha}, y^{1-\alpha}\right)$ for $\alpha$ sufficiently close to 1 can give positive answer to the first question.
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