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#### Abstract

Several new weighted inequalities connected with Hermite-Hadamard and Fejér type inequalities are established for functions whose derivatives in absolute value are preinvex. The results presented in this paper provide extensions of those given in earlier works.


## 1. Introduction

Many inequalities have been established for convex functions but the most famous is the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, due to its rich geometrical significance and applications, which is stated as (see [23]):

Let $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping and $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the inequalities hold in reversed direction if $f$ is concave.
In [7], Fejér gave a weighted generalization of (1.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonnegative, integrable and symmetric about $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$.

For several results which generalize, improve and extend the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) we refer the interested reader $[5,6,8],[10]-[13],[23,24],[26]-[31]$.

In recent years, lot of efforts have been made by many mathematicians to generalize the classical convexity. These studies include among others the work of Hanson in [9], Ben-Israel and Mond [4], Pini [21], M.A.Noor [18, 19], Yang and Li [33] and Weir [32]. Mond [5], Weir [32] and Noor [18, 19], have studied the basic properties of the preinvex functions and their role in optimization, variational inequalities and equilibrium problems. Hanson in [9], introduced invex functions as a significant generalization of convex functions. Ben-Israel and Mond [4], gave the concept of preinvex function which is special case of invexity. Pini [21], introduced the concept of prequasiinvex functions as a generalization of invex functions.

Let us recall some known results concerning invexity and preinvexity

[^0]Let $K$ be a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions. Let $x \in K$, then the set $K$ is said to be invex at $x$ with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$, if

$$
x+t \eta(y, x) \in K, \forall x, y \in K, t \in[0,1] .
$$

$K$ is said to be an invex set with respect to $\eta$ if $K$ is invex at each $x \in K$. The invex set $K$ is also called a $\eta$-connected set.

Definition 1. [32] The function $f$ on the invex set $K$ is said to be preinvex with respect to $\eta$, if

$$
f(u+t \eta(v, u)) \leq(1-t) f(u)+t f(v), \forall u, v \in K, t \in[0,1] .
$$

The function $f$ is said to be preconcave if and only if $-f$ is preinvex.
It is to be noted that every convex function is preinvex with respect to the map $\eta(x, y)=x-y$ but the converse is not true see for instance [32].

In the recent paper, Noor [16] has obtained the following Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for the preinvex functions:

Theorem 1. [16] Let $f:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a preinvex function on the interval of the real numbers $K^{\circ}$ (the interior of $K$ ) and $a, b \in K \circ$ with $a<$ $a+\eta(b, a)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{2 a+\eta(b, a)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For several new results on inequalities for preinvex functions we refer the interested reader to $[3,15,20,25]$ and the references therein.

In the present paper we give new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard and Fejér for functions whose derivatives are preinvex. Our results generalize those results presented in a very recent paper of M. Z. Sarikaya [25, 28].

## 2. Main Results

The following Lemma is essential in establishing our main results in this section:
Lemma 1. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $a<a+\eta(b, a)$ Suppose $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $K$ such that $f^{\prime} \in L([a, a+\eta(b, a)])$. If $w:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an integrable mapping, then for every $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a) \neq 0$ the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right) \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x  \tag{2.1}\\
=\eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{1} k(t) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
k(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s, & t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
-\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s, & t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
I=\int_{0}^{1} k(t) & f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(-\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t=I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By integration by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=\left.\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) \frac{f(a+t \eta(b, a))}{\eta(b, a)}\right|_{0} ^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{2.3}\\
&-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
&=\frac{f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right)}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}=\left.\left(-\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) \frac{f(a+t \eta(b, a))}{\eta(b, a)}\right|_{\frac{1}{2}} ^{1}  \tag{2.4}\\
& -\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \quad=\frac{f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right)}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I=\frac{f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right)}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+ & t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variable $x=a+\operatorname{t\eta }(b, a)$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and multiplying both sides by $\eta(b, a)$, we get (2.1). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 1. If we take $w(x)=1, x \in[a, a+t \eta(b, a)]$ in Lemma 1, then (2.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x+f\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right. & \eta(b, a))  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{1} k(t) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
k(t)= \begin{cases}t, & t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ t-1, & t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

Which is one of the results from [25].
Remark 2. If $\eta(b, a)=b-a$ in Lemma 1, then (2.1) becomes Lemma 2.1 from [28, page 379].

Now using Lemma 1, we prove our results:
Theorem 2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $a<a+\eta(b, a)$ Suppose $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $K$ such that $f^{\prime} \in L([a, a+\eta(b, a)])$ and $w:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an integrable mapping and symmetric to $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is preinvex on $K$, then for every $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a) \neq 0$ we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right) \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}[\eta(b, a)-2(x-a)] w(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 and the preinvexity of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ on $K$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right) \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq \eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right)\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d t \\
& \quad+\eta(b, a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right)\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d t
\end{align*}
$$

By the change of the order of integration, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d s d t  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d t d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[\left(\frac{(1-s)^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{8}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

Using the change of variable $x=a+s \eta(b, a)$ for $s \in[0,1]$, we have from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d s d t  \tag{2.9}\\
= & \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{x-a}{\eta(b, a)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{8}\right) w(x) d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-a}{\eta(b, a)}\right)^{2}\right) w(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly by change of order of integration and using the fact that $w$ is symmetric to $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d s d t  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s} w(a+(1-s) \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d t d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{2}(1-s)^{2}\right) w(a+(1-s) \eta(b, a)) d s \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{8}\right) w(a+(1-s) \eta(b, a)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

By the change of variable $x=a+(1-s) \eta(b, a)$, we get form (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d s d t  \tag{2.11}\\
& = \\
& \quad \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-a}{\eta(b, a)}\right)^{2}\right) w(x) d x \\
& \quad \quad+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{x-a}{\eta(b, a)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{8}\right) w(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.11) in (2.7) and simplifying, we get the inequality (2.6). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 1. If we take $w(x)=1$, for $x \in[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$ in Theorem 2, we get (2.12)

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x-f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\eta(b, a)}{8}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] .
$$

Which is Theorem 5 from [25].
Remark 3. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on $[a, b]$, then $\eta(b, a)=b-a$. Hence from Theorem 2, and using the symmetricity of $w$ about $\frac{a+b}{2}$, we get Theorem 2.3 from [28, page 380].

Theorem 3. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $a<a+\eta(b, a)$. Suppose $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $K$ such that $f^{\prime} \in L([a, a+\eta(b, a)])$ and $w:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an integrable mapping and symmetric to $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}, q>1$, is preinvex on $K$, then for every $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a) \neq 0$ we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right) \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq \eta(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{(\eta(b, a))^{2}} \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left[\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}-(x-a)\right] w^{p}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left[\left(\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+2\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. From Lemma 1 and change of order of integration, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right) \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad \leq \eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right)\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t \\
& \quad+\eta(b, a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right)\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t \\
& =\eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t d s \\
& \quad+\eta(b, a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t d s
\end{align*}
$$

By the Hölder's inequality, we have
(2.15) $\quad \eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t d s$
$\leq \eta(b, a)\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{p}(a+s \eta(b, a)) d t d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} d t d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.
Since $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}, q>1$, is preinvex on $K$, for every $a, b \in K$ and $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} \leq(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}
$$

hence by solving elementary integrals and using the substitution $x=a+s \eta(b, a)$, $s \in[0,1]$, we have from (2.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t d s  \tag{2.16}\\
& \quad \leq \eta(b, a)\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{p}(a+s \eta(b, a)) d t d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right] d t d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\eta(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{(\eta(b, a))^{2}} \int_{a}^{a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)}\left[\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}-(x-a)\right] w^{p}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, using the symmetricity of $w$ about $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta(b, a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{s} w(a+s \eta(b, a))\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t d s  \tag{2.17}\\
& \leq \eta(b, a)\left(\frac { 1 } { ( \eta ( b , a ) ) ^ { 2 } } \int _ { a } ^ { a + \frac { 1 } { 2 } \eta ( b , a ) } \left[\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}\right.\right.\left.-(x-a)] w^{p}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+2\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) in (2.14), we get the required inequality. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2. If the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied and if $w(x)=1, x \in$ $[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x+f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)\right)\right| \leq \eta(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+2\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Corollary 3. [28, Theorem 2.5, page 381]Suppose $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $I^{\circ}, a, b \in I$ with $a<b$. Let $w:[a, b] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an integrable mapping and symmetric to $\frac{a+b}{2}$ and $f^{\prime} \in L([a, b])$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}, q>1$, is convex on $[a, b]$, then we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x-\frac{1}{b-a} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad \leq(b-a)\left(\frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w^{p}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+2\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 by taking $\eta(b, a)=b-a$ and using the symmetry of $w$ about $\frac{a+b}{2}$.

For our next results we need the following Lemma:
Lemma 2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $a<a+\eta(b, a)$ Suppose $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $K$ such that $f^{\prime} \in L([a, a+\eta(b, a)])$. If $w:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an integrable mapping, then for every $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a) \neq 0$ the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} & w(x) d x+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2} \int_{0}^{1} p(t) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
p(t)=\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s-\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s, t \in[0,1] .
$$

Proof. It suffices to note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=\int_{0}^{1} p(t) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t  \tag{2.21}\\
&=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
&+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t=J_{1}+J_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By integration by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1}=-\left.\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right) f(a+t \eta(b, a))}{\eta(b, a)}\right|_{0} ^{1}  \tag{2.22}\\
&+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
&=-\frac{f(a+\eta(b, a))}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+\operatorname{t\eta }(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly. we also have
$J_{2}=-\frac{f(a)}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t$.
Using (2.22) and (2.23) in (2.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J=-\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a))}{\eta(b, a)} & \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t  \tag{2.24}\\
& +\frac{2}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{0}^{1} w(a+t \eta(b, a)) f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

By the change of variable $x=a+t \eta(b, a)$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and by multiplying both sides if (2.6) by $\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}$, we get (2.20). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 4. If we take $w(x)=1, x \in[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$, then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2}+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} & \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x  \tag{2.25}\\
& =\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-2 t) f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{align*}
$$

which is Lemma 2.1 from [3, Page 3].
Theorem 4. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $a<a+\eta(b, a)$ Suppose $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable mapping on $K$ such that $f^{\prime} \in L([a, a+\eta(b, a)])$ and $w:[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an
integrable mapping and symmetric to $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}, q>1$, is preinvex on $K$, then for every $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a) \neq 0$ we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x\right|  \tag{2.26}\\
\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\left|\int_{a+t \eta(b, a)}^{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|, t \in[0,1] \text { and } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x\right| \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\eta(b, a)}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s-\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s\right|\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t
$$

Since $w$ is symmetric to $a+\frac{1}{2} \eta(b, a)$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s-\int_{0}^{t} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s  \tag{2.28}\\
&=\int_{t}^{1} w(a+s \eta(b, a)) d s-\int_{0}^{t} w(a+(1-s) \eta(b, a)) d s \\
&= \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a+t \eta(b, a)}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x+\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a+\eta(b, a)}^{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)} w(x) d x \\
&= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a+\operatorname{tn(b,a)}}^{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)} w(x) d x, & t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\
-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)}^{a+t \eta(b, a)} w(x) d x, & t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.28) in (2.27) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right. & \left.-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.29}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} g(x)\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\left|\int_{a+t \eta(b, a)}^{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)} w(x) d x\right|, t \in[0,1]
$$

By Hölder's inequality, it follows from (2.29) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)}\right. & \left.\int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.30}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q}$ is preinvex on $K$, for every $a, b \in K$ and $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} \leq(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}
$$

and hence from (2.30), we get that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a)}{2 \eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} w(x) d x-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) w(x) d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.31}\\
\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-t)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+t\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right] d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{gather*}
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.
Corollary 4. If we take $\eta(b, a)=b-a$ in Theorem 4, then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2(b-a)} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x\right. & \left.-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x \right\rvert\,  \tag{2.32}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\left|\int_{t b+(1-t) a}^{t a+(1-t) b} w(x) d x\right|, t \in[0,1] \text { and } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1
$$

Which is Theorem 2.8 from [28, page 383].
Corollary 5. [3, Theorem 2.2, page 4] Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, if we take $w(x)=1, x \in[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(a+\eta(b, a))}{2}-\frac{1}{\eta(b, a)}\right. & \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x \mid  \tag{2.33}\\
& \leq \frac{\eta(b, a)}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.

Proof. It follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} g^{p}(t) d t & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|\int_{a+t \eta(b, a)}^{a+(1-t) \eta(b, a)} d x\right|^{p}\right) d t \\
& =(\eta(b, a))^{p} \int_{0}^{1}|1-2 t|^{p} d t=\frac{(\eta(b, a))^{p}}{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6. [5] If the conditions of Theorem 4 are fulfilled and if $w(x)=1$, $x \in[a, b]$ and $\eta(b, a)=b-a$, then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. It follows from Corollary 5.

## 3. Applications to Special Means

In what follows we give certain generalizations of some notions for a positive valued function of a positive variable.

Definition 2. [31] A function $M: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, is called a Mean function if it has the following properties:
(1) Homogeneity: $M(a x, a y)=a M(x, y)$, for all $a>0$,
(2) Symmetry : $M(x, y)=M(y, x)$,
(3) Reflexivity : $M(x, x)=x$,
(4) Monotonicity: If $x \leq x^{\prime}$ and $y \leq y^{\prime}$, then $M(x, y) \leq M\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$,
(5) Internality: $\min \{x, y\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max \{x, y\}$.

We consider some means for arbitrary positive real numbers $\alpha, \beta$ (see for instance [31]).
(1) The arithmetic mean:

$$
A:=A(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}
$$

(2) The geometric mean:

$$
G:=G(\alpha, \beta)=\sqrt{\alpha \beta}
$$

(3) The harmonic mean:

$$
H:=H(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{2}{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\beta}}
$$

(4) The power mean:

$$
P_{r}:=P_{r}(\alpha, \beta)=\left(\frac{\alpha^{r}+\beta^{r}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, r \geq 1
$$

(5) The identric mean:

$$
I:=I(\alpha, \beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{\beta^{\beta}}{\alpha^{\alpha}}\right), & \alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha, & \alpha=\beta\end{cases}
$$

(6) The logarithmic mean:

$$
L:=L(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\ln |\alpha|-\ln |\beta|}, \quad|\alpha| \neq|\beta|
$$

(7) The generalized log-mean:

$$
L_{p}:=L_{p}(\alpha, \beta)=\left[\frac{\beta^{p+1}-\alpha^{p+1}}{(p+1)(\beta-\alpha)}\right], \alpha \neq \beta, p \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{-1,0\}
$$

It is well known that $L_{p}$ is monotonic nondecreasing over $p \in \mathbb{R}$, with $L_{-1}:=L$ and $L_{0}:=I$. In particular, we have the following inequality $H \leq G \leq L \leq I \leq A$.

Now, let $a$ and $b$ be positive real numbers such that $a<b$. Consider the function $M:=M(a, b):[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \times[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, which is one of the above mentioned means, therefore one can obtain variant inequalities for these means as follows:

Setting $\eta(b, a)=M(b, a)$ in (2.12), (2.18) and (2.33), one can obtain the following interesting inequalities involving means:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\frac{1}{M(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+M(b, a)} f(x) d x-f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} M(b, a)\right)\right|  \tag{3.1}\\
& \leq \frac{M(b, a)}{8}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+M(b, a)} & f(x) d x+f\left(a+\frac{1}{2} M(b, a)\right) \left\lvert\, \leq M(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
\times & \left.\times\left(\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+2\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(a+M(b, a))}{2}-\frac{1}{M(b, a)}\right. & \int_{a}^{a+M(b, a)} f(x) d x \mid  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq \frac{M(b, a)}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $M=A, G, H, P_{r}, I, L, L_{p}$ in (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we can get the required inequalities, and the details are left to the interested reader.
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