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#### Abstract

Upper and lower bounds for a Cebyšev type functional in terms of Riemann-Stieltjes integral are given. Applications for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces are also provided.


## 1. Introduction

In [16], the authors have considered the following functional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f ; u):=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)-[u(b)-u(a)] \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)$ and the Riemann inte$\operatorname{gral} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ exist.

In [16], the following result in estimating the above functional has been obtained:
Theorem 1. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $u$ is Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq L|x-y| \quad \text { for any } x, y \in[a, b] \quad(L>0) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.
If $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad \text { for any } \quad x \in[a, b], \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} L(M-m)(b-a) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.
In [15], the following result complementing the above has been obtained:
Theorem 2. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $u$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $f$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $K>0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} K(b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(u) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in the above sense.

[^0]For a function $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define the associated functions $\Phi, \Gamma$ and $\Delta$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(t):=\frac{(t-a) u(b)+(b-t) u(a)}{b-a}-u(t), \quad t \in[a, b] ;  \tag{1.6}\\
& \Gamma(t):=(t-a)[u(b)-u(t)]-(b-t)[u(t)-u(a)], \quad t \in[a, b]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta(t):=\frac{u(b)-u(t)}{b-t}-\frac{u(t)-u(a)}{t-a}, \quad t \in(a, b) .
$$

In [9], the following subsequent bounds for the functional $D(f ; u)$ have been pointed out:

Theorem 3. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
(i) If $f$ is of bounded variation and $u$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$
|D(f ; u)| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|\Phi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f),  \tag{1.7}\\
\frac{1}{b-a} \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|\Gamma(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f), \\
\frac{1}{b-a} \sup _{t \in(a, b)}[(t-a)(b-t)|\Delta(t)|] \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) If $f$ is $L$-Lipschitzian and $u$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then

$$
|D(f ; u)| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L \int_{a}^{b}|\Phi(t)| d t  \tag{1.8}\\
\frac{L}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}|\Gamma(t)| d t \\
\frac{L}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)(b-t)|\Delta(t)| d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

(iii) If $f$ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b]$ and $u$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$
|D(f ; u)| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{a}^{b}|\Phi(t)| d f(t)  \tag{1.9}\\
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}|\Gamma(t)| d f(t), \\
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)(b-t)|\Delta(t)| d f(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The case of monotonic integrators is incorporated in the following two theorems [9]:
Theorem 4. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f$ is L-Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$ and $u$ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
|D(f ; u)| & \leq \frac{1}{2} L(b-a)[u(b)-u(a)-K(u)]  \tag{1.10}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} L(b-a)[u(b)-u(a)]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(u):=\frac{4}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} u(x)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x \geq 0 . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in both inequalities is sharp.
Theorem 5. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $u$ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b], f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
|D(f ; u)| & \leq[u(b)-u(a)-Q(u)] \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)  \tag{1.12}\\
& \leq[u(b)-u(a)] \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u):=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) u(x) d x \geq 0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality in (1.12) is sharp.
In the case of convex integrators, the following result may be stated [11]:
Theorem 6. Let $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} a$ monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq D(f ; u)  \tag{1.14}\\
& \leq 2 \cdot \frac{u_{-}^{\prime}(b)-u_{+}^{\prime}(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{-}^{\prime}(b)-u_{+}^{\prime}(a)\right] \max \{|f(a)|,|f(b)|\}(b-a) ; \\
\frac{1}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\left[u_{-}^{\prime}(b)-u_{+}^{\prime}(a)\right]\|f\|_{p}(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\quad \text { if } p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 ; \\
{\left[u_{-}^{\prime}(b)-u_{+}^{\prime}(a)\right]\|f\|_{1} .}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The following result may be stated as [11]:
Theorem 7. Let $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[u_{-}^{\prime}(b)-u_{+}^{\prime}(a)\right](b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f), \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ denotes the total variation of $f$ on $[a, b]$.
For other related results for the functional $D(\cdot ; \cdot)$, see [1]-[5], [7]-[14] and [18].
In this paper some new lower and upper bounds for $D(\cdot ; \cdot)$ are provided. Applications for functions of selfadjoint operators on complex Hilbert spaces are also given.

## 2. Some New Bounds

The following lemma may be stated:
Lemma 1. Let $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $l, L \in \mathbb{R}$ with $L>l$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) The function $g-\frac{l+L}{2} \cdot \ell$, where $\ell(t)=t, t \in[a, b]$ is $\frac{1}{2}(L-l)-$ Lipschitzian;
(ii) We have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \leq \frac{g(t)-g(s)}{t-s} \leq L \quad \text { for each } t, s \in[a, b] \quad \text { with } t \neq s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) We have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(t-s) \leq g(t)-g(s) \leq L(t-s) \quad \text { for each } t, s \in[a, b] \quad \text { with } t>s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [18], we can introduce the definition of $(l, L)$-Lipschitzian functions:
Definition 1. The function $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies one of the equivalent conditions (i) - (iii) from Lemma 1 is said to be (l,L)-Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$.

If $L>0$ and $l=-L$, then $(-L, L)-$ Lipschitzian means L-Lipschitzian in the classical sense.

Utilising Lagrange's mean value theorem, we can state the following result that provides examples of $(l, L)$-Lipschitzian functions.

Proposition 1. Let $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$. If $-\infty<l=\inf _{t \in(a, b)} g^{\prime}(t)$ and $\sup _{t \in(a, b)} g^{\prime}(t)=L<\infty$, then $g$ is $(l, L)$ Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$.

We have the following result:
Theorem 8. Let $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} a$ $(l, L)$-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
l\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right] & \leq D(f ; u)  \tag{2.3}\\
\leq & L\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities in (2.3) are sharp.
Proof. Consider the auxiliary function $f_{L}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{L}=L \ell-f$, where $\ell$ is the identity function $\ell(t)=t, t \in[a, b]$. Since $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $(l, L)$-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$ then $f(t)-f(s) \leq L(t-s)$ for each $t, s \in[a, b]$ with $t>s$ which shows that $f_{L}$ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b]$.

Utilizing the first inequality in (1.14) we have

$$
0 \leq D(L \ell-f, u)=L D(\ell, u)-D(f, u)
$$

showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f, u) \leq L D(\ell, u) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar argument applied for the auxiliary function $f_{l}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{L}=f-l \ell$ produces the reverse inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
l D(\ell, u) \leq D(f, u) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts in the Riemann-Stieltjes integral we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\ell, u) & =\int_{a}^{b} t d u(t)-\frac{1}{b-a}[u(b)-u(a)] \int_{a}^{b} t d t \\
& =b u(b)-a u(a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t-\frac{a+b}{2}[u(b)-u(a)] \\
& =\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (2.4) and (2.5) produce the desired result (2.3).
If we take $f_{0}(t)=t$, and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ then for each $t, s \in[a, b]$ with $t>s$ we have

$$
(1-\varepsilon)(t-s) \leq f_{0}(t)-f_{0}(s)=t-s \leq(1+\varepsilon)(t-s)
$$

which shows that $f$ is a $(1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$.
Assume that there exists $A, B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l A B D(\ell, u) \leq D(f, u) \leq L B D(\ell, u) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $(l, L)$-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$.

If we write the inequality $(2.6)$ for $f_{0}$ and $u$ strictly convex, we get

$$
(1-\varepsilon) A D(\ell, u) \leq D(\ell, u) \leq(1+\varepsilon) B D(\ell, u)
$$

and dividing by $D(\ell, u)>0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\varepsilon) A \leq 1 \leq(1+\varepsilon) B \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ in (2.7) we get $A \leq 1 \leq B$, which proves the sharpness of the inequality (2.3).

Remark 1. The double inequality in (2.3) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D(f ; u)-\frac{l+L}{2}\left(\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right)\right|  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(L-l)\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.
Corollary 1. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$. If $-\infty<l=\inf _{t \in(a, b)} f^{\prime}(t)$ and $\sup _{t \in(a, b)} f^{\prime}(t)=L<\infty$. If $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $a$ convex function on $[a, b]$, then the inequality (2.8) holds true.

If $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality is sharp.
The proof follows from (2.8) by taking $L=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $l=-\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$.

For two Lebesgue integrable functions $f$ and $g$ we can define the Čebyšev functional:

$$
C(f, g):=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t
$$

Corollary 2. Let $w:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} a(l, L)$-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t \leq C(f, w) \leq \frac{L}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities in (2.10) are sharp.
Proof. Choose $u(t):=\int_{a}^{t} w(s) d s, t \in[a, b]$. Since $w:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$, then $u$ is convex on $[a, b]$.

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}(b-a) \int_{a}^{b} w(s) d s-\left[\left.t \int_{a}^{t} w(s) d s\right|_{a} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} s w(s) d s\right] \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left(s-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Writing the inequalities (2.3) for these functions we deduce the desired result (2.10).

Remark 2. The inequalities (2.10) are equivalent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|C(f, w)-\frac{l+L}{2} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t\right|  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(L-l) \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.
If $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C(f, w)| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality is sharp.
Definition 2. For two constants $\delta, \Delta$ with $\delta<\Delta$, we say that the function $g$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(\delta, \Delta)$-convex (see also $[6]$ for more general concepts) if $g-\frac{1}{2} \delta \ell^{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \ell^{2}-g$ are convex functions on $[a, b]$.

It is easy to see that, if $g$ is twice differentiable on $(a, b)$ and the second derivative satisfies the condition

$$
\delta \leq g^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \Delta \text { for any } t \in(a, b)
$$

then $g$ is $(\delta, \Delta)$-convex.
The following result also holds:

Theorem 9. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$ and for $\delta, \Delta$ with $\delta<\Delta, a(\delta, \Delta)$-convex function $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we have the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t \leq D(f ; u) \leq \Delta \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities are sharp.
Proof. Since the function $f$ is monotonic nondecreasing and $u-\frac{1}{2} \delta \ell^{2}$ is convex, then from the first inequality in (1.14) we have

$$
D\left(f ; u-\frac{1}{2} \delta \ell^{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

which is equivalent with

$$
\frac{1}{2} \delta D\left(f ; \ell^{2}\right) \leq D(f ; u) .
$$

From the convexity of $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \ell^{2}-g$ we also have

$$
D(f ; u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta D\left(f ; \ell^{2}\right)
$$

However

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(f ; \ell^{2}\right) & =\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d \ell^{2}(t)-\frac{\ell^{2}(b)-\ell^{2}(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =2 \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d(t)-(b+a) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =2 \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $u_{0}(t):=\frac{1}{2} t^{2}$, and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, then for $\delta=1-\varepsilon$ and $\Delta=1+\varepsilon$ we have that $u_{0}$ is $(1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$-convex on $[a, b]$.

Assume that there exists the constants $P, Q>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta P \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t \leq D(f ; u) \leq \Delta Q \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$ and $(\delta, \Delta)$-convex function $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Since

$$
D\left(f ; u_{0}\right)=\int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t
$$

then by replacing $u_{0}, \delta=1-\varepsilon$ and $\Delta=1+\varepsilon$ in (2.15) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\varepsilon) P \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t & \leq \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq(1+\varepsilon) Q \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

which by division with $\int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t$ that is positive for many functions $f$ (for instance $f(t)=t-\frac{a+b}{2}$ ), we obtain

$$
(1-\varepsilon) P \leq 1 \leq(1+\varepsilon) Q .
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ we deduce $P \leq 1 \leq Q$, and the sharpness of the inequalities are proved.

Remark 3. Integrating by parts in the Riemann-Stieltjes integral we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(f ; u)  \tag{2.17}\\
& =f(b) u(b)-f(a) u(a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d f(t) \\
& -\frac{u(b)-u(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =u(b)\left(f(b)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right)+u(a)\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-f(a)\right) \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (2.3) is then equivalent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& l\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right]  \tag{2.18}\\
& \leq u(b)\left(f(b)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right)+u(a)\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-f(a)\right) \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d f(t) \\
& \leq L\left[\frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d t\right]
\end{align*}
$$

while (2.14) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t  \tag{2.19}\\
& \leq u(b)\left(f(b)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right)+u(a)\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-f(a)\right) \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} u(t) d f(t) \\
& \leq \Delta \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Applications for Selfadjoint Operators

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be selfadjoint and let $\varphi_{\lambda}$ defined for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(s):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { for }-\infty<s \leq \lambda \\
0, \text { for } \lambda<s<+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}:=\varphi_{\lambda}(A) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a projection which reduces $A$.
The properties of these projections are summed up in the following fundamental result concerning the spectral decomposition of bounded selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, see for instance [17, p. 256]

Theorem 10 (Spectral Representation Theorem). Let $A$ be a bonded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $H$ and let $m=\min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}=: \min S p(A)$ and $M=\max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}=: \max S p(A)$. Then there exists a family of projections $\left\{E_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, called the spectral family of $A$, with the following properties
a) $E_{\lambda} \leq E_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda^{\prime}$;
b) $E_{m-0}=0, E_{M}=1_{H}$ and $E_{\lambda+0}=E_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
c) We have the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\int_{m-0}^{M} \lambda d E_{\lambda} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, for every continuous complex-valued function $\varphi$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi(A)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left[E_{\lambda_{k}}-E_{\lambda_{k-1}}\right]\right\| \leq \varepsilon \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{0}<m=\lambda_{1}<\ldots<\lambda_{n-1}<\lambda_{n}=M  \tag{3.4}\\
\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{k-1} \leq \delta \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n \\
\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \in\left[\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_{k}\right] \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

this means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(A)=\int_{m-0}^{M} \varphi(\lambda) d E_{\lambda}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is of Riemann-Stieltjes type.
Corollary 3. With the assumptions of Theorem 10 for $A, E_{\lambda}$ and $\varphi$ we have the representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(A) x=\int_{m-0}^{M} \varphi(\lambda) d E_{\lambda} x \quad \text { for all } x \in H \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi(A) x, y\rangle=\int_{m-0}^{M} \varphi(\lambda) d\left\langle E_{\lambda} x, y\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } x, y \in H . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi(A) x, x\rangle=\int_{m-0}^{M} \varphi(\lambda) d\left\langle E_{\lambda} x, x\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } x \in H . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi(A) x\|^{2}=\int_{m-0}^{M}|\varphi(\lambda)|^{2} d\left\|E_{\lambda} x\right\|^{2} \quad \text { for all } x \in H . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilising the Spectral Representation Theorem we can prove the following inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators:

Theorem 11. Let $A$ be a bonded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $H$ and let $m=\min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}=: \min S p(A)$ and $M=\max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}$ $=: \max S p(A)$. Assume that the function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on the interior of $I$ denoted $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $[m, M] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. If the derivative $f^{\prime}$ is $(\delta, \Delta)$-Lipschitzian with $\delta<\Delta$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \delta\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{M-m}\left[f(M)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)+f(m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right]-f(A)\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{E_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ the spectral family of $A$ and $x \in H$. Utilising the inequality (2.10) for the $(\delta, \Delta)$-Lipschitzian function $f^{\prime}$ and the monotonic nondecreasing function $w(t)=\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle, t \in[m-\varepsilon, M]$ for a small positive $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\delta}{M-m+\varepsilon} \int_{m-\varepsilon}^{M}\left(t-\frac{m-\varepsilon+M}{2}\right)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{M-m+\varepsilon} \int_{m-\varepsilon}^{M} f^{\prime}(t)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t \\
& -\frac{1}{M-m+\varepsilon} \int_{m-\varepsilon}^{M} f^{\prime}(t) d t \cdot \frac{1}{M-m+\varepsilon} \int_{m-\varepsilon}^{M}\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t \\
& \leq \frac{\Delta}{M-m+\varepsilon} \int_{m-\varepsilon}^{M}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ in (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \int_{m-0}^{M}\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq \int_{m-0}^{M} f^{\prime}(t)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t-\frac{1}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^{M} f^{\prime}(t) d t \cdot \int_{m-0}^{M}\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t \\
& \leq \Delta \int_{m-0}^{M}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) w(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in H$.

Utilising the integration by parts formula for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{m-0}^{M}\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{m-0}^{M}\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d\left(\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left.\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{2}\right|_{m-0} ^{M}-\int_{m-0}^{M}\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{2} d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\|x\|^{2}\left(\frac{M-m}{2}\right)^{2}-\int_{m-0}^{M}\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{2} d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{m-0}^{M}\left[\left(\frac{M-m}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{2}\right] d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{m-0}^{M}(M-t)(t-m) d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right) x, x\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in H$.
We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{m-0}^{M} f^{\prime}(t)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t & =\left.f(t)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right|_{m-0} ^{M}-\int_{m-0}^{M} f(t) d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& =f(M)\|x\|^{2}-\int_{m-0}^{M} f(t) d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\int_{m-0}^{M}[f(M)-f(t)] d\left(\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left\langle\left[f(M) 1_{H}-f(A)\right] x, x\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

and, similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{m-0}^{M}\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t=\left\langle\left(M 1_{H}-A\right) x, x\right\rangle \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in H$.
Utilising (3.14) and (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{m-0}^{M} f^{\prime}(t)\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t-\frac{1}{M-m} \int_{m-0}^{M} f^{\prime}(t) d t \cdot \int_{m-0}^{M}\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle d t  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\left\langle\left[f(M) 1_{H}-f(A)\right] x, x\right\rangle-\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}\left\langle\left(M 1_{H}-A\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left[\frac{(M-m) f(M) 1_{H}-[f(M)-f(m)]\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)}{M-m}-f(A)\right] x, x\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left[\frac{f(m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)+f(M)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)}{M-m}-f(A)\right] x, x\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x \in H$.
From (3.12) we deduce the desired result (3.10).

From Theorem 6, we have for $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a monotonic nondecreasing function on $[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq D(g ; h)  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq 2 \cdot \frac{h_{-}^{\prime}(b)-h_{+}^{\prime}(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Since, by (2.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq D(g ; h)  \tag{3.18}\\
& =h(b)\left(g(b)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t\right)+h(a)\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t-g(a)\right) \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} h(t) d f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g(t) d t  \tag{3.19}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d\left[\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left.g(t)\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right|_{a} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2} d g(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[[g(b)-g(a)]\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2} d g(t)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left[\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] d g(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}(b-t)(t-a) d g(t),
\end{align*}
$$

then by (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq h(b)\left(g(b)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t\right)+h(a)\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t-g(a)\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& -\int_{a}^{b} h(t) d f(t) \\
& \leq \frac{h_{-}^{\prime}(b)-h_{+}^{\prime}(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}(b-t)(t-a) d g(t)
\end{align*}
$$

We can state the following result as well:
Theorem 12. Let $A$ be a bonded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $H$ and let $m=\min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}=: \min S p(A)$ and $M=\max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}$ $=: \max S p(A)$. Assume that the function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on the interior of $I$
denoted $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ and $[m, M] \subset \stackrel{\circ}{I}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{M-m}\left[f(M)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)+f(m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right]-f(A)\right.  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leq \frac{f_{-}^{\prime}(M)-f_{+}^{\prime}(m)}{M-m}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The proof follows by (3.20) by choosing $h=f$ and $g=\left\langle E_{t} x, x\right\rangle, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\left\{E_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the spectral family of $A$.

Consider the exponential function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $A$ be a bonded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $H$ and let $m=\min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}$ and $M=\max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in S p(A)\}$. Then by (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \exp (m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)  \tag{3.22}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{M-m}\left[\exp (M)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)+\exp (m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right]-\exp (A)\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp (M)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=-\ln t$ and $[m, M] \subset(0, \infty)$. Then by (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 M^{2}}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)  \tag{3.23}\\
& \leq \ln (A)-\frac{1}{M-m}\left[\ln (M)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)+\ln (m)\left(M 1_{H}-A\right]\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 m^{2}}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If we take the power function $f:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=t^{p}, p \geq 2$ and $[m, M] \subset[0, \infty)$ then by (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) m^{p-2}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{M-m}\left[M^{p}\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)+m^{p}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right]-A^{p}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) M^{p-2}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the convex function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=\left|t-\frac{m+M}{2}\right|$. Utilizing the inequality (3.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{M-m}{2}-\left|A-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{M-m}\left(M 1_{H}-A\right)\left(A-m 1_{H}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$
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