

**SOME INEQUALITIES OF JENSEN TYPE FOR HYPERBOLIC
 p -CONVEX FUNCTIONS**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish several Jensen type integral inequalities for hyperbolic p -convex functions. Some examples for power function and applications for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces are provided as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that I is an interval of real numbers with interior \mathring{I} and $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on I . Then Φ is continuous on \mathring{I} and has finite left and right derivatives at each point of \mathring{I} . Moreover, if $x, y \in \mathring{I}$ and $x < y$, then $\Phi'_-(x) \leq \Phi'_+(x) \leq \Phi'_-(y) \leq \Phi'_+(y)$ which shows that both Φ'_- and Φ'_+ are nondecreasing function on \mathring{I} . It is also known that a convex function must be differentiable except for at most countably many points.

For a convex function $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the subdifferential of Φ denoted by $\partial\Phi$ is the set of all functions $\varphi : I \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(\mathring{I}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(x) \geq \Phi(a) + (x - a)\varphi(a) \text{ for any } x, a \in I.$$

It is also well known that if Φ is convex on I , then $\partial\Phi$ is nonempty, $\Phi'_-, \Phi'_+ \in \partial\Phi$ and if $\varphi \in \partial\Phi$, then

$$\Phi'_-(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \Phi'_+(x) \text{ for any } x \in \mathring{I}.$$

In particular, φ is a nondecreasing function.

If Φ is differentiable and convex on \mathring{I} , then $\partial\Phi = \{\Phi'\}$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space consisting of a set Ω , a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of parts of Ω and a countably additive and positive measure μ on \mathcal{A} with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. For a μ -measurable function $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $w(x) \geq 0$ for μ -a.e. (almost every) $x \in \Omega$, consider the Lebesgue space

$$L_w(\Omega, \mu) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is } \mu\text{-measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |f(x)| w(x) d\mu(x) < \infty\}.$$

For simplicity of notation we write everywhere in the sequel $\int_{\Omega} w d\mu$ instead of $\int_{\Omega} w(x) d\mu(x)$.

In order to provide a reverse of the celebrated Jensen's integral inequality for convex functions, we obtained in 2002 [8] the following result:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 26D10, 26D07, 26A33, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Convex functions, Hyperbolic p -Convex Functions, Jensen inequalities, Integral inequalities, Hilbert spaces, Operator Inequalities.

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on (m, M) and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f, \Phi' \circ f, (\Phi' \circ f) f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. (almost everywhere) on Ω with $\int_{\Omega} w d\mu = 1$. Then we have the inequality:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu - \Phi \left(\int_{\Omega} f w d\mu \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\Phi' \circ f) f w d\mu - \int_{\Omega} (\Phi' \circ f) w d\mu \int_{\Omega} f w d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\Phi'_-(M) - \Phi'_-(m)] \int_{\Omega} \left| f - \int_{\Omega} f w d\mu \right| w d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following result which provides a general Fejér's type inequality [12] for the general Lebesgue integral [9]:

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω with $\int_{\Omega} w d\mu = 1$. Then we have the inequalities:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) w d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} + \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{M-m} \int_{\Omega} \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) w d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \in [\Phi'_-\left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right), \Phi'_+\left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right)]$.

In order to extend these results for hyperbolic p -convex functions, we need the following preparations.

Let I be a finite or infinite open interval of real numbers and $p \in \mathbb{R}, p \neq 0$.

In the following we present the basic definitions and results concerning the class of hyperbolic p -convex function, see [3]. For other concepts of modified convex functions see for example [15], [16], [4], [6], [7], [13], [17], [18] and [19].

We consider the hyperbolic functions of a real argument $x \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh x &:= \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} = \frac{e^{2x} - 1}{2e^x}, \quad \cosh x := \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} = \frac{e^{2x} + 1}{2e^x}, \\ \tanh x &:= \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} \quad \text{and} \quad \coth x := \frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that a function $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *hyperbolic p -convex* (or sub H -function, according with [3]) on I , if for any closed subinterval $[a, b]$ of I we have

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(x) \leq \frac{\sinh[p(b-x)]}{\sinh[p(b-a)]} \Phi(a) + \frac{\sinh[p(x-a)]}{\sinh[p(b-a)]} \Phi(b)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

If the inequality (1.4) holds with " \geq ", then the function will be called *hyperbolic p -concave* on I .

Geometrically speaking, this means that the graph of Φ on $[a, b]$ lies nowhere above the p -hyperbolic function determined by the equation

$$H(x) = H(x; a, b, \Phi) := A \cosh(px) + B \sinh(px)$$

where A and B are chosen such that $H(a) = \Phi(a)$ and $H(b) = \Phi(b)$.

If we take $x = (1 - t)a + tb \in [a, b]$, $t \in [0, 1]$, then the condition (1.4) becomes

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi((1 - t)a + tb) \leq \frac{\sinh[p(1 - t)(b - a)]}{\sinh[p(b - a)]} \Phi(a) + \frac{\sinh[pt(b - a)]}{\sinh[p(b - a)]} \Phi(b)$$

for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

We have the following properties of hyperbolic p -convex functions on I , [3].

- (i) A hyperbolic p -convex function $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has finite right and left derivatives $\Phi'_+(x)$ and $\Phi'_-(x)$ at every point $x \in I$ and $\Phi'_-(x) \leq \Phi'_+(x)$. The function Φ is differentiable on I with the exception of an at most countable set.
- (ii) A necessary and sufficient condition for the function $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be hyperbolic p -convex function on I is that it satisfies the *gradient inequality*

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi(y) \geq \Phi(x) \cosh[p(y - x)] + K_{x,\Phi} \sinh[p(y - x)]$$

for any $x, y \in I$ where $K_{x,\Phi} \in [\Phi'_-(x), \Phi'_+(x)]$. If Φ is differentiable at the point x then $K_{x,\Phi} = \Phi'(x)$.

- (iii) A necessary and sufficient condition for the function Φ to be a hyperbolic p -convex in I , is that the function

$$\varphi(x) = \Phi'(x) - p^2 \int_a^x \Phi(t) dt$$

is nondecreasing on I , where $a \in I$.

- (iv) Let $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a two times continuously differentiable function on I . Then Φ is hyperbolic p -convex on I if and only if for all $x \in I$ we have

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi''(x) - p^2 \Phi(x) \geq 0.$$

For other properties of hyperbolic p -convex functions, see [3].

Consider the function $\Phi_r : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\Phi_r(x) = x^r$ with $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. If $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ the function is convex and if $r \in (0, 1)$ it is concave. We have for $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$

$$\Phi_r''(x) - p^2 \Phi_r(x) = r(r - 1)x^{r-2} - p^2 x^r = p^2 x^{r-2} \left(\frac{r(r - 1)}{p^2} - x^2 \right), \quad x > 0.$$

We observe that $\Phi_r''(x) - p^2 \Phi_r(x) > 0$ for $x \in \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}\right)$ and $\Phi_r''(x) - p^2 \Phi_r(x) < 0$ for $x \in \left(\frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}, \infty\right)$, which shows that the power function Φ_r for $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ is hyperbolic p -convex on $\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}\right)$ and hyperbolic p -concave on $\left(\frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}, \infty\right)$.

If $r \in (0, 1)$, then $\Phi_r''(x) - p^2 \Phi_r(x) < 0$ for any $x > 0$, which shows that Φ_r is hyperbolic p -concave on $(0, \infty)$.

Consider the exponential function $\Phi_\alpha(x) = \exp(\alpha x)$ for $\alpha \neq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\Phi_\alpha''(x) - p^2 \Phi_\alpha(x) = \alpha^2 e^{\alpha x} - p^2 e^{\alpha x} = (\alpha^2 - p^2) e^{\alpha x}, \quad x > 0.$$

If $|\alpha| > |p|$, then Φ_α is hyperbolic p -convex on \mathbb{R} and if $|\alpha| < |p|$ then Φ_α is hyperbolic p -concave on \mathbb{R} .

In this paper we establish several Jensen type integral inequalities for hyperbolic p -convex functions. Some examples for power function and applications for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces are provided as well.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In the following we assume that $p \neq 0$ and m, M are real numbers such that $m < M$.

We have the following result:

Theorem 3. *Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, \Phi \circ (m + M - f), f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} w \cosh\left[p\left(f - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right] d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu + \int_{\Omega} \Phi \circ (m + M - f) w d\mu \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \cosh\left[p\left(f - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right] d\mu}{\cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.4) we have by replacing x with $m + M - x$ that

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(m + M - x) \leq \frac{\sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(m) + \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(M)$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

If we add (1.4) with (2.11) we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi(x) + \Phi(m + M - x) \\ & \leq \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(m) + \frac{\sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(M) \\ & + \frac{\sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(m) + \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(M) \\ & = \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)] + \sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(m) \\ & + \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)] + \sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \Phi(M) \\ & = \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)] + \sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} [\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Observe that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sinh[p(M - x)] + \sinh[p(x - m)]}{\sinh[p(M - m)]} \\ & = \frac{2 \sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right] \cosh\left[p\left(x - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right]}{2 \sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right] \cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} = \frac{\cosh\left[p\left(x - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right]}{\cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Using the equality (2.4) and dividing by 2 in (2.3) we get

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\Phi(x) + \Phi(m + M - x)] \leq \frac{\cosh \left[p \left(x - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right]}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \left[\frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \right]$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

From (1.5) for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ and $m = u$, $M = v$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(\frac{u+v}{2} \right) &\leq \frac{\sinh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]}{\sinh [p(v-u)]} \Phi(u) + \frac{\sinh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]}{\sinh [p(v-u)]} \Phi(v) \\ &= \frac{\sinh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]}{\sinh [p(v-u)]} [\Phi(u) + \Phi(v)] \\ &= \frac{\sinh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]}{2 \sinh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right] \cosh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]} [\Phi(u) + \Phi(v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\cosh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right]} \frac{\Phi(u) + \Phi(v)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi \left(\frac{u+v}{2} \right) \cosh \left[p \left(\frac{v-u}{2} \right) \right] \leq \frac{\Phi(u) + \Phi(v)}{2}$$

for any $u, v \in I$.

Now, if in (2.6) we take $v = x$ and $u = m + M - x$, then we get

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \cosh \left[p \left(x - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} [\Phi(x) + \Phi(m + M - x)]$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

By taking $x = f(s)$, $s \in \Omega$ in (2.5) and (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \cosh \left[p \left(f(s) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\Phi(f(s)) + \Phi(m + M - f(s))] \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\cosh \left[p \left(f(s) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right]}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \in \Omega$.

By multiplying (2.8) with $w(s) \geq 0$ and integrate on Ω , we get the desired result (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f$, $\Phi \circ (m + M - f)$, $f \in L_w[a, b]$, where $w \geq 0$*

μ -a.e. on $[a, b]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \Phi\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \int_a^b w(t) \cosh\left[p\left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right] dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_a^b \Phi(f(t)) w(t) dt + \int_a^b \Phi(m+M-f(t)) w(t) dt \right] \\
& \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M) \int_a^b w(t) \cosh\left[p\left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2}\right)\right] dt}{2 \cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the Jensen's type inequality:

Theorem 4. Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω . Assume that

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{f}_{p,w} := \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{artanh}\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu}\right) \in [m, M],$$

then we have:

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh[p(f - \bar{f}_{p,w})] w d\mu} \geq \Phi(\bar{f}_{p,w}).$$

Proof. By the gradient inequality (1.6) we have

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi(y) \geq \Phi(\bar{f}_{p,w}) \cosh[p(y - \bar{f}_{p,w})] + K_{\bar{f}_{p,w}, \Phi} \sinh[p(y - \bar{f}_{p,w})]$$

for any $y \in [m, M]$.

If we replace y with $f(s) \in [m, M]$, multiply by $w(s) \geq 0$, with $s \in \Omega$ and integrate on Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & \int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu \geq \Phi(\bar{f}_{p,w}) \int_{\Omega} \cosh[p(f - \bar{f}_{p,w})] w d\mu \\
& \quad + K_{\bar{f}_{p,w}, \Phi} \int_{\Omega} \sinh[p(f - \bar{f}_{p,w})] w d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We have, by using the definition of $\bar{f}_{p,w}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sinh[p(f - \bar{f}_{p,w})] w d\mu \\
& = \int_{\Omega} [\sinh(pf) \cosh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) - \sinh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \cosh(pf)] w d\mu \\
& = \cosh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu - \sinh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu \\
& = \cosh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu} - \tanh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \right] \\
& = \cosh(p\bar{f}_{p,w}) \int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu} \right] \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and by using the inequality (2.13) we deduce the desired result (2.11). \square

The case of functions of a real variable is as follows:

Corollary 2. Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f \in L_w[a, b]$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on $[a, b]$. Assume that

$$(2.14) \quad \bar{f}_{p,w} := \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{\int_a^b \sinh(p f(t)) w(t) dt}{\int_a^b \cosh(p f(t)) w(t) dt} \right) \in [m, M],$$

then we have:

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\int_a^b \Phi(f(t)) w(t) dt}{\int_a^b \cosh[p(f(t) - \bar{f}_{p,w})] w(t) dt} \geq \Phi(\bar{f}_{p,w}).$$

We have the reverse of Jensen's inequality:

Theorem 5. Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f, f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω . Then

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \cosh[p(f - \frac{m+M}{2})] d\mu}{\cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} + \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \sinh[p(f - \frac{m+M}{2})] d\mu}{\sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sinh[p(M-x)] \Phi(m) + \sinh[p(x-m)] \Phi(M)}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \\ & - \frac{\sinh[p(M-x)] + \sinh[p(x-m)]}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \\ & = \frac{\sinh[p(M-x)]}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \left(\Phi(m) - \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \right) \\ & + \frac{\sinh[p(x-m)]}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \left(\Phi(M) - \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \right) \\ & = \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \left[\frac{\sinh[p(x-m)] - \sinh[p(M-x)]}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \right] \\ & = \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{2 \sinh[p(x - \frac{m+M}{2})] \cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]}{2 \sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right] \cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} \\ & = \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{\sinh[p(x - \frac{m+M}{2})]}{\sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sinh[p(M-x)] + \sinh[p(x-m)]}{\sinh[p(M-m)]} \\ & = \frac{2 \sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right] \cosh[p(x - \frac{m+M}{2})]}{2 \sinh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right] \cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} = \frac{\cosh[p(x - \frac{m+M}{2})]}{\cosh\left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2}\right]} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad & \frac{\sinh [p(M-x)] \Phi(m) + \sinh [p(x-m)] \Phi(M)}{\sinh [p(M-m)]} \\
&= \frac{\cosh \left[p \left(x - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \frac{2}{2} \\
&+ \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{\sinh \left[p \left(x - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right]}{\sinh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Now, let $s \in \Omega$ and by using the identity (2.17) for $x = f(s)$ we have, by multiplying with $w(s) \geq 0$ and integrating, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & \frac{\Phi(m) \int_{\Omega} w \sinh [p(M-f)] d\mu + \Phi(M) \int_{\Omega} w \sinh [p(f-m)] d\mu}{\sinh [p(M-m)]} \\
&= \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \cosh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \\
&+ \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \sinh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu}{\sinh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition (1.4) we have

$$(2.19) \quad \Phi(f(s)) \leq \frac{\sinh [p(M-f(s))]}{\sinh [p(M-m)]} \Phi(m) + \frac{\sinh [p(f(s)-m)]}{\sinh [p(M-m)]} \Phi(M)$$

for any $s \in \Omega$.

If we multiply this inequality by $w(s) \geq 0$ and integrate, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (\Phi \circ f) w d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{\Phi(m) \int_{\Omega} w \sinh [p(M-f)] d\mu + \Phi(M) \int_{\Omega} w \sinh [p(f-m)] d\mu}{\sinh [p(M-m)]}
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.18) we deduce the desired result (2.16) \square

The case of functions of a real variable is as follows:

Corollary 3. *Let $\Phi : [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on $[m, M]$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that $\Phi \circ f$, $f \in L_w[a, b]$, where $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad & \int_a^b \Phi(f(t)) w(t) dt \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\int_a^b w(t) \cosh \left[p \left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] dt}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \\
&+ \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \frac{\int_a^b w(t) \sinh \left[p \left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] dt}{\sinh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. APPLICATIONS FOR SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be selfadjoint and let φ_λ be defined for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\varphi_\lambda(s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } -\infty < s \leq \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{for } \lambda < s < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator

$$(3.1) \quad E_\lambda := \varphi_\lambda(A)$$

is a projection which reduces A .

The properties of these projections are collected in the following fundamental result concerning the spectral representation of bounded selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, see for instance [14, p. 256]:

Theorem 6 (Spectral Representation Theorem). *Let A be a bounded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and let $a = \min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A)\} =: \min Sp(A)$ and $b = \max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A)\} =: \max Sp(A)$. Then there exists a family of projections $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, called the spectral family of A , with the following properties*

- a) $E_\lambda \leq E_{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda'$;
- b) $E_{a-0} = 0, E_b = I$ and $E_{\lambda+0} = E_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
- c) We have the representation

$$A = \int_{a-0}^b \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

More generally, for every continuous complex-valued function φ defined on \mathbb{R} there exists a unique operator $\varphi(A) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the inequality

$$\left\| \varphi(A) - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(\lambda'_k) [E_{\lambda_k} - E_{\lambda_{k-1}}] \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0 < a = \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n = b, \\ \lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} \leq \delta \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \lambda'_k \in [\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k] \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

this means that

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(A) = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) dE_\lambda,$$

where the integral is of Riemann-Stieltjes type.

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6 for A, E_λ and φ we have the representations*

$$\varphi(A)x = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) dE_\lambda x \text{ for all } x \in H$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) d \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

Theorem 7. Let A be a bounded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and let $a = \min \{ \lambda | \lambda \in Sp(A) \} =: \min Sp(A)$ and $b = \max \{ \lambda | \lambda \in Sp(A) \} =: \max Sp(A)$. Let $\Phi : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a hyperbolic p -convex function on J , $f : I \rightarrow J$, $w : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous functions and such that $[a, b] \subset I$ and $f([a, b]) \subset [m, M] \subset J$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) w(A) \cosh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [\Phi(f(A)) + \Phi(m+M-f(A))] w(A) \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \operatorname{sech} \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right] w(A) \cosh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi(f(A)) w(A) \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \operatorname{sech} \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right] w(A) \cosh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{\Phi(M) - \Phi(m)}{2} \operatorname{csch} \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right] w(A) \sinh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Proof. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, since Φ is continuous an $\langle E_t x, x \rangle$ (with $x \in H$) is of bounded variation on any closed interval, the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals exists in the following inequalities obtained from (2.1)

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \int_{a-\varepsilon}^b w(t) \cosh \left[p \left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d \langle E_t x, x \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{a-\varepsilon}^b \Phi(f(t)) w(t) d \langle E_t x, x \rangle + \int_{a-\varepsilon}^b \Phi(m+M-f(t)) w(t) d \langle E_t x, x \rangle \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\int_{a-\varepsilon}^b w(t) \cosh \left[p \left(f(t) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d \langle E_t x, x \rangle}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$.

By taking the limit over $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ in (3.6) and utilising Corollary 4, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \left\langle w(A) \cosh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [\langle \Phi(f(A)) w(A) x, x \rangle + \langle \Phi(m+M-f(A)) w(A) x, x \rangle] \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(m) + \Phi(M)}{2} \frac{\langle w(A) \cosh \left[p \left(f(A) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] x, x \rangle}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$, which is equivalent to the desired operator inequality (3.4).

The inequality (3.5) follows in a similar way from the inequality (2.16). \square

The following result also holds:

Theorem 8. *With the assumptions of Theorem 7 and if*

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{f}_{p,w,A,x} := \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{\langle \sinh(pf(A)) w(A)x, x \rangle}{\langle \cosh(pf(A)) w(A)x, x \rangle} \right) \in [m, M],$$

for $x \in H$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\langle \Phi(f(A)) w(A)x, x \rangle}{\langle w(A) \cosh[p(f(A) - \bar{f}_{p,w,A,x} 1_H)] x, x \rangle} \geq \Phi(\bar{f}_{p,w,A,x}).$$

The proof follows by the integral inequality (2.11) in a similar manner to the one from Theorem 7 and we omit the details.

4. EXAMPLES FOR POWER FUNCTION

Consider the function $\Phi_r : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\Phi_r(x) = x^r$ with $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. If $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$, then Φ_r is hyperbolic p -convex on $\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}\right)$ and hyperbolic p -concave on $\left(\frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}, \infty\right)$. If $r \in (0, 1)$, then Φ_r is hyperbolic p -concave on $(0, \infty)$, see the corresponding calculations from Introduction.

Assume that $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ and $[m, M] \subset \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}\right)$, then by (2.1) we get

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^r \int_{\Omega} w \cosh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} f^r w d\mu + \int_{\Omega} (m+M-f)^r w d\mu \right] \\ & \leq \frac{m^r + M^r}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \cosh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f : \Omega \rightarrow [m, M]$ so that f^r , $(m+M-f)^r$, $f \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$, and $w \geq 0$ μ -a.e. on Ω .

Under these assumptions, by making use of (2.15) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\int_{\Omega} f^r w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh \left[p \left(f - \bar{f}_{p,w} \right) \right] w d\mu} \geq \bar{f}_{p,w}^r,$$

provided $\bar{f}_{p,w} := \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sinh(pf) w d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \cosh(pf) w d\mu} \right) \in [m, M]$.

Finally, by utilising (2.16), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^r w d\mu & \leq \frac{m^r + M^r}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \cosh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu}{\cosh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]} \\ & \quad + \frac{M^r - m^r}{2} \frac{\int_{\Omega} w \sinh \left[p \left(f - \frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] d\mu}{\sinh \left[\frac{p(M-m)}{2} \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ and $[m, M] \subset \left(\frac{\sqrt{r(r-1)}}{|p|}, \infty\right)$ or $r \in (0, 1)$ and $[m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$, then the sign of inequality reverses in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. S. Ali, On certain properties of trigonometrically ρ -convex functions, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, 2012, 2, 337-340 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/apm.2012.25047>.
- [2] M. S. S. Ali, On Hadamard's inequality for trigonometrically ρ -convex functions," accepted to appear in *Theoretical Mathematics & Applications.*, March, 2013.
- [3] M. S. S. Ali, On certain properties for two classes of generalized convex functions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* Volume **2016**, Article ID 4652038, 7 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/4652038>.
- [4] E. F. Beckenbach, Convex functions, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. **54**, No. 5, 1948, pp. 439-460. doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1948-08994-7
- [5] M. Bessenyei, Hermite–Hadamard-type inequalities for generalized convex functions, *PhD Thesis*, Univ. Debrecen, Hungary, 2004. RGMIA Monographs, [<http://rgmia.org/papers/monographs/dissertatio.pdf>]
- [6] F. F. Bonsall, The characterization of generalized convex functions, *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics Oxford Series*, Vol. **1**, 1950, pp. 100-111. doi:10.1093/qmath/1.1.100
- [7] A. M. Bruckner and E. Ostrow, Some functions classes related to the class of convex functions," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. **12**, 1962, pp. 1203-1215.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type inequality for isotonic linear functionals and applications. *Demonstratio Math.* **36** (2003), no. 3, 551–562. Preprint RGMIA *Res. Rep. Coll.* **5** (2002), Supplement, Art. 12. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v5e/GTIILFApp.pdf>].
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Integral inequalities for convex functions and applications for divergence measures. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **17** (2016), no. 1, 151–169. DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2016.1794. Preprint RGMIA *Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 18. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a10.pdf>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Ostrowski type inequalities for Lebesgue integral: a survey of recent results, *Australian J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Volume **14**, Issue 1, Article 1, pp. 1-287, 2017. [Online <http://ajmaa.org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=v14n1/V14I1P1.tex>].
- [11] S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, 2000. [Online http://rgmia.org/monographs/hermite_hadamard.html].
- [12] L. Fejér, Über die Fourierreihen, II, *Math. Naturwiss. Anz Ungar. Akad. Wiss.* **24** (1906), 369-390. (In Hungarian).
- [13] J. W. Green, Support, Convergence, and Differentiability Properties of Generalized Convex Functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. **4**, No. 3, 1953, pp. 391-396. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1953-0056039-2
- [14] G. Helmsberg, *Introduction to Spectral Theory in Hilbert Space*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.-New York, 1969.
- [15] B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [16] L. S. Maergoiz, *Asymptotic Characteristics of Entire Functions and Their Applications in Mathematics and Biophysics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2003.
- [17] M. J. Miles, An extremum property of convex functions, *American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. **76**, 1969, pp. 921-922. doi:10.2307/2317948
- [18] M. M. Peixoto, On the existence of derivatives of generalized convex functions, *Summa Brasilian Mathematics*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 1948, pp. 35-42.
- [19] M. M. Peixoto, Generalized convex functions and second order differential inequities, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. **55**, No. 6, 1949, pp. 563- 572. doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1949-09246-7
- [20] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE, IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA