## EXPONENTIAL STABILITY AND BOUNDED CONVOLUTIONS

### Dorel Barbu, Constantin Buşe, Sever S. Dragomir

## Abstract

We consider a mild solution  $u_f$  of a well-posed inhomogeneous, Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t), \quad u(0) = 0$$

on a Banach space X, where  $A(\cdot)$  is periodic. We prove that if for every almost periodic X-valued functions f, with f(0) = 0, the solution  $u_f$ is almost periodic, then the solution of the well-posed Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t), \quad u(0) = x \in X,$$

is uniformly exponentially stable.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47D06, 34G10 Keywords and phrases: evolution semigroup, exponential stability, periodic evolution families, almost periodic function.

# 1. Introduction

Let X be a complex Banach space and  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  the space of all bounded and linear operators on X. We denote by  $||\cdot||$  the norms of vectors and operators on X. Let  $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  the Banach space of all X-valued bounded and uniformly continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  endowed with sup-norm and  $AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ the space of almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr, i.e. the linear closed hull in  $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  of the set of all functions

$$\{e^{i\mu \cdot}x:\ \mu\in\mathbf{R},\ x\in X\}.$$

Let  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  the set of all functions  $f \in AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  such that f(0) = 0. It is clear that  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  is a closed subspace of  $AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  or of  $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ . We recall that a strongly continuous semigroup on X is a family  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  of bounded linear operators acting on the Banach space X which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) for all  $t, s \in \mathbf{R}_+ := [0, \infty);$
- (ii) T(0) = Id, Id is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ;
- (iii) the function  $t \mapsto T(t)x : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  for all  $x \in X$  (or, equivalently this function is continuous in t = 0).

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup on X and A it's infinitesimal generator. It is well known that in this case the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \quad x(0) = x \in X \tag{1.1}$$

is well-posed and the mild solution of (1.1) is defined by

$$x(t) = T(t)x \quad (t \ge 0)$$

For a locally integrable function  $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$ , a mild solution of the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad u(0) = 0$$

is defined by

$$u_f(t) = \int_0^t T(t-\xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \quad t \ge 0.$$

For a well-posed Cauchy problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad x(0) = x \in X$$
 (1.2)

with (unbounded) linear operators A(t) the solution lead to an evolution family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t,s): t \ge s \ge 0\}$  in the space  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , that is

- (e<sub>1</sub>)  $U(t,t) = Id, U(t,\tau)U(\tau,s) = U(t,s) \text{ for } t \ge \tau \ge s \ge 0;$
- (e<sub>2</sub>) the map  $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$  is continuous for every  $x \in X$ .

When the Cauchy problem (1.2) is periodic, i.e. there exists q > 0 such that A(t+q) = A(t) for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , the corresponding evolution family  $\mathcal{U}$  on X has exponential growth, i.e. there exist  $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$  and M > 0 such that

$$||U(t,s)|| \le M e^{\omega(t-s)} \quad \forall t \ge s \ge 0, \tag{1.3}$$

see [BP, Lemma 4.1] or [DK, Theorem 6.6]. We recall that an evolution family  $\mathcal{U}$ , as above, is called uniformly exponentially stable if there are  $\omega < 0$  and M > 0 such that (1.3) holds. For a locally integrable function  $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$  a mild solution of the well-posed inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t), \quad (t \ge 0), \quad u(0) = 0$$

is defined by

$$u_f(t) = \int_0^t U(t,\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (t \ge 0).$$

We shall prove the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup on X. The following statements are equivalent:

• (1) T is uniformly exponentially stable, i.e. it's growth bound

$$\omega_0(\mathbf{T}) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln ||T(t)|}{t}$$

is negative;

- (2) the function  $t \mapsto \int_0^t T(\xi) f(t-\xi) d\xi$  :  $\mathbf{R}_+ \to X$  belongs to  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  for all  $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ ;
- (3)  $\sup_{t\geq 0} ||\int_0^t T(\xi)f(t-\xi)d\xi|| = M_f < \infty, \ \forall \ f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X).$

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t,s) : t \ge s \ge 0\}$  be a q-periodic evolution family on X. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) U is uniformly exponentially stable;
- (ii) the function  $t \mapsto u_f(t) = \int_0^t U(t,\xi) f(\xi) d\xi$ :  $\mathbf{R}_+ \to X$  belongs to  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  for all  $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ ;
- (iii)  $\sup_{t>0} || \int_0^t U(t,\xi) f(\xi) d\xi || = K_f < \infty$ , for all  $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ .

### 2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of implications  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$  and  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  are obvious and we omit the details. The proof of  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  is based on the following result which has been proved in [BDL, Proposition 4], see also [VS, Corollary 4.5 and its Reformulation] for a related result:

If  $\sup_{t>0} || \int_0^t e^{-i\mu\xi} T(t-\xi)g(\xi)d\xi || < \infty$  for every  $g \in P_q^0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  and some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  then T(q) is power bounded and  $e^{i\mu} \in \rho(T(q))$ . Here  $P_q^0(\mathcal{R}_+, X)$ is the set of all X-valued continuous functions such that f(t+q) = f(t) for any  $t \ge 0$  and f(0) = 0.

Now we prove that (1) implies (2). Let  $\mathcal{T} = {\{\mathcal{T}^t\}_{t\geq 0}}$  the evolution semigroup associated of **T** on the space  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ , i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{T}^t f)(s) = \begin{cases} T(t)f(s-t), & s \ge t \\ 0, & 0 \le s \le t \end{cases}$$

for every  $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{T}^t$  acts on  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ for all  $t \geq 0$  and, in addition,  $\mathcal{T}$  is strongly continuous, see [NM, Lemma 2]. Let (G, D(G)) the infinitesimal generator of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $u, f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ . As in [MRS, Lemma 1.1] it is can be proves that  $u \in D(G)$  and Gu = -fif and only if  $u = u_f$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{T}$  is uniformly exponentially stable then the growth bound of  $\mathcal{T}$  is negative, hence G is invertible. It follows that  $u_f \in D(G) \subset AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  and the proof of Theorem 1 is finished.

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of  $(\mathbf{iii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{i})$  follows from the fact that if  $u_{e^{-i\mu \cdot g(\cdot)}}$  is bounded for every  $g \in P_q^0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  and some  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$  then the monodromy operator V := U(q, 0) is power bounded and  $e^{i\mu} \in \rho(V)$ , see [B, Proof of Theorem 4]. Here  $\rho(V)$  is the resolvent set of V. The proofs of  $(\mathbf{i}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{iii})$  and  $(\mathbf{ii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{iii})$  are obvious and the proof of  $(\mathbf{i}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{ii})$  follows along the lines of  $(\mathbf{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{2})$  from Theorem 1. Another proof for the implication  $(\mathbf{ii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{i})$  we will give here. This proof is based on a method indicated in [CLMR, Theorem 2.5]. Let  $h : \mathbf{R} \to AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ , (G, D(G))the infinitesimal generator of the evolutionary semigroup E associated to  $\mathcal{U}$ on  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ , and

$$[(\tilde{G}h)(\theta)](t) := [Gh(\theta)](t) = \int_{0}^{t} U(t, t-\xi)(h(\theta))(t-\xi)d\xi, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}, t \ge 0.$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$\theta \mapsto \int_{0} U(\cdot, \cdot -\xi) h(\theta)(\cdot -\xi) d\xi$$
 belongs to  $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ 

for all  $h \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ , i.e.  $\tilde{G}$  is a linear operator on  $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ . Moreover  $\tilde{G}$  is bounded on  $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} ||\tilde{G}h||_{AP(\mathbf{R},AP_0(\mathbf{R},X))} &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} ||Gh(\theta)||_{AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X)} \\ &\leq ||G||_{\mathcal{L}(AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X))} ||h||_{AP(\mathbf{R},AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X))}. \end{aligned}$$

For the isometry J defined on the space  $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$  by

$$[(Jh)(\theta)](t) = (h(\theta + t))(t),$$

we have

$$[(J^{-1}\tilde{G}Jh)(\theta)](t) = \int_{0}^{t} U(t,t-\xi)(h(\theta-\xi))(t-\xi)d\xi$$

Let  $E = \{E^t\}_{t \ge 0}$  be the evolution semigroup on  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ , defined by

$$(E^t f)(\xi) = \begin{cases} U(t, t - \xi)f(t - \xi), & t \ge \xi\\ 0, & 0 \le t \le \xi \end{cases}$$

and

$$(G_*h)(\theta) := \int_0^\infty E^\tau h(\theta - \tau) d\tau \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}, \quad h \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)).$$

A simple calculus show that  $G_* = J^{-1}\tilde{G}J$ , therefore  $G_*$  is a bounded operator on  $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ . Each function  $g_+ \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$  can be extended to a function  $g \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$  by setting

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} g_+(\theta), & \text{if } \theta \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \theta < 0 \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $G_*g \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ . Consider the function  $f_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \to AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ , defined by

$$f_{+}(r) = \int_{0}^{r} E^{\tau} g_{+}(r-\tau) d\tau \quad (r \ge 0).$$

It is easy to see that for all  $t \ge 0$ , we have

$$[f_+(\theta)](t) = \int_0^{\min(\theta,t)} U(t,t-\tau)(g_+(\theta-\tau))(t-\tau)d\tau, \quad \theta \ge 0,$$

and

$$[(G_*g)(\theta)](t) = \begin{cases} (f_+(\theta))(t), & \text{if } \theta \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \theta < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $G_*g|_{\mathbf{R}_+} = f_+$  belongs to  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ . From Theorem 1 ((3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)) with **T** replaced by *E* and *X* replaced by  $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$  it results that *E* is uniformly exponentially stable. Now is easy to see that  $\mathcal{U}$  is uniformly exponentially stable, cf. [CLMR, Theorem 2.2].

# References

- [B] C. Buşe, Exponential Stability for Periodic Evolution Families of Bounded Linear Operators, submitted.
- [BP] C. Buşe and A. Pogan, Individual Exponential Stability for Evolution Families of Linear and Bounded Operators, submitted.
- [BDL] C. Buşe, S. S. Dragomir and V. Lupulescu, *Characterizations of stability for strongly continuous semigroups by boundedness of its convolution with almost periodic function*, submitted.
- [CLMR] S. Clark, Y. Latushkin, S. Montgomery-Smith and T. Randolph, Stability radius and internal versus external stability in Banach spaces: an evolution semigroup approach, Tübinger Berichte zur Funktionalanalysis, 7(1998), 72-102.
- [DK] D. Daners, P. Koch Medina, Abstract Evolution Equations, Periodic Problems and Applications, Pitman Research Notes, 1992.

- [MRS] N. V. Minh, F. Räbiger and R. Schnaubelt, Exponential stability, exponential expansiveness and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half line, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 32(1998), 332-353.
- [NM] T. Naito and Nguyen Van Minh, Evolution semigroups and spectral criteria for almost periodic solutions of periodic evolution equations, J. Differential Equations 152(1999), 358-376.
- [VS] Vũ Quốc Phóng and E. Schüler, The operator equation AX XB = C, admisibility and asymptotic behaviour of differential equations, J. Diff. Equations, 145 (1998), 394-419.

D. Barbu and C. Buşe West University of Timişoara, Bd. V. Parvan 4, 1900 Timişoara, România E-mail buse@hilbert.math.uvt.ro

S. S. Dragomir Victoria University of Technology P.O. Box 14428, MCMC Melbourne, Victoria 8001, Australia E-mail sever@matilda.vu.edu.au