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#### Abstract

In this paper, the value distribution of $\varphi(z) f^{n-1}(z) f^{(k)}(z)$ is studied, where $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function, $\varphi(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ is a function such that $T(r, \varphi)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty, n$ and $k$ are positive integers such that $n=1$ or $n \geq k+3$. This generalizes a result of Hiong.


## 1. Introduction and the main result

In 1940, Milloux [5] showed that
Theorem A. Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k$ be a positive integer. Further, let

$$
\phi(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i}(z) f^{(i)}(z)
$$

where $a_{i}(z)(i=0,1, \ldots, k)$ are small functions of $f(z)$. Then we have

$$
m\left(r, \frac{\phi}{f}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

and

$$
T(r, \phi) \leq(k+1) T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
From this, it is easily for us to derive the following inequality which states a relationship between $T(r, f)$ and the 1-point of the derivatives of $f$. For the proof, please see [4], [7] or [8],

Theorem B. Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \\
& -N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
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In fact, the above estimate involves the consideration of the zeros and poles of $f(z)$. Then a natural question is: Is it possible to use only the counting functions of the zeros of $f(z)$ and an $a$-point of $f^{(k)}(z)$ to estimate the function $T(r, f)$ ? Hiong proved that the answer to this question is yes. Actually, Hiong [6] obtained the following inequality

Theorem C. Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function. Further, let $a, b$ and $c$ be three finit complex numbers such that $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$ and $b \neq c$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & <N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-b}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) \\
& -N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
Following this idea, a natural question to Theorem C is: Can we extend the three complex numbers to small functions of $f(z)$ ? In [9], by studying the zeros of the function $f(z) f^{\prime}(z)-c(z)$, where $c(z)$ is a small function of $f(z)$, the author generalized the above inequality under an extra condition on the derivatives of $f^{(k)}(z)$. In fact, we have

Theorem D. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function and that $\varphi(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ is a meromorphic function such that $T(r, \varphi)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Then for any finite non-zero distinct complex numbers $b$ and $c$ and any positive integer $k$ such that $\varphi(z) f^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv$ constant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & <N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{(k)}-b}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{(k)}-c}\right) \\
& -N(r, f)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\varphi f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
In this paper, we are going to show that Theorem D is still valid for all positive integers $k$. As a result, this generalizes Theorem C to small functions completely. More generally, we show that Theorem. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function and that $\varphi(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ is a meromorphic function such that $T(r, \varphi)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Suppose further that $b$ and $c$ are any finite non-zero distinct complex numbers, and $k$ and $n$ are positive integers. If $n=1$ or $n \geq k+3$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) & <N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\frac{1}{n}\left[N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-b}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-c}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{n}\left[N(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}}\right)\right]+S(r, f) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.

If $f(z)$ is entire, then (1) is true for all positive integers $n(\neq 2)$.
As an immedicate application of our theorem, we have
Corollary 1. If we take $n=1$ in the theorem, then we have Theorem D.
Corollary 2. If we take $n=1, \varphi(z) \equiv 1$ and $f(z)=g(z)-a$, where $a$ is any complex number, then we obtain Theorem C.

Remark 1. We shall remark that our main theorem and corollaries are also valid if $f(z)$ is rational since $\varphi(z) \equiv$ constant and $\varphi(z) f^{n-1}(z) f^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv$ constant in this case.

Here, we assume that the readers are familiar with the basic concepts of the Nevanlinna value distribution theory and the notations $m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), T(r, f), S(r, f)$ and etc., see e.g. [1].

## 2. Lemmae

For the proof of the main result, we need the following three lemmae.
Lemma 1. [3] If $F(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function and $K>1$, then there exists a set $M(K)$ of upper logarithmic density at most

$$
\delta(K)=\min \left\{\left(2 e^{K-1}-1\right)^{-1},(1+e(K-1)) \exp (e(1-K))\right\}
$$

such that for every positive integer $q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin M(K)} \frac{T(r, F)}{T\left(r, F^{(q)}\right)} \leq 3 e K \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F(z)$ is entire, then we can replace $3 e K$ by $2 e K$ in (2).
Lemma 2. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function and that $\varphi(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ is a meromorphic function such that $T(r, \varphi)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Suppose further that $k$ and $n$ are positive integers. If $n=1$ or $n \geq k+3$, then $\varphi(z) f^{n-1}(z) f^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv$ constant.
Proof: Without loss of generality, we suppose that the constant is 1 . If $n=1$, then $\varphi f^{(k)} \equiv 1$. Hence, $T(r, \varphi)=T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$ and this implies that

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin M(K)} \frac{T(r, f)}{T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}=\infty .
$$

This contradicts Lemma (1).
If $n \geq k+3$, then $T\left(r, \varphi f^{(k)}\right)=(n-1) T(r, f)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1) T(r, f) \leq T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \leq(k+1) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. By (3) and (4), we have $n \leq k+2$, a contradiction.
Hence, we have $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not \equiv$ constant in both cases and the lemma is proven.
Lemma 3. If $f(z)$ is entire, then $\varphi(z) f^{n-1}(z) f^{(k)}(z) \not \equiv$ constant for all positive integers $n(\neq 2)$ and $k$.

Proof: For the case $n=1$, we still have $T(r, \varphi)=T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, so a contradiction to Lemma (1) again.

For $n \geq 3$, instead of (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \leq T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
So by (3) and (5), we have $n \leq 2$, a contradiction.

## 3. Proof of the main result

Proof: First of all, by the given conditions and Lemma 2, we know that $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not \equiv$ constant for $n \geq 1$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n}}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right)+m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+O(1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From

$$
\begin{gathered}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n}}\right)=T\left(r, \varphi f^{n}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n}}\right)+O(1), \\
m\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right)=T\left(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right)+O(1),
\end{gathered}
$$

and (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, \varphi f^{n}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n}}\right)+T\left(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right) \\
& +m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+O(1) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\varphi(z) f^{n-1}(z) f^{(k)} \not \equiv$ constant, from the second fundamental theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right) & <N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-b}\right)+ \\
& N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{1}(r)+S\left(r, \varphi f^{(k)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, where $b$ and $c$ are two non-zero distinct complex numbers and, as usual, $N_{1}(r)$ is defined as

$$
N_{1}(r)=2 N\left(r, \varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)-N\left(r,\left(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Let $z_{0}$ be a pole of order $p \geq 1$ of $f$. Then $f^{n-1} f^{(k)}$ and $\left(f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}$ have a pole of order $k+n p$ and $k+n p+1$ at $z_{0}$ respectively. Thus $2(k+n p)-(k+n p+1)=k+n p-1 \geq p$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}(r) \geq N(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $S\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)=S(r, f)$ and $m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$. Thus by (7), (8) and (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, \varphi f^{n}\right) & <N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-b}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-c}\right) \\
& -N(r, f)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Since $T(r, \varphi)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, we have the desired result.
If $f$ is entire, then by Lemma (??), we still have $\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)} \not \equiv$ constant for all positive integers $n(\neq 2),(8)$ and (9). Thus the same arguement can be applied and the same result is obtained.

## 4. Concluding remarks and a conjecture

Remark 2. We expect that our theorem is also valid for the case $n=2$ if $f(z)$ is entire.
Remark 3. In [10], Zhang studied the value distribution of $\varphi(z) f(z) f^{\prime}(z)$ and he obtained the following result: If $f(z)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function and $\varphi(z)$ is a non-zero meromorphic function such that $T(r, \varphi)=S(r, f)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, then

$$
T(r, f)<\frac{9}{2} \bar{N}(r, f)+\frac{9}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f f^{\prime}-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
Hence, by this remark, we expect the following conjecture would be true.
Conjecture. Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers. If $n=1$ or $n \geq k+3, f(z)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function and $\varphi(z)$ is a non-zero meromorphic function such that $T(r, \varphi)=S(r, f)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, then

$$
T(r, f)<\frac{9}{2} \bar{N}(r, f)+\frac{9}{2} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi f^{n-1} f^{(k)}-1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.
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