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#### Abstract

Some Ostrowski type inequalities for vector-valued functions are obtained. Applications for operatorial inequalities and numerical approximation for the solutions of certain differential equations in Banach spaces are also given.


## 1. Introduction

The concepts of Riemann and Lebesgue integrability are well known for a scalarvalued function $F:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of real or complex numbers and $-\infty<a<b<\infty$. It is known, for example, that if $F$ is an absolutely continuous function, then it is differentiable almost everywhere and its derivative function $f:=F^{\prime}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function. Moreover, in this case, the following fundamental formula of calculus, holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=F(a)+(L) \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s, \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(L) \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s$ is Lebesgue's integral. If we replace $\mathbb{K}$ with a real or complex linear space $X$, that is, if $F$ is a vector-valued function, then the above result will not hold. More precisely, if $X$ is a Banach space, then the concept of Lebesgue integrability can be replaced with the concept of Bochner integrability (see for example [3], [11], [2]). However, there exist $X$-valued functions defined on $[a, b]$ which are absolutely continuous, and the set of points $t \in[a, b]$ for which $f$ is not differentiable with respect to $t$, is of non-null Lebesgue measure.

A Banach space $X$ with the property that every absolutely continuous $X$-valued function is almost everywhere differentiable is said to be a Radon-Nikodym space [5, pp. 217-219] or [11, 2]. For example, every reflexive Banach space (in particular, every Hilbert space) is a Radon-Nikodym space, but the space $L_{\infty}[0,1]$ of all $\mathbb{K}$-valued, essentially bounded functions defined on the interval $[0,1]$, endowed with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{\infty}:=e s s \sup _{t \in[0,1]}|g(t)|
$$

is a Banach space which is not a Radon-Nikodym space.
However, if $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ (where $X$ is an arbitrary Banach space) is a Bochner integrable function on $[a, b]$, then the function

$$
t \mapsto F(t):=(B) \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s:[a, b] \rightarrow X
$$

[^0]is differentiable almost everywhere on $[a, b]$, i.e., $F^{\prime}=f$ a.e. and (1.1) holds. It should be noted that the integral is being considered in the Bochner sense.

A function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is measurable if there exists a sequence of simple functions $\left(f_{n}\right)$ (with $f_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ ) which converges punctually a.e. at $f$ on $[a, b]$.

It is well-known that a measurable function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is Bochner integrable if and only if its norm, i.e., the function $t \longmapsto\|f\|(t):=\|f(t)\|:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$, (see for example [10]).

It is known that if $f$ is a scalar-valued and Riemann integrable function on $[a, b]$, then its primitive function, that is, the function $t \mapsto F(t):=(R) \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is differentiable almost everywhere and (1.1) holds a.e. on $[a, b]$. Such a result, however, is not valid for vector-valued functions. For example, the function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow L_{\infty}[0,1]$ given by $f(t)=1_{[0, t]}(\cdot), t \in[0,1]$ (where $1_{[0, t]}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, t])$ is a Riemann integrable vector valued function and its Riemann integral is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t):=(R) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s=(t-\cdot) 1_{[0, t]}(\cdot), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $F:[0,1] \rightarrow L_{\infty}[0,1]$, defined in (1.2) is absolutely continuous (in fact, it is even Lipschitz continuous on $[0,1]$ ) but nowhere differentiable because

$$
\frac{F(t+h)-F(t)}{h}(\cdot)=1_{[0, t]}(\cdot)+\frac{1}{h}(t+h-\cdot) 1_{[t, t+h]}(\cdot)
$$

does not converge in $L_{\infty}[0,1]$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ for any $0 \leq t \leq 1$.
Another example can be found in [11, p. 172].
In Section 2, we will use the integration by parts formula. This holds under the following general conditions:

Let $-\infty<a<b<\infty$ and $f, g$ be two mappings defined on $[a, b]$ such that $f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-valued and $g$ is $X$-valued, where $X$ is a real or complex Banach space. If $f, g$ are differentiable on $[a, b]$ and their derivatives are Bochner integrable on $[a, b]$, then

$$
(B) \int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime} g=f(b) g(b)-f(a) g(b)-(B) \int_{a}^{b} f g^{\prime}
$$

Using this in Section 2, we obtain some Ostrowski type inequalities for vector-valued functions and show that the mid-point inequality is the best possible inequality in the class. In Section 3, a quadrature formula of the Riemann type for the Bochner integral and the error bounds are considered. Section 4 is devoted to operator inequalities that can be obtained via Ostrowski type inequalities for vector-valued functions for which, in the last section, a numerical approximation for the mild solution of inhomogeneous vector-valued differential equations is given. In the last section, two numerical examples are considered.

For some results on the Ostrowski inequality for real-valued functions, see [1], [4], [8] and [9], and the references therein.

## 2. Ostrowski's Inequality for the Bochner Integral

The following theorem concerning a version of Ostrowski's inequality for vectorvalued functions holds.
Theorem 1. Let $(X ;\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$ with the property that

$$
f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}([a, b] ; X), \text { i.e. }
$$

$$
\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty}:=\text { ess } \sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\|<\infty
$$

Then we have the inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f(s)-\frac{1}{b-a}(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right\|  \tag{2.1}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(s-a)^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], \infty}+(b-s)^{2} \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], \infty}\right] \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{s-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right](b-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty} } \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $s \in[a, b]$, where $(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ is the Bochner integral of $f$.
Proof. Using the integration by parts formula, we may write that

$$
(B) \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t=(s-a) f(s)-(B) \int_{a}^{s} f(t) d t
$$

and

$$
(B) \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) f^{\prime}(t) d t=(b-s) f(s)-(B) \int_{s}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

for any $s \in[a, b]$; from which we get the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (b-a) f(s)-(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t  \tag{2.2}\\
= & (B) \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t+(B) \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) f^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the norm on $X$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(b-a) f(s)-(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right\| & =\left\|(B) \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t+(B) \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|(B) \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right\|+\left\|(B) \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \\
& =: B(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first inequality in (2.1).
We also have

$$
\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], \infty} \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) d t=\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], \infty} \cdot \frac{(s-a)^{2}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|_{[s, b], \infty} \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) d t=\mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[s, b], \infty} \cdot \frac{(b-s)^{2}}{2}\right.
$$

from whence, by addition, we get the second part of (2.1).
Since

$$
\max \left\{\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], \infty}, \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], \infty}\right\} \leq \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[a, b], \infty}
$$

and, by the parallelogram identity for real numbers, we have,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[(s-a)^{2}+(b-s)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\left(s-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

then the last part of (2.1) is also proved.
Remark 1. We observe that for the scalar function $B:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
B^{\prime}(s)=(s-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(s)\right\|-(b-s)\left\|f^{\prime}(s)\right\|=2\left(s-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(s)\right\|
$$

for any $s \in[a, b]$, showing that $B$ is monotonic nonincreasing on $\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$ and monotonic nondecreasing on $\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{s \in[a, b]} B(s)=B\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the best inequalities we can obtain from (2.1) are embodied in the following corollary.
Corollary 1. With the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a}(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right\|  \tag{2.4}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right], \infty}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty} .
\end{align*}
$$

Bounds involving the $p$-norms, $p \in[1, \infty)$, of the derivative $f^{\prime}$, are embodied in the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$ with the property that $f^{\prime} \in L_{p}([a, b] ; X), p \in[1, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], p}:=\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f(s)-\frac{1}{b-a}(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right\|  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{b-a}\left[(s-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], 1}+(b-s) \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], 1}\right]^{\prime} \\
\text { if } f^{\prime} \in L_{1}([a, b] ; X) ; \\
\frac{1}{(b-a)(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\left[( s - a ) ^ { \frac { 1 } { q } + 1 } \left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|_{[a, s], p}+(b-s)^{\frac{1}{q}+1}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[s, b], p]}\right]\right.\right. \\
\text { if } p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 \text { and } f^{\prime} \in L_{p}([a, b] ; X)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{1}{2}+\left|\frac{s-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right|\right]\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], 1} \quad} & \text { if } f^{\prime} \in L_{1}([a, b] ; X) ; \\
\frac{1}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\left[\left(\frac{s-a}{b-a}\right)^{q+1}+\left(\frac{b-s}{b-a}\right)^{q+1}\right]_{\text {if } f^{\prime} \in L_{p}([a, b] ; X) .}^{\frac{1}{q}}(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], p}\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq(s-a) \int_{a}^{s}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t=(s-a) \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[a, s], 1}
$$

and

$$
\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq(b-s) \int_{s}^{b}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t=(b-s) \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], 1}
$$

and the first part of the second inequality in (2.6) is proved.
Using Hölder's integral inequality for scalar functions we have (for $p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=$ 1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t & \leq\left(\int_{a}^{s}|t-a|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{a}^{s}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\frac{(s-a)^{\frac{1}{q}+1}}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t & \leq\left(\int_{s}^{b}|b-t|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{s}^{b}\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \left.=\frac{(b-s)^{\frac{1}{q}+1}}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\rvert\,\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], p}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving the second part of the second inequality.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], 1}+(b-s) \mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], 1} \\
\leq & \max \{s-a, b-s\}\left[\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], 1}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[s, b], 1}\right] \\
= & { \left.\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|s-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \right\rvert\,\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[a, b], 1}, }
\end{aligned}
$$

the first part of the third inequality in (2.6) is proved.
For the last part, we note that for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta>0$ and $p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ we have:

$$
\left(\alpha^{q}+\beta^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\gamma^{p}+\delta^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \alpha \gamma+\beta \delta
$$

and then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s-a)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\left._{[a, s], p}+(b-s)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \right\rvert\,\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[s, b], p}\right. \\
\leq & {\left[(s-a)^{q\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}+(b-s)^{q\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\left[\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, s], p}^{p}+\mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{[s, b], p}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} } \\
= & {\left[(s-a)^{1+q}+(b-s)^{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\left[\int_{a}^{s}\left\|f^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{p} d s+\int_{s}^{b}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right|^{p} d s\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} } \\
= & {\left[(s-a)^{1+q}+(b-s)^{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], p} }
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem is completely proved.

Remark 2. The above theorem both generalises and extends for vector-valued functions the results in [6] and [7].

The best inequalities we can obtain from (2.6) in the sense of providing the tightest bound are embodied in the following corollary concerning the mid-point rule.

Corollary 2. With the assumptions in Theorem 3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a}(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right\|  \tag{2.7}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], 1} & \text { if } f^{\prime} \in L_{1}([a, b] ; X) \\
\frac{(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}}(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}\left[\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right], p}+\mid\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right], p}\right] \\
\text { if } p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 \text { and } f^{\prime} \in L_{p}([a, b] ; X)\end{cases} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], 1} \\
\frac{1}{2(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], p} \in L_{1}([a, b] ; X) \\
\text { if } p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 \text { and } f^{\prime} \in L_{p}([a, b] ; X)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. A Quadrature Formula of the Riemann Type

Now, let $I_{n}: a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n-1}<x_{n}=b$ be a partitioning of the interval $[a, b]$ and define $h_{i}=x_{i+1}-x_{i}, \nu(h):=\max \left\{h_{i} \mid i=0, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. Consider the mapping $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, where $X$ is a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property. Define the Riemann sum by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right)$ and $\xi_{i} \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right](i=0, \ldots, n-1)$ are intermediate (arbitrarily chosen) points.

The following theorem holds.
Theorem 3. Let $f$ be as in Theorem 1. Then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=A_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)+R_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ is the Riemann quadrature given by (3.1) and the remainder $R_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ in (3.2) satisfies the bound

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|R_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.3}\\
\leq & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\int_{x_{i}}^{\xi_{i}}\left(t-x_{i}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\xi_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(x_{i+1}-t\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\left(\xi_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|_{\left[x_{i}, \xi_{i}\right], \infty}+\left(x_{i+1}-\xi_{i}\right)^{2} \mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{\left[\xi_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty}\right]\right. \\
\leq & \left.\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{4} h_{i}^{2}+\left(\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \right\rvert\,\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty} \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2} \right\rvert\,\left\|f^{\prime}\right\| \|_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\left._{[a, b], \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \nu(h) \right\rvert\,\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[a, b], \infty}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Apply the inequality (2.1) on the interval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|h_{i} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} f(t) d t\right\|^{\prime}  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq & \int_{x_{i}}^{\xi_{i}}\left(t-x_{i}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\xi_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(x_{i+1}-t\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\xi_{i}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[x_{i}, \xi_{i}\right], \infty}+\left(x_{i+1}-\xi_{i}\right)^{2}\| \| f^{\prime}\| \|_{\left[\xi_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty}\right] \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}}{h_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] h_{i}^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty} } \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} h_{i}^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $i=0, \ldots, n-1$.
Summing over $i$ from 0 to $n-1$ and using the generalised triangle inequality for norms, we obtain (3.3).

If we consider the midpoint quadrature rule given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i} f\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we may state the following corollary.
Corollary 3. With the assumptions in Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=M_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)+W_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)$ is the vector-valued midpoint quadrature rule given in (3.5) and the remainder $W_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)$ satisfies the estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|W_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.7}\\
\leq & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\int_{x_{i}}^{\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}}\left(t-x_{i}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(x_{i+1}-t\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2}\left[\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[x_{i}, \frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}\right], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \infty} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[a, b], \infty} \nu(h)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3. It is obvious that $\left\|W_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu(h) \rightarrow 0$, showing that $M_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)$ is an approximation for the Bochner integral $(B) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ with order one accuracy.
Remark 4. Similar bounds for the remainder $R_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ and $W_{n}\left(f, I_{n}\right)$ may be obtained in terms of the $p$-norms $(p \in[1, \infty))$, but we omit the details.

## 4. Applications for the Operator Inequality

Let $X$ be an arbitrary Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on $X$. We recall that if $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ then its operatorial norm is defined by

$$
\|A\|=\sup \{\|A x\|: x \in X,\|x\| \leq 1\}
$$

We recall also that the series $\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(t A)^{n}}{n!}\right)$ converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If we denote by $e^{t A}$ its sum, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{t A}\right\| \leq e^{t\|A\|}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another definition of $e^{t A}$ is given in the next section.
Proposition 1. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $0 \leq a<b<\infty$. Then for each $s \in[a, b]$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|e^{s A}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} e^{t A} d t\right\|  \tag{4.2}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a}\left[(2 s-a-b) e^{s\|A\|}+\frac{1}{\|A\|}\left(e^{a\|A\|}+e^{b\|A\|}-2 e^{s\|A\|}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 with $X$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $f(t)=e^{t A}$. Note that in this case the function $f$ is continuously differentiable, so that it is not necessary that $X$ be a Radon-Nikodym space. We have, by (4.1), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t & \leq\|A\| \int_{a}^{s}(t-a) e^{t\|A\|} d t \\
& =(s-a) e^{s\|A\|}-\frac{1}{\|A\|}\left(e^{a\|A\|}-e^{s\|A\|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t & \leq\|A\| \int_{s}^{b}(b-t) e^{t\|A\|} d t \\
& =-(b-s) e^{s\|A\|}+\frac{1}{\|A\|}\left(e^{b\|A\|}-e^{s\|A\|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On adding the two above inequalities, we obtain the desired inequality (4.2).
Corollary 4. With the assumptions in Proposition 1, we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\frac{a+b}{2} A}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} e^{t A} d t\right\| \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)\|A\|}\left(e^{\frac{a}{2}\|A\|}-e^{\frac{b}{2}\|A\|}\right)^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G L(X)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ consisting of all invertible operators. It is known that $G L(X)$ is an open set in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Using (4.3), we may state the following result as well.
Corollary 5. Let $A \in G L(X)$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A e^{\frac{a+b}{2} A}-\frac{1}{b-a}\left(e^{b A}-e^{a A}\right)\right\| & \leq\|A\| \| e^{e^{\frac{a+b}{2} A}-\frac{1}{b-a} A^{-1}\left(e^{b A}-e^{a A}\right) \|} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left(e^{\frac{a}{2}\|A\|}-e^{\frac{b}{2}\|A\|}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The first inequality is obvious. For the second inequality we remark that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} e^{t A} d t=A^{-1}\left(e^{b A}-e^{a A}\right)
$$

and apply Corollary 4.
Remark 5. As a consequence of Corollary 5, we can obtain the well-known inequality for real numbers $e^{y} \geq 1+y$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, if $A=x \in(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\left|x e^{\frac{a+b}{2} x}-\frac{1}{b-a}\left(e^{b x}-e^{a x}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left(e^{\frac{a}{2} x}-e^{\frac{b}{2} x}\right)^{2} .
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
e^{\frac{a-b}{2} x} \geq 1+\frac{a-b}{2} x \quad \text { and } \quad e^{\frac{b-a}{2} x} \geq 1+\frac{b-a}{2} x
$$

Another example of an operatorial inequality is embodied in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $0 \leq a<b<\infty$. Then for each $s \in[a, b]$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sin (s A)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \sin (t A) d t\right\| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{s-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right](b-a)\|A\| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply the first inequality from Theorem 1 for

$$
f(t)=\sin (t A):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{(t A)^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!}
$$

We have

$$
\left\|(\sin (t A))^{\prime}\right\|=\|A \cos (t A)\| \leq\|A\|
$$

Then

$$
\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq\|A\| \cdot \frac{(s-a)^{2}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq\|A\| \cdot \frac{(s-b)^{2}}{2}
$$

On adding the above inequalities, we obtain the desired result (4.4). Here, $\cos (t A)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{(t A)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}$.

Corollary 6. With the assumptions as in Proposition 2, we have the following inequality:

$$
\left\|\sin \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \sin (t A) d t\right\| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \cdot\|A\|
$$

If in addition $A \in G L(X)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A \sin \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)+\frac{1}{b-a}[\cos (b A)-\cos (a A)]\right\| \\
\leq & \|A\| \cdot\left\|\sin \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)+\frac{1}{b-a} A^{-1}[\cos (b A)-\cos (a A)]\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \cdot\|A\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6. In particular, for $A=x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sin \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot x\right)\left[1-\frac{\sin \frac{(b-a) x}{2}}{\frac{(b-a) x}{2}}\right]\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}|x| . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The similar result for $\cos (t A)$ will be summarised next.
Proposition 3. With the above notations, we have:
(i) $\left\|\cos (s A)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \cos (t A) d t\right\| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{s-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right](b-a)\|A\|$.
(ii) $\left\|\cos \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \cos (t A) d t\right\| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\|A\|$.

If, in addition $A \in G L(X)$, then
(iii) $\left\|A \cos \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)-\frac{1}{b-a}[\sin (b A)-\sin (a A)]\right\|$
$\leq\|A\|\left\|\cos \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot A\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \cdot A^{-1}[\sin (b A)-\sin (a A)]\right\|$
$\leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\|A\|^{2}$.
Remark 7. In particular, for $A=x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\cos \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot x\right) \cdot\left[1-\frac{\sin \frac{(b-a)}{2} \cdot x}{\frac{(b-a)}{2} \cdot x}\right]\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}|x| . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 8. Taking the square of both sides of the inequalities (4.5) and (4.6) and then adding them, we obtain

$$
\left|1-\frac{\sin \frac{(b-a)}{2} \cdot x}{\frac{(b-a)}{2} \cdot x}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(b-a)^{2}|x|, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{*}
$$

In particular, if $b-a=2$, then

$$
|\sin x-x| \leq \sqrt{2} x^{2}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

which is an interesting scalar inequality.
Another type of example is considered in the following.
A densely defined linear operator $A$ on a Banach space $X$ is said to be sectorial [13] if $(0, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and there exists $M=M_{A}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R(t, A)\| \leq \frac{M}{1+t}, \text { for all } t>0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(t, A):=(t I-A)^{-1}$ is the resolvent operator of $A$.

Proposition 4. Let $A$ be a sectorial operator on a Banach space $X$. Then for $0 \leq a \leq s \leq b<\infty$, we have:
(i) $\left\|R^{2}(s, A)-R(a, A) R(b, A)\right\| \leq \frac{M^{3}}{(b-a)(s+1)^{2}} \cdot\left[\frac{(s-a)^{2}}{a+1}+\frac{(b-s)^{2}}{b+1}\right]$; and
(ii) $\left\|R^{2}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, A\right)-R(a, A) R(b, A)\right\| \leq \frac{M^{3}(b-a)}{(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)}$.

Proof. By the resolvent identity

$$
R(t, A)-R(s, A)=(s-t) R(t, A) R(s, A)
$$

it follows that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}[R(t, A)]=-R^{2}(t, A)
$$

We apply Theorem 1 in Section 2 for $f(t)=R^{2}(t, A)$ giving, from (4.7)

$$
\left\|\frac{d}{d t}\left[R^{2}(t, A)\right]\right\|=\left\|-2 R^{3}(t, A)\right\| \leq \frac{2 M^{3}}{(t+1)^{3}}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{s}(t-a)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t+\int_{s}^{b}(b-t)\left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{2 M^{3}}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{s} \frac{(t-a)}{(1+t)^{3}} d t+\int_{s}^{b} \frac{(b-t)}{(1+t)^{3}} d t\right] \\
\leq & \frac{2 M^{3}}{b-a}\left[\frac{(s-a)^{2}}{2(a+1)(s+1)^{2}}+\frac{(b-s)^{2}}{2(b+1)(s+1)^{2}}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{M^{3}}{(b-a)(s+1)^{2}}\left[\frac{(s-a)^{2}}{a+1}+\frac{(b-s)^{2}}{b+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Statement $(i)$ is thus proved. Taking $s=\frac{a+b}{2}$ gives (ii).
Remark 9. If $A=x \in(-\infty, 0)$, then we can choose $M_{x}=\sup _{t>0}\left[\frac{t+1}{t-x}\right]=-\frac{1}{x}$ and from (i) we obtain the interesting inequality:

$$
(a-x)(b-x)(a+b-2 x)^{2} \geq(-x)^{3}(b-a)(a+1)(b+1)(a+b+2)
$$

for all $x \leq 0$ and all $0 \leq a<b<\infty$.

## 5. Applications for Vector-Valued Differential Equations

Many problems of mathematical physics can be modelled using the following abstract Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}(t)=A u(t), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{x}\\
u(0)=x
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ is a linear, usually unbounded, operator with domain $D(A)$ on a Banach space $X$. For every particular mathematical physics problem, $X$ is a suitable Banach space of functions and $A$ is a partial differential operator. By the classical solution for $\left(A C P_{x}\right)$, we mean a continuous differentiable function $u_{x}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow D(A)$ which satisfies $\left(A C P_{x}\right)$. A continuous function $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is said to be a mild
solution for $\left(A C P_{x}\right)$ if there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_{n} \in D(A)$ such that for each $n$ the problem $\left(A C P_{x}\right)$ has a classical solution $u_{x_{n}}(\cdot)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{x_{n}}(t)=u(t)$ locally uniform on $[0, \infty)$. We say that the abstract Cauchy problem associated with a linear operator $A$ is well-posed if for each initial value $x \in D(A)$ the problem $\left(A C P_{x_{n}}\right)$ has a unique classical solution. An example of an operator $A$ for which the associated abstract Cauchy problem is well-posed is presented in the following.

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the space of all bounded linear operators. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norms of vectors and operators. A family $\mathbf{T}=\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called a semigroup of operators if the following conditions hold:
$\left(S_{1}\right) T(0)=I, I$ is the identity operator on $X$;
$\left(S_{2}\right) T(t+s)=T(t) \circ T(s)$ for all $t, s \geq 0$.
A semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ is said to be uniformly continuous if the mapping $t \longmapsto T(t)$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ is continuous at $t_{0}=0$ (or equivalently, is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$) in the operatorial norm in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

A semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ is said to be strongly continuous (or $C_{0}$-semigroup) if the mapping $t \longmapsto T(t) x:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is continuous at $t_{0}=0$ (or equivalently on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$) for all $x \in X$. It is well known [12] that if $\mathbf{T}$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup, then there exists an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$
T(t)=e^{t A}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t A)^{n}}{n!} ; \quad t \geq 0
$$

In this case, the problem $\left(A C P_{x}\right)$ associated with $A$ has a unique classical (or mild) solution and it is given by

$$
u_{x}(t)=u(t)=e^{t A} x, \quad t \geq 0
$$

If $\mathbf{T}$ is a $C_{0}$-semigroup, then its generator $A$ with its domain $D(A)$ are given by

$$
D(A)=\left\{x \in X: \lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t) x-x}{t} \text { exists in } X\right\}
$$

and

$$
A x=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t) x-x}{t}, \quad x \in D(A) .
$$

It is easy to see that the function $t \mapsto T(t) x$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$for all $x \in D(A)$. It is well-known ([13], [12]) that the generator $A$ is a closed and densely defined operator (i.e., $D(A)$ is dense in $X$ ). In this case, the abstract Cauchy problem associated with $A$ is well-posed. The classical solution is given by $u_{x}(t)=T(t) x$ for $x \in D(A)$ and the mild solution is given by $u(t)=T(t) x$ for $x \in X$. The converse result is also true.

For example, if $A$ is a linear operator with domain $D(A)$, the abstract Cauchy problem associated with $A$ is well-posed and the resolvent set of $A(\rho(A))$ is nonempty, then $A$ is the generator for a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ ( [13], [12]). Every $C_{0}$-semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ has a growth bound. That is, there exist $M>0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow X$ be a locally Bochner integrable function. We consider the inhomogeneous abstract Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad t \geq 0  \tag{A,f,0,x}\\
u(0)=x,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathbf{T}$ and $x \in X$. The function $T(t-\cdot) f(\cdot)$ is measurable, because if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of simple functions, then $g_{n}(\cdot):=T(t-\cdot) f_{n}(\cdot)$ are measurable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (we used the strong continuity of $\mathbf{T})$, and $g_{n}(s) \rightarrow T(t-s) f(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, a.e. on $[0, t]$. Moreover, the function $T(t-\cdot) f(\cdot)$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, t]$, because $\|T(t-\cdot) f(\cdot)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}\|f(\cdot)\|$ and the function $f$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, t]$.

The mild solution of the problem $(A, f, 0, x)$ can be represented by

$$
u(t)=x+(B) \int_{0}^{t} T(t-s) f(s) d s, \quad t \geq 0, x \in X
$$

We may state the following theorem in approximating the mild solutions of the inhomogeneous system $(A, f, 0, x)$.
Theorem 4. Let $0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}<\lambda_{n}=1$ and $\mu_{i} \in\left[\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i+1}\right]$ $(i=\overline{0, n-1})$. If either
(i) $\mathbf{T}$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup and $f$ is a differentiable continuous $X$-valued function ( $X$ is an arbitrary Banach space) or
(ii) $\mathbf{T}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup, $f$ is differentiable continuous and $f(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $A f(\cdot)$ is a locally bounded function on $[0, \infty)$
hold, then the mild solution $u(\cdot)$ of $(A, f, 0, x)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=x+S_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t)+Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t):=t \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right) T\left[\left(1-\mu_{i}\right) t\right] f\left(\mu_{i} t\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the remainder $Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t)$ satisfies, in the first case, the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t)\right\|  \tag{5.4}\\
\leq & t^{2} e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\||\|f\||_{[0, t], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{i}-\frac{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i+1}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} t^{2} e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\|\left|\|f\|\left\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}\right. \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \nu(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) t^{3} e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\|\left|\|f\|\left\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu(\boldsymbol{\lambda}):=\max _{i=\overline{0, n-1}}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)$, and, in the second case, the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t)\right\|  \tag{5.5}\\
\leq & M t^{2} e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{i}-\frac{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i+1}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} t^{2} M e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|\left|f^{\prime}\right| \|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \nu(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) t^{3} e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

for each $t \in[0, \infty)$, where $\omega$ is a positive number such that the estimate (5.1) holds.
Proof. For a fixed $t>0$, consider the function $g(s):=T(t-s) f(s), s \in[0, t]$. Then $g$ is differentiable on $(0, t)$ and

$$
\frac{d g(s)}{d s}=\frac{d}{d s}[T(t-s) f(s)]=-A T(t-s) f(s)+T(t-s) f^{\prime}(s)
$$

for each $s \in(0, t)$.
We have, in the first case, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left|\frac{d g}{d s}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty} & \leq\||A T(t-\cdot) f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|T(t-\cdot) f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty} \\
& \leq\|A\| e^{\|A\| t}\||f|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+e^{\|A\| t}\left\|\left|f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty} \\
& =e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\|\left|\|f\|\left\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $t \in[0, \infty)$.
In the second case, we have in a similar manner, that

$$
\left\|\left|\frac{d g}{d s}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty} \leq M e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|f^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]
$$

for each $t \in[0, \infty)$.
Now, consider the partitioning of the interval $[0, t]$ given by $x_{i}:=\lambda_{i} t(i=\overline{0, n-1})$ where $0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}<\lambda_{n}=1$ and the intermediate points $\xi_{i}=\mu_{i} t \quad(i=\overline{0, n-1})$ where $\mu_{i} \in\left[\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i+1}\right] \quad(i=\overline{0, n-1})$. If we apply Theorem 3 for $a=0, b=t, x_{i}, \xi_{i}(i=\overline{0, n-1})$ and $g$ as defined above, then we deduce the representation (5.2) and the remainder $Q_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, t)$ satisfies either the estimate (5.4) or the estimate (5.5).

If we define the quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t):=t \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right) T\left[\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i+1}}{2}\right) t\right] f\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i+1}}{2} \cdot t\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we may state the following corollary.
Corollary 7. Let $0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{n-1}<\lambda_{n}=1$. If either ( $i$ ) or (ii) in Theorem 4 hold, then the mild solution $u(\cdot)$ of $(A, f, 0, x)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=x+M_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t)+L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t)$ is as given in (5.6) and the remainder $L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t)$ satisfies, in the first case, the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{4} t^{2} e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\||\|f\||_{[0, t], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} t^{3} \nu(h) e^{\|A\| t}\left[\|A\||\|f\||_{[0, t], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i}:=\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}>0(i=\overline{0, n-1})$, and, in the second case, the estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, t)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{4} M t^{2} e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i}^{2}  \tag{5.9}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} M \nu(h) t^{3} e^{\omega t}\left[\||A f(\cdot)|\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left\|\left|f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for each $t \in(0, \infty)$.
Remark 10. In practical applications, it is easier to consider a uniform partitioning of $[0, t]$ given by

$$
E_{n}: x_{i}=\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \cdot t, \quad i=\overline{0, n}
$$

and then (5.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(t):=\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right] f\left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right] \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have the representation of $u(\cdot)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=x+M_{n}(t)+V_{n}(t) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the approximation $M_{n}(\cdot)$ is as defined above in (5.10) and the remainder $V_{n}(\cdot)$ satisfies the error bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{n}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4 n} t^{3} e^{\|A\| t}\left[\| A \| \left|\|f\|\left\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|\right|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]\right.\right. \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the first case, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{n}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4 n} M t^{3} e^{\omega t}\left[\left|\|A f(\cdot)\|\left\|_{[0, t], \infty}+\mid\right\| f^{\prime}\| \|_{[0, t], \infty}\right]\right. \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the second case, for each $t \in[0, \infty)$.

## 6. Numerical Examples

Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}, x=(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2},\|x\|_{2}=\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}}$. We consider the linear, 2-dimensional, inhomogeneous differential systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)=u_{1}(t) & +\sin t & \\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)= & & t \geq 0 \\
& & \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(t) & +\cos t
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we let $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right], f(t)=(\sin t, \cos t), x_{0}=(0,0)$ and identify $(\xi, \eta)$ by $\binom{\xi}{\eta}$, then the above system is the Cauchy problem $\left(A, f, 0, x_{0}\right)$. We have: $e^{t A}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t}\end{array}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) A} f(s) d s  \tag{6.1}\\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)} \sin s d s, \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)} \cos s d s\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t}-\sin t-\cos t\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\sin t+\cos t-e^{-t}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if we consider

$$
\tilde{M}_{n}(t):=\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[e^{\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \sin \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right], e^{-\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]\right]
$$

then, by (5.11), the exact solution $u(\cdot)$ given in (6.1) may be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\tilde{M}_{n}(t)+\tilde{V}_{n}(t) \text { for any } t \geq 0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (5.12), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\tilde{V}_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}=0 \text { for each } t \geq 0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n}(t): & =\left\|\tilde{V}_{n}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t}-\sin t-\cos t\right)-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \sin \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]\right]^{2}\right. \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin t+\cos t-e^{-t}\right)-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we implement $B_{n}(\cdot)$ for $n=10^{6}$ and $t \in[0,1]$, then the plot of the error in approximating the exact value of $u(\cdot)$ by its approximation $\tilde{M}_{n}(\cdot)$ on the interval $[0,1]$ is embodied in Figure 1.


Let us now consider another system

$$
\begin{cases}\dot{u}_{1}(t)=-u_{1}(t) & +\sin t  \tag{6.4}\\ \dot{u}_{2}(t)= & -2 u_{2}(t) \\ \\ u_{1}(0)=\cos t\end{cases}
$$

The solution of this system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-t}+\sin t-\cos t\right), \frac{1}{5}\left(-2 e^{-2 t}+\sin t+2 \cos t\right)\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we consider
$\tilde{M}_{n}(t):=\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[e^{-\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \sin \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right], e^{-2\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]\right]$
then by (5.11) the exact solution of the system (6.4), given in (6.5) may be represented as in (6.2), and by (5.13), we know that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\tilde{V}_{n}(t)\right\|_{2}=0
$$

for any $t$ on $[0, \infty)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n}(t): & =\left\|\tilde{V}_{n}(t)\right\|_{2} \\
= & \left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t}-\sin t-\cos t\right)-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \sin \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\frac{1}{5}\left(-2 e^{-2 t}+\sin t+2 \cos t\right)-\frac{t}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{-2\left[\left(\frac{2 n-2 i-1}{2 n}\right) t\right]} \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n}\right) t\right]\right]^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we implement $B_{n}(\cdot)$ for $n=10^{3}$, then the plot of the error in approximating the exact value $u(\cdot)$ by its approximation $\tilde{M}_{n}(\cdot)$ on the interval $[0,100]$ is embodied in Figure 2.
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