

ON GENERALISATIONS OF THE HARDY-HILBERT INTEGRAL INEQUALITY

BICHENG YANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by introducing some parameters, we give a new generalisation of the Hardy-Hilbert inequality with a best constant factor which involves the β -function. We also consider its more extended form.

1. INTRODUCTION

If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_n, b_n \geq 0$, and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}$ is the best possible. (1.1) is well known as the Hardy-Hilbert inequality. Its integral form is:

If $f(t), g(t) \geq 0$, and

$$0 < \int_0^{\infty} f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_0^{\infty} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^p(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} g^q(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}$ is still best possible (see [1]).

The Hardy-Hilbert inequality is important in analysis and its applications (see [2]). In recent years, some new improvements of (1.1) have been given in [3, 4]. By introducing two parameters α, λ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{r}, 1]$ ($r = p, q$)), Yang [5] gave a generalisation of (1.2) as:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\ & \leq \tilde{k}_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{p}}(p) \tilde{k}_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q) \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{k}_{\lambda}(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} du = B \left(\frac{1}{r}, \lambda - \frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (r = p, q),$$

Date: May 31, 2001.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, weight function, β -function.

($B(u, v)$ is the β -function), and Kuang [6] gave the same result for $\alpha = 0$ in (1.3). For $T > 0$, and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, Yang [7] gave generalisations of (1.2) when $p = q = 2$ as:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \\ & \leq B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right] t^{1-\lambda} f^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_0^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right] t^{1-\lambda} g^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (T < \infty); \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \\ & \leq B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} f^2(t) dt \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} g^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, following the way of [8, 9] in estimating the weight function, we introduce the β -function, and build some lemmas. As the main result, a new generalisation with the best constant factor involving the β -function is given, which is more accurate than (1.3) (see (3.2)). We also consider its more extended form (see (3.1)).

2. SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 1. For $a < 1$, $\lambda > 0$, defined $g(a, y)$ as

$$g(a, y) = y^{a-1} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^a du, \quad y \in (0, 1].$$

Then we have $g(a, y) > g(a, 1)$ ($y \in (0, 1)$).

Proof. Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'_y(a, y) &= (a-1)y^{a-2} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^a du + y^{a-1} \frac{1}{(1+y)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^a \\ &= -y^{a-2} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} du^{1-a} + y^{-1} \frac{1}{(1+y)^\lambda} \\ &= -y^{a-2} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} y^{1-a} - \lambda y^{a-2} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{1-a} du + y^{-1} \frac{1}{(1+y)^\lambda} \\ &= -\lambda y^{a-2} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda+1}} u^{1-a} du < 0 \quad (y \in (0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $g(a, y)$ is a strictly decreasing function of y . In view of the fact that $g(a, y)$ is left continuous as a function of y at $y = 1$, we have $g(a, y) > g(a, 1)$ ($0 < y < 1$). The lemma is thus proved. ■

We have a formula of the β -function as (see [8]):

$$(2.1) \quad B(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{p-1}}{(1+t)^{p+q}} dt = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} = B(q, p) \quad (p, q > 0).$$

Lemma 2. If $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 2 - \min\{r, s\}$, and $\alpha < T < \infty$, define the weight function $\tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x)$ as:

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x) = \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} dy, \quad x \in (\alpha, T].$$

Setting $\tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, \infty, r, x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x)$, and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} k_\lambda(r) &= k_\lambda(s) = B\left(\frac{r+\lambda-2}{r}, \frac{s+\lambda-2}{s}\right), \\ \theta_\lambda(s) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}} du, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, \infty, r, x) = k_\lambda(r) (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda}, \quad (x \in (\alpha, \infty));$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x) & \\ &> \left[k_\lambda(r) - \theta_\lambda(s) \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{s+\lambda-2}{s}} \right] (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda}, \quad (x \in (\alpha, T)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Setting $u = \frac{(y-\alpha)}{(x-\alpha)}$, we find

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x) = (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \int_0^{\frac{T-\alpha}{x-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} du, \quad x \in (\alpha, T].$$

Since

$$\lambda = \frac{r+\lambda-2}{r} + \frac{s+\lambda-2}{s}$$

and

$$\frac{2-\lambda}{r} = 1 - \frac{r+\lambda-2}{r},$$

by (2.1) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, \infty, r, x) &= (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} du \\ &= (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} B\left(\frac{r+\lambda-2}{r}, \frac{s+\lambda-2}{r}\right), \quad (x \in (\alpha, \infty)), \end{aligned}$$

and (2.4) is valid. By (2.6) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, r, x) &= (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \left[k_\lambda(r) - \int_{\frac{T-\alpha}{x-\alpha}}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} du \right] \\ &= (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \left[k_\lambda(r) - \int_0^{\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} dv \right] \\ &= (x-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \left\{ k_\lambda(r) - \left[\left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \int_0^{\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}} dv \right] \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{1+\frac{\lambda-2}{s}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = \frac{(2-\lambda)}{s}$ in Lemma 1, we have $a < 1$. Since $\min\{r, s\} \leq 2$, it follows that $\lambda > 2 - \min\{r, s\} \geq 0$. By Lemma 1 and (2.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}-1} \int_0^{\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}} dv \\
 &= g \left(\frac{2-\lambda}{s}, \frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right) > g \left(\frac{2-\lambda}{s}, 1 \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{s}} dv \\
 &= \theta_\lambda(s) \quad (x \in (\alpha, T)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.8) in (2.7), we have (2.5). This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 3. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$, $\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p} - \frac{1}{n_0} > 0$ ($n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$), and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{q}{n_0}$, then we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_1^\infty X^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{X}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dX = O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).$$

Proof. Since $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{q}{n_0}$, then we have $\frac{\varepsilon}{q} \leq \frac{1}{n_0}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &< \int_1^\infty X^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{X}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right] dX \\
 &\leq \int_1^\infty X^{-1} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{X}} \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p} + \frac{1}{n_0}} du \right] dX = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p} - \frac{1}{n_0} \right]^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.9) is valid. The lemma is proved. ■

Lemma 4. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$, $\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p} - \frac{1}{n_0} > 0$ ($n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$), and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{q}{n_0}$, then for $\alpha, T \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha < T$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \int_\alpha^T \int_\alpha^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\delta}{p}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\phi}{q}} dx dy \\
 &\sim (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{s} k_\lambda(p) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Setting $X = \frac{(T-\alpha)}{(x-\alpha)}$, and $Y = \frac{(T-\alpha)}{(y-\alpha)}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\delta}{p}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\phi}{q}} dx dy \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \int_1^{\infty} X^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(X+Y)^{\lambda}} Y^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dY \right] dX \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \int_1^{\infty} X^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{X}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} du \right] dX \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} X^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} du \right] dX \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_1^{\infty} X^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{X}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} du \right] dX \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} du = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}} du = k_{\lambda}(p),$$

then we find

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} du = k_{\lambda}(p) + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).$$

By Lemma 3 and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\delta}{p}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\phi}{q}} dx dy \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \left[\int_1^{\infty} X^{-1-\varepsilon} (k_{\lambda}(p) + o(1)) dX - O(1) \right] \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (k_{\lambda}(p) + o(1)) - O(1) \right] \\
&= (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} ((k_{\lambda}(p) + o(1)) - \varepsilon O(1)) \\
&\sim (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{s} k_{\lambda}(p) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.10) is valid, and the lemma is proved. ■

3. MAIN RESULTS AND SOME COROLLARIES

Theorem 1. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$, $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, and $f(t)$, $g(t) \geq 0$,

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then,

(i) for $T < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\
& < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[k_{\lambda}(p) - \theta_{\lambda}(p) \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}} \right] (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[k_{\lambda}(q) - \theta_{\lambda}(q) \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}} \right] (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\lambda}(p) &= B \left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q} \right), \\
\theta_{\lambda}(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{2-\lambda}{r}} du \quad (r = p, q);
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $T = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\
& < k_{\lambda}(p) \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $k_{\lambda}(p)$ in (3.1) and (3.2) is the best possible.

Proof. For $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, by Hölder's inequality in \mathbb{R}^2 and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\
& = \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\frac{f(x)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{pq}} \right] \left[\frac{g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\frac{\lambda}{q}}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{x-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{pq}} \right] dx dy \\
& \leq \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f^p(x)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{q}} dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{g^q(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{x-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p}} dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& = \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{q}} dy \right] f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{x-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p}} dx \right] g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& = \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \tilde{\omega}_{\lambda}(\alpha, T, q, x) f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \tilde{\omega}_{\lambda}(\alpha, T, p, y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If (3.3) takes equality, then there exists constants $A, B > 0$ such that (see [10])

$$\begin{aligned} & A \frac{f^p(x)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{y-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{q}} \\ = & B \frac{g^q(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{x-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\lambda}{p}} \text{ a.e. in } [\alpha, T) \times [\alpha, T). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(x-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} f^p(x) = \frac{B}{A} (y-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} g^q(y) \text{ for a.e. in } [\alpha, T) \times [\alpha, T),$$

and

$$(x-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} f^p(x) = \frac{B}{A} (y-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} g^q(y) = c \text{ for a.e. in } [\alpha, T) \times [\alpha, T),$$

where c is a constant; which contradicts the fact that

$$0 < \int_\alpha^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt < \infty.$$

Hence, by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \int_\alpha^T \int_\alpha^T \frac{f(x) g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_\alpha^T \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, q, t) f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_\alpha^T \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\alpha, T, p, t) g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5) and (2.4) since $k_\lambda(q) = k_\lambda(p)$, we have (3.1) and (3.2).

For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{q}{n_0}$, setting $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_\varepsilon(y)$ as

$$\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) = \left(\frac{x-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\varepsilon}{p}}, \quad x \in (\alpha, T], \quad \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(y) = \left(\frac{y-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\lambda-2+\varepsilon}{q}}, \quad y \in (\alpha, T],$$

we find

$$\left[\int_\alpha^\infty (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \tilde{f}_\varepsilon^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_\alpha^\infty (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \tilde{g}_\varepsilon^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} = (T-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$\int_\alpha^T \int_\alpha^T \frac{\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} dx dy \sim (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} k_\lambda(p) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).$$

If there exist $\alpha, T \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha < T$), such that the constant $k_\lambda(p)$ in (3.1) is not the best possible, then there exists K ($0 < K < k_\lambda(p)$), such that (3.1) is valid when we change $k_\lambda(p)$ to K . We find

$$\begin{aligned} (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} k_\lambda(p) & \sim \int_\alpha^T \int_\alpha^T \frac{\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) \tilde{g}_\varepsilon(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^\lambda} dx dy \\ & < K \left[\int_\alpha^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \tilde{f}_\varepsilon^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_\alpha^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} \tilde{g}_\varepsilon^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = K (T-\alpha)^{2-\lambda} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $k_\lambda(p) \leq K$, which contradicts the fact that $K < k_\lambda(p)$. It follows that $k_\lambda(p)$ in (3.1) is the best possible.

If there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, such that the constant $k_\lambda(p)$ in (3.2) is not best possible, then there exists k ($0 < k < k_\lambda(p)$), such that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\ & < k \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

For any f, g which are suitable to (3.1), setting $f(t) = g(t) = 0$, for $t \in (T, \infty)$, by (3.5), we still have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\ & < k \left[\int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that $k_\lambda(p)$ is the best possible in (3.1). Hence the constant $k_\lambda(p)$ in (3.2) is the best possible. The theorem is thus proved. ■

For $\lambda = 1, 2, 3$, we have $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ($p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). Hence for $r-p, q$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+u} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} du > \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+u} du = \ln 2, \\ k_1(p) &= B\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta_2(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^2} du = \frac{1}{2}, \quad k_2(p) = B(1, 1) = 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_3(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1+u)^3} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{-\frac{1}{r}} du > \int_0^1 \frac{u}{(1+u)^3} du = \frac{1}{8}, \\ k_3(p) &= \frac{1}{2pq} B\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{(p-1)\pi}{2p^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, the following corollaries hold.

Corollary 1. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, and

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^T f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_{\alpha}^T g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y-2\alpha} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \ln 2 \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \ln 2 \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (T < \infty); \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y-2\alpha} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f^p(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} g^q(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$ in (3.6) and (3.7) is the best possible.

Corollary 2. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda = 2$, $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, and $f(t), g(t) \geq 0$,

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{t-\alpha} f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{t-\alpha} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^2} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{1}{t-\alpha} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{1}{t-\alpha} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (T < \infty), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^2} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-\alpha} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-\alpha} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant 1 in (3.8) and (3.9) is the best possible.

Corollary 3. If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda = 3$, $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, and $f(t), g(t) \geq 0$,

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^3} f^p(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^3} g^q(t) dt < \infty,$$

then we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^3} dx dy \\ & < \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\frac{(p-1)\pi}{2p^2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \right] \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^2} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[\frac{(p-1)\pi}{2p^2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \right] \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^2} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^3} dx dy \\ & < \frac{(p-1)\pi}{2p^2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^2} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(t-\alpha)^2} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $\frac{(p-1)\pi}{2p^2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$ in (3.10) and (3.11) is the best possible.

Since $k_{\lambda}(2) = B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$, $\theta_{\lambda}(2) = \frac{1}{2}B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$, and $\lambda > 2 - \min\{2, 2\} = 0$, we have:

Corollary 4. If $p = q = 2$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha < T \leq \infty$, and $f(t), g(t) \geq 0$,

$$0 < \int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^2(t) dt < \infty, \quad 0 < \int_{\alpha}^T (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^2(t) dt < \infty,$$

then we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^T \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\ & < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^T \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t-\alpha}{T-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (T < \infty); \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y-2\alpha)^{\lambda}} dx dy \\ & < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} f^2(t) dt \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (t-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} g^2(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ in (3.12) and (3.13) is the best possible.

Remark 1. (1)

- (a) Since the constant $k_{\lambda}(p)$ ($\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$) in (3.2) is the best possible, it follows that (3.2) is more accurate an estimate than (1.3).
- (b) For $\alpha = 0$, inequality (3.7) changes to (1.2), hence inequalities (3.7) and (3.2) are new generalisations of (1.2).

- (c) where $T \rightarrow \infty$, (3.1) changes to (3.2), and inequality (3.1) is thus a more extended form of (3.2).
- (d) Inequalities (3.13) and (3.12) are new generalisations of Hilbert's integral inequality, and are new improvements of (1.5) and (1.4).

REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1952.
- [2] D.S. MITRINOVIC, J.E. PEĆARIĆ and A.M. FINK, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [3] MINGZHE GAO and BICHENG YANG, On extended Hilbert's inequality, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **126** (1998), 751-759.
- [4] BICHENG YANG and L. DEBNATH, On new strengthened Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, *Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, **21** (1998), 403-408.
- [5] BICHENG YANG, On generalizations of Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **41** (1998), 839-844.
- [6] JICHANG KUANG, On new extensions of Hilbert's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **235** (1999), 608-614.
- [7] BICHENG YANG, On Hilbert's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **220** (1998), 778-785.
- [8] BICHENG YANG and L. DEBNATH, On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **233** (1999), 484-497.
- [9] BICHENG YANG, On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **248** (2000), 29-40.
- [10] JICHANG KUANG, *Applied Inequalities*, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGDONG EDUCATION COLLEGE, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510303, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: bcyang@public.guangzhou.gd.cn