A COMPANION OF OSTROWSKI’S INEQUALITY FOR
FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION AND APPLICATIONS

S.S. DRAGOMIR

Abstract. A companion of Ostrowski’s inequality for functions of bounded variation and applications are given.

1. Introduction

In [1], the author has proved the following inequality of Ostrowski type for functions of bounded variation.

Theorem 1. Let \( f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a function of bounded variation on \([a, b]\). Denote by \( V_a^b(f) \) its total variation on \([a, b]\). Then, for any \( x \in [a, b] \), one has the inequality:

\[
\left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{x - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| \right] V_a^b(f).
\]

The constant \( \frac{1}{2} \) is best possible in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

The above inequality (1.1) has as a remarkable particular case, the mid-point inequality.

The corresponding version for the generalised trapezoid inequality was obtained in [2].

Theorem 2. With the assumptions in Theorem 1, one has the inequality

\[
\left| \frac{(x-a)f(a) + (b-x)f(b)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{x - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| \right] V_a^b(f)
\]

for any \( x \in [a, b] \).

Here the constant \( \frac{1}{2} \) is also best possible.

The above inequality (1.2) incorporates the trapezoid inequality.

Recently, Guessab and Schmeisser [3], in the effort of incorporating together the mid-point and trapezoid inequality, have proved amongst others, the following companion of Ostrowski’s inequality.
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Theorem 3. Assume that the function \( f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is of \( M - r \)-Hölder type with \( r \in (0, 1] \), i.e.,
\[
|f(t) - f(s)| \le M |t - s|^r \quad \text{for any } t, s \in [a, b].
\]
Then, for each \( x \in \left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \), one has the inequality
\[
\left| \frac{f(x) + f(a + b - x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \le \left[ \frac{2r+1}{2^r (r + 1)} \right] M.
\]

This inequality is sharp for each admissible \( x \). Equality is obtained if and only if
\[
f = \pm M f_* + c,
\]
with \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and
\[
f_*(t) = \begin{cases} (x-t)^r, & \text{for } a \le t \le x \\ (t-x)^r, & \text{for } x \le t \le \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \\ f_*(a+b-t), & \text{for } \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \le t \le b. \end{cases}
\]

Remark 1. For \( r = 1 \), i.e., \( f \) is Lipschitzian with the constant \( L > 0 \), and since
\[
4 \frac{(x-a)^2 + (a+b-2x)^2}{4(b-a)^2} = \left( \frac{1}{8} + 2 \left( \frac{x - \frac{3a+b}{4}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right) (b-a)
\]
then, by (1.4), we get the following companion of Ostrowski’s inequality for Lipschitzian functions
\[
\left| \frac{f(x) + f(a + b - x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \le \left[ \frac{1}{8} + 2 \left( \frac{x - \frac{3a+b}{4}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] (b-a) L,
\]
for any \( x \in \left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \).

The constant \( \frac{1}{8} \) is best possible in (1.6) in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

By substituting \( x = \frac{3a+b}{4} \) into the above inequality, we obtain the following trapezoid type inequality, which is the best in the class,
\[
\left| f\left( \frac{3a+b}{4} \right) + f\left( \frac{a+3b}{4} \right) \right| \le \left[ \frac{1}{8} \right] (b-a) L.
\]
The constant \( \frac{1}{8} \) here is also best possible in the above sense.

For a recent monograph devoted to Ostrowski type inequalities, see [6].

The main aim of this paper is to provide a sharp bound for the difference
\[
\frac{f(x) + f(a + b - x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt,
\]
where \( f \) is assumed to be of bounded variation. Some applications are also pointed out.
2. Some Integral Inequalities

The following identity holds.

**Lemma 1.** Assume that the function \( f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is of bounded variation on \([a, b]\). Then we have the equality

\[
\frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(a + b - x)] - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{b-a} \left[ \int_a^x (t-a) \, df(t) + \int_x^{\frac{a+b-x}{2}} \left( t-a-b \right) df(t) + \int_{\frac{a+b-x}{2}}^b (t-b) \, df(t) \right]
\]

for any \( x \in \left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]\).

**Proof.** Obviously, all the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals from the right hand side of (2.1) exist because the functions \((· - a)\), \((· - \frac{a+b}{2})\) and \((· - b)\) are continuous on these intervals and \(f\) is of bounded variation.

Using the integration by parts formula for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, we have, for any \( x \in \left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]\), that

\[
\int_a^x (t-a) \, df(t) = f(x)(x-a) - \int_a^x f(t) \, dt,
\]

\[
\int_x^{\frac{a+b-x}{2}} \left( t-a-b \right) df(t) = f(a+b-x) \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right) - f(x) \left( x-a-b \right) - \int_x^{\frac{a+b-x}{2}} f(t) \, dt
\]

and

\[
\int_{\frac{a+b-x}{2}}^b (t-b) \, df(t) = (x-a) f(a+b-x) - \int_{\frac{a+b-x}{2}}^b f(t) \, dt.
\]

Summing the above equalities we deduce (2.1).

**Remark 2.** A version of this identity for piecewise continuously differentiable functions has been obtained in [3, Lemma 3.2].

The following companion of Ostrowski’s inequality holds.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that the function \( f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is of bounded variation on \([a, b]\). Then we have the inequalities:

\[
\frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(a + b - x)] - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left[ (x-a) \left( \mathcal{V}_a^x(f) \right)^{a+b-x} + \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right) \mathcal{V}_x^{a+b-x}(f) + (x-a) \mathcal{V}_{a+b-x}^b(f) \right]
\]
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\left[ \frac{1}{4} + \left| \frac{x - 3a + b}{b - a} \right| \right]^b a \\
\leq \left[ \frac{2}{b} \left( \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right)^{\alpha} + \left( \frac{a + b - x}{b - a} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\
\times \left[ \frac{\max \{x, a \} (f)}{a} \right]^\beta + \left[ \frac{\max \{a + b - x, a \} (f)}{a + b - x} \right]^\beta \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \text{ if } \alpha > 1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1
\end{array} \right.
\]

for any \( x \in [a, b] \), where \( \max \{x, a \} \) denotes the total variation of \( f \) on \( [c, d] \). The constant \( \frac{1}{4} \) is best possible in the first branch of the second inequality in (2.2).

**Proof.** We use the fact that for a continuous function \( p : [c, d] \to \mathbb{R} \) and a function \( v : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) of bounded variation, one has the inequality

\[
\left| \int_c^d p(t) dv(t) \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [c, d]} |p(t)| \int_c^d (v).
\]

Taking the modulus in (2.1) we have

\[
\left| \frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(a + b - x)] - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \left[ \int_a^x (t - a) df(t) \right] + \left| \int_a^b t - \frac{a + b}{2} df(t) \right| + \left| \int_b^{a + b - x} (t - b) df(t) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{b - a} \left[ (x - a) \left( f + \left( \frac{a + b}{2} - x \right) \frac{a + b - x}{a + b - x} \right) f + (x - a) \frac{b}{a + b - x} f \right] =: M(x)
\]

and the first inequality in (2.2) is obtained.

Now, observe that

\[
M(x) \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \max \left\{ x - a, \frac{a + b}{2} - x \right\} \left[ \frac{x}{a} f + \frac{a + b - x}{a + b - x} f + \frac{b}{a + b - x} f \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{b - a} \left[ \frac{1}{4} (b - a) + \left| x - \frac{3a + b}{4} \right| \right] a (f)
\]

and the first branch in the second inequality in (2.2) is proved.
Using Hölder’s discrete inequality we have (for $\alpha > 1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$) that

$$M(x) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left[ (x-a)^\alpha + \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right)^\alpha + (x-a)^\alpha \right] \frac{1}{\beta} \times \left[ \frac{1}{b} \left( \max_{[a,b]} f(x) \right) + \left( \frac{b}{a+b-x} \right)^\beta + \left( \max_{[a+b-x]} f(x) \right) + (x-a) \right],$$

giving the second branch in the second inequality.

Finally, we have

$$M(x) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \max \left\{ \frac{x}{1}, \frac{a+b-x}{2}, \frac{b}{1} \right\} \times \left[ (x-a) + \left( \frac{a+b}{2} - x \right) + (x-a) \right],$$

which is equivalent with the last inequality in (2.2).

The sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ in the first branch of the second inequality in (2.2) will be proved in a particular case later.

**Corollary 1.** With the assumptions in Theorem 4, one has the trapezoid inequality

(2.4) \[ \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_a^b (f). \]

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible in (2.4).

**Proof.** Follows from the first inequality in (2.2) on choosing $x = a$. For the sharpness of the constant, assume that (2.4) holds with a constant $A > 0$, i.e.,

(2.5) \[ \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq A \max_a^b (f). \]

If we choose $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in (a, b), \\ 1 & \text{if } x = b, \end{cases}$$

then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and

$$\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} = 1, \quad \int_a^b f(t) \, dt = 0, \quad \max_a^b (f) = 2,$$

giving in (2.5) $1 \leq 2A$, thus $A \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and the corollary is proved.

**Remark 3.** The inequality (2.4) was first proved in a different manner in [4].
Corollary 2. With the assumptions in Theorem 4 one has the midpoint inequality

\[
\left| f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a} (f).
\]

The constant \( \frac{1}{2} \) is best possible in (2.6).

**Proof.** Follows from the first inequality in (2.2) on choosing \( x = \frac{a+b}{2} \). For the sharpness of the constant, assume that (2.6) holds with a constant \( B > 0 \), i.e.,

\[
\left| f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq B \sqrt{a} (f).
\]

If we choose \( f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) with

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}], \\
1 & \text{if } x = \frac{a+b}{2}, \\
0 & \text{if } x \in \left( \frac{a+b}{2}, b \right],
\end{cases}
\]

then \( f \) is of bounded variation on \([a, b]\), and

\[
f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) = 1, \quad \int_a^b f(t) \, dt = 0, \quad \sqrt{a} (f) = 2,
\]

giving in (2.7), \( 1 \leq 2B \), thus \( B \geq \frac{1}{2} \).

**Remark 4.** The inequality (2.6) was firstly proved in a different manner in [5].

The best inequality we may get from Theorem 4 on using the bound provided by the first branch in the second inequality in (2.2) is incorporated in the following corollary.

Corollary 3. With the assumptions in Theorem 4, one has the inequality:

\[
\left| f \left( \frac{3a+b}{4} \right) + f \left( \frac{a+3b}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{a} (f).
\]

The constant \( \frac{1}{4} \) is best possible.

**Proof.** Follows by Theorem 4 on choosing \( x = \frac{3a+b}{4} \).

To prove the sharpness of the constant \( \frac{1}{4} \), assume that (2.8) holds with a constant \( C > 0 \), i.e.,

\[
\left| f \left( \frac{3a+b}{4} \right) + f \left( \frac{a+3b}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq C \sqrt{a} (f).
\]

Consider the function \( f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \), given by

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x \in \left\{ \frac{3a+b}{4}, \frac{a+3b}{4} \right\}, \\
0 & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \setminus \left\{ \frac{3a+b}{4}, \frac{a+3b}{4} \right\}.
\end{cases}
\]
A Companion of Ostrowski’s Inequality

Then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, 

$$
\frac{f \left( \frac{3a+b}{4} \right) + f \left( \frac{a+3b}{4} \right)}{2} = 1, \quad \int_a^b f(t) \, dt = 0
$$

and

$$
\sqrt[b]{f} = 4,
$$

giving in (2.9) $4C \geq 1$, thus $C \geq \frac{1}{4}$.

This example can be used to prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ in (2.2) as well.

3. Applications for P.D.F.’s

Let $X$ be a random variable taking values in the finite interval $[a, b]$, with the probability density function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and with the cumulative distribution function $F(x) = \text{Pr}(X \leq x) = \int_a^x f(t) \, dt$.

We may state the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** With the above assumptions, we have the inequality

$$
\left| \frac{1}{2} \left[ F(x) + F(a + b - x) \right] - \frac{b - E(X)}{b - a} \right| 
\leq \frac{1}{b - a} \left\{ \left( 2x - \frac{3a + b}{4} \right) \left[ F(x) - F(a + b - x) \right] + (x - a) \right\}
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{x - 3a + b}{b - a} \right|
$$

for any $x \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}]$, where $E(X)$ denotes the expectation of $X$.

**Proof.** If we apply Theorem 4 for $F$, which is monotonic nondecreasing, we get

$$
\left| \frac{1}{2} \left[ F(x) + F(a + b - x) \right] - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b F(t) \, dt \right| 
\leq \frac{1}{b - a} \left[ (x - a) F(x) + \left( \frac{a + b}{2} - x \right) (F(a + b - x) - F(x)) 
+ (x - a) \left( 1 - F(a + b - x) \right) \right]
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{x - 3a + b}{b - a} \right|
$$

Since

$$
E(X) = \int_a^b t \, dF(t) = b - \int_a^b F(t) \, dt,
$$

then by (3.2) we get (3.1) and the theorem is proved.

In particular, we have:

**Corollary 4.** With the above assumptions, we have:

$$
\left| \frac{1}{2} \left[ F \left( \frac{3a + b}{4} \right) + F \left( \frac{a + 3b}{4} \right) \right] - \frac{b - E(X)}{b - a} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}.
$$
4. A Composite Quadrature Formula

Let $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b$ be a division of the interval $[a, b]$ and $h_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$) and $\nu (I_n) := \max \{h_i | i = 0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Consider the composite quadrature rule

$$Q_n (I_n, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ f \left( \frac{3x_i + x_{i+1}}{4} \right) + f \left( \frac{x_i + 3x_{i+1}}{4} \right) \right] h_i.$$  

The following result holds.

**Theorem 6.** Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Then we have

$$\int_a^b f (t) \, dt = Q_n (I_n, f) + R_n (I_n, f)$$

where $Q_n (I_n, f)$ is defined in formula (4.1), and the remainder $R_n (I_n, f)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|R_n (I_n, f)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \nu (I_n) \bigg( \frac{b-a}{2n} \bigg) \nu (f).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible.

**Proof.** Applying Corollary 3 on the interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ we may state that

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f (t) \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f \left( \frac{3x_i + x_{i+1}}{4} \right) + f \left( \frac{x_i + 3x_{i+1}}{4} \right) \right] h_i \leq \frac{1}{4} h_i \nu (f),$$

for any $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Summing the inequality (4.4) over $i$ from 0 to $n-1$, and using the generalised triangle inequality we get

$$|R_n (I_n, f)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i \nu (f) \leq \frac{1}{4} \nu (I_n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i \nu (f) = \frac{1}{4} \nu (I_n) b \nu (f),$$

and the proof is completed. 

For the particular case when the division $I_n$ is equidistant, i.e., $I_n : x_i = a + \frac{b-a}{n} \cdot i, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n,$

we may consider the quadrature rule:

$$Q_n (f) := \frac{b-a}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ f \left[ a + \frac{4i+1}{4n} (b-a) \right] + f \left[ a + \frac{4i+3}{4n} (b-a) \right] \right\}.$$

The following corollary will be more useful in practice.

**Corollary 5.** With the assumption of Theorem 6, we have

$$\int_a^b f (t) \, dt = Q_n (f) + R_n (f),$$
where $Q_n(f)$ is defined by (4.5) and the remainder $R_n(f)$ satisfies the estimate

\[
|R_n(f)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b-a}{n} \int_a^b (f) .
\]

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp.

**Remark 5.** If one is interested in finding the minimal number of points for the equidistant partition $I_n$ so that the theoretical error in (4.7) is smaller than $\varepsilon > 0$, then this number $n_\varepsilon$ is given by

\[
n_\varepsilon := \left\lceil \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{b-a}{\varepsilon} \int_a^b (f) \right\rceil + 1.
\]
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