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#### Abstract

Using sharp inequalities of trapezoid and midpoint type in terms of the infinum and supremum of the derivative, some new and better approximation of $f$-divergence are given. Application for some particular instances are also mentioned.


## 1. Introduction

A common situation in Information Theory is the following. Two probability distributions $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ are defined over an alphabet $\left\{a_{i} \mid i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\}, p_{i}, q_{i}$ being the point probabilities associated with event $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. For example, $p, q$ might represent a priori and a posteriori probability distributions associated with the alphabet.

It is useful to be able to quantify in some way the difference between such distributions $p, q$. A number of ways have been suggested for doing this. Thus the variational distance ( $l_{1}$-distance) and information divergence (Kullback-Leibler divergence [1) are defined respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
V(p, q) & :=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}-q_{i}\right|  \tag{1.1}\\
D(p, q) & :=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Csizar [3] - 4] has introduced a versatile functional from which subsumes a number of the more popular choices of divergence measures, including those mentioned above. For a convex function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$, the $f$-divergence between $p$ and $q$ is defined by (see also [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(p, q):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to invoke as a benchmark the chi-squared discrepancy measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}}-1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which arises from (1.3) as the particular case $f(x)=(x-1)^{2}$.

[^0]Most common choices of $f$, like the above, satisfy $f(1)=0$, so that $I_{f}(q, p)=0$. Convexity then ensures that $I_{f}(q, p)$ is nonnegative. However, as noted in [2], some additional flexibility for applications can be achieved by not insisting on convexity.

For other properties of $f$-divergence and applications, see [6] and the references therin.

By the use of mid-point inequality, the following result may be stated (see also [7)
Theorem 1. Assume that $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ are probability distributions satisfying the assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0 \leq r \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq R \leq \infty \quad \text { (where } r \leq 1 \leq R\right) \text { for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is so that is locally absolutely continuous in $[r, R)$ and $f^{\prime \prime} \in$ $L_{\infty}[r, R)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(p, q)-f(1)-I_{f_{b}}(p, q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{b}(x)=(x-1) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right), x \in[r, R)$.
Using Iyengar inequality that provides a refinement of the trapezoid inequality, the following result also holds 8

Theorem 2. With the assumptions in Theorem 1 one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{f}(p, q)-f(1)-\frac{1}{2} I_{f_{\#}}(p, q)\right|  \tag{1.7}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)-\frac{1}{4\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty}} I_{f_{0}}(p, q) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{\#}(x)=(x-1) f^{\prime}(x)$ and $f_{0}(x)=\left|f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(1)\right|^{2}, x \in[r, R)$.
In this paper similar bounds are provided when information about $\gamma=\inf _{t \in[r, R)} f^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $\Gamma=\sup _{t \in[r, R)} f^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are assumed to be known.

Applications for particular instances of $f$-divergences are also pointed out.

## 2. Some General Bounds for $f$-Divergence

The following analytic inequality is useful in the following. It has been obtained in [9] with a different proof than provided here for the sake of completeness.
Lemma 1. Let $\varphi:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$ with the property that there exists the constants $m, M \in R$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq M \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\varphi(a)+\varphi(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(M-m)(b-a) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{8}$ is best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced by a smaller constant.

Proof. Start to the following identity that obviously holds integrating by parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(a)+\varphi(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d(t)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right) d t
$$

and since

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \text { for all } t \in[a, b]
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{b-a}\left|\int_{a}^{b}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right) d t\right|  \tag{2.4}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{M-m}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d t \\
= & \frac{M-m}{8}(b-a) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the case of equality in $\sqrt[2.2]{ }$ is realised for the absolutely continuous function $\varphi_{0}:[a, b] \rightarrow m, \quad \varphi_{0}(t)=k\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|, k>0$, the sharpness of the constant easily follows, and we omit the details.

For a differentiable function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$, consider the associated function $f_{\#}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\#}(u):=(u-1) f^{\prime}(u), \quad u \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result holds.
Theorem 3. Assume that $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ are probability distributions satisfying the assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq r \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq R \leq \infty \quad(\text { where } r \leq 1 \leq R) \text { for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is so that $f^{\prime}$ is locally absolutely continuous on $[\gamma, R)$ and there exists the real numbers $\gamma, \Gamma$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leq f^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \Gamma \quad \text { for all } t \in(r, R) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(p, q)-f(1)-\frac{1}{2} I_{f_{\#}}(p, q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(\Gamma-\gamma) D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying the inequality 2.2 for $\varphi(t)=f^{\prime}(t), b=x \in(r, R), a=1, M=\Gamma$ and $m=\gamma$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-f(1)-\frac{1}{2}(x-1)\left(f^{\prime}(1)+f^{\prime}(x)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(\Gamma-\gamma)(x-1)^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in(r, R)$ (and if $\gamma=0$ and $R=\infty$, for any $x \in(0, \infty)$ ).

Choose in 2.9 r $r=\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and multiply by $q_{i} \geq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)-f(1) q_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) f^{\prime}(1) q_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) q_{i}\right|  \tag{2.10}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{8}(\Gamma-\gamma) q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. If we sum in 2.10 over $i$ from 1 to $n$ and take into account that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=1$, then by the generalized triangle inequality we deduce the desired result 2.8 .

Remark 1. The inequality (2.8) is an improvement of (1.6) since $0 \leq \Gamma-\gamma \leq$ $2\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty}$.

To establish our second result, we need the following inequality obtained in 9 for which we give here a simple direct proof.
Lemma 2. Assume that $\varphi$ is as in Lemma 1. Then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(M-m)(b-a) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{8}$ is best possible in the sense mentioned in Lemma 1.
Proof. Start to the following identity that obviously holds integrating by parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d(t)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} K(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t) d(t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
t-a & \text { if } \quad t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \\
t-b & \text { if } & t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since

$$
\int_{a}^{b} K(t) d(t)=0
$$

we observe that

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} K(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t) d(t)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} K(t)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right) d(t)
$$

and since

$$
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{b-a}\left|\int_{a}^{b} K(t)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right) d t\right|  \tag{2.13}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{M-m}{2} \int_{a}^{b}|K(t)| d t \\
= & \frac{1}{8}(M-m)(b-a)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the case of equality in 2.11 is realised for the absolutely continuous function $\varphi_{0}:[a, b] \rightarrow R, \varphi_{0}(t)=k\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|, k>0$, the sharpness of the constant is proved and we omit the details.

For a differentiable function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$, consider now the associated function $f_{b}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}(x):=(x-1) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result holds.
Theorem 4. Assume that $p, q, f, \gamma$ and $\Gamma$ are as in Theorem 2. Then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(p, q)-f(1)-I_{f_{b}}(p, q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(\Gamma-\gamma) D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying the inequality 2.11) for $\varphi(t)=f^{\prime}(t), b=x \in(r, R), a=1, M=\Gamma$ and $m=\gamma$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-f(1)-(x-1) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}(\Gamma-\gamma)(x-1)^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in(r, R)$ (and if $r=0$ and $R=\infty$, for any $x \in(0, \infty)$ ).
Making use of the same argument utilized in the proof of Theorem 2, we deduce the desired result 2.15).

Remark 2. The inequality (2.15) provides a different bound then (1.2). The bound provided by 2.15) is better then the second bound in 1.7) since in general $0 \leq$ $\Gamma-\gamma \leq 2\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{[r, R), \infty}$.

## 3. Applications

(1) The Kullback-Leibler divergence $D(p, q)$ is generated by the convex function $f(u)=u \ln u, u \in(0, \infty)$. Obviously

$$
f_{\#}(u)=(u-1) \ln u+u-1, \quad u \in(0, \infty)
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{f_{\#}}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)+\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \\
& =D(p, q)+D(q, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe also that $f^{\prime \prime}(u)=\frac{1}{u}$ and if $0<r \leq u \leq R \leq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$; then

$$
\frac{1}{R} \leq f^{\prime \prime}(u) \leq \frac{1}{r}, \quad \text { for } \quad u \in[r, R]
$$

Using the inequality 2.8 we deduce

$$
\left|D(p, q)-\frac{1}{2}[D(p, q)+D(q, p)]\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{R}\right) D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

giving the following inequality

$$
|D(p, q)-D(q, p)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{R-r}{r R} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

for any $p, q$ probability distributions provided

$$
0<r \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq R<\infty, \text { for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Now observe that

$$
f_{b}(u):=(u-1) \ln \left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)+u-1, \quad u \in(0, \infty)
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{f_{b}}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \ln \left(\frac{1+\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}{2}\right)+\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \ln \left(\frac{q_{i}+p_{i}}{2 q_{i}}\right)=: K(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing (2.15 we can conclude that

$$
|D(p, q)-K(q, p)| \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{R-r}{r R} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

provided $p, q$ satisfy (3.2).
(2) Consider the convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R, f(x)=-\ln x$. Then

$$
I_{f}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(-\ln \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \ln \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)=D(q, p) .
$$

Observe also that

$$
f_{\#}(u)=\frac{1-u}{u}
$$

We have

$$
I_{f_{\#}}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{1-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{p_{i}}-1=D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q) .
$$

Since $f^{\prime \prime}(u)=\frac{1}{u^{2}}$ and for $0<r \leq u \leq R<\infty$ one has $\frac{1}{R^{2}} \leq f^{\prime \prime}(u) \leq \frac{1}{r^{2}}$, then by inequality 2.2 we deduce

$$
\left|D(p, q)-\frac{1}{2} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)\right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{r^{2} R^{2}} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

provided $p, q$ satisfy (3.2).
Now, observe that

$$
f_{b}(u)=\frac{2(1-u)}{u+1}
$$

For this function we have

$$
I_{f_{b}}(p, q)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{1-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}+1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)}{\frac{q_{i}+p_{i}}{2}}=: L(p, q)
$$

Using the inequality 2.15 we deduce

$$
|D(p, q)-L(p, q)| \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{r^{2} R^{2}} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

provided $p, q$ satisfy (3.2).
(3) Consider the function $f(u)=\sqrt{1+u^{2}}-\frac{1+u}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then $f^{\prime}(u)=\frac{u}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(u)=\frac{1}{\left(1+u^{2}\right) \sqrt{1+u^{2}}}$.

The $f$-divergence introduced by this function is the "perimeter divergence" and has been considered in 1982 by F. Österreicher [10]. We obviously have

$$
P(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1+\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i}^{2}+q_{i}^{2}}-\sqrt{2}
$$

Observe that

$$
f_{\#}(u)=(u-1) f^{\prime}(u)=\frac{u(u-1)}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(u-1)
$$

and thus
(3.7) $I_{f \#}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \frac{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right)}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{2}}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}}$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}+q_{i}^{2}-p_{i} q_{i}-q_{i}^{2}}{\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(p, q) & =\sqrt{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{p_{i}^{2}+q_{i}^{2}}-\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{q_{i}^{2}+p_{i}^{2}}}\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (3.2), we have

$$
I_{f \#}(p, q)=P(p, q)+S(p, q)
$$

We also observe that $0 \leq f^{\prime \prime}(u) \leq 1$ for any $u \in[0, \infty)$, and thus by 2.8 one has the inequality

$$
|P(p, q)-S(p, q)| \leq \frac{1}{4} D_{\chi^{2}}(p, q)
$$

for any $p, q$ probability distributions.
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