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#### Abstract

Some inequalities of Landau type for functions whose derivates satisfy Hölder's condition are pointed out.


## 1. Introduction

Let $I=\mathbb{R}_{+}$or $I=\mathbb{R}$. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and $f, f^{\prime \prime} \in L_{p}(I), p \in$ $[1, \infty]$, then $f^{\prime} \in L_{p}(I)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_{p}(I)>0$ independent of the function $f$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p, I} \leq C_{p}(I)\|f\|_{p, I}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p, I}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p, I}$ is the $p$-norm on the interval $I$, i.e, we recall

$$
\|h\|_{\infty, I}:=e s s \sup _{t \in I}|h(t)|
$$

and

$$
\|h\|_{p, I}:=\left(\int_{I}|h(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

if $p \in[1, \infty)$.
The investigation of such inequalities was initiated by E. Landau [1] in 1913. He considered the case $p=\infty$ and showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})=\sqrt{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the best constants for which (1.1) holds.
In 1932, G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood [2] proved (1.1) for $p=2$, with the best constants

$$
C_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\sqrt{2} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2}(\mathbb{R})=1
$$

In 1935, G.H. Hardy, E. Landau and J.E. Littlewood [3] showed that the best constant $C_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$in (1.1) satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text { for } \quad p \in[1, \infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields $C_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \leq 2$ for $p \in[1, \infty)$. Actually $C_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \leq \sqrt{2}$ (see [4] by R.R. Kallman and G.-C. Rota and [5] by Z. Ditzian).

For other results concerning this problem, see Chapter I of [7].

[^0]2. Some Results for $f$ Bounded and $f^{\prime}$ Hölder Continuous

The following lemma is useful in what follows.
Lemma 1. Let $C, D>0$ and $r, u \in(0,1]$. Consider the function $g_{r, u}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r, u}(\lambda)=\frac{C}{\lambda^{u}}+D \lambda^{r} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\lambda_{0}:=\left(\frac{u C}{r D}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+u}} \in(0, \infty)$. Then, for $\lambda_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ we have the bound

$$
\inf _{\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right]} g_{r, u}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{r+u}{u^{\frac{u}{r+u} \cdot r^{\frac{r}{r+u}}} \cdot C^{\frac{r}{r+u}} \cdot D^{\frac{u}{r+u}}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{0}  \tag{2.2}\\ \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}^{u}}+D \lambda_{1}^{r} & \text { if } 0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We observe that

$$
g_{r, u}^{\prime}(\lambda)=\frac{r D \lambda^{r+u}-C u}{\lambda^{u+1}}, \quad \lambda \in(0, \infty)
$$

The unique solution of the equation $g_{r, u}^{\prime}(\lambda)=0, \lambda \in(0, \infty)$ is $\lambda_{0}=\left(\frac{u C}{r D}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+u}} \in$ $(0, \infty)$. The function $g_{r, u}$ is decreasing on $\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ and increasing on $\left(\lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$. The global minimum for $g_{r, u}$ on $(0, \infty)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{r, u}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) & =\frac{C}{\left(\frac{u C}{r D}\right)^{\frac{u}{r+u}}}+D\left(\frac{u C}{r D}\right)^{\frac{r}{r+u}}=\frac{C(r D)^{\frac{u}{r+u}}}{(u C)^{\frac{u}{r+u}}}+\frac{D(u C)^{\frac{r}{r+u}}}{(r D)^{\frac{r}{r+u}}} \\
& =\frac{C r D+D u C}{(u C)^{\frac{u}{r+u}}(r D)^{\frac{r}{r+u}}}=\frac{C D(r+u)}{u^{\frac{u}{r+u}} \cdot r^{\frac{r}{r+u}} \cdot C^{\frac{u}{r+u}} \cdot D^{\frac{r}{r+u}}} \\
& =\frac{r+u}{u^{\frac{u}{r+u}} \cdot r^{\frac{r}{r+u}}} C^{\frac{r}{r+u}} \cdot D^{\frac{u}{r+u}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves that equality (2.2)
The following particular cases are useful:
Corollary 1. Let $C, D>0$ and $r \in(0,1]$. Consider the function $g_{r}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
g_{r}(\lambda)=\frac{C}{\lambda}+D \lambda^{r}
$$

Define $\overline{\lambda_{0}}=\left(\frac{C}{r D}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \in(0, \infty)$. Then for $\lambda_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ one has

$$
\inf _{\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right]} g_{r}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{r+1}{r^{\frac{r}{r+1}}} \cdot C^{\frac{r}{r+1}} \cdot D^{\frac{1}{r+1}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \geq \overline{\lambda_{0}}  \tag{2.3}\\ \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}}+D \lambda_{1}^{r} & \text { if } 0<\lambda_{1}<\overline{\lambda_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $C, D>0$ and $u \in(0,1]$. Consider the function $g_{u}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
g_{u}(\lambda)=\frac{C}{\lambda^{u}}+D \lambda
$$

Define $\widetilde{\lambda_{0}}=\left(\frac{u C}{D}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+u}} \in(0, \infty)$. Then for $\lambda_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ one has

$$
\inf _{\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right]} g_{u}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\frac{1+u}{u^{\frac{u}{1+u}}} \cdot C^{\frac{1}{1+u}} \cdot D^{\frac{u}{1+u}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \geq \widetilde{\lambda_{0}}  \tag{2.4}\\ \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}^{u}}+D \lambda_{1} & \text { if } 0<\lambda_{1}<\widetilde{\lambda_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

Remark 1. If $r=u=1$ then the following bound holds

$$
\inf _{\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right]}\left(\frac{C}{\lambda}+D \lambda\right)= \begin{cases}2 \sqrt{C D} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \geq \sqrt{\frac{C}{D}}  \tag{2.5}\\ \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}}+D \lambda_{1} & \text { if } 0<\lambda_{1}<\sqrt{\frac{C}{D}}\end{cases}
$$

The following theorem holds:
Theorem 1. Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally absolutely continuous function on $I$. If $f \in L_{\infty}(I)$ and the derivative $f^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Hölder condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq H|t-s|^{r} \text { for any } t, s \in I \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H>0$ and $r \in(0,1]$ are given, then $f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}(I)$ and one has the inequalities

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{\frac{r}{r+1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{r}{r+1}}\|f\|_{I, \infty}^{\frac{r}{r+1}} H^{\frac{1}{r+1}}  \tag{2.7}\\
\text { if } m(I) \geq 2^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}}\left(\frac{\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \\
\frac{4\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{m(I)}+\frac{H}{2^{r}(r+1)}[m(I)]^{r} \\
\text { if } 0 \leq m(I) \leq 2^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}}\left(\frac{\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We start with the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=f(a)+(t-a) f^{\prime}(a)+\int_{a}^{t}\left[f^{\prime}(s)-f^{\prime}(a)\right] d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq\left|\frac{f(t)-f(a)}{t-a}\right|+\frac{1}{|t-a|}\left|\int_{a}^{t}\right| f^{\prime}(s)-f^{\prime}(a)|d s|, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$ and a.e. $a \in I, t \neq a$.
Since $f^{\prime}$ is of $r-H$-Hölder type, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{t}\right| f^{\prime}(s)-f^{\prime}(a) d s|\leq H| \int_{a}^{t}|s-a|^{r} d s\left|=\frac{H}{r+1}\right| t-\left.a\right|^{r+1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So then by (2.9) and (2.10) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \frac{|f(t)-f(a)|}{|t-a|}+\frac{H}{r+1}|t-a|^{r} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$ and a.e. $a \in I, t \neq a$.
Since $f \in L_{\infty}(I)$, then by (2.11) we obviously get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{|t-a|}+\frac{H}{r+1}|t-a|^{r} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$ and a.e. $a \in I, t \neq a$.

Now observe that for any $a \in I$ and any $s \in\left(0, \frac{m(I)}{2}\right)$ there exists a $t \in I$ so that $s=|t-a|$ and then, by (2.12), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{s}+\frac{H}{r+1} s^{r} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $a \in I$ and every $s \in\left(0, \frac{m(I)}{2}\right)$. By taking the inequality (2.13) to the infimum over $s$ on $\left(0, \frac{m(I)}{2}\right)$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \inf _{s \in\left(0, \frac{m(I)}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{s}+\frac{H}{r+1} s^{r}\right]=K \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $a \in I$.
If we take the essential supremum over $a \in I$ in (2.14), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq K \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of Corollary 1, we get

$$
K= \begin{cases}\frac{r+1}{r^{\frac{r}{r+1}}}\left(2\|f\|_{I, \infty}\right)^{\frac{r}{r+1}}\left(\frac{H}{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} & \text { if } \frac{m(I)}{2} \geq\left(\frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}(r+1)}{r H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \\ \frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{\frac{m(I)}{2}}+\frac{H}{r+1} \cdot\left(\frac{m(I)}{2}\right)^{r} & \text { if } 0<\frac{m(I)}{2}<\left(\frac{2\|f\|_{I, \infty}(r+1)}{r H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\end{cases}
$$

giving the desired result (2.7).
The following result also holds
Corollary 3. With the assumption in Theorem 1 and if $f^{\prime}$ is L-Lipschitz then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq \begin{cases}2 \sqrt{\|f\|_{I, \infty} \cdot L} & \text { if } m(I) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{L}}  \tag{2.16}\\ \frac{4\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{m(I)}+\frac{H}{4} m(I) & \text { if } 0<m(I) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\|f\|_{I, \infty}}{L}}\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2. This result was obtained by Niculescu and Buşe in [6], see Theorem 3.

## 3. Some Bounds for $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ Hölder Continuous

The following result also holds:
Theorem 2. Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally absolutely continuous function on $I$. If $f$ is $l-K$-Hölder type, i.e. it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)-f(s)| \leq K|t-s|^{l} \quad \text { for any } t, s, \in \stackrel{\circ}{I} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K>0$ and $l \in(0,1)$ are given, and the derivative $f^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Hölder condition (2.6), then $f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}(I)$ and one has the inequality

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1-l+r}{(1-l)^{\frac{1-l}{1-l+r} \cdot r^{\frac{r}{1-l+r}} \cdot(r+1)^{\frac{1-l}{r+1-l}}} K^{\frac{r}{r+1-l}} \cdot H^{\frac{1-l}{r+1-l}}} \begin{array}{c}
\text { if } m(I) \geq 2\left[\frac{(1-l) K}{H}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}} \\
\frac{2(1-l) K}{[m(I)]^{1-l}}+\frac{H}{2^{r}(r+1)}[m(I)]^{r} \\
\text { if } 0<m(I)<2\left[\frac{(1-l) K}{H}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}}
\end{array} . \tag{3.2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We know (see the proof of Theorem 1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \frac{|f(t)-f(a)|}{|t-a|}+\frac{H}{r+1}|t-a|^{r} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$ and a.e. $a \in I$ with $a \neq t$.
Using the assumption that (3.1) holds, then, by (3.3) we may write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \frac{K}{|t-a|^{1-l}}+\frac{H}{r+1}|t-a|^{r} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in I$ and a.e. $a \in I$ with $t \neq a$.
Using a similar argument to the one in Theorem 1, we may conclude that $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq S$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\inf _{\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{m(I)}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{K}{\lambda^{1-l}}+\frac{H}{r+1} \lambda^{r}\right] \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{1-l+r}{(1-l)^{\frac{1}{1-l+r} \cdot r^{\frac{r}{1-l+r}}} K^{\frac{r}{r+1-l}} \cdot\left(\frac{H}{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1-l}{r+1-l}}} & \text { if } \frac{m(I)}{2} \geq\left[\frac{(1-l) K}{r \frac{H}{r+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}} \\
\frac{K}{\left(\frac{m(I)}{2}\right)^{1-l}}+\frac{H}{r+1}\left(\frac{m(I)}{2}\right)^{r} & \text { if } 0<\frac{m(I)}{2} \leq\left[\frac{(1-l) K}{r \cdot \frac{H}{r+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-l+r}}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

from where we deduce the desired inequality (3.2).
The following corollary is useful.
Corollary 4. Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally absolutely continuous function on $I$. If $f^{\prime} \in L_{p}(I), p>1$ and the derivative $f^{\prime}$ satisfies the Hölder condition (2.6), then $f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}(I)$ and one has the inequality:

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{p r+1}{p^{\frac{p r}{p r+1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\frac{p r}{p r+1}} \cdot(r+1)^{\frac{1}{p r+1}}}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p}^{\frac{p r}{p r+1}} H^{\frac{1}{p r+1}}  \tag{3.5}\\
\text { if } m(I) \geq 2\left[\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p}}{p H}\right]^{\frac{p}{p r+1}} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{p}{p r+1}} ; \\
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p} \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{p}}}{[m(I)]^{\frac{1}{p}}}+\frac{H}{2^{r}(r+1)}[m(I)]^{r} \\
\text { if } 0<m(I)<2\left[\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p}}{p H}\right]^{\frac{p}{p r+1}} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{p}{p r+1}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. If $f^{\prime} \in L_{p}(I)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(b)-f(a)| & =\left|\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq\left|\int_{a}^{b}\right| f^{\prime}(s)|d s| \\
& \leq\left.\left.|b-a|^{\frac{1}{q}}\left|\int_{a}^{b}\right| f^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq|b-a|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, p>1$, for a.e. $a, b \in I$.
Using Theorem 2 for $l=1-\frac{1}{p}$ and $K=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, p}$ we deduce the desired result (3.5).

Finally we may state the following corollary as well.

Corollary 5. Let $I$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a locally absolutely continuous function on $I$. If $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}(I)$ and the derivative $f^{\prime}$ satisfies the Hölder condition (2.6), then $f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}(I)$ and one has the inequality

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, \infty} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{r}{r+1}} \cdot\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, 1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}} H^{\frac{1}{r+1}}  \tag{3.6}\\
\text { if } m(I) \geq 2\left(\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, 1}}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} ; \\
\frac{2\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, 1}}{m(I)}+\frac{H}{2^{r}(r+1)}[m(I)]^{r} \\
\quad \text { if } 0<m(I)<2\left(\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{I, 1}}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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