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#### Abstract

In this note we are going to analyze the density of $n^{\text {th }}$-power free integers.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all primes and suppose $M$ is a positive integer, with the following prime factoring:

$$
M=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} \quad\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k} \in \mathbb{P}\right) .
$$

We call $M, n^{\text {th }}$-power free if for $1 \leq i \leq k, \alpha_{i}<n$. Let $f_{n}(x)=$ The number of $n^{\text {th }}$-power frees $\leq x$. By density we mean

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}
$$

It is well-know that [1],

$$
f_{2}(x)=\frac{6 x}{\pi^{2}}+O(\sqrt{x}) .
$$

So, the density of square frees is $\frac{6}{\pi^{2}}$ or approximately 61 percent!. Now, what about cubic frees? And generally the $n^{\text {th }}$-power frees?

## 2. Density Analysis

In this section we will show that the density of $n^{t h}$-power free integers is $\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}$. Our main result is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Let $s>1$ be a real number. We have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{s}}=\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}
$$

Proof:

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{s}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{p_{1}^{s} p_{2}^{s} \cdots p_{k}^{s}}=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{s k}}}=\frac{1}{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s}}}=\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}
$$

Theorem 1 For any integer $n \geq 2$ and any real $x \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x}{\zeta(n)}-f_{n}(x)\right|<\frac{n}{n-1} \sqrt[n]{x}-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By a usual counting, we obtain

$$
f_{n}(x)=x-\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}\left\lfloor\frac{x}{p^{n}}\right\rfloor+\sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{P}, p \neq q}\left\lfloor\frac{x}{(p q)^{n}}\right\rfloor-\cdots=\sum_{k \leq \sqrt[n]{x}} \mu(k)\left\lfloor\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right\rfloor .
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{x}{\zeta(n)}-f_{n}(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{1<k \leq \sqrt[n]{x}} \mu(k)\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}-\left\lfloor\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right\rfloor\right)+\sum_{k>\sqrt[n]{x}} \mu(k) \frac{x}{k^{n}}\right| \\
&<(\sqrt[n]{x}-1)+x \sum_{k>\sqrt[n]{x}} \frac{1}{k^{n}}<\sqrt[n]{x}-1+x \int_{\sqrt[n]{x}}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s^{n}}=\frac{n}{n-1} \sqrt[n]{x}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
A weak but nice form of the above theorem is
Corollary 1 For any integer $n \geq 2$ and any real

$$
f_{n}(x)=\frac{x}{\zeta(n)}+O(\sqrt[n]{x})
$$

Corollary 2 For any integer $n \geq 2$, the density of $n^{\text {th }}$-power free integers is

$$
\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}
$$

According the definition of $f_{n}(x)$ we obtain $0 \leq \frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}<1$. We desire to find better lower bounds:

Lemma 2 Let $n \geq 2$ is an integer. For any real $0 \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}$ and any real $x>$ $\left(\frac{n \zeta(n)}{(n-1)(1-\alpha \zeta(n))}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$, we have

$$
\alpha<\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x} .
$$

Proof: From (1), we have

$$
\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}-\frac{n}{(n-1) x^{1-\frac{1}{n}}}<\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x} .
$$

Let $L B(n, x)$ denote the left hand side of the above inequality. If $0 \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}$ and $x>\left(\frac{n \zeta(n)}{(n-1)(1-\alpha \zeta(n))}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$, then $\alpha<L B(n, x)$. This completes the proof.
The obtained results are useful in study of distribution of $n^{\text {th }}$-power free integers. In the next section we do this.

## 3. Computational Results

The sequence $\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}$ for any fixed $n$ is convergent, and it may affairs minimum for some $x \in \mathbb{N}$. The lemma 2 led us to the following algorithm to find the minimum of $\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}$ on $\mathbb{N}$.

Step(1). Find $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{f_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}}<\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}
$$

Step(2). For $\alpha=\frac{f_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}}$, take

$$
N=\left\lfloor\left(\frac{n \zeta(n)}{(n-1)(1-\alpha \zeta(n)}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right\rfloor .
$$

Step(3). Find

$$
\min _{1 \leq x \leq N}\left\{\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}\right\} .
$$

We note that there is no guarantee for the existence of $x_{0}$ in step(1), but there are some evidences for the following question.

Question Is there exists an $x_{0}$ in the interval $\left[5^{n}, 6^{n}\right]$ with $\frac{f_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}}<\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}$ ?
Our computer program gave an affirmative answer to above question for $n=2, \ldots, 10$. It is based on the following recursive relation:

$$
f_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x-1)+ \begin{cases}1 & x \text { is } n^{t h} \text {-power free } \\ 0 & x \text { other wise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $f_{n}\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n}-1$, we start from $x=2^{n}$. Then divide our interval into sub intervals $\left[2^{n}, 3^{n}\right],\left[3^{n}, 5^{n}\right],\left[5^{n}, 7^{n}\right], \cdots$. The following table includes the value of $x_{0}$, exact value of the minimum of $\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}$ and the value of $x$ at which the minimum occur.

| $n$ | $x_{0}$ | $N$ | $x$ | $f_{n}(x)$ | $\min _{x \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 28 | 6503647 | 176 | 106 | 0.602273 |
| 3 | 136 | 55980 | 378 | 314 | 0.830688 |
| 4 | 656 | 171931 | 2512 | 2320 | 0.923567 |
| 5 | 3168 | 269627 | 3168 | 3055 | 0.964331 |
| 6 | 16064 | 1346593 | 31360 | 30825 | 0.982940 |
| 7 | 78732 | 10552627 | 236288 | 234331 | 0.991718 |
| 8 | 393728 | 25381201 | 1174528 | 1169758 | 0.995939 |
| 9 | 1968640 | 146390429 | 7814151 | 7798488 | 0.997996 |
| 10 | 9802752 | 816756521 | 48833536 | 48785015 | 0.999006 |

Now, we use our numerical results to get the following corollaries.
Corollary 3 Let $n>1$ is an integer. The number of cases that we can write $n$ as sum of two square frees is greater than $\frac{n}{10}$.

Proof: More than 60 percent of integers between 1 and $n$ are square free. The number of pairs $\{i, j\}$ such that $i+j=n$ is not greater than $\frac{n}{2}$, so, there are more than $\frac{n}{10}$ of this pairs with square free members. This complete the proof.

Corollary 4 The probabilty that two successive positive integer numbers both be square free is more than $20 \%$.

Proof: Obvious.
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