# A GENERALISATION OF CERONE'S IDENTITY AND APPLICATIONS

#### S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. An identity due to P. Cerone for the Čebyšev functional is extended for Stieltjes integrals. A sharp inequality and its application in approximating Stieltjes integrals are also given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2001, P. Cerone [1] established the following identity for the Čebyšev functional:

(1.1) 
$$T(f,g) := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) dt - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \left[ (t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g(s) ds - (b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g(s) ds \right] df(t),$$

provided f is of bounded variation on [a, b] and g is continuous on [a, b]. He proved (1.1) on utilising the auxiliary function  $\Psi : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

(1.2) 
$$\Psi(t) := (t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g(s) \, ds - (b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g(s) \, ds$$

and integrating by parts in the Stieltjes integral  $\int_{a}^{b} \Psi(t) df(t)$ , which exists, since f is of bounded variation and  $\Psi$  is differentiable on (a, b).

One may observe that the result remains valid if one assumes that g is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b] and f is of bounded variation. This follows by the fact that, in this case  $\Psi$  becomes absolutely continuous on [a, b], the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b \Psi(t) df(t)$  still exists and the argument will follow as in [1].

The weighted version of this inequality has been obtained in the same paper [1] and can be stated as:

(1.3) 
$$T(f,g;p) := \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) \, ds} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) g(t) \, dt$$
$$- \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) \, ds} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) \, dt \cdot \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) \, ds} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) g(t) \, dt$$

Date: 23 May, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15, 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Integral inequalities, Stieltjes integrals.

provided f is of bounded variation on [a, b] and p, g are continuous on [a, b] with  $\int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds > 0$ . The same remark for the extension of the identity in the case that p, g are Lebesgue integrable on [a, b] so that pg is also integrable, may apply.

The above two identities have been applied in [1] to obtain some interesting new bounds for the Čebyšev functionals T(f,g) and T(f,g;p) from which we only mention the following:

$$(1.4) \quad |T(f,g)| \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \times \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |\Psi(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f); \\ L \int_a^b |\Psi(t)| dt & \text{for } f \ L - \text{Lipschitzian}; \\ \int_a^b |\Psi(t)| df(t) & \text{for } f \text{ monotonic nondecreasing}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$  is the total variation of f on [a,b],  $\Psi(t)$  is given by (1.2), and

$$(1.5) |T(f,g;p)| \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(s) \, ds\right)^{2}} \times \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |\Psi_{p}(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f); \\ L \int_{a}^{b} |\Psi_{p}(t)| \, dt & \text{if } f \text{ is } L - \text{Lipschitzian}; \\ \int_{a}^{b} |\Psi_{p}(t)| \, df(t) & \text{for } f \text{ monotonically nondecreasing,} \end{cases}$$

where in this case the wighted auxiliary mapping  $\Psi_p$  is defined as  $\Psi_p : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\Psi_{p}(t) := \int_{a}^{t} p(s) \, ds \int_{t}^{b} p(s) \, g(s) \, ds - \int_{t}^{b} p(s) \, ds \int_{a}^{t} p(s) \, g(s) \, ds.$$

For other inequalities and applications for moments, see [1].

For further results, see the follow up paper [2] where various lower and other upper bounds were established.

## 2. A Related Functional

In [4], the authors have considered the following functional

(2.1) 
$$D(f;u) := \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, du(x) - [u(b) - u(a)] \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \, dt,$$

provided that the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(x) du(x)$  exists. This functional palys an important role in approximating the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(x) du(x)$  in terms of the Riemann integral  $\int_a^b f(t) dt$  and the divided difference of the integrator u. Therefore, further bounds on D(f; u) will generate a flow of different error estimates for the approximation of the Stieltjes integral that plays an

important role in various fields of Analysis, Numerical Analysis, Integral Operator Theory, Probability & Statistics and other fields of Modern Mathematics.

In [4], the following result in estimating the above functional D(f; u) has been obtained:

(2.2) 
$$|D(f;u)| \le \frac{1}{2}L(M-m)(b-a),$$

provided u is L-Lipschitzian and f is Riemann integrable and with the property that there exists the constants  $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(2.3) 
$$m \le f(x) \le M$$
 for any  $x \in [a, b]$ .

The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is best possible in (2.2) in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

If one assumes that u is of bounded variation and f is K-Lipschitzian, then D(f, u) satisfies the inequality [5]

(2.4) 
$$|D(f;u)| \le \frac{1}{2}K(b-a)\bigvee_{a}^{b}(u).$$

Here the constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is also best possible.

The above inequalities have been used in [4] and [5] for obtaining inequalities between special means and on estimating the error in approximating the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(x) du(x)$  in terms of the Riemann integral for the function f and the divided difference of u.

Now, for the function  $u : [a, b] \to R$ , consider the following auxiliary mappings  $\Phi, \Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  [3]:

$$\begin{split} \Phi\left(t\right) &:= \frac{\left(t-a\right)u\left(b\right) + \left(b-t\right)u\left(a\right)}{b-a} - u\left(t\right), \qquad t \in [a,b]\,, \\ \Gamma\left(t\right) &:= \left(t-a\right)\left[u\left(b\right) - u\left(t\right)\right] - \left(b-t\right)\left[u\left(t\right) - u\left(a\right)\right], \qquad t \in [a,b]\,, \\ \Delta\left(t\right) &:= \left[u;b,t\right] - \left[u;t,a\right], \qquad t \in (a,b)\,, \end{split}$$

where  $[u; \alpha, \beta]$  is the divided difference of u in  $\alpha, \beta$ , i.e.,

$$[u; \alpha, \beta] := \frac{u(\alpha) - u(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

The following representation of D(f, u) may be stated.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f, u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be such that the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ and the Riemann integral  $\int_a^b f(t) dt$  exist. Then

(2.5) 
$$D(f, u) = \int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) df(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Gamma(t) df(t)$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (t-a) (b-t) \Delta(t) df(t).$$

#### S.S. DRAGOMIR

*Proof.* Since  $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$  exists, hence  $\int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) df(t)$  also exists, and the integration by parts formula for Stieltjes integrals gives that

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} \Phi\left(t\right) df\left(t\right) &= \int_{a}^{b} \left[\frac{\left(t-a\right) u\left(b\right) + \left(b-t\right) u\left(a\right)}{b-a} - u\left(t\right)\right] df\left(t\right) \\ &= \left[\frac{\left(t-a\right) u\left(b\right) + \left(b-t\right) u\left(a\right)}{b-a} - u\left(t\right)\right] f\left(t\right)\Big|_{a}^{b} \\ &- \int_{a}^{b} f\left(t\right) d\left[\frac{\left(t-a\right) u\left(b\right) + \left(b-t\right) u\left(a\right)}{b-a} - u\left(t\right)\right] \\ &= -\int_{a}^{b} f\left(t\right) \left[\frac{u\left(b\right) - u\left(a\right)}{b-a} dt - du\left(t\right)\right] = D\left(f,u\right), \end{split}$$

proving the required identity.  $\blacksquare$ 

**Remark 1.** The identity (2.5) has been established in [3]. There were some typographical errors in [3] that have been corrected above.

**Remark 2.** If u is an integral, i.e.,  $u(t) = \int_{a}^{t} g(s) ds, t \in [a, b]$ , then

$$\begin{split} \Phi\left(t\right) &= \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(s\right) ds - \int_{a}^{t} g\left(s\right) ds,\\ \Gamma\left(t\right) &= (t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g\left(s\right) ds - (b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g\left(s\right) ds,\\ \Delta\left(t\right) &= \frac{\int_{t}^{b} g\left(s\right) ds}{b-t} - \frac{\int_{a}^{t} g\left(s\right) ds}{t-a}, \end{split}$$

and then, from (2.5), one may recapture Cerone's identity (1.1) for the Čebyšev functional T(f,g).

Since it well known that u is an integral if and only if u is absolutely continuous, and in this case g(s) = u'(s) for  $s \in [a, b]$ , hence (2.5) is indeed a proper generalisation of (1.1) holding for larger classes of functions than the absolutely continuous functions.

**Remark 3.** If one chooses  $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$u\left(t\right) = \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p\left(s\right) g\left(s\right) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) ds}, \qquad t \in \left[a, b\right],$$

where p, g are Lebesgue integrable with pg is also integrable and  $\int_a^b p(s) ds \neq 0$ , then the identity (2.5) produces the representation:

$$(2.6) \qquad E(f,g;p) := \frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) f(s) g(s) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds} - \frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) g(s) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds} \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \Phi_{p}(t) df(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Gamma_{p}(t) df(t)$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (t-a) (b-t) \Delta_{p}(t) df(t),$$

4

where

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{p}\left(t\right) &:= \frac{t-a}{b-a} \cdot \frac{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) g\left(s\right) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) ds} - \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p\left(s\right) g\left(s\right) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) ds},\\ \Gamma_{p}\left(t\right) &:= (t-a) \frac{\int_{t}^{b} p\left(s\right) g\left(s\right) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) ds} - (b-t) \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p\left(s\right) g\left(s\right) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p\left(s\right) ds} \end{split}$$

and

$$\Delta_{p}(t) := \frac{\int_{t}^{b} p(s) g(s) ds}{(b-t) \int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds} - \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) ds}{(t-a) \int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds}$$

One must observe that the identity (2.6) is not the same as Cerone's identity for weighted integrals (1.3).

For recent inequalities related to D(f; u) for various pairs of functions (f, u), see [3, pp. 112-118].

### 3. A Bound for f of Bounded Variation and u Continuous

It is known that if u is continuous on [a, b] and f is of bounded variation on [a, b], then the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$  exists. This integral may exists even for larger clases of integrators f, for instance, piecewise continuous functions for which the discontinuities of the integrand f do not overlap with those of the integrator u.

The following result may be stated:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be of bounded variation on [a, b] and  $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  such that there exist the constants  $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  with:

(3.1) 
$$\gamma \leq u(t) \leq \Gamma \quad for \ any \quad t \in [a, b]$$

and the Stieltjes integral  $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$  exists. Then

(3.2) 
$$|D(f;u)| \le (\Gamma - \gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

The multiplicative constant 1 in front of  $\Gamma - \gamma$  cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

*Proof.* By (1.1), we obviously have:

$$\gamma (b-t) \leq (b-t) u (a) \leq (b-t) \Gamma,$$
  

$$\gamma (t-a) \leq (t-a) u (b) \leq (t-a) \Gamma,$$
  

$$- (b-a) \Gamma \leq - (b-a) u (t) \leq - (b-a) \gamma,$$

which gives by addition and division with b - a that

$$-\left(\Gamma-\gamma\right) \leq \frac{\left(b-t\right)u\left(a\right)+\left(t-a\right)u\left(b\right)}{b-a}-u\left(t\right) \leq \Gamma-\gamma,$$

showing that  $|\Phi(t)| \leq \Gamma - \gamma$  for any  $t \in [a, b]$ .

Taking into account that for  $\varphi$  bounded and  $\psi$  of bounded variation on [a,b] one has

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \, d\psi(t) \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |\varphi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b} (\psi) \,,$$

provided the Stieltjes integral exists, we have by (2.5) that

$$D(f;u)| \leq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |\phi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) \leq (\Gamma - \gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) ,$$

proving the required inequality (3.2).

Now, for the sharpness of the inequality.

Assume that there exists a c > 0 such that

(3.3) 
$$|D(f;u)| \le c \left(\Gamma - \gamma\right) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) ,$$

where u and f are as in the hypothesis of the theorem.

Consider  $u, f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2, \quad f(t) = \operatorname{sgn}\left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right), \quad t \in [a,b].$$

Then *u* is continuous, *f* is of bounded variation, the integral  $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$  exists and

$$\bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) = 2, \qquad \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt = 0,$$
  

$$\Gamma = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} u(t) = \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}, \qquad \gamma = \inf_{t \in [a,b]} u(t) = 0,$$
  

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t) = \int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn} \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt$$
  

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| dt = \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}.$$

Substituting into (3.3) we get  $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \leq \frac{c(b-a)^2}{4}$ , which implies that  $c \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be of bounded variation and  $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous on [a, b]. Then:

(3.4) 
$$|D(f;u)| \leq \left[\max_{t \in [a,b]} u(t) - \min_{t \in [a,b]} u(t)\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f).$$

The inequality (3.4) is sharp.

If we consider the Čebyšev functional T(f,g), then we can state the following corollary as well:

**Corollary 2.** Let  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be of bounded variation and  $g : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  a Lebesgue integrable function such that there exists the constants m and M with

(3.5) 
$$m \le g(s) \le M \quad \text{for a.e.} \quad s \in [a, b].$$

Then

(3.6) 
$$|T(f,g)| \le (b-a)(M-m)\bigvee_{a}^{o}(f).$$

*Proof.* We choose  $u(t) := \int_a^t g(s) ds$  which is continuous on [a, b] and satisfies the inequality (3.1) with  $\gamma = (b - a) m$  and  $\Gamma = (b - a) M$  and apply Theorem 2.

**Remark 4.** If we assume that for the Lebesgue integrable function g,  $\int_{a}^{\cdot} g(s) ds$ satisfies the condition

$$\gamma \leq \int_{a}^{t} g\left(s
ight) ds \leq \Gamma \quad \textit{for any} \quad t \in \left[a, b
ight],$$

then

$$|T(f,g)| \le (\Gamma - \gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

and the inequality is sharp. The equality case is realised for  $g(t) = t - \frac{a+b}{2}$  and  $\begin{aligned} f\left(t\right) &= \mathrm{sgn}\left(t - \frac{a+b}{2}\right), \quad t \in [a,b]. \\ & \text{It is an open problem wether or not the bound in (3.6) is sharp.} \end{aligned}$ 

**Remark 5.** If  $p, g \in L[a, b]$  so that  $pg \in L[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b p(s) ds \neq 0$  and there exists the constants  $\delta, \Delta$  so that

$$\delta \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) ds}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) ds} \leq \Delta$$

for any  $t \in [a, b]$ , then

$$|E(f,g;p)| \le (\Delta - \delta) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f).$$

The last inequality is sharp.

## 4. Application for Approximating the Stieltjes Integral

Let us consider the partition of the interval [a, b] given by

 $I_n : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b.$ 

Denote  $v(I_n) := \max \{h_i | i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$ , where  $h_i := t_{i+1} - t_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . If  $u: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on [a, b] and if we define

$$M_{i} := \sup_{t \in [t_{i}, t_{i+1}]} u(t), \qquad m_{i} := \inf_{t \in [t_{i}, t_{i+1}]} u(t)$$

and

$$v(u, I_n) := \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} (M_i - m_i),$$

then, obviously, by the continuity of u on [a, b], for any  $\varepsilon \ge 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$ and a division  $I_n$  with norm  $v(I_n) < \delta$  such that  $v(u, I_n) < \varepsilon$ .

Consider now the quadrature rule

(4.1) 
$$S_n(f, u, I_n) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{[u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)]}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \cdot \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) dt,$$

provided u is continuous on [a, b] and f is of bounded variation on [a, b].

We may state the following result in approximating the Stieltjes integral:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f, u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be such that f is of bounded variation on [a, b]and u is continuous on [a, b]. Then for any division  $I_n$  as above,

(4.2) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t) = S_{n}(f, u, I_{n}) + R_{n}(f, u, I_{n}),$$

where the remainder  $R_n(f, u, I_n)$  satisfies the estimate:

- h

$$(4.3) |R_n(f,u,I_n)| \le v(u,I_n) \bigvee_a^o (f).$$

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 2 on the intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , i = 0, ..., n-1, we have successively:

$$|R_n(f, u, I_n)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) \, du(t) - \frac{u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) \, dt \right|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) \, du(t) - \frac{u(t_{i+1}) - u(t_i)}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) \, dt \right|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (M_i - m_i) \bigvee_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (f) \leq v(u, I_n) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

and the estimate (4.3) is obtained.

## References

- P. CERONE, On an identity for the Chebychev functional and some ramifications, J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math., 3(1) (2002), Article 2.
- [2] P. CERONE and S.S. DRAGOMIR, New upper and lower bounds for the Čebyšev functional, J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math., 3(5) (2002), Article 77.
- [3] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Inequalities of Grüss type for the Stieltjes integral and applications, Kragujevac J. Math., 26 (2004), 89-112.
- [4] S.S. DRAGOMIR and I. FEDOTOV, An inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 29(4) (1998), 287-292.
- [5] S.S. DRAGOMIR and I. FEDOTOV, A Grüss type inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications to numerical analysis, Non. Funct. Anal. & Appl., 6(3) (2001), 425-437.

School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, VIC 8001, Australia.

*E-mail address*: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au *URL*: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/dragomir