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#### Abstract

An identity due to $P$. Cerone for the Čebyšev functional is extended for Stieltjes integrals. A sharp inequality and its application in approximating Stieltjes integrals are also given.


## 1. Introduction

In 2001, P. Cerone [1] established the following identity for the Čebyšev functional:

$$
\begin{align*}
T(f, g) & :=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t  \tag{1.1}\\
& =\frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{b}\left[(t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g(s) d s-(b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s\right] d f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

provided $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $g$ is continuous on $[a, b]$. He proved (1.1) on utilising the auxiliary function $\Psi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t):=(t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g(s) d s-(b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrating by parts in the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} \Psi(t) d f(t)$, which exists, since $f$ is of bounded variation and $\Psi$ is differentiable on $(a, b)$.

One may observe that the result remains valid if one assumes that $g$ is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ and $f$ is of bounded variation. This follows by the fact that, in this case $\Psi$ becomes absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} \Psi(t) d f(t)$ still exists and the argument will follow as in [1].

The weighted version of this inequality has been obtained in the same paper [1] and can be stated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(f, g ; p):=\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) g(t) d t  \tag{1.3}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) d t \cdot \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \int_{a}^{b} p(t) g(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s\right)^{2}} \int_{a}^{b}\left[\int_{a}^{t} p(s) d s \int_{t}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{t}^{b} p(s) d s \int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s\right] d f(t),
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

provided $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $p, g$ are continuous on $[a, b]$ with $\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s>0$. The same remark for the extension of the identity in the case that $p, g$ are Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ so that $p g$ is also integrable, may apply.

The above two identities have been applied in [1] to obtain some interesting new bounds for the Čebyšev functionals $T(f, g)$ and $T(f, g ; p)$ from which we only mention the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |T(f, g)|  \tag{1.4}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \times \begin{cases}\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|\Psi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) ; \\
L \int_{a}^{b}|\Psi(t)| d t & \text { for } f L \text { - Lipschitzian; } \\
\int_{a}^{b}|\Psi(t)| d f(t) & \text { for } f \text { monotonic nondecreasing, }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ is the total variation of $f$ on $[a, b], \Psi(t)$ is given by (1.2), and

$$
\leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s\right)^{2}} \times \begin{cases}\sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left|\Psi_{p}(t)\right| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) ; &  \tag{1.5}\\ L \int_{a}^{b}\left|\Psi_{p}(t)\right| d t & \text { if } f \text { is } L \text {-Lipschitzian; } \\ \int_{a}^{b}\left|\Psi_{p}(t)\right| d f(t) & \text { for } f \text { monotonically nondecreasing }\end{cases}
$$

where in this case the wighted auxiliary mapping $\Psi_{p}$ is defined as $\Psi_{p}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\Psi_{p}(t):=\int_{a}^{t} p(s) d s \int_{t}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s-\int_{t}^{b} p(s) d s \int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s .
$$

For other inequalities and applications for moments, see [1].
For further results, see the follow up paper [2] where various lower and other upper bounds were established.

## 2. A Related Functional

In [4], the authors have considered the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f ; u):=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)-[u(b)-u(a)] \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)$ exists.
This functional palys an important role in approximating the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)$ in terms of the Riemann integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ and the divided diference of the integrator $u$. Therefore, further bounds on $D(f ; u)$ will generate a flow of different error estimates for the approximation of the Stieltjes integral that plays an
important role in various fields of Analysis, Numerical Analysis, Integral Operator Theory, Probability \& Statistics and other fields of Modern Mathematics.

In [4], the following result in estimating the above functional $D(f ; u)$ has been obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} L(M-m)(b-a) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $u$ is $L$-Lipschitzian and $f$ is Riemann integrable and with the property that there exists the constants $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad \text { for any } \quad x \in[a, b] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible in 2.2 in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

If one assumes that $u$ is of bounded variation and $f$ is $K$-Lipschitzian, then $D(f, u)$ satisfies the inequality [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} K(b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(u) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is also best possible.
The above inequalities have been used in [4] and [5] for obtaining inequalities between special means and on estimating the error in approximating the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d u(x)$ in terms of the Riemann integral for the function $f$ and the divided difference of $u$.

Now, for the function $u:[a, b] \rightarrow R$, consider the following auxiliary mappings $\Phi, \Gamma$ and $\Delta$ 3:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(t):=\frac{(t-a) u(b)+(b-t) u(a)}{b-a}-u(t), \quad t \in[a, b] \\
\Gamma(t):=(t-a)[u(b)-u(t)]-(b-t)[u(t)-u(a)], \quad t \in[a, b], \\
\Delta(t):=[u ; b, t]-[u ; t, a], \quad t \in(a, b),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $[u ; \alpha, \beta]$ is the divided difference of $u$ in $\alpha, \beta$, i.e.,

$$
[u ; \alpha, \beta]:=\frac{u(\alpha)-u(\beta)}{\alpha-\beta}
$$

The following representation of $D(f, u)$ may be stated.
Theorem 1. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)$ and the Riemann integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$ exist. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
D(f, u) & =\int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d f(t)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Gamma(t) d f(t)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)(b-t) \Delta(t) d f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)$ exists, hence $\int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d f(t)$ also exists, and the integration by parts formula for Stieltjes integrals gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} \Phi(t) d f(t)= & \int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{(t-a) u(b)+(b-t) u(a)}{b-a}-u(t)\right] d f(t) \\
= & {\left.\left[\frac{(t-a) u(b)+(b-t) u(a)}{b-a}-u(t)\right] f(t)\right|_{a} ^{b} } \\
& -\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d\left[\frac{(t-a) u(b)+(b-t) u(a)}{b-a}-u(t)\right] \\
= & -\int_{a}^{b} f(t)\left[\frac{u(b)-u(a)}{b-a} d t-d u(t)\right]=D(f, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the required identity.
Remark 1. The identity 2.5) has been established in 3. There were some typographical errors in [3] that have been corrected above.

Remark 2. If $u$ is an integral, i.e., $u(t)=\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s, t \in[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(t) & =\frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(s) d s-\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s \\
\Gamma(t) & =(t-a) \int_{t}^{b} g(s) d s-(b-t) \int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s \\
\Delta(t) & =\frac{\int_{t}^{b} g(s) d s}{b-t}-\frac{\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s}{t-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, from (2.5), one may recapture Cerone's identity (1.1) for the Čebyšev functional $T(f, g)$.

Since it well known that $u$ is an integral if and only if $u$ is absolutely continuous, and in this case $g(s)=u^{\prime}(s)$ for $s \in[a, b]$, hence 2.5) is indeed a proper generalisation of (1.1) holding for larger classes of functions than the absolutely continuous functions.

Remark 3. If one chooses $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
u(t)=\frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

where $p, g$ are Lebesgue integrable with $p g$ is also integrable and $\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s \neq 0$, then the identity (2.5) produces the representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
E(f, g ; p) & :=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) f(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}-\frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t  \tag{2.6}\\
& =\int_{a}^{b} \Phi_{p}(t) d f(t)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \Gamma_{p}(t) d f(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}(t-a)(b-t) \Delta_{p}(t) d f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{p}(t):=\frac{t-a}{b-a} \cdot \frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}-\frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \\
& \Gamma_{p}(t):=(t-a) \frac{\int_{t}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}-(b-t) \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{p}(t):=\frac{\int_{t}^{b} p(s) g(s) d s}{(b-t) \int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}-\frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s}{(t-a) \int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s}
$$

One must observe that the identity (2.6) is not the same as Cerone's identity for weighted integrals (1.3).

For recent inequalities related to $D(f ; u)$ for various pairs of functions $(f, u)$, see [3, pp. 112-118].

## 3. A Bound for $f$ of Bounded Variation and $u$ Continuous

It is known that if $u$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)$ exists. This integral may exists even for larger clases of integrators $f$, for instance, piecewise continuous functions for which the discontinuities of the integrand $f$ do not overlap with those of the integrator $u$.

The following result may be stated:
Theorem 2. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that there exist the constants $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leq u(t) \leq \Gamma \quad \text { for any } \quad t \in[a, b] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq(\Gamma-\gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplicative constant 1 in front of $\Gamma-\gamma$ cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.
Proof. By 1.1, we obviously have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma(b-t) \leq(b-t) u(a) \leq(b-t) \Gamma \\
\gamma(t-a) \leq(t-a) u(b) \leq(t-a) \Gamma \\
-(b-a) \Gamma \leq-(b-a) u(t) \leq-(b-a) \gamma,
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives by addition and division with $b-a$ that

$$
-(\Gamma-\gamma) \leq \frac{(b-t) u(a)+(t-a) u(b)}{b-a}-u(t) \leq \Gamma-\gamma
$$

showing that $|\Phi(t)| \leq \Gamma-\gamma$ for any $t \in[a, b]$.
Taking into account that for $\varphi$ bounded and $\psi$ of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ one has

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d \psi(t)\right| \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|\varphi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(\psi)
$$

provided the Stieltjes integral exists, we have by 2.5 that

$$
|D(f ; u)| \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|\phi(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \leq(\Gamma-\gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
$$

proving the required inequality $(3.2)$.
Now, for the sharpness of the inequality.
Assume that there exists a $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq c(\Gamma-\gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $f$ are as in the hypothesis of the theorem.
Consider $u, f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
u(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}, \quad f(t)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right), \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

Then $u$ is continuous, $f$ is of bounded variation, the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)$ exists and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)=2, \quad \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=0 \\
\Gamma=\sup _{t \in[a, b]} u(t)=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}, \quad \gamma=\inf _{t \in[a, b]} u(t)=0 \\
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t) & =\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d t=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting into 3.3 we get $\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \leq \frac{c(b-a)^{2}}{4}$, which implies that $c \geq 1$.
Corollary 1. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of bounded variation and $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous on $[a, b]$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D(f ; u)| \leq\left[\max _{t \in[a, b]} u(t)-\min _{t \in[a, b]} u(t)\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.4) is sharp.
If we consider the Čebyšev functional $T(f, g)$, then we can state the following corollary as well:

Corollary 2. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of bounded variation and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} a$ Lebesgue integrable function such that there exists the constants $m$ and $M$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq g(s) \leq M \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad s \in[a, b] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(f, g)| \leq(b-a)(M-m) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We choose $u(t):=\int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s$ which is continuous on $[a, b]$ and satisfies the inequality (3.1) with $\gamma=(b-a) m$ and $\Gamma=(b-a) M$ and apply Theorem 2 .

Remark 4. If we assume that for the Lebesgue integrable function $g, \int_{a}^{*} g(s) d s$ satisfies the condition

$$
\gamma \leq \int_{a}^{t} g(s) d s \leq \Gamma \quad \text { for any } \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

then

$$
|T(f, g)| \leq(\Gamma-\gamma) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
$$

and the inequality is sharp. The equality case is realised for $g(t)=t-\frac{a+b}{2}$ and $f(t)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right), \quad t \in[a, b]$.

It is an open problem wether or not the bound in (3.6) is sharp.
Remark 5. If $p, g \in L[a, b]$ so that $p g \in L[a, b]$ and $\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s \neq 0$ and there exists the constants $\delta, \Delta$ so that

$$
\delta \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{t} p(s) g(s) d s}{\int_{a}^{b} p(s) d s} \leq \Delta
$$

for any $t \in[a, b]$, then

$$
|E(f, g ; p)| \leq(\Delta-\delta) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
$$

The last inequality is sharp.

## 4. Application for Approximating the Stieltues Integral

Let us consider the partition of the interval $[a, b]$ given by

$$
I_{n}: a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n-1}<t_{n}=b
$$

Denote $v\left(I_{n}\right):=\max \left\{h_{i} \mid i=0, \ldots, n-1\right\}$, where $h_{i}:=t_{i+1}-t_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n-1$. If $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and if we define

$$
M_{i}:=\sup _{t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]} u(t), \quad m_{i}:=\inf _{t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]} u(t)
$$

and

$$
v\left(u, I_{n}\right):=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n-1}\left(M_{i}-m_{i}\right),
$$

then, obviously, by the continuity of $u$ on $[a, b]$, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ and a division $I_{n}$ with norm $v\left(I_{n}\right)<\delta$ such that $v\left(u, I_{n}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Consider now the quadrature rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left[u\left(t_{i+1}\right)-u\left(t_{i}\right)\right]}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}} \cdot \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $u$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.
We may state the following result in approximating the Stieltjes integral:

Theorem 3. Let $f, u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $u$ is continuous on $[a, b]$. Then for any division $I_{n}$ as above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d u(t)=S_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right)+R_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the remainder $R_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right)$ satisfies the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right)\right| \leq v\left(u, I_{n}\right) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 2 on the intervals $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=0, \ldots, n-1$, we have sucessively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n}\left(f, u, I_{n}\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) d u(t)-\frac{u\left(t_{i+1}\right)-u\left(t_{i}\right)}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) d u(t)-\frac{u\left(t_{i+1}\right)-u\left(t_{i}\right)}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(M_{i}-m_{i}\right) \bigvee_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}(f) \leq v\left(u, I_{n}\right) \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the estimate 4.3 is obtained.

## References

[1] P. CERONE, On an identity for the Chebychev functional and some ramifications, J. Inequal. Pure \& Appl. Math., 3(1) (2002), Article 2.
[2] P. CERONE and S.S. DRAGOMIR, New upper and lower bounds for the Čebyšev functional, J. Inequal. Pure \& Appl. Math., 3(5) (2002), Article 77.
[3] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Inequalities of Grüss type for the Stieltjes integral and applications, Kragujevac J. Math., 26 (2004), 89-112.
[4] S.S. DRAGOMIR and I. FEDOTOV, An inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, Tamkang J. Math., 29(4) (1998), 287-292.
[5] S.S. DRAGOMIR and I. FEDOTOV, A Grüss type inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications to numerical analysis, Non. Funct. Anal. छ Appl., 6(3) (2001), 425-437.

School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, VIC 8001, Australia.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au
URL: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/dragomir


[^0]:    Date: 23 May, 2005.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15, 26D10.
    Key words and phrases. Integral inequalities, Stieltjes integrals.

