# TWO CLASS OF COMPLETELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING GAMMA AND POLYGAMMA FUNCTIONS 
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Abstract. The function

$$
\frac{[\Gamma(x+1)]^{1 / x}}{x^{c}}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x}
$$

is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ and its reciprocal is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$. The function

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{2+(6+c) x+(4+3 c) x^{2}+(2+3 c) x^{3}+c x^{4}}{x^{3}(x+1)^{3}}
$$

is completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ and its negative is completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq 0$.

## 1. Introduction

A function $f$ is said to be completely monotonic on an interval $I$ if $f$ has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \geq 0$. The set of completely monotonic functions is denoted by $\mathcal{C}[I]$.
A positive function $f$ is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval $I$ if its logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k}[\ln f(x)]^{(k)} \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on $I$. The set of logarithmically completely monotonic functions is denoted by $\mathcal{L}[I]$.

A function $f$ is called a Stieltjes transform if it can be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu(s)}{s+x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $a \geq 0$ and $\mu$ is a nonnegative measure on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+s} \mathrm{~d} \mu(s)<\infty$. The set of Stieltjes transforms is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$.

To the best of our knowledge, the notion or terminology "logarithmically completely monotonic function" was introduced explicitly in (9), published formally in [8, and used immediately in [2, 4, 10, 11, 12]. Among other things, it is proved implicitly or explicitly in [2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 13, that $\mathcal{L}[I] \subset \mathcal{C}[I]$, but not conversely [9, 10. Among other things, it is further revealed in [2, 13] that $\mathcal{S} \backslash\{0\} \subset \mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)] \subset \mathcal{C}[(0, \infty)]$. In [2, Theorem 1.1] and 4, 11] it is pointed out that the logarithmically completely monotonic functions on $(0, \infty)$ can be characterized as the infinitely divisible completely monotonic functions studied by Horn in [5], Theorem 4.4]. For more information on the logarithmically completely monotonic functions, please refer to [2, 4, 7, 10, 11, 13] and the references therein.

In [11, 12, it is proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\frac{[\Gamma(x+1)]^{1 / x}}{x}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} \in \mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the classical Euler gamma function defined by $\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t$ for $\operatorname{Re} z>0$, which is one of the most important special functions [1, 14, 15] and has much extensive applications in many branches, for example, statistics, physics, engineering, and other mathematical sciences. Motivated by [9, 12, among other things, the paper [2] proved that $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\ln \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ and the following explicit representations are obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(s)}{s+x} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0$, where

$$
\phi(s)= \begin{cases}1-s & \text { if } 0 \leq s<1  \tag{6}\\ 1-\frac{n}{s} & \text { if } n \leq s<n+1 \text { with } n \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=1+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s+x} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=\frac{s^{s-1} \sin (\pi \phi(s))}{\pi|1-s|^{s}|\Gamma(1-s)|^{1 / s}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \geq 0$.
Define for $x \in(0, \infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{c}(x)=\frac{[\Gamma(x+1)]^{1 / x}}{x^{c}}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\Phi_{1}(x)=\Phi(x)$.

The main purpose of this article is to confirm the range of $c$ such that $\Phi_{c}(x) \in$ $\mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)]$. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{2+(6+c) x+(4+3 c) x^{2}+(2+3 c) x^{3}+c x^{4}}{x^{3}(x+1)^{3}} \in \mathcal{C}[(0, \infty)] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $c \geq 1$ and $-\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}[(0, \infty)]$ if and only if $c \leq 0$.

Theorem 2. The function $\Phi_{c}(x) \in \mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)]$ if and only if $c \geq 1$ and $\left[\Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{-1} \in$ $\mathcal{L}[(0, \infty)]$ if and only if $c \leq 0$.

Remark 1. Since $\Phi_{1}(x)$ and $\ln \Phi_{1}(x)$ are both Stieltjes transforms, it is natural to ask whether the functions $\Phi_{c}(x)$ and $\ln \Phi_{c}(x)$ are Stieltjes transforms for $c \neq 1$.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main result, the following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 1 (1, 14, 15). For $x>0$ and $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x^{r}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{r-1} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the psi or digamma function is $\psi(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$, the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function $\Gamma(x)$.

Lemma 2 (1, 14, 15]). The polygamma functions $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ can be expressed for $x>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{(k)}(x)=(-1)^{k+1} k!\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{k+1}},  \tag{12}\\
& \psi^{(k)}(x)=(-1)^{k+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k} e^{-x t}}{1-e^{-t}} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=0.57721566 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.
For $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(i-1)}(x+1)=\psi^{(i-1)}(x)+\frac{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!}{x^{i}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 ( $1, ~ 14, ~ 15]) . A s x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \Gamma(x) & =\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln x-x+\frac{\ln (2 \pi)}{2}+\frac{1}{12 x}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),  \tag{15}\\
\psi(x) & =\ln x-\frac{1}{2 x}-\frac{1}{12 x^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right),  \tag{16}\\
(-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(x) & =\frac{(n-1)!}{x^{n}}+\frac{n!}{2 x^{n+1}}+\frac{(n+1)!}{12 x^{n+2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{n+2}}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\frac{2 e^{2 t}-2(t+2) e^{t}+t^{2}+2 t+2}{t e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.
Proof. Straightforward computing yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{2+2 t+t^{2}+t^{3}-\left(6+4 t+3 t^{2}+2 t^{3}\right) e^{t}+2\left(3+t+t^{2}\right) e^{2 t}-2 e^{3 t}}{t^{2} e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& \triangleq \frac{\lambda_{1}(t)}{t^{2} e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(t) & =2+2 t+3 t^{2}-\left(10+10 t+9 t^{2}+2 t^{3}\right) e^{t}+2\left(7+4 t+2 t^{2}\right) e^{2 t}-6 e^{3 t}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =2+6 t-\left(20+28 t+15 t^{2}+2 t^{3}\right) e^{t}+4\left(9+6 t+2 t^{2}\right) e^{2 t}-18 e^{3 t}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =6-54 e^{3 t}-\left(48+58 t+21 t^{2}+2 t^{3}\right) e^{t}+16\left(6+4 t+t^{2}\right) e^{2 t}, \\
\lambda_{1}^{(4)}(t) & =-\left[106+100 t+27 t^{2}+2 t^{3}+162 e^{2 t}-32\left(8+5 t+t^{2}\right) e^{t}\right] e^{t} \\
& \triangleq \lambda_{2}(t), \\
\lambda_{2}^{\prime}(t) & =100+54 t+6 t^{2}-32\left(13+7 t+t^{2}\right) e^{t}+324 e^{2 t}, \\
\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =6(9+2 t)-32\left(20+9 t+t^{2}\right) e^{t}+648 e^{2 t}, \\
\lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =4\left[3-8\left(29+11 t+t^{2}\right) e^{t}+324 e^{2 t}\right], \\
\lambda_{2}^{(4)}(t) & =32\left(81 e^{t}-t^{2}-13 t-40\right) e^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\lambda_{2}^{(4)}(t)>0$ in $(0, \infty)$ and $\lambda_{2}^{(i)}(0)>0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Therefore, the functions $\lambda_{2}^{(i)}(t)$ is increasing and positive for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ in $(0, \infty)$. This implies that $\lambda_{1}^{(4)}(t)$ is negative in $(0, \infty)$. Since $\lambda_{1}^{(i)}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, it follows that $\lambda_{1}^{(i)}(t)$ is decreasing and negative for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ in $(0, \infty)$. This gives $\varphi^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

## 3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 1. From formulas (11), (12) and (13), for $x \in(0, \infty)$ and any nonnegative integer $i$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & \triangleq \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+g_{2}(x)+h_{2}(x) \\
& =\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{2+c x-2 x^{2}}{x^{3}}+\frac{2\left(3+3 x+x^{2}\right)}{(x+1)^{3}} \\
& =\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{2}{x^{3}}+\frac{c}{x^{2}}-\frac{2}{x}+\frac{2}{(1+x)^{3}}+\frac{2}{(1+x)^{2}}+\frac{2}{1+x} \\
& =\frac{c}{x^{2}}-\frac{2}{x}+\frac{2}{(1+x)^{2}}+\frac{2}{1+x}-2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+2)+\frac{c}{x^{2}}-\frac{2}{x}+\frac{2}{(1+x)^{2}}+\frac{2}{1+x} \\
= & c \int_{0}^{\infty} t e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t-2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t+2 \int_{0}^{\infty} t e^{-(x+1) t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(x+1) t} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2} e^{-(x+2) t}}{1-e^{-t}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[(c t-2) e^{2 t}+(2 t-c t+4) e^{t}-\left(t^{2}+2 t+2\right)\right] \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{1-e^{-t}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
\triangleq & \int_{0}^{\infty} q(t) \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{1-e^{-t}} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(i)}(x)=(-1)^{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{i} q(t) \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{1-e^{-t}} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standard argument shows that $q(t) \lesseqgtr 0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \lesseqgtr \frac{2 e^{2 t}-2(t+2) e^{t}+t^{2}+2 t+2}{t e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1\right)}=\varphi(t) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$.
Using Lemma 4 and the fact that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t)=1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)=0$ leads to $0<\varphi(t)<1$. If $c \geq 1$, then $q(t) \geq 0$; if $c \leq 0$, then $q(t) \leq 0$. This means that the function $\phi(x)$ is strictly completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ for $c \geq 1$ and $-\phi(x)$ is also strictly completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ for $c \leq 0$.

If $\phi(x)$ is completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$, then by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(x)=\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-\frac{2\left(3+12 x+17 x^{2}+8 x^{3}+3 x^{4}\right)}{x^{4}(1+x)^{4}}-\frac{2 c}{x^{3}} \leq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geq \frac{x^{3}}{2}\left(\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-\frac{2\left(3+12 x+17 x^{2}+8 x^{3}+3 x^{4}\right)}{x^{4}(1+x)^{4}}\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ by using the asymptotic formula 17). Similarly, it is easy to see that the necessary condition of $-\phi(x)$ being completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ is $c \leq 0$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The first proof of Theorem 2. Taking logarithm of $\Phi_{c}(x)$ gives

$$
\ln \Phi_{c}(x)=x \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)+\frac{\ln \Gamma(x+1)}{x}-c \ln x
$$

Differentiating yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime}=\ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{x+1}+\frac{x \psi(x+1)-\ln \Gamma(x+1)}{x^{2}}-\frac{c}{x} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}=(-1)^{(n-1)}(n-1)!x\left[\frac{1}{(x+1)^{n}}-\frac{1}{x^{n}}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(-1)^{n}(n-2)!n\left[\frac{1}{(x+1)^{n-1}}-\frac{1}{x^{n-1}}\right] \\
& +\frac{h_{n}(x)}{x^{n+1}}+(-1)^{n}(n-1)!\frac{c}{x^{n}} \\
& =(-1)^{n}(n-2)!\left[\frac{c(n-1)-x}{x^{n}}+\frac{x+n}{(x+1)^{n}}\right]+\frac{h_{n}(x)}{x^{n+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n \geq 2, \psi^{(-1)}(x+1)=\ln \Gamma(x+1), \psi^{(0)}(x+1)=\psi(x+1)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-k} n!x^{k} \psi^{(k-1)}(x+1)}{k!}  \tag{24}\\
& h_{n}^{\prime}(x)=x^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x+1) \begin{cases}>0 & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
<0 & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}+(-1)^{n+1} h_{n}(x) \\
= & (n-2)!\left\{c(n-1)-x+\frac{x^{n}(x+n)}{(x+1)^{n}}\right\} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by (14),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}\left\{(-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}\right\}}{\mathrm{d} x} \\
= & (-1)^{n} x^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x+1)+(n-2)!\{c(n-1)-2 x \\
& \left.+\frac{x^{n}\left[n+n^{2}+(2+2 n) x+2 x^{2}\right]}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right\} \\
= & x^{n}\left\{(-1)^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x+1)+(n-2)!\left[\frac{c(n-1)-2 x}{x^{n}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{n+n^{2}+(2+2 n) x+2 x^{2}}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right]\right\} \\
= & x^{n}\left\{(-1)^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x)+\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}+(n-2)!\left[\frac{c(n-1)-2 x}{x^{n}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{n+n^{2}+(2+2 n) x+2 x^{2}}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right]\right\} \\
= & x^{n}\left\{(-1)^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x)+\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}+(n-2)!\left[\frac{c(n-1)-2 x}{x^{n}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{n(n+1)+2(n+1) x+2 x^{2}}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right]\right\} \\
= & x^{n}\left\{(-1)^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x)+(n-2)!\left[\frac{n(n-1)+c(n-1) x-2 x^{2}}{x^{n+1}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{n(n+1)+2(n+1) x+2 x^{2}}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right]\right\} \\
\triangleq & x^{n}\left\{(-1)^{n} \psi^{(n)}(x)+(n-2)!\left[g_{n}(x)+h_{n}(x)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
g_{n}^{\prime}(x)=-(n-1) g_{n+1}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad h_{n}^{\prime}(x)=-(n-1) h_{n+1}(x)
$$

which implies

$$
g_{2}^{(n-2)}(x)=(-1)^{n}(n-2)!g_{n}(x)
$$

and

$$
h_{2}^{(n-2)}(x)=(-1)^{n}(n-2)!h_{n}(x)
$$

by induction. Hence, by using Theorem 1. we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\left\{(-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}\right\}}{\mathrm{d} x}=(-1)^{n} x^{n} \phi^{(n-2)}(x) \begin{cases}>0 & \text { if and only if } c \geq 1 \\ <0 & \text { if and only if } c \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

and the function $(-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}$ is increasing (or decreasing) if and only if $c \geq 1$ (or $c \leq 0)$ in $(0, \infty)$. From

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left\{(-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)}\right\}=0
$$

it is deduced that

$$
(-1)^{n} x^{n+1}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)} \begin{cases}>0 & \text { if and only if } c \geq 1 \\ <0 & \text { if and only if } c \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{n}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{(n)} \begin{cases}>0 & \text { if and only if } c \geq 1 \\ <0 & \text { if and only if } c \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

for $n \geq 2$ in $(0, \infty)$. This implies the function $\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime}$ is increasing (or decreasing) if and only if $c \geq 1$ (or $c \leq 0$ ) in $(0, \infty)$. It is ready to obtain $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime}=0$, so

$$
\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime} \begin{cases}<0 & \text { if and only if } c \geq 1 \\ >0 & \text { if and only if } c \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

and $\ln \Phi_{c}(x)$ is decreasing (or increasing) if and only if $c \geq 1$ (or $c \leq 0$ ) in $(0, \infty)$. The first proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The second proof of Theorem 2. Write

$$
\Phi_{c}(x)=\frac{1}{x^{c-1}} \Phi(x)
$$

Hence

$$
f(x) \equiv \ln \left[\Phi_{c}(x)\right]=-(c-1) \ln x+\ln [\Phi(x)] .
$$

By applying one of the results in [11] that $\Phi(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$, it is easy to show $(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $c \geq 1$.

For the part of $c<1$, the second part of Theorem 2 is proved if one uses

$$
\ln \frac{1}{\Phi_{c}(x)}=-\ln \left(\Phi_{c}(x)\right)
$$

If the function $\Phi_{c}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$, then by definition $\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime} \leq 0$ which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geq x \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)-\frac{x}{x+1}+\frac{x \psi(x+1)-\ln \Gamma(x+1)}{x} \triangleq \vartheta(x) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

from 23). If $\frac{1}{\Phi_{c}(x)}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$, then by definition $\left[\ln \Phi_{c}(x)\right]^{\prime} \geq 0$ which is equivalent to the reversed inequality of (26). By L'Hospital rule, it is easy to obtain that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \vartheta(x)=0$. Utilizing directly Lemma 3 yields $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(x)=1$. Therefore, the necessary condition of $\Phi_{c}(x)$ being logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ is $c \geq 1$ and the necessary condition of $\frac{1}{\Phi_{c}(x)}$ being logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ is $c \leq 0$. The second proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

## References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Reprint of the 1972 edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. Selected Government Publications. John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., New York; National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1984.
[2] C. Berg, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, Mediterr. J. Math. 1 (2004), no. 4, 433-439.
[3] S. Bochner, Harmonic Analysis and the Theory of Probability, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1955.
[4] A. Z. Grinshpan and M. E. H. Ismail, Completely monotonic functions involving the Gamma and $q$-Gamma functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
[5] R. A. Horn, On infinitely divisible matrices, kernels and functions, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Geb 8 (1967), 219-230.
[6] J.-Ch. Kuang, Chángyòng Bùděngshì (Applied Inequalities), 2nd ed., Hunan Education Press, Changsha City, Hunan Province, China, 1993. (Chinese)
[7] F. Qi, Certain logarithmically $N$-alternating monotonic functions involving gamma and qgamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 3, Art. 5. Available online at http: //rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html.
[8] F. Qi and Ch.-P. Chen, A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 296 (2004), no. 2, 603-607.
[9] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, Complete monotonicities of functions involving the gamma and digamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 7 (2004), no. 1, Art. 8, 63-72. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n1.html.
[10] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, Some classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma function, submitted.
[11] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo, and Ch.-P. Chen, Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 79 (2006), no. 1, in press.
[12] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo, and Ch.-P. Chen, Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 7 (2004), no. 1, Art. 5, 31-36. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n1.html.
[13] H. van Haeringen, Completely Monotonic and Related Functions, Report 93-108, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, 1993.
[14] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, Special Functions, Translated from the Chinese by D.-R. Guo and X.-J. Xia, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1989.
[15] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, Tèshū Hánshù Gàilùn (A Panorama of Special Functions), The Series of Advanced Physics of Peking University, Peking University Press, Beijing, China, 2000. (Chinese)
(B.-N. Guo) School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

E-mail address: guobaini@hpu.edu.cn
(F. Qi) Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

E-mail address: qifeng@hpu.edu.cn, fengqi618@member.ams.org
$U R L:$ http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/qi.html


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B15; Secondary 26D07.
    Key words and phrases. completely monotonic function, logarithmically completely monotonic function, gamma function, polygamma function.

    The authors were supported in part by the Science Foundation of Project for Fostering Innovation Talents at Universities of Henan Province, China.

