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#### Abstract

Some inequalities for the Csiszár $\Phi$-divergence and applications for the Kullback-Leibler, Rényi, Hellinger and Bhattacharyya distances in Information Theory are given.


## 1. Introduction

Given a convex function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, the $\Phi$-divergence functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \Phi\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced in Csiszár [1], [2] as a generalized measure of information, a "distance function" on the set of probability distributions $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. The restriction here to discrete distribution is only for convenience, similar results hold for general distributions.

As in Csiszár [2], we interpret undefined expressions by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(0) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \Phi(t), \quad 0 \Phi\left(\frac{0}{0}\right)=0, \\
0 \Phi\left(\frac{a}{0}\right) & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \Phi\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right)=a \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t}, a>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following results were essentially given by Csiszár and Körner [3].
Theorem 1. If $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then $I_{\Phi}(p, q)$ is jointly convex in $p$ and $q$.
The following lower bound for the $\Phi$-divergence functional also holds.
Theorem 2. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be convex. Then for every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \Phi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Phi$ is strictly convex, equality holds in (1.2) iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}=\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}=\ldots=\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Corollary 1. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex and normalized, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(1)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Phi$ is strictly convex, equality holds in (1.6) iff $p_{i}=q_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
In particular, if $p, q$ are probability vectors, then (1.5) is assured. Corollary 1 then shows, for strictly convex and normalized $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) \geq 0 \text { for all } p, q \in \mathbb{P}^{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds in (1.7) iff $p=q$.
These are "distance properties". However, $I_{\Phi}$ is not a metric: It violates the triangle inequality, and is asymmetric, i.e, for general $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}, I_{\Phi}(p, q) \neq$ $I_{\Phi}(q, p)$.

In the examples below we obtain, for suitable choices of the kernel $\Phi$, some of the best known distance functions $I_{\Phi}$ used in mathematical statistics [4]-[5], information theory $[6]-[8]$ and signal processing [9]-[10].
Example 1. (Kullback-Leibler) For

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t):=t \log t, t>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\Phi$-divergence is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Kullback-Leibler distance [11]-[12].
Example 2. (Hellinger) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{t})^{2}, t>0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I_{\Phi}$ gives the Hellinger distance [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{p_{i}}-\sqrt{q_{i}}\right)^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is symmetric.
Example 3. (Renyi) For $\alpha>1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=t^{\alpha}, t>0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha} q_{i}^{1-\alpha} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the $\alpha$-order entropy [14].

Example 4. ( $\chi^{2}$-distance) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=(t-1)^{2}, t>0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $\chi^{2}$-distance between $p$ and $q$.
Finally, we have
Example 5. (Variation distance). Let $\Phi(t)=|t-1|, t>0$. The corresponding divergence, called the variation distance, is symmetric,

$$
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}-q_{i}\right|
$$

For other examples of divergence measures, see the paper [22] by J.N. Kapur, where further references are given.

## 2. Other Inequalities for the Csiszár $\Phi$-Divergence

We start with the following result.
Theorem 3. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex. Then for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(1)\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right) \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \leq I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)-I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}>0, Q_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}>0$ and $\Phi^{\prime}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the derivative of $\Phi$.
If $\Phi$ is strictly convex and $p_{i}, q_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, then the equality holds in (2.1) iff $p=q$.
Proof. As $\Phi$ is differentiable convex on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(y)(y-x) \geq \Phi(y)-\Phi(x) \geq \Phi^{\prime}(x)(y-x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
Choose in (2.2) $y=\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}$ and $x=1$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \geq \Phi\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)-\Phi(1) \geq \Phi^{\prime}(1)\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Now, if we multiply (2.3) by $q_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and sum over $i$ from 1 to $n$, we can deduce

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \geq \Phi^{\prime}(1)\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right)
$$

and as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)=I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)-I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q)
$$

the inequality in (2.1) is thus obtained.
The case of equality holds in (2.2) for a strictly convex mapping iff $x=y$ and so the equality holds in (2.1) iff $\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}=1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the theorem is proved.

Remark 1. In the above theorem, if we would like to drop the differentiability condition, we can choose instead of $\Phi^{\prime}(x)$ any number $l=l(x) \in\left[\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x), \Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x)\right]$ and the inequality will still be valid. This follows by the fact that for the convex mapping $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have

$$
l_{2}(x)(x-y) \geq \Phi(x)-\Phi(y) \geq l_{1}(y)(x-y), \quad x, y \in(0, \infty)
$$

where $l_{1}(y) \in\left[\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(y), \Phi_{+}^{\prime}(y)\right]$ and $l_{2}(x) \in\left[\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x), \Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x)\right]$, where $\Phi_{-}^{\prime}$ is the left and $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}$ is the right derivative of $\Phi$ respectively. We omit the details.

The following corollary is a natural consequence of the above theorem.
Corollary 2. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be convex and normalized. If $\Phi^{\prime}(1)\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right) \geq$ 0 , then we have the positivity inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q) \leq I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)-I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds in (2.4) for a strictly convex mapping $\Phi$ iff $p=q$.
Remark 2. Corollary 2 shows that the positivity inequality (1.6) holds for a larger class of $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ than that one considered in Corollary 1, namely, for $(p, q) \in$ $\left\{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}: P_{n}=Q_{n}\right\}$.

We have the following theorem as well.
Theorem 4. Assume that $\Phi$ is differentiable convex on $(0, \infty)$. If $p^{(j)}, q^{(j)}(j=1,2)$ are probability distributions, then for all $\lambda \in[0,1]$ we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq & \lambda I_{\Phi}\left(p^{(1)}, q^{(1)}\right)+(1-\lambda) I_{\Phi}\left(p^{(2)}, q^{(2)}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& -I_{\Phi}\left(\lambda p^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q^{(1)}, \lambda p^{(2)}+(1-\lambda) q^{(2)}\right) \\
\leq & \lambda(1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\left[\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $\Phi$.
Proof. Using the inequality (2.2), we may state

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
\geq & \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right) \\
\geq & \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\geq & \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right) \\
\geq & \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiply (2.6) by $\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}$ and $(2.7)$ by $(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}$ and add the obtained inequalities to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\left[\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\right] \\
\geq & I_{\Phi}\left(\lambda p^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p^{(2)}, \lambda q^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q^{(2)}\right) \\
& -\lambda I_{\Phi}\left(p^{(1)}, q^{(1)}\right)-(1-\lambda) I_{\Phi}\left(p^{(2)}, q^{(2)}\right) \\
\geq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\lambda q_{i}^{(1)} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda q_{i}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right) \\
&+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right) \\
&=-\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}+\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the first membership in (2.8) is zero.
In addition,

$$
\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)=-\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}},
$$

and

$$
(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}\left(\frac{\lambda p_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) p_{i}^{(2)}}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}-\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)=-\frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}},
$$

and then, the second membership in (2.4) is

$$
-\lambda(1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}^{(1)} & p_{i}^{(2)} \\
q_{i}^{(1)} & q_{i}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right|}{\lambda q_{i}^{(1)}+(1-\lambda) q_{i}^{(2)}}\left[\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(1)}}{q_{i}^{(1)}}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{(2)}}{q_{i}^{(2)}}\right)\right],
$$

which proves the theorem.
Remark 3. The first inequality in (2.5) is actually the joint convexity property of $I_{\Phi}(\cdot, \cdot)$ which has been proven here in a different manner than in [3].
3. Applications for Some Particular $\Phi$-Divergences

Let us consider the Kullback-Leibler distance given by (1.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K L(p, q):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the convex mapping $\Phi(t)=-\log t, t>0$. For this mapping we have the Csiszár $\Phi$-divergence

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[-\log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \log \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)=K L(q, p) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following inequality holds.
Proposition 1. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}-P_{n} \leq K L(q, p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{p_{i}}-Q_{n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of equality holds iff $p=q$.
Proof. Since $\Phi(t)=-\log t$, then $\Phi^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{t}, t>0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot\left[-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{p_{i}}}\right]=-Q_{n}, \\
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \cdot\left[-\frac{1}{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right]=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{p_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, from (2.1), we get

$$
-\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right) \leq K L(q, p) \leq-Q_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{p_{i}},
$$

which is the desired inequality (3.3).

The case of equality is obvious taking into account that $-\log$ is a strictly convex mapping on $(0, \infty)$.

The following result for the Kullback-Leibler distance also holds.
Proposition 2. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}-Q_{n} \leq K L(p, q) \leq P_{n}-Q_{n}+K L(q, p)-K L\left(p, \frac{p^{2}}{q}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of equality holds iff $p=q$.
Proof. As $\Phi(t)=t \log (t)$, then $\Phi^{\prime}(t)=\log t+1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) & =K L(p, q) \\
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right) & =I_{\log (\cdot)+1}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)=P_{n}+I_{\log (\cdot)}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As we know that $I_{-\log (\cdot)}(p, q)=K L(q, p)($ see $(3.2))$, then we have that

$$
I_{\log (\cdot)}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right)=-K L\left(p, \frac{p^{2}}{q}\right)
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) & =I_{\log (\cdot)+1}(p, q)=Q_{n}+I_{\log (\cdot)}(p, q) \\
& =Q_{n}-K L(q, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, by (2.1), we can state that

$$
P_{n}-Q_{n} \leq K L(p, q) \leq P_{n}-Q_{n}-K L\left(p, \frac{p^{2}}{q}\right) Q_{n}+K L(q, p)
$$

and the inequality (3.4) is obtained.
The case of equality holds from the fact that the mapping $\Phi(t)=t \log t$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Now, let us consider the $\alpha$-order entropy of Rényi (see (1.13))

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}(p, q):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha} q_{i}^{1-\alpha}, \alpha>1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
We know that Rényi's entropy is actually the Csiszár $\Phi$-divergence for the convex mapping $\Phi(t)=t^{\alpha}, \alpha>1, t>0$ (see Example 3).

The following proposition holds.
Proposition 3. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right) \leq D_{\alpha}(p, q)-Q_{n} \leq \alpha\left[D_{\alpha}(p, q)-D_{\alpha}\left(q^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}, p^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of equality holds iff $p=q$.
Proof. Since $\Phi(t)=t^{\alpha}$, then $\Phi^{\prime}(t)=\alpha t^{\alpha-1}$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left[\alpha \cdot\left(\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i} p_{i}}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] \\
& =\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{\alpha-1}=\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{1-\alpha} p_{i}^{\alpha}=\alpha D_{\alpha}(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\alpha \cdot\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] \\
& =\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha-1} q_{i}^{2-\alpha}=\alpha D_{\alpha}\left(q^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}, \frac{1}{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality (2.1), we have

$$
\alpha\left(P_{n}-Q_{n}\right) \leq D_{\alpha}(p, q)-Q_{n} \leq \alpha\left[D_{\alpha}(p, q)-D_{\alpha}\left(q^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}, \frac{1}{p}\right)\right]
$$

and the inequality (3.6) is proved.
The case of equality holds since the mapping $\Phi(t)=t^{\alpha}$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $\alpha>1$.

Consider now the Hellinger discrimination (see for example [22])

$$
h^{2}(p, q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{p_{i}}-\sqrt{q_{i}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
We know that Hellinger discrimination is actually the Csiszár $\Phi$-divergence for the convex mapping $\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t}-1)^{2}$.

We may state the following proposition.
Proposition 4. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h^{2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[P_{n}-Q_{n}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\sqrt{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}-\sqrt{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right)\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds iff $p=q$.
Proof. As $\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t}-1)^{2}$, we have $\Phi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t}}$ and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{3}}}>0$ $(t \in(0, \infty))$ which shows that $\Phi$ is indeed strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$.

We also have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi}(p, q) & =h^{2}(p, q), \\
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i} p_{i}}}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} P_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}\left[P_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}\right] \\
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[Q_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \sqrt{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and as $\Phi^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $\Phi(1)=0$, then, by (2.1) applied for $\Phi$ as above, we deduce (3.7). The case of equality is obvious by the strict convexity of $\Phi$.

Consider now the Bhattacharyya distance (see for example [22])

$$
B(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}
$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
We know that for the convex mapping $f(t)=-\sqrt{t}$, we have

$$
I_{\Phi}(p, q)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \sqrt{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}=-B(p, q)
$$

We may state the following proposition.
Proposition 5. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{n}-P_{n}\right) \leq Q_{n}-B(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\sqrt{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}-\sqrt{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of equality holds iff $p=q$.
Proof. As $\Phi(1)=-\sqrt{t}, t>0$, then $\Phi^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t}}$ and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{t^{3}}}, t>0$, which also shows that $\Phi(\cdot)$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{q}, p\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left[-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i} p_{i}}}}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}=-\frac{1}{2} B(p, q), \\
I_{\Phi^{\prime}}(p, q) & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \sqrt{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as $\Phi^{\prime}(1)=-\frac{1}{2}, \Phi(1)=-1$, then by (2.1) applied for the mapping $\Phi$ as defined above, we deduce (3.8).

The case of equality is obvious by the strict convexity of $\Phi$.

## 4. Further Bounds for the Case when $P_{n}=Q_{n}$

The following inequality of Grüss type is well known in the literature as the Biernacki, Pidek and Ryll-Nardzewski inequality (see for example [23]).

Lemma 1. Let $a_{i}, b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \leq a_{i} \leq A, b \leq b_{i} \leq B \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(A-a)(B-b) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$.
The following inequality holds.
Theorem 5. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be differentiable convex. If $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ are such that $P_{n}=Q_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
m \leq p_{i}-q_{i} \leq M, \quad i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{4.3}\\
0<r \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq R<\infty, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m)\left(\Phi^{\prime}(R)-\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)\right|  \tag{4.7}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m)\left(\Phi^{\prime}(R)-\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

as the mapping $\Phi^{\prime}$ is monotonic nondecreasing, and then

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(r) \leq \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \leq \Phi^{\prime}(R) \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

As $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)=0$, we deduce by (4.6) and (4.7) the desired result (4.5).
The following inequalities for particular distances are valid.

1. If $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}$are such that the conditions (4.3) and (4.4) hold, then we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq K L(q, p) \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m) \frac{R-r}{r R} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq K L(p, q) \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m)\left[\log \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)\right] . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. If $p, q$ are as in (4.3) and (4.4), we have the inequality $(\alpha \geq 1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq D_{\alpha}(p, q)-Q_{n} \leq \alpha\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m)\left(R^{\alpha-1}-r^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. If $p, q$ are as in (4.3) and (4.4), we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h^{2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n} \cdot\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m) \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r R}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Under the above assumptions for $p$ and $q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq Q_{n}-B(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)(M-m) \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r R}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following Grüss' weighted inequality.
Lemma 2. Assume that $a_{i}, b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are as in Lemma 1. If $q_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=$ 1, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} a_{i} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} a_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} b_{i}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(A-a)(B-b) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may prove the following converse inequality as well.
Theorem 6. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be differentiable convex. If $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ are such that $P_{n}=Q_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r \leq \frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}} \leq R<\infty, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left[\Phi^{\prime}(R)-\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right] \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq I_{\Phi}(p, q)-Q_{n} \Phi(1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)  \tag{4.16}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As $\Phi^{\prime}(\cdot)$ is monotonic nondecreasing, then

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(r) \leq \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \leq \Phi^{\prime}(R) \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Applying (4.13) for $a_{i}=\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1, b_{i}=\Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)\right|  \tag{4.17}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}(R-r)\left[\Phi^{\prime}(R)-\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}-1\right)=0
$$

then, by (4.16) and (4.17) we deduce (4.15).
The following inequalities for particular distances are valid.

1. If $p, q$ are such that $P_{n}=Q_{n}$ and (4.14) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq K L(q, p) \leq \frac{(R-r)^{2}}{4 r R} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq K L(q, p) \leq \frac{1}{4}(R-r)^{2} \ln \left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. With the same assumptions for $p, q$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq D_{\alpha}(p, q)-Q_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha}{4}(R-r)\left(R^{\alpha-1}-r^{\alpha-1}\right) \quad(\alpha \geq 1)  \tag{4.20}\\
0 & \leq h^{2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8}(R-r) \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{R r}} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq Q_{n}-B(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8}(R-r) \frac{\sqrt{R}-\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{R r}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. Any other Grüss type inequality can be used to provide different bounds for the difference

$$
\Delta:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)
$$

We omit the details.
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