

On two conjectures by K. Kashihara on prime numbers

József Sándor

Babeş–Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

jjsandor@hotmail.com

Abstract. We settle two conjectures posed by K. Kashihara in his book [2]. The first conjecture states that $\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n}$ for all n ; while the second one that the sequence of general term $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right)^2$ is convergent. Here p_n denotes the n th prime. We will prove that the first conjecture is false for sufficiently large n . The second conjecture is true, the limit being zero.

Keywords and phrases. prime numbers, estimates on primes, convergence of sequences

AMS Subject Classification. 11A25, 11N37, 40A05

1 Introduction

Let p_n denote the n th prime number. In his book [2] K. Kashihara posed several conjectures and open problems. On page 45 it is conjectured the following inequality:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n < \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p_i}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (1)$$

A numerical evidence suggests that this inequality may be true for all values of n . However, as we will see, for large values of n , relation (1) cannot hold.

Another conjecture (see page 46) states that the sequence (x_n) of general term

$$x_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right)^2} \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (2)$$

is convergent, having a limit between 1,4 and 1,5. Though this sequence is indeed convergent, we will see that its limit is $\rho = 0$.

2 Proofs of theorems

An old theorem of F. Mertens (see e.g. [3], p.259) states that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{c}{\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where $c = e^{-\gamma}$ (e and γ being the two Euler constants). Inequality (1) can be written also as

$$\prod_{p \leq p_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n} \quad (4)$$

Since the first term of (4) is $\sim \frac{c}{\log p_n}$, if (4) would be true, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ (fixed) and $n \geq n_0$ we would obtain that $\frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n} > \prod_{p \leq p_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) > \frac{c - \varepsilon}{\log p_n}$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{c}{2} > 0$. Then $\frac{c}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\log p_n} < \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - p_n}$, so $b_n = \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} < \frac{2}{c} = K$. This means that the sequence of general term (b_n) is bounded above. On the other hand, a well-known theorem by E. Westzynthius (see [3], p. 256) states that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty$, i.e. the sequence (b_n) is unbounded. This finishes the proof of the first part.

For the proof of convergence of (x_n) given by (2), we shall apply the result

$$\sum_{p \leq x} p^\alpha \sim \frac{x^{1+\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \ (\alpha \geq 0) \quad (5)$$

due to T. Salát and S. Znám (see [3], p. 257). We note that for $\alpha = 1$, relation (5) was discovered first by E. Landau. Now, letting $\alpha = 1$, resp. $\alpha = 2$ in (5), we can write:

$$\sum_{p \leq p_n} p \sim \frac{p_n^2}{2 \log p_n} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sum_{p \leq p_n} p^2 \sim \frac{p_n^3}{3 \log p_n} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Thus, } x_n = \left[\left(\sum_{p \leq p_n} p^2 \right) \cdot \frac{3 \log p_n}{p_n^3} \cdot \frac{p_n^4}{\left(\sum_{p \leq p_n} p \right)^2 \cdot 4 \log^2 p_n} \right] \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\log p_n}{p_n}.$$

By (6) and (7), the limit of term [...] is 1. Since $\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\log p_n}{p_n} \rightarrow 0$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$. This finishes the proof of the second part.

Remarks

1) An extension of (5) is due to M. Kalecki [1]:

Let $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function having the following properties:

a) $f(x) > 0$; b) $f(x)$ is a non-decreasing function; c) for each $n > 0$, $\varphi(n) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(nx)}{f(x)}$ exists.

Put $s = \log \varphi(e)$. Then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) \sim \frac{f(x) \cdot x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{1}{s+1} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

For $f(x) = x^\alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 0$) we get $\varphi(n) = n^\alpha$, so $s = \alpha$ and relation (5) is reobtained. We note that for $\alpha = 0$, relation (5) implies the "prime number theorem" ([3])

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 =$ number of primes $\leq x$.

2) By letting $f(x) = (g(x))^\alpha$, where g satisfies conditions a) – c) a general sequence of terms $x_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (g(p_i))^\alpha}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(p_i)\right)^\alpha}$ may be studied (via (8)) in a similar manner. We omit the details.

References

- [1] M. Kalecki, *On certain sums extended over primes or prime factors*, Prace Mat. **8**(1963/64), 121–127
- [2] K. Kashihara, *Comments and topics on Smarandache notions and problems*, Erhus Univ. Press, USA (1996)
- [3] J. Sándor et al., *Handbook of number theory I*, Springer Verlag, 2005