

# SCHUR-CONCAVITY AND SCHUR-GEOMETRICALLY CONVEXITY OF DUAL FORM FOR ELEMENTARY SYMMETRIC FUNCTION WITH APPLICATIONS

HUAN-NAN SHI

ABSTRACT. The Schur-concavity and the Schur-geometrically convexity of dual form for the elementary symmetric function are discussed and some relevant inequalities are established, moreover inequalities for the simplex are also established by above inequalities.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

Throughout the paper we assume that the set of  $n$ -dimensional row vector on real number field by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{++}^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Its elementary symmetric functions are

$$E_k(\mathbf{x}) = E_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The dual form of the elementary symmetric functions are

$$E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) = E_k^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and defined  $E_0^*(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ , and  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ .

We known that the elementary symmetric function  $E_k(\mathbf{x})$  is an increasing and Schur-concave function on  $\mathbb{R}^n[1]$ . The aim of this paper is to discuss the Schur-concave and the Schur-geometrically convex properties of  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  and to establish some relevant inequalities. We need the following definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 1.** [1, 2] Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (1)  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be majorized by  $\mathbf{y}$  (in symbols  $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$ ) if  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ , where  $x_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$  and  $y_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq y_{[n]}$  are rearrangements of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in a descending order, and  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to strictly majors by  $\mathbf{y}$  (written  $\mathbf{x} \prec\prec \mathbf{y}$ ) if  $\mathbf{x}$  is not permutation of  $\mathbf{y}$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15, 52A40.

*Key words and phrases.* elementary symmetric function, dual form, schur-concavity, Schur-geometrically convexity, inequality, simplex .

The author was supported in part by the Scientific Research Common Program of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education (KM200611417009).

This paper was typeset using  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ .

- (2)  $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y}$  means  $x_i \geq y_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be increasing if  $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y}$  implies  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be decreasing if and only if  $-\varphi$  is increasing.
- (3) let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a Schur-convex function on  $\Omega$  if  $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$  on  $\Omega$  implies  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be a Schur-concave function on  $\Omega$  if and only if  $-\varphi$  is Schur-convex function on  $\Omega$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be a strictly Schur-convex function on  $\Omega$  if  $\mathbf{x} \prec \prec \mathbf{y}$  on  $\Omega$  implies  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) < \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be a strictly Schur-concave function on  $\Omega$  if and only if  $-\varphi$  is strictly Schur-convex function on  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.** [3] let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ ,  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be a Schur-geometrically convex function on  $\Omega$  if  $(\ln x_1, \dots, \ln x_n) \prec (\ln y_1, \dots, \ln y_n)$  on  $\Omega$  implies  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be a Schur-geometrically concave function on  $\Omega$  if and only  $-\varphi$  is Schur-geometrically convex function.

**Definition 3.** [3] Let set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (1)  $\Omega$  is said to be a convex set if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  implies  $\alpha\mathbf{x} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$ .
- (2)  $\Omega$  is said to be a geometrically convex set if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  implies  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^{1-\alpha} \in \Omega$ .

**Lemma 1** ([1, p. 7]). *A function  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  is increasing if and only if  $\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set,  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, and*

$$\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{\partial\varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Lemma 2** ([1, p. 5]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is symmetric and has a nonempty interior convex set.  $\Omega^0$  is the interior of  $\Omega$ .  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  and differentiable in  $\Omega^0$ . Then  $\varphi$  is the Schur - convex (Schur - concave) function, if and only if  $\varphi$  is symmetric on  $\Omega$  and*

$$(x_1 - x_2) \left( \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \quad (1)$$

holds for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^0$ .

**Lemma 3** ([3, p. 108]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is a symmetric and has a nonempty interior geometrically convex set.  $\Omega^0$  is the interior of  $\Omega$ .  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous on  $\Omega$  and differentiable in  $\Omega^0$ . If  $\varphi$  is symmetric on  $\Omega$  and*

$$(\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \left( x_1 \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \quad (2)$$

holds for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$ , then  $\varphi$  is the Schur-geometrically convex ( Schur-geometrically concave) function.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS AND THEIR PROOFS

In the following, we are in a position to state our main results and give proofs of them.

**Theorem 1.** *For  $k = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 2$ ,  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is an increasing and Schur-concave function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ;  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is a strictly increasing and Schur-concave function and Schur-geometrically convex function on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) = E_k^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = E_k^*(x_2, \dots, x_n) \cdot \prod_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right),$$

$$\ln E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) = \ln E_k^*(x_2, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \ln \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the Lemmas 1,  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is an increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

For any  $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 - x_2) \left( \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2) E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left[ \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} - \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= -(x_1 - x_2)^2 E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

So from the Lemmas 2,  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is a strictly Schur-concave function on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} &= x_1 E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} x_1 \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} x_1 \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right], \\ x_2 \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} &= x_2 E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \\ &= E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} x_2 \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} x_2 \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}_{++}^n)^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \left( x_1 \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial E_k^*(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \\
&= (\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left[ x_1 \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} - x_2 \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} \left[ x_1 \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} - x_2 \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \right\} \\
&= (\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) (x_1 - x_2) E_k^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[ \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} \left( x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right. \\
&\cdot \left. \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{i_j} \right) + \sum_{3 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-2} \leq n} \left( x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} x_{i_j} \right)^{-1} \right] > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(Notice that  $(\ln x_1 - \ln x_2)(x_1 - x_2) > 0$ )

So from the Lemmas 2,  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is a Schur-geometrically concave function on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = s > 0$ , and let  $f(x)$  is a nonnegative concave function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^1$ . Then for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we have*

$$E_k^*(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_{i_j}) \leq [kf(s/n)]^{C_n^k}. \quad (3)$$

In particular,

$$E_k^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i_j} \leq [k(s/n)]^{C_n^k}, \quad (4)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is increasing and Schur-concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and  $f(x)$  is a nonnegative concave function, from proposition 6.16 (b) in [1], it follows that  $E_k^*(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n))$  is also Schur-concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . And then from

$$(s/n, \dots, s/n) \prec (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

we have

$$E_k^*(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) \leq E_k^*(f(s/n), \dots, f(s/n)),$$

i.e. inequality(3) is hold. Since  $E_k^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is strictly increasing and Schur-concave on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , it follows inequality(4) is hold, and equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i = p > 0$ . Then for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ , we have*

$$E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}^\alpha \leq (p^{\alpha/n})^{C_n^k}, \quad (5)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E_k^*(\mathbf{x})$  is increasing and Schur-geometrically concave on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , and  $x^\alpha$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , for  $\alpha \geq 1$ , from proposition 6.16 (a) on [1], it follows that  $E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  is also Schur-geometrically convex on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ . And then from

$$(\ln \sqrt[n]{p}, \dots, \ln \sqrt[n]{p}) \prec (\ln x_1, \dots, \ln x_n),$$

we have

$$E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha) \leq E_k^*((\sqrt[n]{p})^\alpha, \dots, (\sqrt[n]{p})^\alpha),$$

i.e. inequality (5) is hold. When  $\alpha = 1$ , since  $E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  is strictly increasing and Schur-concave on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , it follows equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, n \geq 2$ , and let  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = s > 0, c \geq s$ . Then for  $k = 1, \dots, n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we have*

$$\frac{E_k^*((c-x_1)^\alpha, \dots, (c-x_n)^\alpha)}{E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)} \geq \left(\frac{nc}{s} - 1\right)^{\alpha C_n^k}, \quad (6)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\alpha = 1$ .

*Proof.* In [4], it is proved that

$$\left(\frac{(c-x_1)s}{nc-s}, \frac{(c-x_2)s}{nc-s}, \dots, \frac{(c-x_n)s}{nc-s}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Combining the Schur-concavity of  $E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , it follows that inequality (6) is hold.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, n \geq 2$ , and let  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = s > 0, c \geq 0$ . Then for  $k = 1, \dots, n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we have*

$$\frac{E_k^*((c+x_1)^\alpha, \dots, (c+x_n)^\alpha)}{E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)} \geq \left(\frac{nc}{s} + 1\right)^{\alpha C_n^k}, \quad (7)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ , for  $\alpha = 1$ .

*Proof.* In [4], it is proved that

$$\left(\frac{(c+x_1)s}{nc+s}, \frac{(c+x_2)s}{nc+s}, \dots, \frac{(c-x_n)s}{nc-s}\right) \prec (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Combining the Schur-concavity of  $E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , it follows that inequality (7) is hold.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, n \geq 2$  and  $0 < r \leq s$ . Then*

$$\frac{E_k^*(x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r)}{E_k^*(x_1^s, \dots, x_n^s)} \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^s}\right)^{C_n^k}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* In [2, p.130], it is proved that

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r}, \dots, \frac{x_2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r}\right) \prec \left(\frac{x_1}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^s}, \dots, \frac{x_2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^s}\right).$$

Combining the Schur-concavity of  $E_k^*(x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , it follows that inequality (8) is hold.  $\square$

## 3. APPLICATIONS

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -dimensional simplex in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^n (n \geq 3)$  and  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}\}$  is the set of vertices. Let  $P$  be an arbitrary point in the interior of  $A$ . If  $B_i$  is the intersection point of the extension line of  $A_iP$  and the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane opposite to the point  $A_i$ . Then we have

$$E_k^* \left( \left( \frac{PB_1}{A_1B_1} \right)^\alpha, \dots, \left( \frac{PB_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}B_{n+1}} \right)^\alpha \right) \leq \left[ k \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^\alpha \right]^{C_{n+1}^k}, \quad (9)$$

$$E_k^* \left( \left( \frac{A_1P}{A_1B_1} \right)^\alpha, \dots, \left( \frac{A_{n+1}P}{A_{n+1}B_{n+1}} \right)^\alpha \right) \leq \left[ k \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^\alpha \right]^{C_{n+1}^k} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_k^* \left( \left( \frac{A_1P}{A_1B_1} \right)^\alpha, \dots, \left( \frac{A_{n+1}P}{A_{n+1}B_{n+1}} \right)^\alpha \right) \\ \geq n^{C_{n+1}^k} E_k^* \left( \left( \frac{PB_1}{A_1B_1} \right)^\alpha, \dots, \left( \frac{PB_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}B_{n+1}} \right)^\alpha \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* It is easy to know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{PB_i}{A_iB_i} = 1, \frac{A_iP}{A_iB_i} = 1 - \frac{PB_i}{A_iB_i}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{A_iP}{A_iB_i} = n.$$

Taking  $s = 1$  and  $s = n$  in (3), it follows that (9) and (10) holds respectively, and taking  $s = c = 1$  in (4), it follows that (11) holds. The proof of Theorem 2 is be completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] B.-Y. Wang. *Foundations of majorization inequalities*, Beijing Normal Univ. Press, Beijing, China, 1990. (Chinese)
- [2] A. M. Marshall and I. Olkin. *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its application*. New York: Academies Press, 1979.
- [3] X. -M. Zhang. *Geometrically convex functions*. Hefei: An'hui University Press, 2004. (Chinese)
- [4] H.-N. Shi *Majorized proof of a kind of inequalities for symmetric function* Chinese J. Math. for Techology 1999, 15 (3): 140-142.
- [5] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric, V. Volenec. *Recent advances in geometric inequalities*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989, 463-473.

(H.-N. Shi) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION, TEACHER'S COLLEGE, BEIJING UNION UNIVERSITY, BEIJING CITY, 100011, CHINA

*E-mail address:* shihuannan@yahoo.com.cn, sfthuannan@buu.com.cn