

# A REMARK ON A SUM ON PRIMES COUNTING FUNCTION

M. HASSANI AND H. MOSHTAGH

ABSTRACT. We introduce some explicit lower and upper bounds for the summation  $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Let  $\pi(x)$  is the number of primes not exceeding  $x$ . In 1980 Jean-Marie De Koninck and Aleksandar Ivić [3] showed

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + O(\log x),$$

as a result of the Prime Number Theorem.

In 2000, L. Panaitopol [5] proved that

$$\frac{1}{\pi(x)} = \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \log x - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{\log^i x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+1} x}\right) \right\},$$

where  $m \geq 1$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i! k_{n-i} = n.n!$ , and using this with  $m = 2$ , improved above result of Koninck and Ivić as follows

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \log \log x + O(1).$$

Two years later, Ivić [2] got the following

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \log \log x + C + \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{k_i}{(i-1) \log^{i-1} x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m x}\right),$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant.

As we know, all approximations of the summation  $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)}$  have  $O$ -terms with effective constants, but there is no known computed one. In this note, following some careful computations, we find some explicit approximations for this summation. Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.** *For  $x \geq 2$  we have*

$$-1.51 \log \log x + 0.8994 < \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} - \left( \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x \right) < -0.79 \log \log x + 6.4888.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A41, 26D15, 40A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Primes, inequality, sequence.

Before proof, we mention two remarks:

1. The factors of  $\log \log$ –terms and constants appeared in this theorem are not optimal, and one can improve them. But, these are best with our methods and computational tools.
2. A nice question is explicit determining the value of  $C$  in Ivić's expansion of the summation under study, which requires studying the sequence  $\{C_N\}_{N \geq 2}$  defined by

$$C_N = \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{\pi(n)} - \frac{1}{2} \log^2 N + \log N + \log \log N.$$

Ivić's result, guarantees that  $C_N \rightarrow C$ , and computations comment  $C \approx 6.9$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

First we need Euler-Maclaurin summation formula to get some lemmas; suppose  $B_n(t)$  denotes Bernoulli polynomials and  $B_n = B_n(0)$  denotes Bernoulli numbers; for example  $B_2(t) = t^2 - t + 1/6$  and  $B_2 = 1/6$ . By  $\{t\}$  we denote the fractional part of  $t$ . Considering the inequality  $|B_{2m}(\{t\})| \leq B_{2m}$ , and using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (see for example [4], page 27) with  $m = 1$ , we get the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *For  $N \geq 2$  we have*

$$\Sigma_{-1}(N) := \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{n \log n} = \log \log N + C_{-1} + R_{-1},$$

say, where  $C_{-1} = 0.794678645452\dots$  and  $|R_{-1}| \leq (3N \log N + \log N + 1)/(6N^2 \log^2 N)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For  $N \geq 1$  we have*

$$\Sigma_0(N) := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = \log N + C_0 + R_0,$$

say, where  $C_0 = 0.577215664901\dots$ , and  $|R_0| \leq (3N + 1)/(6N^2)$ . Note that  $C_0 = \gamma$ , the Euler constant.

**Lemma 4.** *For  $N \geq 1$  we have*

$$\Sigma_1(N) := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\log n}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 N + C_1 + R_1,$$

say, where  $C_1 = -0.072815845483\dots$ , and  $|R_1| \leq (6N \log N + 13 \log N + 25)/(12N^2)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}(y, x) = \sum_{y < n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(x) = \mathfrak{S}(1, x)$ . To find upper bound, we consider Theorem 2.1 of [1], which asserts that for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{21}{20}$ , the inequality

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon}{\log x}} \leq \pi(x),$$

holds for all

$$x \geq \max \left\{ 32299, \left\lceil e^{\frac{13-5\varepsilon+\sqrt{169+14\varepsilon-155\varepsilon^2}}{10\varepsilon}} \right\rceil \right\} := \mathfrak{M},$$

say. We write  $\mathfrak{S}(x) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1) + \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1, x)$ . The value of  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1)$  is absolute and one can find it after determining exact value of  $\varepsilon$ . We write

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1, x) \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{M}-1 < n \leq x} \frac{\log n - 1 - \frac{\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon}{\log n}}{n} = \sum_{\mathfrak{M}-1 < n \leq x} \frac{\log n}{n} - \sum_{\mathfrak{M}-1 < n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} - \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) \sum_{\mathfrak{M}-1 < n \leq x} \frac{1}{n \log n}.$$

Thus (we restrict our self to integre values of  $x$ , but we note that we won't lose some thing by this restriction) for  $x \geq \mathfrak{M}$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1) + \Sigma_1(x) - \Sigma_1(\mathfrak{M}-1) - \Sigma_0(x) + \Sigma_0(\mathfrak{M}-1) - \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) \left(\Sigma_{-1}(x) - \Sigma_{-1}(\mathfrak{M}-1)\right).$$

Now, considering above lemmas and restriction  $0 < \varepsilon < 4/5$ , for  $x \geq \mathfrak{M}$  we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) \log \log x + C_u + R_u,$$

where

$$C_u = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{M}-1) - \Sigma_1(\mathfrak{M}-1) + \Sigma_0(\mathfrak{M}-1) + \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) \Sigma_{-1}(\mathfrak{M}-1) + C_1 - C_0 - \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) C_{-1},$$

and

$$R_u = \frac{6x \log x + 13 \log x + 25}{12x^2} + \frac{3x+1}{6x^2} + \left(\frac{4}{5} - \varepsilon\right) \frac{3x \log x + \log x + 1}{6x^2 \log^2 x}.$$

Now, we put  $\varepsilon = 1/12423$ , which gives  $\mathfrak{M} = 32299$ . Then  $C_u = 6.488573380484\dots$ , and  $R_u$  is a decreasing function of  $x$  for  $x \geq \mathfrak{M}$ , which yields  $R_u \leq 0.000177415261\dots$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - 0.799919504145 \log \log x + 6.488750795746 \quad (x \geq 32299),$$

which holds true for  $2 \leq x \leq 32298$  by Maple computations (see Appendix). Similarly, we find lower bound; Corollary 2.4 of [1], asserts that for every  $x \geq 7$ , we have

$$\pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{151}{100 \log x}}.$$

This result and above lemmas, yield for every  $x \geq 7$  that

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) = \mathfrak{S}(6) + \mathfrak{S}(6, x) \geq \mathfrak{S}(6) + \sum_{6 < n \leq x} \frac{\log n}{n} - \sum_{6 < n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{151}{100} \sum_{6 < n \leq x} \frac{1}{n \log n},$$

and our above Lemmas give  $\mathfrak{S}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - 1.51 \log \log x + C_l + R_l$  for every  $x \geq 7$ , with

$$C_l = \mathfrak{S}(6) - \Sigma_1(6) + \Sigma_0(6) + 1.51 \Sigma_{-1}(6) + C_1 - C_0 - 1.51 C_{-1} = 3.734603144333\dots,$$

and

$$R_l = -\frac{6x \log x + 13 \log x + 25}{12x^2} - \frac{3x+1}{6x^2} - 1.51 \frac{3x \log x + \log x + 1}{6x^2 \log^2 x} \geq -0.358785749003\dots,$$

where the last inequality holds for  $x \geq 7$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - 1.51 \log \log x + 3.375817395331 \quad (x \geq 7),$$

which holds true for  $x = 6$ , too. Also, reducing the value of constant, Maple computations verifies the following

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - 1.51 \log \log x + 0.8994 \quad (x \geq 2).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Hassani, Approximation of  $\pi(x)$  by  $\psi(x)$ , *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics (JIPAM)*, Volume 7, Issue 1, Article 7, 2006, MR2217170
- [2] A. Ivić, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 13 (2002), 85–88. MR1992843
- [3] Jean-Marie De Koninck and Aleksandar Ivić, *Notas de Matemática (Mathematical Notes)*, 72. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980. xvii+262 pp. ISBN: 0-444-86049-5. MR0589545
- [4] A. M. Odlyzko, Asymptotic enumeration methods, in *Handbook of Combinatorics*, vol. 2, R. L. Graham, M. Groetschel, and L. Lovasz, eds., Elsevier, 1995, pp. 1063–1229. MR1373655
- [5] L. Panaitopol, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.* (5) 1 (2000), no. 1, 55–56. MR1760776

APPENDIX. MAPLE PROGRAM FOR VERIFYING LOWER BOUNDS (OR UPPER BOUNDS BY A LITTLE CHANG) FOR

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \text{ FOR THE RANGE } y \leq x \leq m.$$

```
for x from m by -1 while
evalf(sum(1/numtheory[pi](n),n=2..x)-(lower bound))>0
do x end do;
```

The out put are those numbers  $\leq x$  with bound holding and the last number in out put is  $y$ .

MEHDI HASSANI,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 45195-1159, ZANJAN, IRAN

*E-mail address:* mmhassany@member.ams.org

HOSSEIN MOSHTAGH,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY GARMSAR BRANCH, GARMSAR, IRAN

*E-mail address:* hs\_moshtagh@yahoo.com