

Inequalities Between The Sum of Power and The Exponential of Sum of Nonnegative Sequence

Benharrat BELAÏDI , Abdallah EL FARISSI

and Zinelaâbidine LATREUCH

Department of Mathematics
Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics
University of Mostaganem
B. P 227 Mostaganem-(Algeria)
belaidi@univ-mosta.dz
belaidi.benharrat@caramail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we give a generalization of results of F. Qi [1]. We obtain by using a short proof, the following inequality between the sum of power and the exponential of sum of nonnegative sequence

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right),$$

where $p \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, $x_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and the constant $\frac{e^p}{p^p}$ is the best possible.

1 INTRODUCTION

In [1], F. QI proved the following:

Theorem A For $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ and $n \geq 2$, inequality

$$\frac{e^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right), \quad (1.1)$$

is valid. Equality in (1.1) holds if $x_i = 2$ for some given $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $j \neq i$. So, the constant $\frac{e^2}{4}$ in (1.1) is the best possible.

Theorem B Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a nonnegative sequence such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i < \infty$. Then

$$\frac{e^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right). \quad (1.2)$$

Equality in (1.2) holds if $x_i = 2$ for some given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \neq i$. So, the constant $\frac{e^2}{4}$ in (1.2) is the best possible.

The aim of this paper is to give a generalization of inequality (1.1) and (1.2).

For our own convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$[0, \infty)^n \triangleq \underbrace{[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \dots \times [0, \infty)}_{n \text{ times}} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$(0, \infty)^n \triangleq \underbrace{(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \dots \times (0, \infty)}_{n \text{ times}} \quad (1.4)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers.

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number. For $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ and $n \geq 2$, inequality

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right), \quad (1.5)$$

is valid. Equality in (1.5) holds if $x_i = p$ for some given $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $j \neq i$. So, the constant $\frac{e^p}{p^p}$ in (1.5) is the best possible.

Theorem 1.2 Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a nonnegative sequence such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i < \infty$ and $p \geq 1$ is a real number. Then

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^p \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right). \quad (1.6)$$

Equality in (1.6) holds if $x_i = p$ for some given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \neq i$. So, the constant $\frac{e^p}{p^p}$ in (1.6) is the best possible.

Remark 1.1 In general we can't find $0 < \mu_n < \infty$, and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq \mu_n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_n}.$$

Proof. We suppose that there exists $0 < \mu_n < \infty$, and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq \mu_n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_n}.$$

Then for $(x_1, 1, \dots, 1)$, we obtain as $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$

$$1 \leq e^{1-n} \mu_n (n-1 + x_1^{\lambda_n}) e^{-x_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

Remark 1.2 Taking $p = 2$ in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 easily leads to Theorems A, B.

Remark 1.3 Inequality (1.5), can be rewritten as

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \|x\|_p^p \leq \exp \|x\|_1, \quad (1.8)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the p -norm.

Remark 1.4 Inequality (1.6) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^p \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{x_i} \quad (1.9)$$

which is equivalent to inequality (1.8) for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in [0, \infty)^\infty$.

Remark 1.5 Taking $x_i = \frac{1}{i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in (1.5) and rearranging gives

$$p - p \ln p + \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^p} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}. \quad (1.10)$$

Taking $x_i = \frac{1}{i^s}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s > 1$ in (1.6) and rearranging gives

$$p - p \ln p + \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{ps}} \right) = p - p \ln p + \ln \zeta(ps) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^s} = \zeta(s), \quad (1.11)$$

where ζ denotes the well-known Riemann Zêta function.

2 Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 For $x \in [0, \infty)$, $p \geq 1$, inequality

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} x^p \leq e^x, \quad (2.1)$$

is valid. Equality in (2.1) holds if $x = p$. So, the constant $\frac{e^p}{p^p}$ in (2.1) is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(x) = p \ln x - x$ on the set $(0, \infty)$, it is easy to obtain that the function f has a maximal point $x = p$ and the maximal value equals $f(p) = p \ln p - p$. Then, we obtain (2.1). It is clear that inequality (2.1) holds also at $x = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number. For $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ and $n \geq 2$, inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^p, \quad 2.2$$

is valid.

Proof. First we prove (2.2) for $n = 2$. We have for any $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{x_1+x_2} \leq 1 \\ \frac{x_2}{x_1+x_2} \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then, by $p \geq 1$ we get

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1+x_2}\right)^p \leq \frac{x_1}{x_1+x_2} \\ \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1+x_2}\right)^p \leq \frac{x_2}{x_1+x_2} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

By addition from (2.4), we obtain

$$x_1^p + x_2^p \leq (x_1 + x_2)^p. \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that inequality (2.5) holds also at the point $(0, 0)$.

Now we suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^p \quad (2.6)$$

and we prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i\right)^p. \quad (2.7)$$

We have by (2.5)

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i\right)^p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + x_{n+1}\right)^p \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^p + x_{n+1}^p \quad (2.8)$$

and by (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^p = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p + x_{n+1}^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^p + x_{n+1}^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i\right)^p. \quad (2.9)$$

Then for all $n \geq 2$ we have (2.2) holds.

3 Proofs of Theorems

Now we are in a position to prove our theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 For $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$, we put $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Then by (2.1), we have

$$\frac{e^p}{p^p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^p \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

and by (2.2) we obtain (1.5).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 This can be concluded by letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] **F. QI**, *Inequality between the sum of squares and the exponential of sum of nonnegative sequence*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 8 (3) Art.78, 2007, 1-5.