

# INEQUALITIES FOR THE EULER-MASCHERONI CONSTANT

CHAO-PING CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $R_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $H(n) = n^2(R_n - \gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We prove that for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $H(n)$  and  $[(n + 1/2)/n]^2 H(n)$  are strictly increasing, while  $[(n + 1)/n]^2 H(n)$  is strictly decreasing. For all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{24(n+a)^2} \leq R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24(n+b)^2}$$

with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[-\gamma + 1 - \log(3/2)]}} - 1 = 0.55106\dots \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This refines result of D. W. DeTemple, who proved that the double inequality holds with  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Euler-Mascheroni constant  $\gamma = 0.57721\dots$  is defined by

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n, \quad \text{where} \quad D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log n.$$

The speed of convergence of the sequence  $D_n$  has been studied by different authors. In 1971, S. R. Tims and J. A. Tyrrell [7] proved that

$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)} < D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2(n-1)}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

In 1991, R. M. Young [8] presented an elegant geometrical proof for the double inequality

$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)} < D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In 1997, G. D. Anderson et al. [3] established the the double inequality

$$\frac{1-\gamma}{n} \leq D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In 1998, H. Alzer [2] proved that for all integers  $n \geq 1$ , the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2(n+a)} \leq D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2(n+b)}$$

holds with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} - 1 = 0.1826\dots \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{6}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B83; Secondary 11B73; 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Euler-Mascheroni constant; inequality; psi function; asymptotic expansion.

This work was supported by SF for pure research of Natural Science of the Education Department of Henan Province (2007110011).

The convergence of the sequence  $D_n$  to  $\gamma$  is very slow. In 1993, D. W. DeTemple [5] studied a modified sequence which converges faster and proved

$$\frac{1}{24(n+1)^2} < R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24n^2}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$R_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Now let

$$H(n) = n^2(R_n - \gamma), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since

$$\psi(n+1) = -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k},$$

we see that

$$H(n) = (R_n - \gamma)n^2 = \left[ \psi(n+1) - \log\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] n^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$  is the psi function. Some computer experiments led M. Vuorinen to conjecture that  $H(n)$  increases on the interval  $[1, \infty)$  from  $H(1) = -\gamma + 1 - \log(3/2) = 0.0173\dots$  to  $1/24 = 0.0416\dots$ . E. A. Karatsuba [6] proved that for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $H(n) < H(n+1)$ , by clever use of Stirling formula and Fourier series. Some computer experiments also seem to indicate that  $[(n+1)/n]^2 H(n)$  is a decreasing convex function [4].

The following Theorem 1 shows the monotonicity properties of  $H(n)$ ,  $[(n+1/2)/n]^2 H(n)$  and  $[(n+1)/n]^2 H(n)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H(n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be defined by (2). Then for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $H(n)$  and  $[(n+1/2)/n]^2 H(n)$  are both strictly increasing, while  $[(n+1)/n]^2 H(n)$  is strictly decreasing.*

*Remark 1.* By the asymptotic formula [1, p. 550]

$$\psi(x) = \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{24(x-1/2)^2} + O(x^{-4}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(n) = \frac{1}{24} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(n+1)/n]^2 H(n) = \frac{1}{24}. \quad (3)$$

From the monotonicity of  $H(n)$ ,  $[(n+1)/n]^2 H(n)$  and (3), we obtain the inequality (1).

In view of the inequality (1) it is natural to ask: What is the smallest number  $a$  and What is the largest number  $b$  such that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{24(n+a)^2} \leq R_n - \gamma \leq \frac{1}{24(n+b)^2}$$

holds for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ? The following Theorem 2 answers this question.

**Theorem 2.** *For all integers  $n \geq 1$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{24(n+a)^2} \leq R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24(n+b)^2} \quad (4)$$

with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[-\gamma + 1 - \log(3/2)]}} - 1 = 0.55106\dots \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Remark 2.* From the monotonicity of  $[(n + 1/2)/n]^2 H(n)$  and the limit relation  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(n + 1/2)/n]^2 H(n) = \frac{1}{24}$ , we obtain the right inequality of (4) with  $b = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

In order prove our Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we need to the following results [1]: For  $x > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{2k(x - \frac{1}{2})^{2k}} &< \psi(x) \\ &< \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{2k(x - \frac{1}{2})^{2k}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n-1)!}{(x - \frac{1}{2})^n} + \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{(2k)!} \frac{(n+2k-1)!}{(x - \frac{1}{2})^{n+2k}} &< (-1)^{n+1} \psi^{(n)}(x) \\ &< \frac{(n-1)!}{(x - \frac{1}{2})^n} + \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{(2k)!} \frac{(n+2k-1)!}{(x - \frac{1}{2})^{n+2k}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$B_k(1/2) = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) B_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$B_k$  are Bernoulli numbers defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

First four Bernoulli numbers with even indices are

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, \quad B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, \quad B_8 = -\frac{1}{30},$$

this yields

$$B_2(1/2) = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad B_4(1/2) = \frac{7}{240}, \quad B_6(1/2) = -\frac{31}{1344}, \quad B_8(1/2) = \frac{127}{3840}.$$

From (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{24(x - \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(x - \frac{1}{2})^4} &< \psi(x) \\ &< \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{24(x - \frac{1}{2})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From (6), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{12(x - \frac{1}{2})^3} < \psi'(x) < \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{12(x - \frac{1}{2})^3} + \frac{7}{240(x - \frac{1}{2})^5}, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{12(x - \frac{1}{2})^3} + \frac{7}{240(x - \frac{1}{2})^5} - \frac{31}{1344(x - \frac{1}{2})^7} &< \psi'(x) \\ &< \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{12(x - \frac{1}{2})^3} + \frac{7}{240(x - \frac{1}{2})^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now we are in position to prove our Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $a \geq 0$  be a real number and  $f_a(x)$  be defined by

$$f_a(x) = (x + a)^2 \left[ \psi(x + 1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \quad x > -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

differentiation yields

$$\frac{1}{x + a} f'_a(x) = 2 \left[ \psi(x + 1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + (x + a) \left[ \psi'(x + 1) - \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

By (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x} f'_0(x) &= 2 \left[ \psi(x + 1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + x \left[ \psi'(x + 1) - \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &> 2 \left[ \frac{1}{24(x + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(x + \frac{1}{2})^4} \right] - \frac{x}{12(x + \frac{1}{2})^3} \\ &= \frac{20x + 3}{480(x + \frac{1}{2})^4} > 0 \quad \text{for } x > -\frac{3}{20}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence  $H(n)$  is strictly increasing for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

By (7) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} f'_{1/2}(x) &= 2 \left[ \psi(x + 1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[ \psi'(x + 1) - \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &> 2 \left[ \frac{1}{24(x + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(x + \frac{1}{2})^4} \right] \\ &\quad + \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[ -\frac{1}{12(x + \frac{1}{2})^3} + \frac{7}{240(x + \frac{1}{2})^5} - \frac{31}{1344(x + \frac{1}{2})^7} \right] \\ &= \frac{98x^2 + 98x - 131.5}{6720(x + \frac{1}{2})^6} > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.76168\dots \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence  $[(n+1/2)/n]^2 H(n)$  is strictly increasing for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

By (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x + 1} f'_1(x) &= 2 \left[ \psi(x + 1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + (x + 1) \left[ \psi'(x + 1) - \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &< \frac{1}{12(x + \frac{1}{2})^2} + (x + 1) \left[ -\frac{1}{12(x + \frac{1}{2})^3} + \frac{7}{240(x + \frac{1}{2})^5} \right] \\ &= -\frac{10x^2 + 3x - \frac{9}{2}}{240(x + \frac{1}{2})^5} < 0 \quad \text{for } x > \frac{-3 + \sqrt{189}}{20} = 0.537\dots \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence  $[(n+1)/n]^2 H(n)$  is strictly decreasing for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The inequality (4) can be written as

$$a < \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[\psi(n+1) - \log(n+1/2)]}} - n \leq b.$$

In order to prove (4) we define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[\psi(x+1) - \log(x+1/2)]}} - x.$$

Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ 24 \left( \psi(x+1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]^{3/2} f'(x) \\ &= 12 \left( \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} - \psi'(x+1) \right) - \left[ 24 \left( \psi(x+1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that there exists a positive real number  $x_0$  such that the function  $f$  is strictly decreasing on  $(x_0, \infty)$ . In order to find  $x_0$ , we consider

$$12 \left( \frac{1}{x + \frac{1}{2}} - \psi'(x+1) \right) < \left[ 24 \left( \psi(x+1) - \log \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]^{3/2}.$$

By (7) and (9), it is sufficient to consider

$$\begin{aligned} & 12 \left( \frac{1}{12(x + \frac{1}{2})^3} - \frac{7}{240(x + \frac{1}{2})^5} + \frac{31}{1344(x + \frac{1}{2})^7} \right) \\ & < \left[ 24 \left( \frac{1}{24(x + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(x + \frac{1}{2})^4} \right) \right]^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Set  $u = x + \frac{1}{2}$ , (11) become

$$1 - \frac{7}{20u^2} + \frac{31}{112u^4} < \left( 1 - \frac{7}{40u^2} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (12)$$

By Bernoulli's inequality: Let  $x \geq -1$ , then for  $\alpha < 0$  or  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $(1+x)^\alpha \geq 1 + \alpha x$ , the equal sign holds if and only if  $x = 0$ , we have

$$1 - \frac{21}{80u^2} < \left( 1 - \frac{7}{40u^2} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (13)$$

The inequality

$$1 - \frac{7}{20u^2} + \frac{31}{112u^4} < 1 - \frac{21}{80u^2} \quad (14)$$

holds for  $u > 1.778557\dots$ , and then,  $f'(x) < 0$  for  $x > 1.278557\dots$ . Straightforward calculation produces  $f(1) = 0.55106\dots$ ,  $f(2) = 0.53308\dots$ , thus, the sequence  $f(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24(R_n - \gamma)}} - n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is strictly decreasing. This leads to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) < f(n) \leq f(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[-\gamma + 1 - \log(3/2)]}} - 1. \quad (15)$$

It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (16)$$

From the representation

$$\psi(x) = \log\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{24(x - \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(x - \frac{1}{2})^4} + O(x^{-6}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[\psi(x+1) - \log(x+1/2)]}} - x \\ &= \frac{1 - x\sqrt{24[\psi(x+1) - \log(x+1/2)]}}{\sqrt{24[\psi(x+1) - \log(x+1/2)]}} \\ &= \frac{1 - x\sqrt{\frac{1}{(x+1/2)^2} - \frac{7}{40(x+1/2)^4} + O(x^{-6})}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{(x+1/2)^2} - \frac{7}{40(x+1/2)^4} + O(x^{-6})}} \\ &= \frac{x + \frac{1}{2} - x\sqrt{1 - \frac{7}{40(x+1/2)^2} + O(x^{-4})}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{7}{40(x+1/2)^2} + O(x^{-4})}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{7x}{80(x+1/2)^2} + O(x^{-3})}{1 - \frac{7}{80(x+1/2)^2} + O(x^{-4})} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Allasia, C. Giordano and J. Pečarić, *Inequalities for the gamma function relating to asymptotic expansions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), no. 3, 543–555.
- [2] H. Alzer *Inequalities for the gamma and polygamma functions*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **68** (1998), 363–372.
- [3] G. D. Anderson, R. W. Barnard, K. C. Richards, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, *Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 5, 1713–1723.
- [4] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, *Topics in special functions*, Papers on analysis, 5–26, Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dep. Math. Stat., 83, Univ. Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2001.
- [5] D. W. DeTemple, *A quicker convergence to Euler's constant*, Amer. Math. Monthly **100** (1993), no. 5, 468–470.
- [6] E. A. Karatsuba, *On the computation of the Euler constant  $\gamma$* , Numer. Algorithms **24** (2000), 83–97.
- [7] S.R. Tims, J.A. Tyrrell, *Approximate evaluation of Euler's constant*, Math. Gaz. **55** (1971), no. 391, 65–67.
- [8] R. M. Young, *Euler's Constant*, Math. Gaz. **75**(1991), 187-190,

(Ch.-P. Chen) COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN 454003, CHINA

*E-mail address:* chenchaoping@hpu.edu.cn, chenchaoping@sohu.com