

SCHUR-CONVEXITY AND SCHUR-GEOMETRICALLY CONCAVITY OF SEIFFERT'S MEAN

DA-MAO LI, HUAN-NAN SHI, AND JIAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The Schur-convexity and the Schur-geometrically convexity with variables $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ of Seiffert's mean are discussed. Some new inequalities are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we assume that the set of the real number, the nonnegative real number and the positive real number by \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}_{++} respectively.

Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$. In 1993, H.-J. Seiffert [1] introduced the mean

$$P = P(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{a-b}{4 \arctan \sqrt{a/b-\pi}}, & a \neq b, \\ a, & a = b. \end{cases}$$

In recent years, some further generalizations and applications about Seiffert's mean have been obtained in [2],[3],[4] and [5] and the references therein.

In this paper, the Schur-convexity and the Schur-geometrically convexity with variables $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ of Seiffert's mean are discussed. Besides, some new inequalities are obtained.

2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

We need the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 1 ([6, 7]). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (i) \mathbf{x} is said to be majorized by \mathbf{y} (in symbols $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$) if $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$, where $x_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq y_{[n]}$ are rearrangements of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in a descending order.
- (ii) $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y}$ means $x_i \geq y_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be increasing if $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y}$ implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. φ is said to be decreasing if and only if $-\varphi$ is increasing.
- (iii) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a convex set if $(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_n + \beta y_n) \in \Omega$ for any \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$, where α and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.
- (iv) let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Schur-convex function on Ω if $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. φ is said to be a Schur-concave function on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is Schur-convex function.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26D15, 26A51.

Key words and phrases. Seiffert's mean, Schur-convexity, Schur-geometrically concavity, inequality.

The authors are supported in part by the Scientific Research Common Program of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education (KM200611417009).

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{L}\text{A}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$.

Definition 2 ([8, 9]). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$.

- (i) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ is called a geometrically convex set if $(x_1^\alpha y_1^\beta, \dots, x_n^\alpha y_n^\beta) \in \Omega$ for any \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$, where α and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.
- (ii) let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a Schur-geometrically convex function on Ω if $(\ln x_1, \dots, \ln x_n) \prec (\ln y_1, \dots, \ln y_n)$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. φ is said to be a Schur-geometrically concave function on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is Schur-geometrically convex function.

Lemma 1 ([6, 7]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is symmetric and has a nonempty interior set. Ω^0 is the interior of Ω . $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω^0 . Then φ is the Schur – convex (Schur – concave) function, if and only if φ is symmetric on Ω and

$$(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0)$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$.

Lemma 2 ([8]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ is a symmetric and has a nonempty interior geometrically convex set. Ω^0 is the interior of Ω . $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω^0 . If φ is symmetric on Ω and

$$(\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \left(x_1 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0)$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$, then φ is the Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) function.

Lemma 3. Let $a \leq b, u(t) = tb + (1-t)a, v(t) = ta + (1-t)b$. If $1/2 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1$, then

$$(u(t_2), v(t_2)) \prec (u(t_1), v(t_1)) \prec (a, b). \quad (1)$$

Proof. Case 1. When $1/2 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$, it is easy to see that $u(t_1) \geq v(t_1), u(t_2) \geq v(t_2), u(t_1) \geq u(t_2)$ and $u(t_2) + v(t_2) = u(t_1) + v(t_1) = a + b$, this is (1) holds.

Case 2. When $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1$, then $1/2 \leq 1 - t_2 \leq 1 - t_1 \leq 1$, by the Case 1, it follows

$$(u(1 - t_2), v(1 - t_2)) \prec (u(1 - t_1), v(1 - t_1)),$$

i.e. $(u(t_2), v(t_2)) \prec (u(t_1), v(t_1))$. □

Lemma 4 ([10]). Let $0 \leq a \leq b, c \geq 0$. Then

$$\left(\frac{a+c}{a+b+2c}, \frac{b+c}{a+b+2c} \right) \prec \left(\frac{a}{a+b}, \frac{b}{a+b} \right). \quad (2)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS AND THEIR PROOFS

In the following, we are in a position to state our main results and give proofs of them.

Theorem 1. $P(a, b)$ is Schur-concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$.

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to check that $P(a, b) = P(b, a)$. In fact, for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ with $a \neq b$, we have

$$P(a, b) = \frac{a-b}{4 \int_1^{\sqrt{a/b}} \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt},$$

by substitution $t = 1/s$, it follows

$$P(a, b) = \frac{b - a}{4 \int_1^{\sqrt{b/a}} \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds} = P(b, a).$$

Secondly, let $u = u(a, b) = \sqrt{a/b}$ and $\varphi = \varphi(a, b) = 4 \arctan u - \pi$, then $P(a, b) = (a - b)/\varphi$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &:= (b - a) \left(\frac{\partial P(a, b)}{\partial a} - \frac{\partial P(a, b)}{\partial b} \right) \\ &= \frac{a - b}{\varphi^2} \left[2\varphi + (a - b) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial b} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{a - b}{\varphi^2} \left[2\varphi + (a - b) \left(\frac{4}{1 + u^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} - \frac{4}{1 + u^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{a - b}{\varphi^2} \left[2\varphi + \frac{4(a - b)}{1 + u^2} \left(-\frac{a}{2ub^2} - \frac{1}{2ub} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{a - b}{\varphi^2} \left[2\varphi - \frac{2(a - b)}{u(1 + u^2)} \left(\frac{a}{b^2} + \frac{1}{b} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2(a - b)}{\varphi^2} \left(\varphi + \frac{1}{u} - u \right) = \frac{2(a - b)}{\varphi^2} f(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(u) = \varphi + \frac{1}{u} - u = 4 \arctan u - \pi + \frac{1}{u} - u.$$

Since

$$f'(u) = \frac{4}{1 + u^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} - 1 = \frac{-u^4 + 2u^2 - 1}{u^2(1 + u^2)} = -\frac{(u^2 - 1)^2}{u^2(1 + u^2)} \leq 0,$$

$f(u)$ is decreasing with u on \mathbb{R}_{++} . And then if $a < b$, i.e. $u < 1$, then $f(u) \geq f(1) = 0$, further $\Delta \leq 0$; if $a > b$, i.e. $u > 1$, then $f(u) \leq f(1) = 0$, further $\Delta \leq 0$. According to Lemma 1, it follows that $P(a, b)$ is Schur-concave with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$.

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2. $P(a, b)$ is the Schur-geometrically convex with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$.

Proof. Let $u = u(a, b) = \sqrt{a/b}$ and $\varphi = \varphi(a, b) = 4 \arctan u - \pi$, then $P(a, b) = (a - b)/\varphi$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &:= (\ln b - \ln a) \left(a \frac{\partial P(a, b)}{\partial a} - b \frac{\partial P(a, b)}{\partial b} \right) \\
&= (\ln b - \ln a) \left[\frac{a}{\varphi^2} \left(\varphi - (a - b) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a} \right) - \frac{b}{\varphi^2} \left(\varphi - (a - b) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial b} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} \left[(a + b)\varphi - (a - b) \left(b \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial b} - a \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} \left[(a + b)\varphi + (a - b) \left(\frac{4b}{1 + u^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} - \frac{4a}{1 + u^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} \left[(a + b)\varphi + (a - b) \frac{4}{1 + u^2} \left(b \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} - a \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} \left[(a + b)\varphi + (a - b) \frac{4u}{1 + u^2} \right] \\
&= b \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} \left[(u^2 + 1)\varphi - (u^2 - 1) \frac{4u}{1 + u^2} \right] \\
&= b \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{\varphi^2} (u^2 + 1) \left[\varphi - \frac{4u(u^2 - 1)}{(1 + u^2)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{b(\ln a - \ln b)(u^2 + 1)}{\varphi^2} \left[\varphi - \frac{4u^3}{(1 + u^2)^2} + \frac{4u}{(1 + u^2)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{b(a - b)(u^2 + 1)}{\varphi^2} \cdot \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{a - b} \cdot g(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(u) = \arctan u - \pi - \frac{4u^3}{(1 + u^2)^2} + \frac{4u}{(1 + u^2)^2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(u) &= \frac{4}{1 + u^2} - 4 \cdot \frac{3u^2(1 + u^2)^2 - 2u^3(1 + u^2)2u}{(1 + u^2)^4} + 4 \cdot \frac{(1 + u^2)^2 - 4u^2(1 + u^2)}{(1 + u^2)^4} \\
&= 4 \left[\frac{(1 + u^2)^2}{(1 + u^2)^3} - \frac{3u^2 + 3u^4 - 4u^4}{(1 + u^2)^3} + \frac{1 + u^2 - 4u^2}{(1 + u^2)^3} \right] \\
&= \frac{4}{(1 + u^2)^3} (u^4 + 2u^2 + 1 - 3u^2 + u^4 + 1 + u^2 - 4u^2) \\
&= \frac{4}{(1 + u^2)^3} (2u^4 - 4u^2 + 2) \\
&= \frac{8(u^4 - 2u^2 + 1)}{(1 + u^2)^3} \\
&= \frac{8(u^2 - 1)^2}{(1 + u^2)^3} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$g(u)$ is increasing with u on \mathbb{R}_{++} . And then if $a < b$, i.e. $u < 1$, then $g(u) \leq g(1) = 0$, further $\Lambda \leq 0$; if $a > b$, i.e. $u > 1$, then $g(u) \geq g(1) = 0$, further $\Lambda \geq 0$. According to Lemma 2, it follows that $P(a, b)$ is Schur-geometrically convex with $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$.

The proof is complete. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

Theorem 3. Let $a \leq b$, $u(t) = tb + (1-t)a$, $v(t) = ta + (1-t)b$. If $1/2 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, b) &\leq P\left(a^{u(t_1)}b^{v(t_1)}, a^{v(t_1)}b^{u(t_1)}\right) \leq P\left(a^{u(t_2)}b^{v(t_2)}, a^{v(t_2)}b^{u(t_2)}\right) \\ &\leq P(a, b) \leq P(u(t_2), v(t_2)) \leq P(u(t_1), v(t_1)) \leq A(a, b). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 3 with Theorem 1, we have

$$P(a, b) \leq P(u(t_2), v(t_2)) \leq P(u(t_1), v(t_1)) \leq A(a, b).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln \sqrt{ab}, \ln \sqrt{ab}) &\prec (\ln a^{u(t_1)}b^{v(t_1)}, \ln a^{v(t_1)}b^{u(t_1)}) \\ &\prec (\ln a^{u(t_2)}b^{v(t_2)}, \ln a^{v(t_2)}b^{u(t_2)}) \prec (\ln a, \ln b), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, b) &= P(\ln \sqrt{ab}, \ln \sqrt{ab}) \leq P(a^{u(t_1)}b^{v(t_1)}, a^{v(t_1)}b^{u(t_1)}) \\ &\leq P(a^{u(t_2)}b^{v(t_2)}, a^{v(t_2)}b^{u(t_2)}) \leq P(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4. Let $0 \leq a \leq b, c \geq 0$. Then

$$(a + b + 2c) \left(\arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+c}{b+c}} - (a+b) \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} \right) \geq \frac{c\pi}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, it follows that

$$P\left(\frac{a+c}{a+b+2c}, \frac{b+c}{a+b+2c}\right) \geq P\left(\frac{a}{a+b}, \frac{b}{a+b}\right).$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\frac{a-b}{a+b+2c}}{4 \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+c}{b+c}} - \pi} \geq \frac{\frac{a-b}{a+b}}{4 \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} - \pi},$$

making a little deformation, it deduce to (4).

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H.-J. Seiffert, *Problem 887*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (Ser 4) 11, 176 (1993)
- [2] A.A. Jagers, *Solution of Problem 887*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4) 12, 230-231 (1994)
- [3] P.A. Hasto, *A monotonicity property of ratios of symmetric homogeneous means*, JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 3, No.5, Paper No.71, 23 p., electronic only (2002). <http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article223.html?sid=223>
- [4] J. Sandor, *On certain inequalities for means. III.*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 76 (2001), no. 1, 34-40.
- [5] M. Bencze, *About Seiffert's Mean*, RGMIA Research Report Collection Volume 3, Number 4, 2000 <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v3n4.html>
- [6] Bo-ying Wang, *Foundations of Majorization Inequalities*, Beijing Normal Univ. Press, Beijing, China, 1990. (Chinese)
- [7] A. M. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its application*. New York :Academies Press, 1979.
- [8] Xiao-ming Zhang, *Geometrically Convex Functions*. Hefei: An'hui University Press, 2004. (Chinese)

- [9] Constantin P. Niculescu, *Convexity According to the Geometric Mean*, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, 2000, 3(2): 155-167.
- [10] Da-mao Li, Chun Gu and Huan-nan Shi, *Schur Convexity of the Power-Type Generalization of Heronian Mean*, *Mathematics in practice and theory*(Chinese), 2006, 36(9): 387-390
- [11] Ji-chang Kuang, *Applied Inequalities* (Chang yong bu deng shi) 3nd ed. Shandong Press of science and technology, Jinan, China, 2004. (Chinese).

(D.-M. Li) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION, TEACHER'S COLLEGE, BEIJING UNION UNIVERSITY, BEIJING CITY, 100011, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: `sftdamao@buu.com.cn`

(H.-N. Shi) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION, TEACHER'S COLLEGE, BEIJING UNION UNIVERSITY, BEIJING CITY, 100011, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: `shihuannan@yahoo.com.cn`, `sfthuannan@buu.com.cn`

(J. Zhang) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION, TEACHER'S COLLEGE, BEIJING UNION UNIVERSITY, BEIJING CITY, 100011, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: `sftzhangjian@buu.com.cn`