

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR GRAMIAN NORMAL OPERATORS AND SEMINORMS IN PSEUDO-HILBERT SPACES

LOREDANA CIURDARIU

ABSTRACT. Two inequalities are presented, at first for the moduli of the gramian normal operators, being a form of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality obtained in [6], Theorem 1 and the second being a form of Theorem 5, see [5] for a particular seminorm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Z an admissible space in the Loynes sense, see [8] and \mathcal{H} be a Loynes Z -space. As in Hilbert spaces, see [4], we can show also that in pseudo-Hilbert spaces for any two operators $T, U \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$, see [2], we have

$$\|TU - UT\| \leq 2 \min\{\|T\|, \|U\|\} \min\{\|T - U\|, \|T + U\|\}.$$

In addition, if N is a gramian normal operator in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$ then

$$\|NN^* - N^*N\| \leq 2\|N\| \min\{\|N - N^*\|, \|N + N^*\|\}.$$

If we have three operators, $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1T_2T_3 - T_3T_2T_1\| &\leq 2(\|T_1\| \min\{\|T_3\|, \|T_2\|\} \|T_2 - T_3\| + \\ &+ \|T_2\| \min\{\|T_1\|, \|T_3\|\} \|T_3 - T_1\| + \|T_3\| \min\{\|T_2\|, \|T_1\|\} \|T_2 - T_1\|). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1T_2T_3 - T_3T_2T_1\| &= \|T_1(T_2T_3 - T_3T_2) + (T_1T_3 - T_3T_1)T_2 + T_3(T_1T_2 - T_2T_1)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|T_1\| \|T_2T_3 - T_3T_2\| + \|T_2\| \|T_1T_3 - T_3T_1\| + \|T_3\| \|T_1T_2 - T_2T_1\| \end{aligned}$$

and now we use first inequality.

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 1, see [6] for gramian normal commuting operators.

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Loynes Z -space and $N_k, M_k \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ be gramian normal operators which commute, i.e. $N_iN_j = N_jN_i$, $M_iM_j = M_jM_i$, $N_iM_j = M_jN_i$, $(\forall) i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the property that $\sum_{k=1}^n N_kM_k = 0$.*

Then

$$\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{|N_iM_i|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Date: December 18, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A45; Secondary 42B10.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-Hilbert spaces (Loynes spaces), seminorm, Cauchy-Bunyakovski-Schwarz inequality .

Proof. The proof will be as in Theorem 1. So for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$N_i M_i = - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n N_k M_k.$$

Then using the Cauchy-Bunyakovski-Schwarz inequality, the modulus will be,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_i M_i|^2 &= \left| \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n N_k M_k \right|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n |N_k|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n |M_k|^2 \right) = \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 - |N_i|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 - |M_i|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We mention that in the proof of the Cauchy-Bunyakovski-Schwarz inequality we can use induction and Fuglede's theorem.

Also by calculi, the following inequality is true:

$$(A^2 - B^2)(C^2 - D^2) \leq (AC - BD)^2,$$

if $A, B, C, D > 0$ and A, B, C, D are commutative as pairs operatori from $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$.

In our case, we used that if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$, $A, B \geq 0$, $A^2 B^2 = B^2 A^2$ then $AB = BA$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_i M_i|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 - |N_i|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 - |M_i|^2 \right) = \\ &= \left\{ \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 - |N_i|^2 \right\} \left\{ \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 - |M_i|^2 \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - |N_i| \cdot |M_i| \right]^2 = \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - |N_i M_i| \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

$(\forall) i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Taking into account that the function $f(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is operator monotone and that member of our inequality are positive operators in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$, by [9], will have,

$$|N_i M_i| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - |N_i M_i|,$$

$(\forall) i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ i.e. the conclusion. □

As in [6], Corollary 1 we have,

Remark 1. If $N_k, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are n gramian normal commutative operators in $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$ and $p_k \geq 0, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$, then we have,

$$\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{p_i |N_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 I \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 I \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |N_k|^2 + n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right|^2 - \left[\sum_{k=1}^n N_k^* \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j + \sum_{k=1}^n N_k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j^* \right] \right\}.$$

Proof. We will replace as in the proof of Corollary 1, see [6], N_k by $p_k I$ and M_k by $N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j$ which are gramian normal commutative operators too. Then we will apply the inequality from Proposition 1. \square

The following results will represent a version of the inequalities from [6] for particular operators.

Remark 2. *If $\min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} p_i = p_m$ then by applying the above inequality obtain:*

$$\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \left| N_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right| \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2p_m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 I \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} =$$

Now putting in Proposition 1, $\sqrt{p_k} N_k$ instead of N_k and $\sqrt{p_k} M_k$ instead of M_k will have

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Loynes Z -space and $N_k, M_k \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 2$ be gramian normal operators which commute, and $p_k \geq 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$ with the property that $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k N_k M_k = 0$.*

Then

$$\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{p_i |N_i M_i|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k |M_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If we take in Theorem 1, $M_k = I$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the above inequality becomes:

$$\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{p_i |N_i|\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k |N_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

when $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k N_k = 0$. Because $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k (N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j) = 0$ when $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$ we can replace above N_i with $N_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j$ obtaining the below inequality. The equality in the next Corollary will result from,

$$\left| N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right|^2 = (N_k^* - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j^*) (N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j) = |N_k|^2 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right|^2 -$$

$-N_k^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j^* N_k$ by summing after k from 1 to n last equality multiplied by p_k .

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions in Remark 1, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ p_i \left| N_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right| \right\} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| N_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k |N_k|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n p_j N_j \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2. *If we consider \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $N_k, M_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as in Proposition 1, the same inequality will be satisfied.*

The following two results were presented in [3].

Lemma 1. *If p is a continuous and monotonous seminorm on Z , then $q_p(x) = (p([x, x]))^{1/2}$ is a continuous seminorm on \mathcal{H} .*

Proposition 3. *If \mathcal{H} is a pre-Loynes Z -space and \mathcal{P} is a set of monotonous (increasing) seminorms defining the topology of Z , then the topology of \mathcal{H} is defined by the sufficient and directed set of seminorms $Q_{\mathcal{P}} = \{q_p \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}$.*

2. THE RESULTS

The following result was proven for norms on Hilbert spaces in [5] and the proof will follow the same ideas.

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Loynes Z space. If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. If there are constants $r_i > 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that*

$$(1) \quad q_p(x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j) \leq r_i,$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$(2) \quad p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i [x_i, x_i] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2,$$

or

$$(3) \quad p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i [x_i, x_i]\right) - q_p^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2.$$

Proof. Using the hypothesis (1) we have,

$$q_p^2(x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j) \leq r_i^2$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and by calculus,

$$p\left([x_i, x_i] - [x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, x_i\right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]\right) \leq r_i^2$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Multiplying by $p_i \geq 0$ we obtain

$$p(p_i [x_i, x_i] - [p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, p_i x_i\right] + p_i \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]) \leq p_i r_i^2$$

and summing from $i = 1$ to n we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p(p_i [x_i, x_i] - [p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, p_i x_i\right] + p_i \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2.$$

Now by the well-known inequality, $p(y_1 + y_2) \leq p(y_1) + p(y_2)$, (\forall) $y_1, y_2 \in Z$ it results

$$p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i [x_i, x_i] - [p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, p_i x_i\right] + p_i \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right])\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2$$

or

$$p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i[x_i, x_i] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right] - \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2$$

which leads to

$$p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i[x_i, x_i] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2$$

By $p(y_1) - p(y_2) \leq p(y_1 - y_2)$, (\forall) $y_1, y_2 \in Z$ we obtain the second inequality (3).

Let new assume that $p_1, p_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. For $r_1 = p_2 q_p(x_1 - x_2)$ and $r_2 = p_1 q_p(x_1 - x_2)$ the inequalities (1) will be

$$q_p(x_1 - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2) \leq r_1$$

and

$$q_p(x_2 - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2) \leq r_1.$$

If we assume that there exists the constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i[x_i, x_i] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right]\right) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n p_i r_i^2,$$

to be true, we shall show that $c \geq 1$.

If we compute the left term of the above inequality (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p(p_1[x_1, x_1] + p_2[x_2, x_2] - [p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2, p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2]) = p(p_1[x_1, x_1] + p_2[x_2, x_2] - \\ & - p_1^2[x_1, x_1] - p_2^2[x_2, x_2] - p_1 p_2([x_2, x_1] + [x_1, x_2])) = p(p_1(1-p_1)[x_1, x_1] + p_2(1-p_2)[x_2, x_2] - \\ & - p_1 p_2([x_2, x_1] + [x_1, x_2])) = p_1 p_2 p([x_1, x_1] + [x_2, x_2] - [x_2, x_1] - [x_1, x_2]) = \\ & = p_1 p_2 p([x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_2]) = p_1 p_2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2). \end{aligned}$$

The right member, is

$$p_1 r_1^2 + p_2 r_2^2 = p_1 p_2^2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2) + p_2 p_1^2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2) = p_1 p_2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2).$$

Then the inequality (2) becomes

$$p_1 p_2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2) \leq c p_1 p_2 q_p^2(x_1 - x_2),$$

that is $c \geq 1$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Chobanyan S. A and Weron A., Banach-space-valued stationary processes and their linear prediction, *Dissertations Math.*, 125, (1975), 1–45.
- [2] L. Ciurdariu, *Classes of linear operators on Pseudo-Hilbert Spaces and Applications, Part I*, Monografii matematice, Tipografia Universitatii de Vest din Timisoara, 2006.
- [3] L. Ciurdariu, A Generalization of Bergstrom and Radon's Inequalities in Pseudo-Hilbert Spaces, *Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 12 (2) (2009), Article 18, pp. 1-6, (electronic).
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some Inequalities for Commutators of Bounded Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces, *Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 11 (1) (2008), Article 7, pp. 1-10, (electronic).
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Y. J. Cho and S. S. Kim, Some inequalities in inner product spaces related to the generalized triangle inequality, *Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 12 (3) (2009), Article 13, pp. 1-10, (electronic).
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, A. Sofo, On some inequalities of Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz type and applications, *Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 10 (2) (2007), Article 3, pp. 1-10, (electronic).

- [7] Loynes R. M., Linear operators in VH -spaces, *Trans. American Math. Soc.*, 116, (1965), 167-180.
- [8] Loynes R. M., On generalized positive definite functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3, (1965), 373-384.
- [9] S. Stratila, L. Zsido, Operator algebras, Monografii Matematice, Part I, Part II, Tipografia Universitatii din Timisoara, 53, (1995), 1-511.
- [10] Weron A. and Chobanyan S. A., Stochastic processes on pseudo-Hilbert spaces (russian), *Bull. Acad. Polon., Ser. Math. Astr. Phys.*, tom XX1, 9, (1973), 847-854.
- [11] Weron A., Prediction theory in Banach spaces, *Proc. of Winter School on Probability*, Karpacz, Springer Verlag, London, 1975, 207-228.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, "POLITEHNICA" UNIVERSITY OF TIMISOARA, P-TA. VICTORIEI,
NO.2, 300006-TIMISOARA, ROMANIA
E-mail address, L. Ciurdariu: cloredana43@yahoo.com