

TWO SCHUR-CONVEX FUNCTIONS RELATE TO HADAMARD-TYPE INEQUALITIES

HUAN-NAN SHI

ABSTRACT. The Schur-convexity, the Schur-geometrically convexity and the Schur-harmonic convexity of two mappings which relate to Hadamard-type integral inequalities are researched. And three refinements of Hadamard-type integral inequality are obtained, as applications, some inequalities relate to the arithmetic mean, the logarithmic mean and the power mean are established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we assume that the set of n -dimensional row vector on real number field by \mathbb{R}^n , and $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, \mathbb{R}^1 and \mathbb{R}_+^1 denoted by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}_+ respectively.

Let f be a convex function defined on the interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of real numbers and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \quad (1)$$

is known as the Hadamard's inequality for convex function (see [1]- [9]). For some recent results which generalize, improve, and extend this classical inequality, see the references of [3].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15, 26A51; Secondary B25, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Schur-convex function, Schur-geometrically convex function and the Schur-harmonic convex function, inequality, convex function Hadamard's inequality; logarithmic mean, power mean.

The author was supported in part by the Scientific Research Common Program of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education (KM200611417009).

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$.

When $f, -g$ both are convex functions satisfying $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$ and $f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \geq 0$, S.-J. Yang in [7] generalized (1) as

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx}{\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx}. \quad (2)$$

To go further in exploring (2), Lan He in [10] define two mappings L and F by $L : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$L(x, y; f, g) = \left[\int_x^y f(t) dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[(y-x)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_x^y g(t) dt \right]$$

and $F : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$F(x, y; f, g) = g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \int_x^y f(t) dt - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \int_x^y g(t) dt,$$

and established the following two theorems which are refinements of the inequality of (2).

Theorem A ([10]). *Let $f, -g$ both are convex functions on $[a, b]$. Then we have*

(i) $L(a, y; f, g)$ is nonnegative increasing with y on $[a, b]$, $L(x, b; f, g)$ is nonnegative decreasing with x on $[a, b]$.

(ii) When $\int_b^a g(x) dx > 0$ and $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq 0$, for any $x, y \in (a, b)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$, we have the following refinement of (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} &\leq \frac{(b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2 \int_a^b g(t) dt} + \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{(b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2 \int_a^b g(t) dt} + \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{\alpha L(a, y; f, g) + \beta L(x, b; f, g)}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{2 \int_a^b g(t) dt} + \frac{2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Theorem B ([10]). *Let $f, -g$ both are nonnegative convex functions on $[a, b]$ satisfying $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$. Then we have the following two results:*

(i) If f and $-g$ both are increasing, then $F(a, y; f, g)$ is nonnegative increasing with y on $[a, b]$, and we have the following refinement of (2)

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{F(a, y; f, g)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}, \quad (4)$$

where $y \in (a, b)$.

(ii) If f and $-g$ both are decreasing, then $F(a, y; f, g)$ is nonnegative decreasing with y on $[a, b]$, and we have the following refinement of (2)

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{F(x, b; f, g)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}, \quad (5)$$

where $x \in (a, b)$.

The aim of this paper is to study the Schur-convexity of $L(x, y; f, g)$ and $F(x, y; f, g)$ with variables (x, y) in $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and study the Schur-geometrically convexity and the Schur-harmonic convexity of $L(x, y; f, g)$ with variables (x, y) in $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^2$. We obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. Let f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b]$. Then

(i) $L(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-convex on $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $L(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-geometrically convex and Schur-harmonic convex in $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

(ii) If $\frac{1}{2} \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then for $a < b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq L(t_1 a + (1 - t_1)b, t_1 b + (1 - t_1)a; f, g) \\ &\leq L(t_2 a + (1 - t_2)b, t_2 b + (1 - t_2)a; f, g) \leq L(a, b; f, g), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and for $0 < a < b$, we have

$$0 \leq L(b^{t_2} a^{1-t_2}, a^{t_2} b^{1-t_2}; f, g) \leq L(b^{t_1} a^{1-t_1}, a^{t_1} b^{1-t_1}; f, g) \leq L(a, b; f, g) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq L(1/(t_2 b + (1 - t_2)a), 1/(t_2 a + (1 - t_2)b); f, g) \\ &\leq L(1/(t_1 b + (1 - t_1)a), 1/(t_1 a + (1 - t_1)b); f, g) \leq L(1/a, 1/b; f, g). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Theorem 2. Let f and $-g$ both be nonnegative convex function on $[a, b]$. Then

(i) $F(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-convex on $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$;

(ii) If $\frac{1}{2} \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then for $a < b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq F(t_1 a + (1 - t_1)b, t_1 b + (1 - t_1)a; f, g) \\ &\leq F(t_2 a + (1 - t_2)b, t_2 b + (1 - t_2)a; f, g) \leq F(a, b; f, g). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Theorem 3. Let f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $\int_b^a g(x) dx > 0$ and $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt - \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt - \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}, \quad (10)$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 4. Let $f, -g$ both are nonnegative convex functions on $[a, b]$ satisfying $\int_a^b g(x) dx > 0$, then for $a < b$, we have

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt} - \frac{L(ta + (1-t)b, tb + (1-t)a; f, g)}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}, \quad (11)$$

and for $0 < a < b$, we have

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt} - \frac{L(b^{t_2} a^{1-t_2}, a^{t_2} b^{1-t_2}; f, g)}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}, \quad (12)$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

Schur convexity was introduced by Schur in 1923, and it has many important applications in analytic inequalities, linear regression, graphs and matrices, combinatorial optimization, information-theoretic topics, Gamma functions, stochastic orderings, reliability, and other related fields[11]. During the past few years, Schur convexity has evoked the interest of many researchers, see [15]-[36] and the references cited therein. For convenience of readers, we recall some definitions as follows.

Definition 1 ([11, 12]). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (i) \mathbf{x} is said to be majorized by \mathbf{y} (in symbols $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$) if $\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$, where $x_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq y_{[n]}$ are rearrangements of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in a descending order.
- (ii) $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a convex set if $(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_n + \beta y_n) \in \Omega$ for every \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$, where α and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.
- (iii) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be said to be a Schur-convex function on Ω if $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. φ is said to be a Schur-concave function on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is Schur-convex.

Definition 2 ([13, 14]). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

- (i) $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$ is called a geometrically convex set if $(x_1^\alpha y_1^\beta, \dots, x_n^\alpha y_n^\beta) \in \Omega$ for all \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$, where α and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.
- (ii) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be Schur-geometrically convex function on Ω if $(\ln x_1, \dots, \ln x_n) \prec (\ln y_1, \dots, \ln y_n)$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. The function φ is said to be a Schur-geometrically concave on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is Schur-geometrically convex.

Definition 3 ([20, 21]). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

- (i) $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$ is called a harmonic convex set if $(x_1 y_1 / (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1), \dots, x_n y_n / (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n)) \in \Omega$ for all \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$, where α and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.
- (ii) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be Schur-harmonic convex function on Ω if $(1/x_1, \dots, 1/x_n) \prec (1/y_1, \dots, 1/y_n)$ on Ω implies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \leq (\geq) \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. The function φ is said to be a Schur-harmonic concave on Ω if and only if $-\varphi$ is Schur-harmonic convex.

Definition 4 ([11, 12]). (i) $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called symmetric set, if $x \in \Omega$ implies

$Px \in \Omega$ for every $n \times n$ permutation matrix P .

- (ii) The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called symmetric if for every permutation matrix P , $\varphi(Px) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Lemma 1 ([11, 12]). Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric set and with a nonempty interior Ω^0 , $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω^0 . Then φ is the Schur – convex (Schur – concave) function, if and only if φ is symmetric on Ω and

$$(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \quad (13)$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$.

Lemma 2 ([13]). Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be symmetric with a nonempty interior geometrically convex set. Let $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω^0 . If φ is symmetric on Ω and

$$(\ln x_1 - \ln x_2) \left(x_1 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \quad (14)$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$, then φ is a Schur-geometrically convex (Schur-geometrically concave) function.

Lemma 3 ([20]). *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be symmetric with a nonempty interior harmonic convex set. Let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous on Ω and differentiable in Ω^0 . If φ is symmetric on Ω and*

$$(x_1 - x_2) \left(x_1^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} - x_2^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_2} \right) \geq 0 (\leq 0) \quad (15)$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega^0$, then φ is a Schur-harmonic convex (Schur-harmonic concave) function.

Lemma 4 ([15]). *Let $a \leq b$, $u(t) = ta + (1-t)b$, $v(t) = tb + (1-t)a$. If $1/2 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 1/2$, then*

$$\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \prec (u(t_2), v(t_2)) \prec (u(t_1), v(t_1)) \prec (a, b). \quad (16)$$

Lemma 5 ([28]). *Let I be an interval with nonempty interior on \mathbb{R} and f be a continuous function on I . Then*

$$\Phi(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt, & a, b \in I, a \neq b \\ f(a), & a = b \end{cases}$$

is Schur - convex (Schur - concave) on I^2 if and if f is convex (concave) on I .

Lemma 6. *Let f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $\int_b^a g(x) dx \geq 0$ and $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq 0$, then*

$$L(a, b; f, g) \leq 2(b-a) \left[g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b f(t) dt - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt \right]. \quad (17)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & L(a, b; f, g) \\ &= \left[\int_a^b f(t) dt - (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \left[(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \int_a^b g(t) dt \right] \\ &= (b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b f(t) dt - \int_a^b f(t) dt \int_a^b g(t) dt \\ &\quad - (b-a)^2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Combining (18) with (3.6) and (3.7) in [10], it following that (17) is hold. \square

3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1:

(i) It is clear that $L(x, y; f, g)$ is symmetric with x, y . Without loss of generality, we may assume $y \geq x$. Directly calculating yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} &= \left[f(y) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{y-x}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[(y-x)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_x^y g(t) dt \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\int_x^y f(t) dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{y-x}{2} g'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(y) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} &= \left[-f(x) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{y-x}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[(y-x)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_x^y g(t) dt \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\int_x^y f(t) dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[-g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{y-x}{2} g'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + g(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By differential mean value theorem, there is $\xi \in ((x+y)/2, y)$ such that

$$f(y) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \left(y - \frac{x+y}{2}\right) f'(\xi) = \frac{y-x}{2} f'(\xi).$$

Since f is convex, f is increasing, we have $f'(\xi) \geq f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$, so

$$f(y) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{y-x}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq 0.$$

By the same arguments, we have

$$-f(x) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \frac{y-x}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq 0.$$

Similarly, since $-g$ is convex, we have

$$g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{y-x}{2} g'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(y) \geq 0$$

and

$$-g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{y-x}{2} g'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + g(x) \leq 0.$$

And by Hadamard's inequality (1), it follows that $(y-x)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_x^y g(t) dt \geq 0$ and $\int_x^y f(t) dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq 0$. So $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \leq 0$, further $(y-x)\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) \geq 0$ and $(x-y)\left(x^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - y^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) \geq 0$. Notice that from $y \geq x$, we have $\ln x - \ln y \leq 0$, and then $(\ln x - \ln y)\left(x \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) \geq 0$. According to Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, it follows that $L(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-convex in $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $L(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-geometrically convex and Schur-harmonic convex in $[a, b] \times [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

(ii) From Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln \sqrt{ab}, \ln \sqrt{ab}) &< (\ln(b^{t_2} a^{1-t_2}), \ln(a^{t_2} b^{1-t_2})) \\ &< (\ln(b^{t_1} a^{1-t_1}), \ln(a^{t_1} b^{1-t_1})) < (\ln a, \ln b). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By (i) in Theorem 1, from (14) and (15) it follows that (6), (7) and (8) are hold.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 2:

(i) It is clear that $F(x, y; f, g)$ is symmetric. Without loss of generality, we may assume $y \geq x$. Directly calculating yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{2} g' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \int_x^y f(t) dt - g \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) f(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \int_x^y g(t) dt - f \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) g(y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{2} g' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \int_x^y f(t) dt - g \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) f(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \int_x^y g(t) dt - f \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) g(x), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_F &:= (y-x) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right) \\ &= (y-x) \left[g \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) (f(x) + f(y)) - f \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) (g(x) + g(y)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b]$, $f(x) + f(y) \geq 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ and $g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq \frac{g(x)+g(y)}{2}$, and then $g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)(f(x) + f(y)) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)(g(x) + g(y)) \geq 0$, so $(y-x) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right) \geq 0$. From Lemma 1, it follows that $F(x, y; f, g)$ is Schur-convex on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$.

(ii) By (i) in Theorem 2, from (14) it follows that the (9) is hold.

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 3:

By the Theorem 2, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ or $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(ta + (1-t)b, tb + (1-t)a; f, g) \\ &= g \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} f(t) dt - f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} g(t) dt \\ &\leq g \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \int_a^b f(t) dt - f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \int_a^b g(t) dt = F(a, b; f, g). \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left[\int_a^b g(t) dt - \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} g(t) dt \right] \\ &\leq g \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left[\int_a^b f(t) dt - \int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} f(t) dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to left inequality in (10).

Since f is convex on $[a, b]$, by Lemma 5, it follows that $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt$ is Schur convex on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$, and since $-g$ is convex on $[a, b]$, i.e. g is concave on $[a, b]$, by Lemma 5, it follows that $\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g(t) dt$ is Schur concave on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$, and then

$$\frac{\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt}{\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g(t) dt} = \frac{\int_x^y f(t) dt}{\int_x^y g(t) dt}$$

is Schur convex on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$. Therefore, from (14) we have

$$\frac{\int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} f(t) dt}{\int_{ta+(1-t)b}^{tb+(1-t)a} g(t) dt} \leq \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) dt}.$$

The above inequality equivalent to the right inequality in (10).

The proof of Theorem 3 is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 4

By the Theorem 1, for $a < b$, we have

$$L(ta + (1-t)b, tb + (1-t)a; f, g) \leq L(a, b; f, g), \quad (20)$$

and for $0 < a < b$, we have

$$L(b^t a^{1-t}, a^t b^{1-t}; f, g) \leq L(a, b; f, g). \quad (21)$$

Combining (17) with (20) and (21) respectively, it is deduced that (11) and (12) are hold.

The proof of Theorem 4 is completed. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

Theorem 5. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $a < b$, and let $u = tb + (1-t)a, v = ta + (1-t)b$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$ or $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then for $1 \leq r \leq 2$, we have

$$\left(\frac{2}{a+b}\right)^r \leq \frac{r[(\ln b - \ln a) - (\ln u - \ln v)]}{2(b-a)(1-t)} \leq \frac{r(\ln b - \ln a)}{b-a}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. For $1 \leq r \leq 2$, taking $f(x) = x^{-1}$ and $g(x) = x^{r-1}$, then f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b]$. From Theorem 3, it follows that (22) is hold.

The proof of Theorem 5 is completed. \square

Remark 1. Taking $r = 1$, from (16), we have

$$\frac{2}{a+b} \leq \frac{(\ln b - \ln a) - (\ln u - \ln v)}{2(b-a)(1-t)} \leq \frac{\ln b - \ln a}{b-a} \quad (23)$$

(23) is a refinement of the following Ostle-Terwilliger inequality [37]:

$$\frac{\ln b - \ln a}{b-a} \geq \frac{2}{a+b}. \quad (24)$$

Theorem 6. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $a < b$, and let $u = tb + (1-t)a, v = ta + (1-t)b$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$ or $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then for $1 \leq r \leq 2$, we have

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \leq \left[\frac{(b^{2r} - a^{2r}) - (u^{2r} - v^{2r})}{2(b^r - a^r) - 2(u^r - v^r)} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\frac{a^r + b^r}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. For $1 \leq r \leq 2$, taking $f(x) = x^{2r-1}$ and $g(x) = x^{r-1}$, then f and $-g$ both be convex function on $[a, b]$, from Theorem 3, it is easy to prove that (25) is hold.

The proof of Theorem 6 is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hadamard, *Etude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 58 (1893), 171-215.
- [2] L.-C.Wang, *Three mapping related to Hermite-Hadamard inequalities*, J. Sichuan Univ., 39 (2002), 652-656. (Chinese).
- [3] S.S. Dragomir, Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim, *Inequalities of Hadamards type for Lipschitzian mappings and their applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 245 (2000), 489-501.
- [4] G.-S. Yang and K.-L. Tseng, *Inequalities of Hadamards type for Lipschitzian mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 260 (2001), 230-238.
- [5] M. Matic and J. Pečarić, *Note on inequalities of Hadamards type for Lipschitzian mappings*, Tamkang J. Math., 32(2) (2001), 127-130.

- [6] L.-C. Wang, *Convex Functions and Their Inequalities*, Sichuan University Press, Chengdu, China, 2001. (Chinese).
- [7] S.-J. Yang, *A direct proof and extensions of an inequality*, J. Math. Res. Exposit., 24(4) (2004), 649-652.
- [8] S.S. Dragomir and R.P. Agarwal, *Two new mappings associated with Hadamards inequalities for convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 11(3) (1998), 33-38.
- [9] L.-C. Wang, *Some refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for convex functions*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat., 15 (2004), 40-45.
- [10] Lan He, *Two new mappings associated with inequalities of Hadamard-type for convex functions*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 10(3) (2009), Art. 81, 5 pp. <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>
- [11] A. M. Marshall and I. Olkin. *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its application*. New York :Academies Press, 1979.
- [12] Bo-ying. Wang, *Foundations of Majorization Inequalities* (Kong zhi bu deng shi ji chu), Beijing Normal Univ. Press, Beijing, China, 1990 (Chinese).
- [13] Xiao-ming Zhang, *Geometrically Convex Functions*. Hefei: An'hui University Press, 2004 (Chinese).
- [14] Constantin P. Niculescu, *Convexity according to the geometric mean*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 2000, 3, no.2:155-167.
- [15] Huan-nan Shi, Yong-ming Jiang and Wei-dong Jiang, *Schur-Convexity and Schur-Geometrically Concavity of Gini Mean*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 57 (2009), 266-274
- [16] Yu-ming Chu and Yu-pei Lv, *The Schur harmonic convexity of the Hamy symmetric function and its applications*, J.Inequal. Appl., Volume 2009, Article ID 838529, 10 pages doi:10.1155/2009/838529
- [17] Wei-feng Xia and Yu-ming Chu, *The Schur harmonic convexity of Lehmer means*, International Mathematical Forum, 4, 2009, no. 41, 2009 - 2015
- [18] Wei-feng Xia and Yu-ming Chu, *Schur-convexity for a class of symmetric functions and its applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications in Press, <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jia/aip.493759.html>
- [19] Kai-zhong Guan, *The Hamy symmetric function and its generalization*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 9(2006), no.4, 797-805.
- [20] Kai-zhong Guan, *Schur-convexity of the complete symmetric function*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9(2006), no.4, 567-576.
- [21] Kai-zhong Guan, *Some properties of a class of symmetric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 336(2007), no.1, 70-80.
- [22] C.Stepniak, *An effective characterization of Schur-convex functions with applications*, J. Convex Anal. 14(2007), no.1,103-108.
- [23] Yu-ming.Chu,Xiao-ming Zhang and Gen-di Wang, *The Schur geometrical convexity of the extended mean values*, J.Convex Anal. 15(2008), no.4, 707- 718.

- [24] Huan-Nan Shi, *Schur-Convex Functions relate to Hadamard-type inequalities* J. Math. Inequal., Volum 1, Number 1(2007) 127-136
- [25] Huan-Nan Shi, Da-Mao Li, and Chun Gu, *Schur-Convexity of a Mean of Convex Function*, Applied Mathematics Letters , 22 (2009), pp. 932-937
- [26] Huan-nan Shi, Mihaly Bencze, Shan-he Wu and Da-Mao Li, *Schur Convexity of generalized Heronian Means involving two parameters*, J.Inequal. Appl., Volume 2008, Article ID 879273, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2008/879273
- [27] Yu-ming Chu and Xiao-ming Zhang, *Necessary and sufficient conditions such that extended mean values are Schur-convex or Schur- concave*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, Volume 48, No.1, 2008
- [28] Elezovic N. and Pecaric.J., *Note on schur-convex functions*, Rocky Mountain J.Math. 1998, 29, 853-856
- [29] József Sándor, *The schur-convexity of stolarsky and Gini means*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 1, no. 2, 212-215
- [30] Qi Feng, József Sándor, Sever S. Dragomir and Anthony Sofo, *Notes on the Schur- convexity of the Extended Mean Values*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 2005, 9(3): 411-420
- [31] Huannan Shi, Shan-he Wu and Feng Qi, *An alternative note on the Schur-convexity of the extended mean values*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 2006, 9(2): 219224
- [32] Xiao-ming Zhang, *S-geometric convexity of a function involving Maclaurin's elementary symmetric mean*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 8(2) , Art. 51, 6 pp. 2007 <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>
- [33] Ning-guo Zheng, Zhi-hua Zhang and Xiao-ming Zhang, *Schur-convexity of two types of one-parameter mean values in n variables*,Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2007 (2007), Article ID 078175, 10 pagesdoi:10.1155/2007/78175
- [34] Xin-min Zhang, *Opimization of Schur-convex functions*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, 1998,1(3): 319-330.
- [35] Xin-min Zhang, *Schur-convex functions and isoperimetric inequalities*, Proceedings of the american mathematical society, 126(2) 1998: 461-470
- [36] Xiao-Ming Zhang, *Geometric convexity of integral of a geometrically concave function*,International Journal of Mathematical Inequalities and Applications Vol. 1, No.1 (2007), 121-130.
- [37] B. Ostle, H.L. Terwilliger, *A companion of two means*, Proc. Montana Acad. Sci. 17 (1) (1957) 69-70.

(H.-N. Shi) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION, TEACHER'S COLLEGE, BEIJING UNION UNIVERSITY, BEIJING CITY, 100011, CHINA

E-mail address: shihuannan@yahoo.com.cn, sfthuannan@bnu.com.cn