

INEQUALITIES FOR h -CONVEX FUNCTIONS VIA FURTHER PROPERTIES

■ M. EMIN ÖZDEMİR, ♦♣ MUSTAFA GÜRBÜZ, AND ★ AHMET OCAK AKDEMİR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish some new inequalities for h -convex functions which are supermultiplicative or superadditive. We also give some applications to special means.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. The following double inequality:

$$(1.1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}$$

is well-known in the literature as Hadamard's inequality for convex mapping. Note that some of the classical inequalities for means can be derived from (1.1) for appropriate particular selections of the mapping f . Both inequalities hold in the reversed direction if f is concave.

Definition 1. [See [2]] We say that $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Godunova-Levin function or that f belongs to the class $Q(I)$ if f is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$(1.2) \quad f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{f(x)}{t} + \frac{f(y)}{1-t}.$$

Definition 2. [See [3]] Let $s \in (0, 1]$. A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be s -convex in the second sense if

$$(1.3) \quad f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq t^s f(x) + (1-t)^s f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in (0, b)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

In 1978, Breckner introduced s -convex functions as a generalization of convex functions in [6]. Also, in that one work Breckner proved the important fact that the set valued map is s -convex only if the associated support function is s -convex function in [7]. A number of properties and connections with s -convex in the first sense are discussed in paper [3]. Of course, s -convexity means just convexity when $s = 1$.

Date: January 15, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15, 26A51.

Key words and phrases. h -convex, superadditive, supermultiplicative, Beta function, Gamma function, similarly ordered.

♣ Corresponding Author.

Definition 3. [See [1]] We say that $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a P -function or that f belongs to the class $P(I)$ if f is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq f(x) + f(y).$$

Definition 4. [See [4]] Let $h : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function. We say that $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an h -convex function or that f belongs to the class $SX(h, I)$, if f is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \leq h(\alpha)f(x) + h(1-\alpha)f(y).$$

If inequality (1.5) is reversed, then f is said to be h -concave, i.e., $f \in SV(h, I)$. Obviously, if $h(\alpha) = \alpha$, then all nonnegative convex functions belong to $SX(h, I)$ and all nonnegative concave functions belong to $SV(h, I)$; if $h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, then $SX(h, I) = Q(I)$; if $h(\alpha) = 1$, $SX(h, I) \supseteq P(I)$; and if $h(\alpha) = \alpha^s$, where $s \in (0, 1)$, then $SX(h, I) \supseteq K_s^2$.

Furthermore, in [5] Bombardelli and Varošanec wrote some generalizations of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities and some properties of functions H and F .

More about those inequalities can be found in a number of papers (for example: see [4, 8, 9, 10]).

Definition 5. [See [4]] A function $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a supermultiplicative function if

$$(1.6) \quad h(xy) \geq h(x)h(y)$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

If inequality (1.6) is reversed, then h is said to be a submultiplicative function. If the equality holds in (1.6), then h is said to be a multiplicative function.

Definition 6. [See [11]] A function $h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a superadditive function if

$$(1.7) \quad h(x+y) \geq h(x) + h(y)$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

Definition 7. [See [12]] Two functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are said to be similarly ordered, shortly f s.o. g , if

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0$$

for every $x, y \in X$.

The main purpose of this paper is to give some inequalities under the special assumptions of h -convex functions by using the elementary analysis. We also give some applications to special means. Throughout the paper we will imply $M(a, b) = f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)$ and $N(a, b) = f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $f, g \in SX(h, I)$, h is supermultiplicative and f, g be similarly ordered functions on I for all $x, y \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have the following inequalities;

$$(2.1) \quad (fg)(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (h(\alpha\beta) + h^2(\alpha))f(x)g(x) + (h(\alpha\beta) + h^2(\beta))f(y)g(y)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx &\leq f(a)g(a) \int_0^1 (h(t(1-t)) + h^2(t)) dt \\ &\quad + f(b)g(b) \int_0^1 (h(t(1-t)) + h^2(1-t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all, it is easy to observe that

$$\int_0^1 (fg)(ta + (1-t)b) dt = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx.$$

Since f, g are h -convex functions on I , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha x + \beta y) &\leq h(\alpha)f(x) + h(\beta)f(y) \\ g(\alpha x + \beta y) &\leq h(\alpha)g(x) + h(\beta)g(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$. On the other hand, f and g are similarly ordered functions, thus we have

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y)g(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (h(\alpha)f(x) + h(\beta)f(y))(h(\alpha)g(x) + h(\beta)g(y)).$$

Using the other properties of f, g and h in Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\alpha x + \beta y)g(\alpha x + \beta y) \\ &\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(x)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(y)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) \\ &\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\alpha\beta)f(x)g(y) + h(\alpha\beta)f(y)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) \\ &= h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) + h(\alpha\beta)[f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)] \\ &\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) + h(\alpha\beta)[f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(y)] \\ &= (h^2(\alpha) + h(\alpha\beta))(f(x)g(x)) + (h^2(\beta) + h(\alpha\beta))(f(y)g(y)) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.1).

To get the second inequality, if we choose $x = a$, $y = b$ and $\alpha = t$, $\beta = 1 - t$ in (2.1), we have

$$(fg)(ta + (1-t)b) \leq [h(t(1-t)) + h^2(t)] f(a)g(a) + [h(t(1-t)) + h^2(1-t)] f(b)g(b).$$

By integrating the result with respect to t over $[0, 1]$, we get (2.2). \square

Corollary 1. *If we choose $h(t) = 1$ in (2.2), then we obtain an integral inequality for P -functions*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx \leq 2M(a, b).$$

Corollary 2. *If we choose $h(t) = t$ in (2.2), then we obtain an integral inequality for ordinary convex functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx &\leq f(a)g(a) \int_0^1 (t(1-t) + t^2) dt \\ &\quad + f(b)g(b) \int_0^1 (t(1-t) + (1-t)^2) dt \\ &= \frac{f(a)g(a)}{2} + \frac{f(b)g(b)}{2} \\ &= \frac{M(a,b)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. *If we choose $h(t) = t^s$ in (2.2), then we obtain an integral inequality for s -convex functions in the second sense with use of the Beta function of Euler type*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx &\leq f(a)g(a) \left\{ \int_0^1 t^s (1-t)^s dt + \int_0^1 t^{2s} dt \right\} \\ &\quad + f(b)g(b) \left\{ \int_0^1 t^s (1-t)^s dt + \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2s} dt \right\} \\ &= f(a)g(a) \{ \beta(s+1, s+1) + \beta(2s+1, 1) \} \\ (2.3) \quad &\quad + f(b)g(b) \{ \beta(s+1, s+1) + \beta(1, 2s+1) \} \\ (2.4) \quad &\leq M(a,b) \left[\frac{\beta(s+1, \frac{1}{2})}{2^{2s+1}} + \beta(2s+1, 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. *By using some properties of Gamma mapping on (2.3) we have*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx \leq M(a,b) \left[\frac{H(\Gamma(s+1), \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))}{2^{2s+2}} + \frac{H(\Gamma(2s+1), \Gamma(1))}{2} \right].$$

Proof. We know that Gamma mapping is superadditive and there is a relation between Gamma and Beta mappings with $x, y > 0$; i.e;

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x, y) &= \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}, \\ \Gamma(x) + \Gamma(y) &\leq \Gamma(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(x, y) = \frac{2xy}{x+y}.$$

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx &\leq M(a, b) \left[\frac{\beta(s+1, \frac{1}{2})}{2^{2s+1}} + \beta(2s+1, 1) \right] \\
&= M(a, b) \left[\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2^{2s+1}\Gamma(s+1+\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\Gamma(2s+1)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(2s+2)} \right] \\
&\leq M(a, b) \left[\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2^{2s+1}(\Gamma(s+1)+\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))} + \frac{\Gamma(2s+1)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(2s+1)+\Gamma(1)} \right] \\
&= M(a, b) \left[\frac{H(\Gamma(s+1), \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))}{2^{2s+2}} + \frac{H(\Gamma(2s+1), \Gamma(1))}{2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. Let $f, g \in SX(h, I)$, h is superadditive and f, g be similarly ordered functions on I . For all $x, y \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have,

$$(2.5) \quad (fg)(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq h(1) [h(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\beta)f(y)g(y)]$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x) dx \leq h(1) \left[f(a)g(a) \int_0^1 h(t) dt + f(b)g(b) \int_0^1 h(1-t) dt \right].$$

Proof. Since f, g are h -convex functions on I , we have

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y)g(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (h(\alpha)f(x) + h(\beta)f(y)) (h(\alpha)g(x) + h(\beta)g(y))$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(\alpha x + \beta y)g(\alpha x + \beta y) \\
&\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(x)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(y)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since h is superadditive function and f and g are similarly ordered functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(\alpha x + \beta y)g(\alpha x + \beta y) \\
&\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(x)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(y)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) \\
&= h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta) [f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x)] \\
&\leq h^2(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h^2(\beta)f(y)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta) [f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(y)] \\
&= [h^2(\alpha) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)] (f(x)g(x)) + [h^2(\beta) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)] (f(y)g(y)) \\
&\leq [h(\alpha)h(\alpha + \beta)] (f(x)g(x)) + [h(\beta)h(\alpha + \beta)] (f(y)g(y)) \\
&= h(\alpha + \beta) [h(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\beta)f(y)g(y)] \\
&= h(1) [h(\alpha)f(x)g(x) + h(\beta)f(y)g(y)]
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.5).

To get the second inequality in Theorem 2, by taking $x = a$, $y = b$ and $\alpha = t$, $\beta = 1 - t$ in (2.5) as in the proof of the inequality (2.1), we have

$$(fg)(ta + (1-t)b) \leq h(1) [h(t)f(a)g(a) + h(1-t)f(b)g(b)].$$

By integrating the result with respect to t over $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (fg)(ta + (1-t)b)dt &= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (fg)(x)dx \\ &\leq h(1) \left[f(a)g(a) \int_0^1 h(t)dt + f(b)g(b) \int_0^1 h(1-t)dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Here, we can't choose the some special cases of $h(t)$ as in Corollary 1-4. For instance, if we choose $h(t) = 1$, then we don't obtain the superadditive.

Theorem 3. *Let $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f \in SX(h, I)$, f is symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$ and h is superadditive, then for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq h(1) \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}.$$

Proof. With the above assumptions for f , h and take into account the change of variable $x = \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+b-x) &= f(a+b-\alpha a - (1-\alpha)b) = f((1-\alpha)a + \alpha b) \\ &\leq h(1-\alpha)f(a) + h(\alpha)f(b) \\ &\leq (h(\alpha) + h(1-\alpha))(f(a) + f(b)) - f(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b) \\ &\leq h(1)(f(a) + f(b)) - f(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(x) = f(a+b-x) = f(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)$$

we have

$$(2.8) \quad 2f(x) \leq h(1)(f(a) + f(b)).$$

By integrating (2.8) with respect to x over $[a, b]$ and simple calculation we get the desired result. □

Theorem 4. *Let $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in SX(h, I)$, f, g are symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$ and h is supermultiplicative, then for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx &\leq \frac{1}{2}M(a, b) [(h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2))] \\ &\quad + N(a, b) [h(\alpha - \alpha^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since f and g are symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+b-x) &= f(a+b-\alpha a - (1-\alpha)b) = f((1-\alpha)a + \alpha b) \\ &\leq h(1-\alpha)f(a) + h(\alpha)f(b) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(a+b-x) &= g(a+b-\alpha a - (1-\alpha)b) = g((1-\alpha)a + \alpha b) \\ &\leq h(1-\alpha)g(a) + h(\alpha)g(b). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the above two inequalities, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+b-x)g(a+b-x) &\leq h^2(1-\alpha)f(a)g(a) + h^2(\alpha)f(b)g(b) \\ &\quad + h(\alpha)h(1-\alpha)[f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)] \\ &\leq (h^2(\alpha) + h^2(1-\alpha))[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ &\quad + 2h(\alpha)h(1-\alpha)[f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)] \\ &\quad - f(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)g(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(x) = f(a+b-x) = f(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)$$

and

$$g(x) = g(a+b-x) = g(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)$$

we have

$$(2.9) \quad 2f(x)g(x) \leq (h^2(\alpha) + h^2(1-\alpha))[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ + 2h(\alpha)h(1-\alpha)[f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)].$$

Because of h is supermultiplicative we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x)g(x) &\leq (h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2))[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ &\quad + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)[f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)]. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating both sides respect to x over the interval $[a, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx &\leq \frac{1}{2}M(a, b)[h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2)] \\ &\quad + N(a, b)[h(\alpha - \alpha^2)] \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. \square

Theorem 5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, if f and g are similarly ordered functions, we have*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \leq \frac{M(a, b)}{2} [h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2) + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)].$$

Proof. Since h is supermultiplicative we can write (2.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x)g(x) &\leq (h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2))[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ &\quad + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)[f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)]. \end{aligned}$$

By using the similarly ordered properties of f and g , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x)g(x) &\leq (h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2))[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ &\quad + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)[f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)] \\ &= [h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2) + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)][f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)]. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating both sides respect to x over the interval $[a, b]$, we get

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \leq \frac{M(a, b)}{2} [h(\alpha^2) + h((1-\alpha)^2) + 2h(\alpha - \alpha^2)]$$

which completes the proof. \square

3. APPLICATIONS TO SOME SPECIAL MEANS

We now consider the applications of our Theorems to the following special means

a) The arithmetic mean:

$$A = A(a, b) := \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

b) The geometric mean:

$$G = G(a, b) := \sqrt{ab}, \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

c) The harmonic mean:

$$H = H(a, b) := \frac{2ab}{a+b}, \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

d) The logarithmic mean:

$$L = L(a, b) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a = b \\ \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}, \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

e) The p-logarithmic mean:

$$L_p = L_p(a, b) := \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right]^{1/p} & \text{if } a \neq b \\ a & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}; \quad a, b > 0.$$

These means are often used in numerical approximation. However, only the following simple relationships are known in the literature.

$$H \leq G \leq L \leq A$$

We now derive some sophisticated bounds of the above means.

Proposition 1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a < b$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|n| \geq 1$. Then, we have:*

$$L_{2n}^{2n}(a, b) \leq A(a^{2n}, b^{2n}).$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from Theorem 1 applied for $f(x) = g(x) = x^n$, $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|n| \geq 1$. \square

Proposition 2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a < b$. Then, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} G^2(a, b) &\leq A(a^2, b^2) \{ \beta(s+1, s+1) + \beta(2s+1, 1) \} \\ &\leq A(a^2, b^2) \left[\frac{\beta(s+1, \frac{1}{2})}{2^{2s+1}} + \beta(2s+1, 1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Corollary 3 applied to $f(x) = g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $x \in [a, b]$. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a < b$. Then, we have:*

$$G^2(a, b) \leq A(a^2, b^2) \left[\frac{H(\Gamma(s+1), \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))}{2^{2s+2}} + \frac{H(\Gamma(2s+1), \Gamma(1))}{2} \right].$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Corollary 4 applied to $f(x) = g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $x \in [a, b]$. \square

Proposition 4. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a < b$. Then, we have:*

$$G^2(a, b) \leq A(a^2, b^2).$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 2 applied to $f(x) = g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $x \in [a, b]$ and $h(\alpha) = \alpha$. \square

We may develop analogous results based on h -convexity in our next paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić, L.E. Persson, Some inequalities of Hadamard type, *Soochow J.Math.* 21 (1995) 335–341.
- [2] E.K. Godunova, V.I. Levin, Neravenstva dlja funkciï širokogo klassa, soderžašcego vypuklye, monotonye i nekotorye drugie vidy funkciï, in: *Vycislitel. Mat. i. Mat. Fiz. Mežvuzov. Sb. Nauc. Trudov, MGPI, Moskva, 1985*, pp. 138–142.
- [3] H. Hudzik and L. Maligranda, Some remarks on s -convex functions, *Aequationes Math.* 48 (1994) 100–111.
- [4] S. Varošanec, On h -convexity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 326 (2007), 303–311.
- [5] M. Bombardelli and S. Varošanec, Properties of h -convex functions related to the Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 58 (2009), 1869–1877.
- [6] W.W. Breckner, Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Raumen, *Pupl. Inst. Math.*, 23 (1978), 13–20.
- [7] W. W. Breckner, Continuity of generalized convex and generalized concave set-valued functions, *Rev Anal. Numer. Thkor. Approx.*, 22 (1993), 39–51.
- [8] M.Z. Sarikaya, E. Set and M.E. Özdemir, On some new inequalities of Hadamard type involving h -convex functions, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, Vol. LXXIX, 2 (2010), pp. 265-272.
- [9] M.Z. Sarikaya, A. Sağlam and H. Yildirim, On some Hadamard-type inequalities for h -convex functions, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, Vol. 2, 3(2008), pp. 335-341.
- [10] P. Burai and A. Hazy, On approximately h -convex functions, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 18, 2 (2011).
- [11] H. Alzer, A superadditive property of Hadamard's gamma function, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 79 (2009), 11-23.
- [12] H.J. Skala, On the characterization of certain similarly ordered super-additive functionals, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 126 (5) (1998), 1349-1353.

■ ATATURK UNIVERSITY, K.K. EDUCATION FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 25240, ERZURUM, TURKEY

E-mail address: `emos@atauni.edu.tr`

Current address: ♦ Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, 04100, Ağrı, Turkey

E-mail address: `mgurbuz@agri.edu.tr`

★ AĞRI İBRAHİM ÇEÇEN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTERS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 04100, AĞRI, TURKEY

E-mail address: `ahmetakdemir@agri.edu.tr`