

A Three Point Quadrature Rule for Functions of Bounded Variation and Applications

S.S. Dragomir^{1,2} and E. Momoniat¹

ABSTRACT. A three point quadrature rule approximating the Riemann integral for a function of bounded variation f by a linear combination with real coefficients of the values $f(a)$, $f(x)$ and $f(b)$ with $x \in [a, b]$ whose sum equalizes $b - a$ is given. Applications for special means inequalities and in establishing *a priori* error bounds for the approximation of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces by spectral families are provided as well.

1. Introduction

In 1999, see [7, Proposition 2] or [11, p. 11], S.S. Dragomir has obtained the following bound for the three point approximation of the Riemann integral $\int_a^b f(t) dt$

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (\alpha - a)f(a) - (\beta - \alpha)f(x) - (b - \beta)f(b) \right| \\ \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(b - a) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left| x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a + x}{2} \right| + \left| \beta - \frac{x + b}{2} \right| \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left| \left| \alpha - \frac{a + x}{2} \right| - \left| \beta - \frac{x + b}{2} \right| \right| \right\} \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

where $a \leq \alpha \leq x \leq \beta \leq b$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ while $\bigvee_a^b(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on $[a, b]$.

For $\alpha = a$ and $\beta = b$, we get from (1.1) the following Ostrowski's type inequality firstly obtained in 1999 in [7]

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (b - a)f(x) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(b - a) + \left| x - \frac{a + b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A51, 26D15, 47A63, 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Three point rules, Quadratures, Integral inequalities, Special means, Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert Spaces, Spectral families.

The best inequality one can get from (1.2) is the following midpoint inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) \mathcal{V}_a^b(f).$$

Here the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is also best.

For some recent Ostrowski's type inequalities, see [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18] and the references therein.

If $\alpha = x = \beta$, then we get from (1.1) the following generalized trapezoidal inequality also obtained in 1999 [7, Proposition 1]

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (x-a) f(a) - (b-x) f(b) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} (b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \mathcal{V}_a^b(f)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity.

The best inequality one can get from (1.2) is the following trapezoidal inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} (b-a) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) \mathcal{V}_a^b(f).$$

Here the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is also best.

For recent results on trapezoidal inequality, see [1], [3], [4], [10] and the references therein.

Now, if we take $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (1.1), then we get the inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (\alpha-a) f(a) - (\beta-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - (b-\beta) f(b) \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{4} (b-a) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left| \alpha - \frac{3a+b}{4} \right| + \left| \beta - \frac{a+3b}{4} \right| \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left| \left| \alpha - \frac{3a+b}{4} \right| - \left| \beta - \frac{a+3b}{4} \right| \right| \right\} \mathcal{V}_a^b(f)$$

for $a \leq \alpha \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \leq \beta \leq b$. The best inequality one can obtain from (1.6), as pointed out by Cerone and Dragomir in [11, p. 202], is obtained for $\alpha = \frac{3a+b}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{a+3b}{4}$ and has the form

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - \frac{b-a}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) \mathcal{V}_a^b(f).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible in (1.7).

For other three point quadrature rules with positive coefficients see [2], [5], [6], [9] and the references therein.

We observe that the three point quadrature formula $(\alpha-a) f(a) + (\beta-\alpha) f(x) + (b-\beta) f(b)$ approximating the Riemann integral $\int_a^b f(t) dt$ has nonnegative coefficients since $a \leq \alpha \leq x \leq \beta \leq b$. The sum of these coefficients is $(b-a)$.

It is therefore natural to put the more general question of approximating $\int_a^b f(t) dt$ by a linear combination with real coefficients of the values $f(a)$, $f(x)$

and $f(b)$ with $x \in [a, b]$ whose sum equalize the same $(b - a)$. Some results that address this question for functions of bounded variation are presented below.

Out of these results, some are applied for special means inequalities and in establishing *a priori* error bounds for the approximation of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces by spectral families.

2. The Results

The first result is:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and α, β, γ be real numbers with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \neq 0$. Then for any $x \in [a, b]$ we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & \left| \frac{\alpha f(a) + \beta f(x) + \gamma f(b)}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \bigvee_a^x(f) \\
 & + \max \left\{ \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \bigvee_x^b(f) \\
 & := B_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b, x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad B_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b, x) & \leq \bigvee_a^b(f) \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \right. \\
 & \left. \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad B_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b, x) & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(f) - \bigvee_x^b(f) \right| \right] \\
 & \times \left\{ \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \right. \\
 & \left. + \max \left\{ \frac{1}{b-a} \left| x - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\bigvee_a^b(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on $[a, b]$.

As a particular case of interest we have:

COROLLARY 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \left| \frac{\alpha f(a) + \beta f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \gamma f(b)}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha + \beta + \gamma|} \max\{2|\alpha|, |\beta + \gamma - \alpha|\} \bigvee_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(f) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2|\alpha + \beta + \gamma|} \max\{|\alpha + \beta - \gamma|, 2|\gamma|\} \bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b(f) \\
 & := C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b) & \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha + \beta + \gamma|} \\
 & \times \max\{2|\alpha|, 2|\gamma|, |\beta + \gamma - \alpha|, |\alpha + \beta - \gamma|\} \bigvee_a^b(f),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(a, b) & \leq \frac{1}{2|\alpha + \beta + \gamma|} \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f) + \left| \bigvee_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(f) - \bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b(f) \right| \right] \\
 & \times [\max\{2|\alpha|, |\beta + \gamma - \alpha|\} + \max\{|\alpha + \beta - \gamma|, 2|\gamma|\}].
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. *We observe that, if $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ in the inequality in (2.1), then we get the Ostrowski type inequality*

$$(2.7) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a} \right) \bigvee_a^x(f) + \left(\frac{b-x}{b-a} \right) \bigvee_x^b(f)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

Since

$$(x-a) \bigvee_a^x(f) + (b-x) \bigvee_x^b(f) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

and

$$(x-a) \bigvee_a^x(f) + (b-x) \bigvee_x^b(f) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(f) - \bigvee_x^b(f) \right| \right] (b-a)$$

then we get from (2.7) the known result (1.2) and

$$(2.8) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(f) - \bigvee_x^b(f) \right| \right]$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

If $v \in [a, b]$ is a median point in the sense of bounded variation for the function f on $[a, b]$, namely $\overset{v}{\bigvee}_a(f) = \overset{b}{\bigvee}_v(f)$, then we also have

$$(2.9) \quad \left| f(v) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \overset{b}{\bigvee}_a(f).$$

REMARK 2. We notice that if $\beta = 2\delta$ and $\gamma = \alpha$ in (2.4), then

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \overset{b}{\bigvee}_a(f)$$

where $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$.

In particular, for $\alpha = \delta = 1$ we get from (2.10) the known inequality (1.7).

If we take in (2.10) $\alpha = 2$ and $\delta = -1$, then we get

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \overset{b}{\bigvee}_a(f)$$

The inequality (2.11) is sharp.

For the choice $\alpha = 2, \delta = -4$ we get from (2.10) that

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \overset{b}{\bigvee}_a(f).$$

The inequality (2.12) is sharp.

3. Proofs

We use the Montgomery type identity established in [8] to write for the functions of bounded variation $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b K(t, x) df(t)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, where the second integral is taken in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense and the kernel K is defined as $K : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$K(t, x) = \begin{cases} t - a & \text{for } a \leq t \leq x, \\ t - b & \text{for } x < t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Writing the representation for a and b we have

$$(3.2) \quad f(a) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (t-b) df(t)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad f(b) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (t-a) df(t).$$

Now, if we multiply (3.2) with α , (3.1) with β , (3.3) with γ , add the obtained equalities and divide the sum with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ we deduce the more general three point representation

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\alpha f(a) + \beta f(x) + \gamma f(b)}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b K_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(t, x) df(t)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, where the kernel $K_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$K_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(t, x) = \begin{cases} t - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} & \text{for } a \leq t \leq x, \\ t - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} & \text{for } x < t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that if $p : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function and $v : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of bounded variation, then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_c^d p(t) dv(t)$ exists and the following inequality holds

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \int_c^d p(t) dv(t) \right| \leq \max_{t \in [c, d]} |p(t)| \bigvee_c^d(v)$$

where $\bigvee_c^d(v)$ denotes the total variation of v on $[c, d]$.

Utilizing (3.4) and (3.7), we have successively

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\alpha f(a) + \beta f(x) + \gamma f(b)}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \int_a^x \left(t - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right) df(t) \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| \int_x^b \left(t - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right) df(t) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \max_{t \in [a, x]} \left| t - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \bigvee_a^x(f) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{b-a} \max_{t \in [x, b]} \left| t - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \bigvee_x^b(f) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, which is an inequality of interest in itself.

Further, we observe that for any c a real number we have the equality

$$(3.7) \quad \max_{t \in [a, b]} |t - c| = \max \{ |c - a|, |b - c| \}.$$

Indeed, if $c < a$ then $\max_{t \in [a, b]} |t - c| = b - c$ and $\max \{ |c - a|, |b - c| \} = b - c$, if $c \in [a, b]$ then $\max_{t \in [a, b]} |t - c| = \max \{ c - a, b - c \} = \frac{1}{2}(b - a) + |c - \frac{a+b}{2}|$ and if $c > b$ then $\max_{t \in [a, b]} |t - c| = c - a$ and $\max \{ |c - a|, |b - c| \} = c - a$.

Now, on making use of (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \max_{t \in [a, x]} \left| t - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left| a - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| x - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| (b - a), \left| x - \frac{(\beta + \gamma)a + \alpha b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \max_{t \in [x, b]} \left| t - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left| x - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| b - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left| x - \frac{\gamma a + (\alpha + \beta)b}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right|, \left| \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma} \right| (b - a) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9) produce the inequality in (2.1).

The inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) follow by the elementary fact that

$$my + nz \leq (m + n) \max \{y, z\}$$

where m, n, y, z are nonnegative real numbers.

Now, in order to prove the sharpness of the inequality (2.11), assume that there exists a $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \left| \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] \right| \leq C \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

holds for any function of bounded variation and on any interval $[a, b]$.

If we choose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (a, b) \\ 1 & \text{if } t = b \end{cases}$$

which is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ then we get from (3.10) that $1 \leq 2C$, which proves the sharpness of the inequality.

Similarly, in order to prove the sharpness the inequality (2.12), if we assume that there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] \right| \leq D \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

If we consider the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } t \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}), \\ 1 & \text{if } t \in [\frac{a+b}{2}, b] \end{cases}$$

then f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, and by (3.11) we get $1 \leq 2D$ which implies that $D \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

4. A Compounding Rule

We consider the following partition of the interval $[a, b]$,

$$\Delta_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b.$$

Define $h_k := x_{k+1} - x_k$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\nu(\Delta_n) = \max\{h_k : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ the norm of the partition Δ_n .

In order to exemplify how we can use the above results in order to produce compounding quadrature rules to approximate the integral $\int_a^b f(t) dt$, we consider for $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$, the two parameters family of *three point quadrature rules*

$$(4.1) \quad T_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta) := \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{f(x_k) + f(x_{k+1})}{2} \right] h_k \\ + \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x_k + x_{k+1}}{2}\right) h_k.$$

We notice that the family of quadrature rules (4.1) contain the trapezoid rule ($\delta = 0$), the midpoint rule ($\alpha = 0$), the Simpson rule ($\alpha = 1, \delta = 2$) and the arithmetic mean of the trapezoid and midpoint rules ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$).

The following proposition provides *a priori* error bounds in approximating the integral $\int_a^b f(t) dt$ of the bounded variation f by the compounding quadrature rule $T_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta)$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and α, β be real numbers with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \int_a^b f(t) dt = T_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta) + R_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta)$$

and the remainder $R_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta)$ satisfies the bounds

$$(4.3) \quad |R_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k \bigvee_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}(f) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \nu(\Delta_n) \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

PROOF. Utilizing the generalized triangle inequality and (2.10) we have successively that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |R_n(f, \Delta_n, \alpha, \delta)| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} f(t) dt - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{f(x_k) + f(x_{k+1})}{2} \right] h_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x_k + x_{k+1}}{2}\right) h_k \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} f(t) dt - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \left[\frac{f(x_k) + f(x_{k+1})}{2} \right] h_k \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x_k + x_{k+1}}{2}\right) h_k \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k \bigvee_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \nu(\Delta_n) \bigvee_a^b(f),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

5. Applications for Special Means

It is well-known that, if $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then the celebrated Hermite-Hadamard inequality state that

$$(5.1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}.$$

Utilizing this fact and the inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) we can state the following result:

PROPOSITION 2. Assume that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on $[a, b]$. Then

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

The case for concave functions g is similar by applying these inequalities for $f = -g$.

Let us recall the following means:

a) The *arithmetic mean*

$$A(a, b) := \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad a, b > 0,$$

b) The *geometric mean*

$$G(a, b) := \sqrt{ab}; \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

c) The *harmonic mean*

$$H(a, b) := \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}}; \quad a, b > 0,$$

d) The *identric mean*

$$I(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0$$

e) The *logarithmic mean*

$$L(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0$$

f) The *p-logarithmic mean*

$$L_p(a, b) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } b \neq a, \quad p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\} \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0.$$

It is well known that, if $L_{-1} := L$ and $L_0 := I$, then the function $\mathbb{R} \ni p \rightarrow L_p$ is monotonically strictly increasing. In particular, we have

$$H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq L(a, b) \leq I(a, b) \leq A(a, b).$$

Now, if we consider the power function $f : [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t) = t^p$ then we observe that for $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ the function is convex while for $p \in (0, 1)$ the function is concave.

Now, if we apply the inequality (5.2) for the convex function $f(t) = t^p$ we can state that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq A(a^p, b^p) - \frac{1}{2} [A^p(a, b) + L_p^p(a, b)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \times \begin{cases} b^p - a^p & \text{if } p \geq 1 \\ a^p - b^p & \text{if } p \in (-\infty, 0) \setminus \{-1\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of concave functions, the same inequality (5.2) produces the inequality

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} [A^p(a, b) + L_p^p(a, b)] - A(a^p, b^p) \leq \frac{1}{2} (b^p - a^p) \quad \text{if } p \in (0, 1).$$

Now, if we consider the convex function $f : [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, then by (5.2) we also have

$$(5.6) \quad 0 \leq H^{-1}(a, b) - \frac{1}{2} [A^{-1}(a, b) + L^{-1}(a, b)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{b-a}{ab}.$$

Moreover the inequality (5.2) applied for the concave function $f : [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t) = \ln t$ produces the result

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} [\ln A(a, b) + \ln I(a, b)] - \ln G(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)$$

which is equivalent with

$$(5.7) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{A(a,b)I(a,b)}}{G(a,b)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}.$$

Similar results can be obtained if one uses the inequality (5.3), however the details are left to the interested reader.

6. Applications for Selfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Spaces

Let U be a selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the spectrum $Sp(U)$ included in the interval $[m, M]$ for some real numbers $m < M$ and let $\{E_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be its *spectral family*. It is well known that we have the following *spectral representation in terms of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral*:

$$(6.1) \quad U = \int_{m-0}^M \lambda dE_\lambda,$$

which in terms of vectors can be written as

$$(6.2) \quad \langle Ux, y \rangle = \int_{m-0}^M \lambda d \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle,$$

for any $x, y \in H$. The function $g_{x,y}(\lambda) := \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle$ is of *bounded variation* on the interval $[m, M]$ and

$$g_{x,y}(m-0) = 0 \text{ and } g_{x,y}(M) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for any $x, y \in H$. It is also well known that $g_x(\lambda) := \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle$ is *monotonic nondecreasing* and *right continuous* on $[m, M]$.

We can state and prove now the following result concerning the numerical approximation of a selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the spectrum $Sp(A)$ included in the interval $[m, M]$ for some real numbers $m < M$ and let $\{E_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be its spectral family. We consider the following partition of the interval $[m, M]$*

$$\Delta_n : m = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n = M.$$

Define $h_k := \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\nu(\Delta_n) = \max\{h_k : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ the norm of the partition Δ_n . Then for each $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and $x, y \in H$ we have

$$(6.3) \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = M \langle x, y \rangle + T_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y) + R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad T_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y) := -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \left(\frac{E_{\lambda_k} + E_{\lambda_{k+1}}}{2} \right) x, y \right\rangle h_k \\ - \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle E_{\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2}} x, y \right\rangle h_k$$

and the reminder $R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$ satisfies the bound

$$(6.5) \quad |R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \nu(\Delta_n) \bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \nu(\Delta_n) \|x\| \|y\|.$$

PROOF. On making use of the representation (6.2) and the integration by parts for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$(6.6) \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = \int_{m-0}^M \lambda d \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle = \lambda \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle \Big|_{m-0}^M - \int_m^M \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle d\lambda \\ = M \langle x, y \rangle - \int_m^M \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle d\lambda$$

where the last integral is a Riemann integral, and, similarly

$$(6.7) \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = m \langle x, y \rangle + \int_m^M \langle (I - E_\lambda) x, y \rangle d\lambda$$

for any $x, y \in H$, where I denotes the identity operator on H .

For $\varphi \in [0, 1]$, if we multiply (6.7) with φ , (6.6) with $1 - \varphi$ and add the equalities, we get

$$(6.8) \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = [\varphi m + (1 - \varphi) M] \langle x, y \rangle + \int_m^M \langle (\varphi I - E_\lambda) x, y \rangle d\lambda$$

for any $x, y \in H$, which is an inequality of interest in itself as well.

Consider the function $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(\lambda) = \langle (\varphi I - E_\lambda) x, y \rangle$. If we apply Proposition 1 for this function, we get the representation

$$(6.9) \quad \int_m^M \langle (\varphi I - E_\lambda) x, y \rangle d\lambda \\ = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\langle (\varphi I - E_{\lambda_k}) x, y \rangle + \langle (\varphi I - E_{\lambda_{k+1}}) x, y \rangle}{2} \right] h_k \\ + \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \left(\varphi I - E_{\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2}} \right) x, y \right\rangle h_k + R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\langle (\varphi I - E_{\lambda_k}) x, y \rangle + \langle (\varphi I - E_{\lambda_{k+1}}) x, y \rangle}{2} \right] h_k \\ = \varphi (M - m) \langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \left(\frac{E_{\lambda_k} + E_{\lambda_{k+1}}}{2} \right) x, y \right\rangle h_k$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \left(\varphi I - E_{\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2}} \right) x, y \right\rangle h_k = \varphi (M - m) \langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle E_{\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2}} x, y \right\rangle h_k$$

then we get from (6.9)

$$\int_m^M \langle (\varphi I - E_\lambda) x, y \rangle d\lambda = \varphi (M - m) \langle x, y \rangle + T_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y) + R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$$

where $T_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$ is defined by (6.4).

Now, on utilizing the equality (6.8) we deduce the representation (6.3).

From Proposition 1 we have the following bound for the remainder $R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)$

$$(6.10) \quad |R_n(\alpha, \delta, \Delta_n, x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\max\{|\alpha|, |\delta|\}}{|\alpha + \delta|} \nu(\Delta_n) \bigvee_m^M (\langle (\varphi I - E_{(\cdot)}) x, y \rangle).$$

Utilizing the properties of total variation, we observe that

$$\bigvee_m^M (\langle (\varphi I - E_{(\cdot)}) x, y \rangle) = \bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle)$$

for any $\varphi \in [0, 1]$ and for any $x, y \in H$, and the first inequality in (6.5) is proved.

If P is a nonnegative operator on H , i.e., $\langle Px, x \rangle \geq 0$ for any $x \in H$, then the following inequality is a generalization of the Schwarz inequality in the Hilbert space H

$$|\langle Px, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Px, x \rangle \langle Py, y \rangle,$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

To prove the last part of (6.5), observe that if $d : m = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = M$ is an arbitrary partition of the interval $[m, M]$, then we have by the Schwarz inequality for nonnegative operators that

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ &= \sup_d \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) x, y \rangle| \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_d \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) y, y \rangle^{1/2} \right] \right\} := I. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality for sequences of real numbers we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \sup_d \left\{ \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) x, x \rangle \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) y, y \rangle \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_d \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) x, x \rangle \right]^{1/2} \sup_d \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle (E_{t_{i+1}} - E_{t_i}) y, y \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, x \rangle) \right]^{1/2} \left[\bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} y, y \rangle) \right]^{1/2} = \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$. □

REMARK 3. We also remark that if $\alpha = \delta = 1$ then we get

$$(6.11) \quad \langle Ax, y \rangle = M \langle x, y \rangle + T_n(\Delta_n, x, y) + R_n(\Delta_n, x, y)$$

where

$$(6.12) \quad T_n(\Delta_n, x, y) := -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \left(\frac{E_{\lambda_k} + E_{\lambda_{k+1}}}{2} \right) x, y \right\rangle h_k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\langle E_{\frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2}} x, y \right\rangle h_k \right]$$

and the reminder $R_n(\Delta_n, x, y)$ satisfies the bound

$$(6.13) \quad |R_n(\Delta_n, x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \nu(\Delta_n) \bigvee_m^M (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{4} \nu(\Delta_n) \|x\| \|y\|.$$

The identity (6.8) established before can be utilized to provide an upper bound for the error in approximating $\langle Ax, y \rangle$ by $[\varphi m + (1 - \varphi) M] \langle x, y \rangle$ where $x, y \in H$, as follows:

PROPOSITION 3. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the spectrum $Sp(A)$ included in the interval $[m, M]$ for some real numbers $m < M$ and let $\{E_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be its spectral family. If $\varphi \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\langle Ax, y \rangle - [\varphi m + (1 - \varphi) M] \langle x, y \rangle| \\ & \leq \int_m^M |(\langle \varphi I - E_\lambda \rangle x, y)| d\lambda \leq \|y\| \int_m^M \|(\varphi I - E_\lambda) x\| d\lambda \\ & \leq (M - m) \left[\left| \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \right] \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

PROOF. On making use of the representation (6.8), we have by Schwarz's inequality in Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ that

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle Ax, y \rangle - [\varphi m + (1 - \varphi) M] \langle x, y \rangle| & \leq \int_m^M |(\langle \varphi I - E_\lambda \rangle x, y)| d\lambda \\ & \leq \|y\| \int_m^M \|(\varphi I - E_\lambda) x\| d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

Now, we observe that, by the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi x - E_\lambda x\| & = \left\| \left(\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \right) x + \left(\frac{1}{2} x - E_\lambda x \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \left| \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \right| \|x\| + \left\| \frac{1}{2} x - E_\lambda x \right\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$ and $\lambda \in [m, M]$.

Also, since E_λ are projectors, then we have

$$\left\| E_\lambda x - \frac{1}{2} x \right\|^2 = \left[\langle E_\lambda x, E_\lambda x \rangle - \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \|x\|^2 \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$$

for any $x \in H$ and $\lambda \in [m, M]$.

Consequently

$$\|\varphi x - E_\lambda x\| \leq \left[\left| \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \right] \|x\|$$

for any $x \in H$ and $\lambda \in [m, M]$, which implies that

$$\int_m^M \|(\varphi I - E_\lambda)x\| d\lambda \leq (M - m) \left[\left| \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \right] \|x\|$$

for any $x \in H$, and by (6.15) we deduce the desired result (6.14). \square

REMARK 4. *The case $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}$ produces the simple inequality*

$$(6.16) \quad \left| \langle Ax, y \rangle - \frac{m+M}{2} \langle x, y \rangle \right| \leq \int_m^M \left| \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{2}I - E_\lambda \right) x, y \right\rangle \right| d\lambda \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - m) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

References

- [1] M. Alomari, M. Darus and U. S. Kirmaci, Refinements of Hadamard-type inequalities for quasi-convex functions with applications to trapezoidal formula and to special means. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 1, 225–232.
- [2] M. Klaričić Bakula, J. Pečarić and M. Ribičić Penava, General three-point quadrature formulae with applications for α -L-Hölder type functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2** (2008), no. 3, 343–361
- [3] N. S. Barnett, W.-S. Cheung, S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo, Ostrowski and trapezoid type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral with Lipschitzian integrands or integrators. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57** (2009), no. 2, 195–201.
- [4] N. S. Barnett, S. S. Dragomir and I. Gomm, A companion for the Ostrowski and the generalised trapezoid inequalities. *Math. Comput. Modelling* **50** (2009), no. 1-2, 179–187.
- [5] P. Cerone, and S.S. Dragomir, Three point quadrature rules. *Ostrowski type inequalities and applications in numerical integration*, 141–250, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002,
- [6] P. Cerone, J. Roumeliotis and G. Hanna, On weighted three point quadrature rules. *Proceedings of the 1999 International Conference on Computational Techniques and Applications* (Canberra). *ANZIAM J.* **42** (2000), (C), C340–C361.
- [7] S.S. Dragomir, The Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **60**(1999), 495-508.
- [8] S.S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **4**(2001), No. 1, 59-66.
- [9] S.S. Dragomir, Approximating the Stieltjes integral of bounded functions and applications for three point quadrature rules. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **44** (2007), no. 3, 523–536.
- [10] S.S. Dragomir, Refinements of the generalised trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities for functions of bounded variation. *Arch. Math.* (Basel) **91** (2008), no. 5, 450–460.
- [11] S.S. Dragomir and Th.M. Rassias (Eds.), *Ostrowski Type Inequalities and Applications in Numerical Integration*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [12] B. Gavrea and I. Gavrea, On some Ostrowski type inequalities. *Gen. Math.* **18** (2010), no. 1, 33–44.
- [13] V. N. Huy and Q.-A. Ngô, A new way to think about Ostrowskilike type inequalities. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 9, 3045–3052.
- [14] W.-J. Liu, Q.-L. Xue and S.-F. Wang, New generalization of perturbed Ostrowski type inequalities and applications. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **32** (2010), no. 1, 157–169.
- [15] A. Qayyum, On the weighted Ostrowski type inequality for $L_p[a, b]$ and application. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **61** (2010), no. 1, 27–35.
- [16] M. Z. Sarikaya, On the Ostrowski type integral inequality. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.* (N.S.) **79** (2010), no. 1, 129–134.
- [17] K.-L. Tseng, S.-R. Hwang, G.-S. Yang and Y.-M. Chou, Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation I. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217** (2010), no. 6, 2348–2355.
- [18] C. Zhao and W.-S. Cheung, On Ostrowski type inequalities for higher-order partial derivatives. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010**, Art. ID 960672, 8 pp.

¹SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG-3, WITS-2050, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.

E-mail address: Sever.Dragomir@wits.ac.za, Sever.Dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir/>

²MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

¹SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG-3, WITS-2050, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.

E-mail address: Ebrahim.Momoniati@wits.ac.za