

# INEQUALITIES FOR MODULES AND UNITARY INVARIANT NORMS

LOREDANA CIURDARIU

ABSTRACT. Another elementary proof which doesn't use a matrix as in [9] and generalizations of the classical inequality of Bohr, see [3], Theorem 1, Corollary 1 and Theorem 2, are presented for linear bounded operators on Hilbert spaces or on pseudo-Hilbert spaces. Then several applications for unitary invariant norms and generalized derivative are given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We recall the classical Bohr's inequality, see [3]. For any  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,

$$|z + w|^2 \leq p|z|^2 + q|w|^2,$$

with equality if and only if  $w = (p - 1)z$ .

Many interesting generalizations of Bohr's inequality have been obtained by Archbold, Makowski, Bergstrom, Mitrinovic, Mitrinovic et al., Vasic and Keckic, Rassias, Delbosco, and Pecaric and Janic, see [3]. Also in 1989, J. Pecaric and S.S. Dragomir generalized Bohr's inequality for normed vector spaces and O. Hirzallah [5], W.-S. Cheung, J. Pecaric [3], F. Zhang [9] and P. Chansangiam, P. Hemchote and P. Pantaragphong, see [2] and M. Fujii and H. Zuo [4] further generalized the inequality for operator algebras. S. Abramovich, J. Baric and J. Pecaric, [1] used superquadratic functions in order to obtain other interesting generalizations.

We need the following definition which was presented in [6]. Let  $Z$  be an admissible space in the Loynes sense. A linear topological space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called pre-Loynes  $Z$ -space if it satisfies the following properties:

$\mathcal{H}$  is endowed with a  $Z$ -valued *inner product* (gramian), i.e. there exists an application  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \ni (h, k) \rightarrow [h, k] \in Z$  having the properties:  $[h, h] \geq 0$ ;  $[h, h] = 0$  implies  $h = 0$ ;  $[h_1 + h_2, h] = [h_1, h] + [h_2, h]$ ;  $[\lambda h, k] = \lambda[h, k]$ ;  $[h, k]^* = [k, h]$ ; for all  $h, k, h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The topology of  $\mathcal{H}$  is the weakest locally convex topology on  $\mathcal{H}$  for which the application  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \rightarrow [h, h] \in Z$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complete space with this topology, then  $\mathcal{H}$  is called Loynes  $Z$ -space (pseudo-Hilbert space).

---

*Date:* May 4, 2011.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A45; Secondary 42B10.

*Key words and phrases.* pseudo-Hilbert spaces(Loynes spaces), Bohr's inequality, superquadratic functions.

## 2. THE RESULTS

Lemma 2.2 ([7]) Let  $x$  and  $y$  be vectors in a normed linear space such that  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ , and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be non-negative real numbers. Then  $\|\alpha x + \beta y\| = \alpha\|x\| + \beta\|y\|$ .

We can prove a similar result in pseudo-Hilbert spaces for example or Hilbert spaces for the modulus of a linear bounded operator.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Loynes  $Z$ -space (or  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space).*

*If  $|A \pm B| = (|A|^2 + |B|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  then  $|\alpha A \pm \beta B| = (\alpha^2|A|^2 + \beta^2|B|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta$  non-negative real numbers.*

*Proof.* By  $|A \pm B|^2 = (A^* \pm B^*)(A \pm B) = |A|^2 + |B|^2 \pm B^*A \pm A^*B = |A|^2 + |B|^2$  it results that  $B^*A + A^*B = 0$  and then  $|\alpha A \pm \beta B|^2 = \alpha^2|A|^2 + \beta^2|B|^2$ .

■

We shall prove below another proof for a variant of Bohr's inequality that was given in [9] Theorem 6.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Loynes  $Z$ -space or  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space.*

*(i) If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are three real numbers which satisfies the conditions  $\beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \alpha + \beta\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\alpha + \beta\gamma < 0$  and  $\alpha < 0$  then*

$$|\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \geq \beta(\beta - \alpha\gamma)|A|^2 + \gamma(\gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2.$$

*(ii) If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are three real numbers which satisfies the conditions  $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \alpha + \beta\gamma > 0$  and  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} > 0$  or  $\alpha + \beta\gamma < 0$  and  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} < 0$  then*

$$|\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \geq \alpha(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\gamma})|A|^2 + (1 - \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta})|B|^2.$$

*(iii) If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are three real numbers which satisfies the conditions  $\beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \alpha + \beta\gamma < 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\alpha + \beta\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha < 0$  then*

$$|\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \leq \beta(\beta - \alpha\gamma)|A|^2 + \gamma(\gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2.$$

*(iv) If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are three real numbers which satisfies the conditions  $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \alpha + \beta\gamma < 0$  and  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} > 0$  or  $\alpha + \beta\gamma > 0$  and  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} < 0$  then*

$$|\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \leq \alpha(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\gamma})|A|^2 + (1 - \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta})|B|^2.$$

*Proof.* Using the definition of the modulus of an operator we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 &= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)|A|^2 + (\gamma^2 + 1)|B|^2 - (\alpha + \beta\gamma)(B^*A + A^*B) = \\ &= [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}) - \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})]|A|^2 + [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha}) - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})]|B|^2 - \\ &- (\alpha + \beta\gamma)(B^*A + A^*B) = (\alpha + \beta\gamma)[(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{\gamma})|A|^2 + (\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha})|B|^2 - (B^*A + A^*B)] - \\ &- \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})|A|^2 - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2 = (\alpha + \beta\gamma)[\alpha|A|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}|A|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}|B|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}|B|^2 - (B^*A + \end{aligned}$$

$$+A^*B)] - \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})|A|^2 - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2.$$

For (i) we will consider the following continuation

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 &= (\alpha + \beta\gamma)\{\alpha|A|^2 - (B^*A + A^*B) + \frac{1}{\alpha}|B|^2\} + [\frac{\beta}{\gamma}|A|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}|B|^2] - \\ &- \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})|A|^2 - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2 = (\alpha + \beta\gamma)|\sqrt{\alpha}A - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}B|^2 + [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)\frac{\beta}{\gamma} - \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})]|A|^2 + \\ &+ [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)\frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})]|B|^2 \geq \beta(\beta - \alpha\gamma)|A|^2 + \gamma(\gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part of (i) we will write

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 &= (\alpha + \beta\gamma)\{-|\alpha||A|^2 - (B^*A + A^*B) - \frac{1}{|\alpha|}|B|^2\} + [\frac{\beta}{\gamma}|A|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}|B|^2] - \\ &- \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})|A|^2 - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2 = -(\alpha + \beta\gamma)|\sqrt{|\alpha|}A + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha|}}B|^2 + \beta(\beta - \alpha\gamma)|A|^2 + \\ &+ \gamma(\gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2 \geq \beta(\beta - \alpha\gamma)|A|^2 + \gamma(\gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 &= (\alpha + \beta\gamma)\{\alpha|A|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}|B|^2\} + [\frac{\beta}{\gamma}|A|^2 - (B^*A + A^*B) + \frac{\gamma}{\beta}|B|^2] - \\ &- \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})|A|^2 - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})|B|^2 = (\alpha + \beta\gamma)|\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}A - \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}}B|^2 + [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)\alpha - \\ &- \alpha\beta(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})]|A|^2 + [(\alpha + \beta\gamma)\frac{1}{\alpha} - \gamma(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha})]|B|^2 \geq \alpha(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\gamma})|A|^2 + (1 - \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta})|B|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) and (iv) will result from (i) and (ii). ■

**Consequence 1.** (i) Taking in Theorem 3 (i), first part,  $\alpha = 1 - p$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = 1$  or in Theorem 3 (ii), first part,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 1 - p$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  we obtain the inequality from [3], Theorem 2 for  $p < 1$

$$|(1 - p)A - B|^2 + |A - B|^2 \geq p|A|^2 + q|B|^2,$$

with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

(ii) Now taking in Theorem 3 (i), second part,  $\alpha = 1 - p$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  we obtain the inequality from [3], Corollary 1 for  $p > 2$

$$|(1 - p)A - B|^2 + |A - B|^2 \geq p|A|^2 + q|B|^2,$$

with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

(iii) Considering in Theorem 3 (iii), second part, again  $\alpha = 1 - p$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  we obtain  $1 < p < 2$  and inequality

$$|(1 - p)A - B|^2 + |A - B|^2 \leq p|A|^2 + q|B|^2,$$

with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , as in [3], Theorem 1.

(iv) If  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 3 (i) first part then

$$|A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \geq (\beta - \gamma)(\beta|A|^2 - \gamma|B|^2),$$

for  $1 + \beta\gamma > 0$ .

(v) If we take  $\alpha = 1 - p$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = -1$  in Theorem 3 (iii) first part then for  $0 < p < 1$

$$|(1-p)A - B|^2 + |A + B|^2 \leq (2-p)|A|^2 + \frac{2-p}{1-p}|B|^2.$$

(i) Indeed the conditions  $\alpha + \beta\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  become for example for  $\alpha = 1 - p$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = 1$ ,  $p < 2$  and  $p < 1$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} |(1-p)A - B|^2 + |A - B|^2 &\geq (1 - (1-p))|A|^2 + (1 - \frac{1}{1-p})|B|^2 = p|A|^2 + \frac{p}{p-1}|B|^2 = \\ &= p|A|^2 + q|B|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Consequence 2.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Loynes  $Z$ -space or  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space.

(i) If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd > 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$  or  $ab + cd < 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} < 0$  then

$$|aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2 \geq c^2(1 - \frac{ad}{cb})|A|^2 + d^2(1 - \frac{cb}{ad})|B|^2,$$

or if  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd > 0$  and  $\frac{c}{d} > 0$  or  $ab + cd < 0$  and  $\frac{c}{d} < 0$  then

$$|aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2 \geq a^2(1 - \frac{bc}{ad})|A|^2 + b^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})|B|^2.$$

(ii) If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd < 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$  or  $ab + cd > 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} < 0$  then

$$|aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2 \leq c^2(1 - \frac{ad}{cb})|A|^2 + d^2(1 - \frac{cb}{ad})|B|^2,$$

or if  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd < 0$  and  $\frac{c}{d} > 0$  or  $ab + cd > 0$  and  $\frac{c}{d} < 0$  then

$$|aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2 \leq a^2(1 - \frac{bc}{ad})|A|^2 + b^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})|B|^2.$$

*Proof.* We write the expression  $|aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2$  as  $b^2(|\frac{a}{b}A - B|^2 + |\frac{c}{b}A - \frac{d}{b}B|^2)$  and use Theorem 1. ■

**Theorem 2.** (i) For any  $r \geq 2$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $u, v > 0$  with the property

$$uv(u+v)^{r-2} = 1$$

we have

$$(1 + \frac{u}{v})a^r + (1 + \frac{v}{u})b^r \geq (ua + vb)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}|b - a|^r.$$

(ii) For any  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $u, v > 0$  with the property

$$uv(u+v)^{r-2} = 1$$

we have

$$(1 + \frac{u}{v})a^r + (1 + \frac{v}{u})b^r \leq (ua + vb)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}|b - a|^r.$$

*Proof.* Using that the functions  $f(x) = x^r$ ,  $x \geq 0$  are superquadratic for  $r \geq 2$  and subquadratic for  $0 \leq r \leq 2$ , see [1] we obtain by Lemma 1.2, see [1], for  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1$ ,  $a, b \geq 0$  that

$$\alpha_1 f(a) + (1 - \alpha_1) f(b) - f(\alpha_1 a + (1 - \alpha_1) b) \geq \alpha_1 f((1 - \alpha_1)|b - a|) + (1 - \alpha_1) f(\alpha_1 |b - a|).$$

(i) As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 [1] we have

$$\alpha_1 a^r + \beta_1 b^r \geq (\alpha_1 a + \beta_1 b)^r + \alpha_1 \beta_1 (\beta_1^{r-1} + \alpha_1^{r-1}) |b - a|^r,$$

where  $\beta_1 = 1 - \alpha_1$ .

For  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma}$ ,  $\beta_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma}$ ,  $\beta, \gamma > 0$  it results

$$\frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} a^r + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} b^r \geq \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} a + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} b \right)^r + \frac{\beta \gamma}{(\beta + \gamma)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} \right)^{r-1} + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \right)^{r-1} \right] |b - a|^r$$

Because  $\frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma}$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma}, \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \in [0, 1]$  and  $r \geq 2$  we have  $\left( \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} \right)^{r-1} + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \right)^{r-1} \geq \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}$  and this implies

$$\frac{(\beta a^r + \gamma b^r)}{\beta + \gamma} \geq \frac{(\beta a + \gamma b)^r}{(\beta + \gamma)^r} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{(\beta + \gamma)^2} \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} |b - a|^r$$

or

$$\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\beta \gamma} (\beta a^r + \gamma b^r) \geq \frac{(\beta a + \gamma b)^r}{\beta \gamma (\beta + \gamma)^{r-2}} + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} |b - a|^r$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\gamma} a^r + \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\beta} b^r \geq \left( \frac{\beta}{(\beta \gamma)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\beta + \gamma)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}} a + \frac{\gamma}{(\beta \gamma)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\beta + \gamma)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}} b \right)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} |b - a|^r.$$

Now taking  $\gamma = \frac{v}{u} \beta$  where  $\beta > 0$  we have  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} = \frac{u}{v}$  and our inequality becomes

$$\left( 1 + \frac{u}{v} \right) a^r + \left( 1 + \frac{v}{u} \right) b^r \geq (ua + vb)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} |b - a|^r$$

because

$$\frac{\beta}{(\beta \gamma)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\beta + \gamma)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}} = \frac{\beta}{(\beta^2 \frac{v}{u})^{\frac{1}{r}} (\beta + \frac{v}{u} \beta)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}} = \frac{u}{u^{\frac{1}{r}} v^{\frac{1}{r}} (u + v)^{1 - \frac{2}{r}}} = u$$

and

$$\frac{\gamma}{(\beta \gamma)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\beta + \gamma)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}} = v$$

by hypothesis.

For (ii) we will use the same argument taking into account that  $\alpha_1^{r-1} + \beta_1^{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}$  if  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ . ■

**Corollary 1.** *If we take above  $u = v(p - 1)$  when  $r \geq 2$  and  $1 < p \leq 2$  then*

$$pa^r + qb^r \geq \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} ((p - 1)a + b)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}} |b - a|^r,$$

for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* From  $u = v(p-1)$  and  $1 < p \leq 2$  it results  $u > 0$  and

$$pa^r + qb^r = (1+p-1)a^r + \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right)b^r \geq v^r((p-1)a+b)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}|b-a|^r.$$

Replacing  $u$  from  $u = v(p-1)$  in  $uv(u+v)^{r-2} = 1$  we have  $v^2(p-1)[v(p-1)+v]^{r-2} = 1$  or  $v^r(p-1)p^{r-2} = 1$  that means  $v^r = \frac{1}{(p-1)p^{r-2}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}$ . Thus

$$pa^r + qb^r = (1+p-1)a^r + \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right)b^r \geq \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}((p-1)a+b)^r + \frac{1}{2^{r-2}}|b-a|^r.$$

■

### 3. APPLICATIONS

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We shall consider as in [5], a unitarily invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$  which is a norm on an ideal  $C_{\|\cdot\|}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , making  $C_{\|\cdot\|}$  a Banach space and satisfying  $\|UXV\| = \|X\|$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and all unitary operators  $U$  and  $V$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Lemma 2** ([5]). *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space with  $A$  and  $B$  self-adjoint and  $X \geq \gamma I$ ,  $\gamma$  being a positive real number. Then*

$$\gamma\|A - B\| \leq \|AX - BX\|.$$

In the following we shall give some generalizations of the Theorem 2 of O. Hirzallah, see [5].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space and  $X \geq \gamma I$ , for positive real number  $\gamma$ .*

*If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd < 0$  and  $\frac{c}{a} > 0$  or  $ab + cd > 0$  and  $\frac{c}{a} < 0$  then*

$$\gamma\| |aA - bB|^2 + |cA - dB|^2 \| \leq \| |a^2(1 - \frac{bc}{ad})|A|^2X + b^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})X|B|^2 \|.$$

*Proof.* We will replace in Lemma 2,  $A$  by  $a^2(1 - \frac{bc}{ad})|A|^2$  and  $B$  by  $-b^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})|B|^2$  and we will use Consequence 3, (ii). ■

**Remark 1.** (a) *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space as in [5] and  $1 + \beta\gamma < 0$ . If  $X \geq \gamma_1 I$ ,  $\gamma_1$  being a positive real number then*

$$\gamma_1\| |A - B|^2 + |\beta A - \gamma B|^2 \| \leq |\beta - \gamma|\| |\beta|A|^2X - \gamma X|B|^2 \|.$$

(b) *If we take in Theorem 3, (i),  $a = 1 - p$ ,  $b = c = d = 1$  we obtain*

$$\gamma_1\| |(1-p)A - B|^2 + |A - B|^2 \| \leq \| |p|A|^2X + qX|B|^2 \|,$$

*when  $1 < p < 2$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .*

(c) *If we take in Theorem 3, (ii),  $a = b = c = 1$  and  $d = 1 - q$  we obtain*

$$\gamma_1\| |A - B|^2 + |A - (1-q)B|^2 \| \leq \| |p|A|^2X + qX|B|^2 \|,$$

*when  $p > 2$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .*

We recall that the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  of two operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is defined by  $\delta_{A,B}(X) = AX - XB$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Also  $\delta_{A,B}^2(X) = \delta_{A,B}(\delta_{A,B}(X))$ .

We shall give a generalization of the Theorem 4 from [5]. The constants  $p$  and  $q$  from Theorem 4 can be replaced by  $a, b, c, d$  as below.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space and  $A, B$  are normal operators.*

(i) *If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd < 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$  or  $ab + cd > 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} < 0$  then*

$$\|\delta_{aA,bB}^2(X)\|_2^2 + \|\delta_{cA,dB}^2(X)\|_2^2 \leq \|c^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})|A|^2X + d^2(1 - \frac{cb}{ad})X|B|^2\|_2^2.$$

(ii) *If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$  and satisfies the conditions  $ab + cd > 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$  or  $ab + cd < 0$ , and  $\frac{a}{b} < 0$  then*

$$\|\delta_{aA,bB}^2(X)\|_2^2 + \|\delta_{cA,dB}^2(X)\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|c^2(1 - \frac{ad}{bc})|A|^2X + d^2(1 - \frac{cb}{ad})X|B|^2\|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* It will be as in [5], Theorem 4, but we will use Consequence 3 (ii), (i) instead of the inequality (7), see [5]. Also we use the elementary inequalities  $(x + y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)$  and  $x^2 + y^2 \leq (x + y)^2$ , for all  $x, y \geq 0$ . ■

We know, see [8] for example, that for  $uv(u + v) > 0$  and  $r > 1$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\frac{|z_1 + z_2|^r}{u + v} \leq \frac{|z_1|^r}{u} + \frac{|z_2|^r}{v}$$

and for  $uv(u + v) < 0$  and  $r > 1$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\frac{|z_1 + z_2|^r}{u + v} \geq \frac{|z_1|^r}{u} + \frac{|z_2|^r}{v}.$$

Considering  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \neq 0$  we can define  $\delta_{A,B}^r(X)$  by  $\delta_{A,B}^r(X) = \delta_{A,B}(\delta_{A,B}^{r-1}(X))$  and by induction we can show that

$$\delta_{A,B}^r(X) = A^rX - C_r^1A^{r-1}XB + \dots + (-1)^{r-1}C_r^{r-1}AXB^{r-1} + (-1)^rXB^r.$$

We will give two similar properties for the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  of two normal operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space and  $A, B$  are normal operators.*

(i) *If  $w > 0$  and  $r \geq 1$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  then*

$$\frac{1}{(u + v)^2} \|\delta_{A,-B}^r(X)\|_2^2 \leq \|\frac{1}{u}|A|^rX + \frac{1}{v}X|B|^r\|_2^2.$$

for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(ii) *If  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \geq 2$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  then*

$$\|\delta_{A,-B}^r(X)\|_2^2 \leq \|\frac{w_1}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}}|A|^rX + \frac{w_2}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}}X|B|^r\|_2^2.$$

(iii) *If  $r \geq 3$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D_1, D_2$  are two diagonal positive operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $u, v$  as in Theorem 2 then*

$$\|\delta_{uD_1,-vD_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2^{2(r-2)}} \|\delta_{D_1,D_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 \leq \|(1 + \frac{u}{v})D_1^rX + (1 + \frac{v}{u})XD_2^r\|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* We use the same method as in [5]. We use Voiculescu's Theorem. For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are diagonal operators  $D_1, D_2$  and Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $A = D_1 + K_1, B = D_2 + K_2, \|K_1\| < \varepsilon, \|K_2\| < \varepsilon, D_1 e_i = \lambda_i e_i$  and  $D_2 f_i = \mu_i f_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$  for some orthonormal bases  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  and some sequences  $\{\lambda_i\}$  and  $\{\mu_i\}$  of complex numbers. If we show that the inequality is true for  $D_1, D_2$  then by a limit argument the inequality from (i), (ii) will be true.

For (i), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(u+v)^2} \|\delta_{D_1, -D_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{(u+v)^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \delta_{D_1, -D_2}^r(X) f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|\lambda_i + \mu_j|^r}{u+v} \right)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|\lambda_i|^r}{u} + \frac{|\mu_j|^r}{v} \right)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{u} |D_1|^r X + \frac{1}{v} X |D_2|^r \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_{D_1, -D_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \delta_{D_1, -D_2}^r(X) f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (|\lambda_i + \mu_j|^r)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w_1}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}} |\lambda_i|^r + \frac{w_2}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}} |\mu_j|^r \right)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \\ &= \left\| \frac{w_1}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}} |D_1|^r X + \frac{w_2}{w_1^{\frac{1}{1-r}} + w_2^{\frac{1}{1-r}}} X |D_2|^r \right\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

taking into account that we used the inequality (1.1) from Theorem 1, see [8].

For (iii), we use Theorem 2 (i) and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_{uD_1, -vD_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2^{2(r-2)}} \|\delta_{D_1, D_2}^r(X)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \delta_{uD_1, -vD_2}^r(X) f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{2(r-2)}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \delta_{D_1, D_2}^r(X) f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle u\lambda_i + v\mu_j |^r X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{2(r-2)}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle |\lambda_i - \mu_j|^r X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} ((u\lambda_i + v\mu_j)^{2r} + \frac{1}{2^{2(r-2)}} |\lambda_i - \mu_j|^{2r}) \\ &\cdot |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} ((u\lambda_i + v\mu_j)^r + \frac{1}{2^{(r-2)}} |\lambda_i - \mu_j|^r)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left( \left(1 + \frac{u}{v}\right) \lambda_i^r + \left(1 + \frac{v}{u}\right) \mu_j^r \right)^2 |\langle X f_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = \left\| \left(1 + \frac{u}{v}\right) D_1^r X + \left(1 + \frac{v}{u}\right) X D_2^r \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

We also can replace in Theorem 3 from [5] the constants  $p$  and  $q$  with  $c$  and  $d$  as below.



**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space and  $c, d \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  with  $cd < -1$ . If  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $X \geq (c-d)(c|A|^2 - d|B|^2)$ , then*

$$||| |A - B|^4 ||| \leq |c - d| \cdot ||| c|A|^2 X - dX|B|^2 |||.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramovich, J. Baric, and J. Pecaric, Superquadracity, Bohr's inequality and deviation from a mean value, *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Volume 7, Issue 1, Article 1, pp. 1-9, 2010.
- [2] P. Chansangiam, P. Hemchote, P. Pantaragphong, Generalizations of Bohr inequality for Hilbert space operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 356 (2009) 525-536.
- [3] W.-S. Cheung, J. Pecaric, Bohr's inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323 (2006) 403412 .
- [4] M. Fujii, H. Zuo, Matrix order in Bohr inequality for operators, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 4 (2010) no. 1, 21-27.
- [5] O. Hirzallah, Non-commutative operator Bohr inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 282 (2003) 578-583.
- [6] R. M. Loynes, On generalized positive definite functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3, (1965),373-384.
- [7] Rajna Rajic, Characterization of the norm triangle equality in Pre-Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and applications, *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **3**, 3(2009), 347-355.
- [8] M. P. Vasic, D. J. Keckic, Some inequalities for complex numbers, *Mathematica Balkanica* 1 (1971) 282-286.
- [9] F. Zhang, On the Bohr inequality of operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 333 (2007) 1264-1271.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,"POLITEHNICA" UNIVERSITY OF TIMISOARA, P-TA. VICTORIEI,  
NO.2, 300006-TIMISOARA

*E-mail address*, L. Ciurdariu: *p\_simal@yahoo.com*