

BESSEL AND GRÜSS TYPE INEQUALITIES IN INNER PRODUCT MODULES OVER BANACH *-ALGEBRAS

AMIR G. GHAZANFARI AND SEVER S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. We give an analogue of the Bessel inequality and we state a simple formulation of the Grüss type inequality in inner product C^* -modules, which is a refinement of it. We obtain some further generalization of the Grüss type inequalities in inner product modules over, proper H^* -algebras and unital Banach $*$ -algebras for C^* -seminorms and positive linear functionals.

1. INTRODUCTION

A proper H^* -algebra is a complex Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ where the underlying Banach space is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfying the properties $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, a^*c \rangle$ and $\langle ba, c \rangle = \langle b, ca^* \rangle$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. A C^* -algebra is a complex Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} is a proper H^* -algebra or a C^* -algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $\mathcal{A}a = 0$ or $a\mathcal{A} = 0$ then $a = 0$.

For a proper H^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , the trace class associated with \mathcal{A} is $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \{ab : a, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. For every positive $a \in \tau(\mathcal{A})$ there exists the square root of a , that is, a unique positive $a^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(a^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = a$, the square root of a^*a is denoted by $|a|$. There are a positive linear functional tr on $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ and a norm τ on $\tau(\mathcal{A})$, related to the norm of \mathcal{A} by the equality $tr(a^*a) = \tau(a^*a) = \|a\|^2$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a proper H^* -algebra or a C^* -algebra. A semi-inner product module over \mathcal{A} is a right module X over \mathcal{A} together with a generalized semi-inner product, that is with a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $X \times X$, which is $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ -valued if \mathcal{A} is a proper H^* -algebra, or \mathcal{A} -valued if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, having the following properties:

- (i) $\langle x, y + z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- (ii) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$ for $x, y \in X, a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (iii) $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iv) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for $x \in X$.

We shall say that X is a semi-inner product H^* -module if \mathcal{A} is a proper H^* -algebra and that X is a semi-inner product C^* -module if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra.

If, in addition,

- (v) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ implies $x = 0$,

then X is called an inner product module over \mathcal{A} . The absolute value of $x \in X$ is defined as the square root of $\langle x, x \rangle$ and it is denoted by $|x|$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra. A seminorm γ on \mathcal{A} is a real-valued function on \mathcal{A} such that for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$: $\gamma(a) \geq 0$, $\gamma(\lambda a) = |\lambda|\gamma(a)$, $\gamma(a + b) \leq \gamma(a) + \gamma(b)$. A

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L08, 46H25; Secondary 26D99, 46C99.

Key words and phrases. Inner product C^* -modules, Inner product $*$ -modules, Bessel inequality, Grüss inequality, C^* -seminorms.

seminorm γ on \mathcal{A} is called a C^* -seminorm if it satisfies the C^* -condition: $\gamma(a^*a) = (\gamma(a))^2$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). By Sebestyen's theorem [4, Theorem 38.1] every C^* -seminorm γ on a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} is submultiplicative, i.e., $\gamma(ab) \leq \gamma(a)\gamma(b)$ ($a, b \in \mathcal{A}$), and by [3, Section 39, Lemma 2 (i)] $\gamma(a) = \gamma(a^*)$. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the spectral radius of a is defined to be $r(a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\}$.

The Pták function ρ on $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} is defined to be $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where $\rho(a) = (r(a^*a))^{1/2}$. This function has important roles in Banach $*$ -algebras, for example, on C^* -algebras, ρ is equal to the norm and on hermitian Banach $*$ -algebras ρ is the greatest C^* -seminorm. By utilizing properties of the spectral radius and the Pták function, V. Pták [9] showed in 1970 that an elegant theory for Banach $*$ -algebras arises from the inequality $r(a) \leq \rho(a)$.

This inequality characterizes hermitian (and symmetric) Banach $*$ -algebras, and further characterizations of C^* -algebras follow as a result of Pták theory.

Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra. We define \mathcal{A}^+ by

$$\mathcal{A}^+ = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^* a_k : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_k \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

and call the elements of \mathcal{A}^+ positive.

The set \mathcal{A}^+ of positive elements is obviously a convex cone (i.e., it is closed under convex combinations and multiplication by positive constants). Hence we call \mathcal{A}^+ the positive cone. By definition, zero belongs to \mathcal{A}^+ . It is also clear that each positive element is hermitian.

We recall that a Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be an A^* -algebra provided there exists on \mathcal{A} a second norm $|\cdot|$, not necessarily complete, which is a C^* -norm. The second norm will be called an auxiliary norm.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra. A semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module (or semi-inner product $*$ -module) is a complex vector space which is also a right \mathcal{A} -module X with a sesquilinear semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, ya \rangle &= \langle x, y \rangle a && \text{(right linearity)} \\ \langle x, x \rangle &\in \mathcal{A}^+ && \text{(positivity)} \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in X$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, if X satisfies the strict positivity condition

$$x = 0 \quad \text{if } \langle x, x \rangle = 0, \quad \text{(strict positivity)}$$

then X is called an inner product \mathcal{A} -module (or inner product $*$ -module).

Let γ be a seminorm or a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} and $\Gamma(x) = (\gamma(\langle x, x \rangle))^{1/2}$ ($x \in X$). If Γ is a seminorm on a semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module X , then (X, Γ) is said to be a semi-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

If Γ is a norm on an inner product \mathcal{A} -module X , then (X, Γ) is said to be a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

A pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module which is complete with respect to its norm is called a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

Since $\langle x + y, x + y \rangle$ and $\langle x + iy, x + iy \rangle$ are self adjoint, therefore we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. *If X is a semi-inner product $*$ -module then the following symmetry condition holds:*

$$\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \text{for } x, y \in X. \quad \text{(symmetry)}$$

Example 1.

- (a) Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra and γ a positive linear functional or a C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} . It is known that (\mathcal{A}, γ) is a semi-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module over itself with the inner product defined by $\langle a, b \rangle := a^*b$, in this case $\Gamma = \gamma$.
- (b) Let \mathcal{A} be a hermitian Banach $*$ -algebra and ρ be the Pták function on \mathcal{A} . If X is a semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $P(x) = (\rho(\langle x, x \rangle))^{1/2} (x \in X)$, then (X, P) is a semi-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.
- (c) Let \mathcal{A} be a A^* -algebra and $|\cdot|$ be the auxiliary norm on \mathcal{A} . If X is an inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $|x| = |\langle x, x \rangle|^{1/2} (x \in X)$, then $(X, |\cdot|)$ is a pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.
- (d) Let \mathcal{A} be a H^* -algebra and X (a semi-inner product) an inner product \mathcal{A} -module. Since tr is a positive linear functional on $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ and for every $x \in X$ we have $\text{tr}(\langle x, x \rangle) = \| |x| \|^2$ therefore $(X, \| |\cdot| \|)$ is a (semi-Hilbert) pre-Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module.

In the present note we give an analogue of the Bessel inequality (2.7) and we obtain some further generalization and a simple form for the Grüss type inequalities in inner product modules over C^* -algebras, proper H^* -algebras and unital Banach $*$ -algebras.

2. SCHWARZ AND BESSEL INEQUALITY

If X is a semi-inner product C^* -module, then the following Schwarz inequality holds:

$$(2.1) \quad \|\langle x, y \rangle\|^2 \leq \|\langle x, x \rangle\| \|\langle y, y \rangle\| \quad (x, y \in X).$$

(e.g. [11, Lemma 15.1.3]).

If X is a semi-inner product H^* -module, then there are two forms of the Schwarz inequality: for every $x, y \in X$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{tr}(\langle x, y \rangle)^2 \leq \text{tr}(\langle x, x \rangle) \text{tr}(\langle y, y \rangle) \quad (\text{the weak Schwarz inequality}),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \tau(\langle x, y \rangle)^2 \leq \text{tr}(\langle x, x \rangle) \text{tr}(\langle y, y \rangle) \quad (\text{the strong Schwarz inequality}).$$

First Saworotnow in [10] proved the strong Schwarz inequality, but the direct proof of that for a semi-inner product H^* -module can be found in [8].

Now let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra, φ a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} and X be a semi-inner \mathcal{A} -module. We can define a sesquilinear form on $X \times X$ by $\sigma(x, y) = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)$; the Schwarz inequality for σ implies that

$$(2.4) \quad |\varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2 \leq \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) \varphi(\langle y, y \rangle).$$

In [7, Proposition 1, Remark 1] the authors present two other forms of the Schwarz inequality in semi-inner \mathcal{A} -module X , one for positive linear functional φ on \mathcal{A} :

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle \langle x, y \rangle) \leq \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) \varphi(\langle y, y \rangle),$$

another one for C^* -seminorm γ on \mathcal{A} :

$$(2.6) \quad \gamma(\langle x, y \rangle)^2 \leq \gamma(\langle x, x \rangle) \gamma(\langle y, y \rangle).$$

The classical Bessel inequality states that if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of orthonormal vectors in a Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \quad (x \in H).$$

Furthermore, some results concerning upper bounds for the expression

$$\|x\|^2 - \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, e_i \rangle|^2 \quad (x \in H)$$

and for the expression related to the Grüss-type inequality

$$\left| \langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle \right| \quad (x, y \in H)$$

have been proved in [5]. A version of the Bessel inequality for inner product H^* -modules and inner product C^* -modules can be found in [2], also there is a version of it for Hilbert C^* -modules in [6, Theorem 3.1.]. We provide here an analogue of the Bessel inequality for inner product $*$ -modules.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof. By [1, Lemma 1] or a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle, \quad x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. GRÜSS TYPE INEQUALITIES

Before stating the main results let us fix the rest of our notation. We assume, unless stated otherwise, throughout this section that \mathcal{A} is a unital Banach $*$ -algebra. Also if X is a semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module and γ is a C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} we put $\Gamma(x) = (\gamma(\langle x, x \rangle))^{1/2}$ ($x \in X$) and if φ is a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} we put $\Phi(x) = (\varphi(\langle x, x \rangle))^{1/2}$ ($x \in X$). Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent, we set $G_{x,y} := \langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle$ and $G_x := \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle$.

Dragomir in [5, Lemma 4] shows that in a Hilbert space H the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i - x, x - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i \right\rangle \geq 0,$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha_i + \beta_i}{2} \right) e_i \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i - \beta_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $x, e_1, \dots, e_n \in H$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$. But for semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -modules we have the following lemma, which is a generalization of [7, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2. *Let X be a semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$ $x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a_i - x, x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i b_i \right\rangle \geq 0$$

if and only if

$$\left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right), x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i)^* \langle y_i, y_i \rangle (a_i - b_i).$$

Proof. Follows from the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a_i - x, x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i b_i \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a_i - x, x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i b_i \right\rangle + \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i b_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i a_i - x \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^* + b_i^*}{2} \langle y_i, x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^* \langle y_i, y_i \rangle b_i + b_i^* \langle y_i, y_i \rangle a_i) \\ &\quad - \langle x, x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, y_i \rangle \frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i)^* \langle y_i, y_i \rangle (a_i - b_i) \\ &\quad - \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right), x - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. By making use of previous Lemma 2 we may conclude the following statements.

- (i) Let X be an inner product C^* -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent, then inequality (3.1) implies that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \langle e_i, e_i \rangle \| = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- (ii) Let X be an inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If γ is a C^* -seminorm on \mathcal{A} then inequality (3.1) implies that

$$\Gamma \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(a_i - b_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \Gamma(e_i) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(a_i - b_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and if φ is a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} from inequality (3.1) and [3, Section 37 Lemma 6 (iii)] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi((a_i - b_i)^*(e_i, e_i)(a_i - b_i)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi((a_i - b_i)^*(a_i - b_i)) r(\langle e_i, e_i \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Let \mathcal{A} be a proper H^* -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. Since for every $a \in H$, $\text{tr}(a^*a) = \|a\|^2$ inequality (3.1) is valid only if

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We are able now to state our first main result:

Theorem 1. *Let X be an inner product C^* -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, r, s are real numbers and $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\| \leq r, \quad \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right\| \leq s$$

hold, then one has the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|G_{x,y}\| \leq rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \|G_x\|} \sqrt{s^2 - \|G_y\|}.$$

Proof. By [1, Lemma 2] or, a straightforward calculation shows that for every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G_x &= \langle x, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, x \rangle = \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i, \quad x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n e_i (a_i - \langle e_i, x \rangle), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n e_i (a_i - \langle e_i, x \rangle) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad G_x \leq \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i, \quad x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\rangle.$$

Analogously, for every $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad G_y \leq \left\langle y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i, \quad y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right\rangle.$$

The equalities (3.2), (3.5) and (3.6) imply that

$$(3.7) \quad \|G_x\| \leq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\|^2 \leq r^2,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \|G_y\| \leq \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right\|^2 \leq s^2.$$

Since

$$G_{x,y} = \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle, y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle \right\rangle,$$

therefore the Schwarz's inequality (2.1) holds, i.e.,

$$\|G_{x,y}\|^2 \leq \|G_x\| \|G_y\|.$$

Finally, using the elementary inequality for real numbers

$$(3.9) \quad (m^2 - n^2)(p^2 - q^2) \leq (mp - nq)^2$$

on

$$m = r, \quad n = \sqrt{r^2 - \|G_x\|}, \quad p = s, \quad q = \sqrt{s^2 - \|G_y\|},$$

we get

$$\|G_{x,y}\|^2 \leq \|G_x\| \|G_y\| \leq \left(rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \|G_x\|} \sqrt{s^2 - \|G_y\|} \right)^2.$$

□

Remark 2.

- (i) Let X be an inner product C^* -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle (i = 1, \dots, n)$ are idempotent. If $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathcal{A} (i = 1, \dots, n)$ and $x, y \in X$ are such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{c_i + d_i}{2} \right) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|c_i - d_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and if we put $r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $s = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|c_i - d_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then, by (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$\|G_x\| \leq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\|^2 \leq r^2, \quad \|G_y\| \leq \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{c_i + d_i}{2} \right) \right\|^2 \leq s^2.$$

These and (3.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_{x,y}\| &\leq rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \|G_x\|} \sqrt{s^2 - \|G_y\|} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|c_i - d_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 - \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{a_i + b_i}{2} \right) \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \|c_i - d_i\|^2 - \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left(\frac{c_i + d_i}{2} \right) \right\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i - b_i\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|c_i - d_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = rs.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.3) is a refinement and a simple formulation of [2, Theorem 4.1].

(ii) If for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i &= \alpha_i \langle e_i, e_i \rangle, & b_i &= \beta_i \langle e_i, e_i \rangle, \\
c_i &= \lambda_i \langle e_i, e_i \rangle, & d_i &= \mu_i \langle e_i, e_i \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

then similarly (3.3) is a refinement and a simple form of [2, Corollary 4.3].

Corollary 2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach $*$ -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, r, s are real numbers and $x, y \in X$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \Gamma \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \leq r, \quad \Gamma \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right) \leq s$$

hold, then one has the inequality

$$(3.11) \quad \gamma(G_{x,y}) \leq rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \gamma(G_x)} \sqrt{s^2 - \gamma(G_y)}.$$

Proof. Using the schwarz's inequality (2.6) we have

$$\gamma(G_{x,y})^2 \leq \gamma(G_x) \gamma(G_y).$$

The assumptions (3.10) and the elementary inequality for real numbers (3.9), will provide the desired result (3.11). \square

Example 2. Let \mathcal{A} be a hermitian Banach $*$ -algebra and ρ be the Pták function on \mathcal{A} . If X is a semi-inner product \mathcal{A} -module and $P(x) = (\rho(\langle x, x \rangle))^{1/2}$ ($x \in X$) with the properties that

$$P \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \leq r, \quad P \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right) \leq s,$$

then we have

$$\rho(G_{x,y}) \leq rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \rho(G_x)} \sqrt{s^2 - \rho(G_y)}.$$

That is interesting in its own right.

Corollary 3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper H^* -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, r, s are real numbers and $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\| \leq r, \quad \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right\| \leq s$$

hold, then one has the inequality

$$(3.13) \quad \tau(G_{x,y}) \leq rs - \sqrt{r^2 - \text{tr}(G_x)} \sqrt{s^2 - \text{tr}(G_y)}.$$

Proof. Using the strong Schwarz's inequality (2.3) we have

$$\tau(G_{x,y})^2 \leq \text{tr}(G_x) \text{tr}(G_y).$$

The assumptions (3.12) and the elementary inequality for real numbers (3.9), will provide (3.13). \square

The following companion of the Grüss inequality for positive linear functionals holds:

Theorem 2. *Let X be an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, φ a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, r, s are real numbers and $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$\Phi \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \leq r, \quad \Phi \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right) \leq s$$

hold, then one has the inequality

$$(3.14) \quad |\varphi(G_{x,y})| \leq rs - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle) \Phi(e_i b_i - e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle).$$

Proof. By taking φ on both sides of (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(G_x) &= \Phi \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle)^2 \\ &\leq r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(G_y) &= \Phi \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i b_i - e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle)^2 \\ &\leq s^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i b_i - e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now using Aczél's inequality for real numbers, i.e., we recall that

$$\left(a^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(b^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right) \leq \left(ab - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2,$$

and the Schwarz's inequality for positive linear functionals, i.e.,

$$\varphi(G_{x,y})^2 \leq \varphi(G_x)\varphi(G_y)$$

we deduce (3.14). \square

4. SOME RELATED RESULTS

Theorem 3. *Let X be an inner product C^* -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. Let $x, y \in X$ and if we define*

$$r_0 = \inf \left\{ \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\| : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

and

$$s_0 = \inf \left\{ \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\| : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

then we have

$$\|G_{x,y}\| \leq r_0 s_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - \|G_x\|} \sqrt{s_0^2 - \|G_y\|}.$$

Proof. For every $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}$, by (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\|G_x\| \leq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\|^2, \quad \|G_y\| \leq \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i \right\|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\|G_x\| \leq r_0^2, \quad \|G_y\| \leq s_0^2.$$

Now, using the elementary inequality for real numbers

$$(m^2 - n^2)(p^2 - q^2) \leq (mp - nq)^2$$

on

$$m = r_0, \quad n = \sqrt{r_0^2 - \|G_x\|}, \quad p = s_0, \quad q = \sqrt{s_0^2 - \|G_y\|},$$

we get

$$\|G_{x,y}\|^2 \leq \|G_x\| \|G_y\| \leq \left(r_0 s_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - \|G_x\|} \sqrt{s_0^2 - \|G_y\|} \right)^2.$$

\square

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach $*$ -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. Let $x, y \in X$ and put*

$$r_0 = \inf \left\{ \Gamma \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

$$s_0 = \inf \left\{ \Gamma \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

then

$$\gamma(G_{x,y}) \leq r_0 s_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - \gamma(G_x)} \sqrt{s_0^2 - \gamma(G_y)}.$$

Corollary 5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a proper H^* -algebra, X an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. Let $x, y \in X$ and if we consider*

$$r_0 = \inf \left\{ \left\| \left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \right\| : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

$$s_0 = \inf \left\{ \left\| \left(y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \right\| : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n \right\},$$

then

$$\tau(G_{x,y}) \leq r_0 s_0 - \sqrt{r_0^2 - \text{tr}(G_x)} \sqrt{s_0^2 - \text{tr}(G_y)}.$$

From a different perspective, we can state the following result as well:

Theorem 4. *Let X be an inner product C^* -module and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right\| \leq r,$$

then we have the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \|Re(G_{x,y})\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} r^2.$$

Proof. We know that for any $a, b \in X$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ one has

$$(4.3) \quad Re \langle a, b \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle a, b \rangle + \langle b, a \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \langle \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b, \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b \rangle.$$

Put $a = x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle$, $b = y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle$ and since

$$G_{x,y} = \left\langle x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle, y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle \right\rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$$

using (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \|Re(G_{x,y})\| = \|Re(\langle a, b \rangle)\| \leq \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \|\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b\|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \left\| \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle \right\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \|G_{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y}\|^2.$$

Now the inequality (4.2) follows from inequalities (3.7) and (4.4). \square

The following companion of the Grüss inequality for positive linear functionals holds:

Theorem 5. Let X be an inner product \mathcal{A} -module, φ a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a finite set of orthogonal elements in X such that $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are idempotent. If $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in X$ are such that

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi \left(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right) \leq r,$$

then we have the inequality

$$(4.6) \quad |\varphi(\operatorname{Re}(G_{x,y}))| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \left(r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle)^2 \right).$$

Proof. The inequality (4.3) for $a = x - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, x \rangle$, $b = y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, y \rangle$ implies that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varphi(\operatorname{Re}(G_{x,y}))| &= |\varphi(\operatorname{Re}(\langle a, b \rangle))| \leq \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \Phi(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \Phi \left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} \varphi(G_{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y})^2. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of the inequality (3.4) for $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$ instead of x and taking φ on both sides, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(G_{\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y}) &= \Phi \left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle)^2 \\ &\leq r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(e_i a_i - e_i \langle e_i, \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.7) and (4.8) we easily deduce (4.6). \square

Remark 3.

- (i) The constant 1 coefficient of rs in (3.3) is sharp, in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller quantity. If the submodule of H generated by e_1, \dots, e_n is not equal to X , then there exists $t \in X$ such that $t \neq \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, t \rangle$. We put $z = t - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle e_i, t \rangle$ then $0 \neq z \in X$ and for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, e_j \rangle &= \langle t, e_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle t, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \langle t, e_j \rangle - \langle t, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, e_j \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$, if we put

$$(4.9) \quad x_\epsilon = \frac{rz}{\|z\| + \epsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i, \quad y_\epsilon = \frac{sz}{\|z\| + \epsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon} &= \langle x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_\epsilon, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, y_\epsilon \rangle \\ &= \frac{rs}{(\|z\| + \epsilon)^2} \langle z, z \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \langle e_i, e_i \rangle b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_i^* \langle e_j, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, e_j \rangle b_i \\ &= \frac{rs}{(\|z\| + \epsilon)^2} \langle z, z \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\|G_{x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon}\| = \frac{rs}{(\|z\| + \epsilon)^2} \|z\|^2.$$

Now if c is a constant such that $0 < c < 1$ then there is a $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\|z\|^2}{\|z\| + \epsilon} > c$ therefore

$$\|G_{x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon}\| > crs.$$

- (ii) Similarly the constant 1 coefficient of rs in (3.13) is best possible, it is sufficient instead of (4.9) to put

$$x_\epsilon = \frac{rz}{\|z\| + \epsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_i, \quad y_\epsilon = \frac{sz}{\|z\| + \epsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i b_i.$$

- (iii) If there is a non zero element z in X such that $z \perp \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$ (resp. $\Phi(z) \neq 0$) then the constant 1 coefficient of rs in (3.11) (resp. (3.14)) is best possible. Also similarly the inequalities in Theorem 3, Corollary 4, Corollary 5, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 are sharp. However, the details are omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BAKIĆ, B. GULJAŠ, *Hilbert C^* -modules over C^* -algebras of compact operators*, Acata Sci. Math.(Szeged) **68** (1-2)(2002) 249-269.
- [2] S. BANIĆ, D. ILIŠEVIĆ and S. VAROŠANEC, *Bessel-and Grüss- type inequalities in inner product modules*, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **50** (2007) 23-36.
- [3] F. F. BONSALE and J. DUNCAN, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [4] R.S DORAN and V.A. BELFI, *Characterization of C^* -Algebras*, Dekker, New York, 1986.
- [5] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science publishers Inc., New York, 2005.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, M. KHOSRAVI and M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *Bessel-type inequalities in Hilbert C^* -modules*, Linear & Mult. Linear Alg., DOI: 10.1080/03081080903113361.
- [7] A. G. GHAZANFARI, S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Schwarz and Grüss type inequalities for C^* -seminorms and positive linear functionals on Banach $*$ -modules*, Linear Algebra and Appl. **434** (2011), 944-956.
- [8] L. MOLNÁR, *A note on the strong Schwarz inequality in Hilbert A -modules*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **40** (1992), 323-325.
- [9] V. PTÁK, *On the spectral radius in Banach algebras with involution*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **2**(1970), 327-334.
- [10] P. P. SAWOROTNOW, *A generalized Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 191-197.
- [11] N. E. WEGGE-OLSEN, *K -theory and C^* -algebras- A Friendly Approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LORESTAN UNIVERSITY, P.O. Box 465, KHAMABAD, IRAN.
E-mail address: `ghazanfari.amir@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE
CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.
E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`