

Fekete-Szegő Problem for Concave Univalent Functions Defined by Sălăgean Operator

Alawiah Ibrahim^{1,2}, Maslina Darus², and Sever S. Dragomir^{1,3}

ABSTRACT. Let $C_0(\alpha)$ denote the class of concave univalent functions defined in the open unit disk U . In this paper, we investigate the sharp upper bounds of Fekete-Szegő functional with real and complex parameter λ for the class of concave univalent functions defined by Sălăgean differential operator.

1. Introduction

Let S denote the class of all analytic and univalent functions

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

defined on the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Denote by $S^*(\beta)$, $C(\beta)$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)$, the classes of starlike functions of order β , convex functions of order β and close-to-convex functions of order α type β respectively, which are analytically defined as follows:

- (i) $S^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta, z \in U, 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$,
- (ii) $C(\beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta, z \in U, 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$,
- (iii) $K(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right) > \alpha, g(z) \in C(\beta), z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$.

In 1933, Fekete and Szegő [9] obtained the maximum value of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ as a function of the real parameter λ , namely

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp \left(\frac{-2\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right),$$

for the class S of analytic and univalent functions given by (1.1). This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. In the literature, there exists a large number of results of the Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for various subclasses of S , such as the class of $S^*(\beta)$, $C(\beta)$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)$. For instance, Keogh and Merkers [13], Kaplan [12],

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C10.

Key words and phrases. Concave, Univalent function, Sălăgean differential operator.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Koepf [14] solved the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions. Nasr and Gawad [16], Gawad and Thomas [10], Darus and Thomas [8], Ibrahim and Darus [11] and others generalized this result for the class of functions that are close-to-convex functions of order α and type β . Later, Avkhadiev et al. [1], [2] and Bhowmik et al. [4], [5], they gave another treatment of Fekete-Szegő problem by considering the class of concave univalent functions given by (1.1).

Also, there are several authors that proved this type of result for the Fekete-Szegő functional for the class of function defined by differential operator, see [3], [6], for example, by using the Sălăgean differential operator D^k [15], for $f \in S$ which is defined by

- (i) $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$,
- (ii) $D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n$,
- (iii) $D^k f(z) = D(D^{k-1} f(z)) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Denote by S_k^* , the class of k -starlike functions which is analytically defined as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad S_k^* = \left\{ f(z) \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{k+1} f(z)}{D^k f(z)} \right) > 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, z \in U \right\}.$$

In this paper, we investigated the sharp upper bounds of Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for the class of concave univalent functions with real and complex parameter λ , where the function of f is defined by Sălăgean differential operator (1.2).

2. Preliminary results

A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the family $C_0(\alpha)$ if f satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) f is analytic in U with the standard normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. In addition it satisfies $f(1) = \infty$.
- (b) f maps conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to \mathbb{C} is convex.
- (c) The opening angle of $f(U)$ at ∞ is less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

The class $C_0(\alpha)$ is referred to concave univalent functions and for a detailed discussion about concave functions we refer to [1], [2], [7] and the references therein. Recently, the class $C_0(\alpha)$ of concave function was considered by Bhowmik et al. [4], [5].

We recall the analytic characterization for the functions in $C_0(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$: $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} P_f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$, where

$$P_f(z) = \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[\frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} - 1 - z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right].$$

In [4], [5] they used this characterization and proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\alpha \in (1, 2]$. A function $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if and only if there exist a starlike function $\phi \in S^*$ such that $f(z) = \Lambda_\phi(z)$ where*

$$\Lambda_\phi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{t}{\phi(t)} \right)^{(\alpha-1)/2} dt$$

and S^* denote the family of starlike functions g defined by $g \in S^*$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0$.

The objective of the present paper is to give some generalizations of the result of Fekete-Szegő problem given by Bhowmik et al. [4] for the starlike function defined by Sălăgean differential operator $D^k f$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is $f \in S_k^*$ is characterized by the condition (1.2).

In order to prove our main results, we need to recall the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. [14] Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in S^*$. Then $|b_3 - \lambda b_2^2| \leq \max\{1, |3 - 4\lambda|\}$, which is sharp for the Koebe function k if $|\lambda - 3/4| \geq 1/4$ and for $(k(z))^{1/2} = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$ if $|\lambda - 3/4| \leq 1/4$.

3. Main result and its proof

We consider the Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for real and complex parameter λ . Our results are contained in the following theorems.

THEOREM 2. Let $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ have the expansion given by (1.1), $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If λ is real, then we have

$$12 |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} (3 + 2^{2k})(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 3(1 - 2^{2k})(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda + 6(1 - 3^k)\alpha + 2(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k}), & \text{if } \lambda \leq \lambda_0; \\ 4[(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 1], & \text{if } \lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha}; \\ \frac{4[(10 - 9\lambda)\alpha + (2 - 3\lambda)]}{3(2 - \lambda) - (2 - 3\lambda)\alpha}, & \text{if } \frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}; \\ 12(1 - \lambda)\alpha \sqrt{\frac{12(1 - \lambda)}{(4 - 3\lambda)^2 - (3\lambda - 2)^2 \alpha^2}}, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_2; \\ 4[(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 - 1], & \text{if } \lambda_2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)}; \\ (3 + 2^{2k})(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 + 3(2^{2k} - 1)(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda + 6(3^k - 1)\alpha + 2(2^{2k} - 3^{k+1}), & \text{if } \lambda \geq \frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)}; \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \left(\sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} - 1 \right).$$

The inequalities are sharp.

THEOREM 3. Let $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ have the expansion given by (1.1), $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If λ are complex numbers, then we have

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{12} (\alpha + 1) \nu(\alpha, \lambda) \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\alpha, \lambda) &= |(2 - 3\lambda)(\alpha + 1) + 2| + 2(\alpha - 1)|3\lambda - 2| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1}\right) |6 + [2 - 3(\alpha - 1)\lambda]|. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We recall that from Theorem 1 and making use the Sălăgean differential operator, that is $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if and only if there exist a function $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n \in S_k^*$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad f'(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{z}{D^n \phi(z)} \right)^{(\alpha-1)/2},$$

where f has the form given by (1.1) Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 on the both sides of the series expansion (3.1), we obtain that

$$a_2 = \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2} - 2^{k-2}(\alpha - 1)\phi_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) - \frac{2^{k-1}}{3}(\alpha^2 - 1)\phi_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha - 1)\phi_3 + \frac{2^{2k-3}}{3}(\alpha - 1)\phi_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

A computation yields that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{4} \left[\frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad + 2^{k-2}(\alpha^2 - 1) \left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3} \right) \phi_2 - \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha - 1) \\ &\quad \times \left[\phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha - 1)}{3^k} \right) \phi_2^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to investigate the maximum values of the function $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ by considering several cases of λ .

Case 1: Consider the first case for all $\lambda \leq \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha - 1)}$.

We observe that the assumption on λ is seen to be equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{3^k} [2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha - 1)] \geq 1$$

and the first term in equation (3.2) is nonnegative. Hence, using the Lemma 1 for the last term in (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha - 1)}{3^k} \right) \phi_2^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha + 1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-1})(\alpha - 1)}{3^k} - 3 \end{aligned}$$

and noticing that for $\phi \in S_k^*$, $|\phi_n| \leq n^{1-k}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$, we have from the equality (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4} \left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} - \lambda \right] + 2^{k-2} (\alpha^2 - 1) \left(\frac{2}{3} - \lambda \right) |\phi_2| \\ &\quad + \frac{3^{k-1}}{2} (\alpha-1) \left| \phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \right) \phi_2^2 \right| \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{6} - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\alpha+1)^2 + \frac{(\alpha^2-1)}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} - \lambda \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3^{k-1}}{2} (\alpha-1) \left(\frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-1})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} - 3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{12} [(3 + 2^{2k})(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 3(1 - 2^{2k})(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda \\ &\quad + 6(1 - 3^k)\alpha + 2(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k})], \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \left(\infty, \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)} \right)$.

Case 2: Let $\lambda \geq \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}$.

For this case, the first term in (3.2) is nonnegative. The condition on λ in particular gives $\lambda \geq \frac{2}{3}$ and therefore our assumption on λ implies that

$$\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \leq \frac{2^{2k}}{3^k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Again, it follows from Lemma 1, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \phi_3 - \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \phi_2^2 \right| \\ &\leq 3 - \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-1})(\alpha-1)}{3^k}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of these observation and an use of the inequality that $|\phi_2| \leq 2^{1-k}$, the equality (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4} \left[\lambda - \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} \right] + 2^{k-2} (\alpha^2 - 1) \left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3} \right) (2^{1-k}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3^{k-1}}{2} (\alpha-1) \left(3 - \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-1})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, simplifying the right hand side expression (3.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{12} [(3 + 2^{2k})(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 + 3(2^{2k} - 1)(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda \\ &\quad + 6(3^k - 1)\alpha - 2(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k})], \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}, \infty \right)$.

Case 3: Consider λ , where

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} \right).$$

Now we deal with the case by using the formulas (3.1) and (3.2) together with the representation formula for $\phi(z) \in S_k^*$. Let us define $w(z)$ by

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{D^{k+1}\phi(z)}{D^k\phi(z)} = \frac{1+zw(z)}{1-zw(z)}; \quad (w(z) \neq 1)$$

where $w : U \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is a function analytic in U with the Taylor series

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 in (3.4), we get that

$$(3.5) \quad \phi_2 = 2^{1-k}c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_3 = \frac{1}{3^k}(c_1 + 3c_0^2).$$

Inserting these resulting formulas (3.5) into (3.2) yields

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &\leq \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4} \left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} - \lambda \right] \\ &\quad + 2^{k-2}(\alpha^2-1) \left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3} \right) (2^{1-k}c_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1) \left[\frac{1}{3^k}(c_1 + 3c_0^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \right) (2^{2-2k})c_0^2 \right] \\ &= A + Bc_0 + Cc_0^2 + Dc_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1) - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\alpha+1)^2, \\ B &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha^2-1)(3\lambda-2), \\ C &= -\frac{1}{12}(\alpha-1)[4-2\alpha+3\lambda(\alpha-1)], \\ D &= -\frac{1}{6}(\alpha-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using the well known inequalities that $|c_0| \leq 1$ and $|c_1| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2$, from (3.6) we obtain that

$$(3.7) \quad |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq |A + Bc_0 + Cc_0^2| + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha-1)(1 - |c_0|^2).$$

Now, in order to determine the maximum value of (3.7), let $c_0 = re^{i\theta}$, then we consider the quadratic expression

$$(3.8) \quad f(r, \theta) = |A + Bc_0 + Cc_0^2|^2 \\ = (A - Cr^2)^2 + B^2r^2 + 2Br(A + Cr^2)\cos\theta + 4ACr^2\cos^2\theta$$

where $\cos\theta \in [-1, 1]$, $r \in (0, 1]$. For getting the upper bounds of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$, we have to find the biggest value of (3.8) for r in the interval $(0, 1]$. So, let $x = \cos\theta$, then from (3.8) we have

$$(3.9) \quad h(x) = (A - Cr^2)^2 + B^2r^2 + 2Br(A + Cr^2)x + 4ACr^2x^2.$$

We have to determine the maximum value of (3.9) for $x \in [-1, 1]$. So, for this, we need to consider the several subclasses of λ , where

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} \right).$$

Case 3A: First, consider

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)} \right).$$

We observe that for λ in this interval, we have $A > 0$, $B < 0$, $C > 0$ and $A + Cr^2 > 0$ for $r \in (0, 1]$, and (3.9) attains its maximum value at $x = -1$. Therefore, it gives that

$$(3.10) \quad |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq g(r) = A - Br + Cr^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha-1)(1-r^2).$$

By a simple calculation, we show that the maximum value of (3.10) attains at the boundary of r , i.e. $r = 1$. Therefore

$$g(r) \leq g(1) = A - B + C = \frac{1}{3}[(2-3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 1].$$

Case 3B: Let $\lambda = \frac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)}$.

In this case, we get $C = 0$, therefore $h(x)$ becomes a linear function,

$$(3.11) \quad h(x) = A^2 + B^2r^2 + 2BrAx.$$

It is easy to show that the maximum value of (3.11) occurs at $x = -1$ and $r = 1$. Again we get the maximum value of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ as the previous case.

Case 3C: Let $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha} \right)$.

In this interval, the quadratic function (3.9) has maximum value at

$$x(r) = -\frac{B}{4} \left(\frac{1}{Cr} + \frac{r}{A} \right),$$

where $x(r)$ is monotonic increasing in $r \in (0, 1]$ and $x(1) < -1$. Hence we get the upper bound as in Cases 3A and 3B. As conclusion, from the Cases 3A, 3B and 3C give us that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}[(2-3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 1]$$

for

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha} \right).$$

Case 3D: Let $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha}, \frac{2}{3} \right)$.

From the Case 3C, the inequality $x(1) < -1$ gives that

$$\frac{2(3\lambda + 4\alpha^2 - 12\alpha^2\lambda + 9\alpha^2\lambda^2 - 4)}{[(3\lambda - 4) + \alpha(3\lambda - 2)][\alpha(3\lambda - 2) - (3\lambda - 4)]} < 0,$$

hence it shows that

$$p(\lambda) = 9\alpha^2\lambda^2 + (3 - 12\alpha^2)\lambda + 4(\alpha^2 - 1) < 0$$

where $\lambda < \frac{2}{3}$. Factorizing $p(\lambda)$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} \right)$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6\alpha^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} \right).$$

It is clear that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Therefore, for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha}, \lambda_1 \right)$, the functions (3.9) and (3.10) has its maximum value at

$$x = -1 \text{ and } r_m = \frac{-3B}{-6C + \alpha - 1} \in (0, 1]$$

respectively. Hence the upper bound of Fekete-Szegő functional is given by

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq g(r_m) = A - Br_m + Cr_m^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha - 1)(1 - r_m^2) \\ &= \frac{4[(10 - 9\lambda)\alpha + (2 - 3\lambda)]}{3(2 - \lambda) - (2 - 3\lambda)\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider for $\lambda \in \left[\lambda_1, \frac{2}{3} \right)$. In this interval, the quadratic equation (3.9) attains its maximum value at

$$x(r) = \frac{-B(A + Cr^2)}{4ACr}$$

with

$$h(x(r)) = -\frac{1}{4AC} (B^2 - 4AC) (A - Cr)^2.$$

Hence, the Fekete-Szegő functional satisfies the following inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \sqrt{h(x(r))} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{6} (1 - r^2) \\ &= (A - Cr) \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{6} (1 - r^2) = k(r). \end{aligned}$$

The maximum value of $g(r)$,

$$g(r) = A - Br + Cr^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{6} (1 - r^2)$$

and (3.15) occurs at

$$r_m = \frac{-B}{-2C + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{3}} \text{ and } r_0 = \frac{B}{2C + \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}}}$$

respectively. It is easy to show that (3.15) is monotonic decreasing for $r \geq r_0$. Hence, the maximum value of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ is given by (3.14).

For $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}$, we get $B = 0$ and $C = \frac{1}{6}(1 - \alpha)$. Thus, the maximum value

$$(3.16) \quad |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \frac{\alpha}{3},$$

occurs at $x = \cos \theta = 0$ and $r \in (0, 1]$. From (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we concluded that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{4[(10 - 9\lambda)\alpha + (2 - 3\lambda)]}{3(2 - \lambda) - (2 - 3\lambda)\alpha}$$

for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha}, \frac{2}{3} \right]$.

Case 3E: Let $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \lambda_2 \right]$, where λ_2 is given by (3.13).

In this interval, we have $B > 0$. So that (3.9) attains its maximum value at $x = 1$. Then, we consider the function

$$l(r) = h(1) = A + Br + Cr^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{6}(1 - r^2).$$

Again, by a simple calculation shows that the maximum value of $l(r)$ to be occurred at

$$r_n = \frac{B}{-2C + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{3}},$$

hence the maximum of the function (3.15) to be attained at

$$r_1 = \frac{B}{-2C \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}} \right)} \in (0, 1].$$

It is easily to prove that $r_1 < r_n \leq 1$. Since $k(r)$ is monotonic increasing function, then

$$k(r) \leq k(1) = (A - C) \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}},$$

which gives that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq k(1) = (1 - \lambda) \alpha \sqrt{\frac{12(1 - \lambda)}{(4 - 3\lambda)^2 - (3\lambda - 2)^2 \alpha^2}}$$

for $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \lambda_2 \right]$.

Case 3F: Finally, we consider the case for $\lambda \in \left(\lambda_2, \frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)} \right)$.

For these λ , we see that $A < 0$, $B > 0$, $C < 0$, $A + Cr^2 < 0$ and the maximum value of function (3.7) is attained for $x = -1$, i.e.

$$\eta(x) = -A + Br - Cr^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{6}(1 - r^2).$$

We get $\eta(r) \leq \eta(1)$ for all λ in these interval and hence

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq -A + B - C = \frac{1}{3} [(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 - 1].$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Further, substitute (3.5) into (3.2) yields

$$12(a_3 - \lambda a_2^2) = (\alpha + 1)[(2 - 3\lambda)(\alpha + 1) + 2] + 2(\alpha^2 - 1)(3\lambda - 2)c_0 \\ + (\alpha - 1)(6 + [2 - 3(\alpha - 1)\lambda])c_0^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)c_1.$$

Hence for λ complex numbers, we have

$$(3.17) \quad 12|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq (\alpha + 1)|(2 - 3\lambda)(\alpha + 1) + 2| \\ + 2(1 - \alpha)|c_1| + 2(\alpha^2 - 1)|3\lambda - 2||c_0| \\ + (\alpha - 1)|6 + [2 - 3(\alpha - 1)\lambda]||c_0|^2.$$

Using the well known inequality that $|c_0| \leq 1$ and $|c_1| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2$, then from (3.17) we get

$$12|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{12}(\alpha + 1)\nu(\alpha, \lambda)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}\{\nu(\alpha, \lambda)\} > 0$, where

$$\nu(\alpha, \lambda) = |(2 - 3\lambda)(\alpha + 1) + 2| + 2(1 - \alpha)|3\lambda - 2| \\ + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha + 1}|6 + [2 - 3(\alpha - 1)\lambda]|.$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 3 is complete. \square

REMARK 1. Taking $k = 0$ and λ real numbers, we deduce a result of Bhowmik et al. [4].

Acknowledgements: The second author is fully supported by MOHE Grant: UKM-ST-06-FRGS0244-2010.

References

- [1] R. G. Avkhadiiev, S. Pommerenke and K-J. Wirths, Sharp inequalities for coefficient of concave schlicht function, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **81**(2006), pp. 801-807.
- [2] F. G. Avkhadiiev and K-J. Wirths, Concave schlicht functions with bounded opening angle at infinity. *Lobachevskii J.* **17**(2005), pp. 3-10.
- [3] K.O. Babalola, Bounds on the coefficients of certain analytic and univalent functions, *Mathematica, Tome* **50**(73)(2008), pp. 139-148.
- [4] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy and K-J. Wirths, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for concave univalent functions, *Jour. of Math. Analysis & Appl.*, **373**(2011), pp. 432-438.
- [5] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy and K-J. Wirths, Characterization the pre-Schwarzian norm estimate for concave univalent functions, *Monatsh Math.* **161**(2010), pp. 59-75.
- [6] A. Catas, G. I. Oros and G. Oros, Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformation, *Abstract Appl. Anal.* ID 845724(2008), pp. 1-11.
- [7] L. Cruz and C. Pommerenke, On concave univalent function, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **52**(2007), pp. 153-159.
- [8] M. Darus and D. K. Thomas, On the Fekete-Szegő theorem for close-to-convex functions, *Math. Japonica* **47**(1)(1998), pp. 125-132.
- [9] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, Eine bemerkung über ungerade Schlichte funktionen, *London Math. Soc* **8**(1993), pp. 85-89.
- [10] H. R. El-Gawad and D. K. Thomas, The Fekete-Szegő problem for strongly close-to-convex function, *Math. Japonica* **44**(3)(1996), pp. 8-12.
- [11] A. Ibrahim and M. Darus, The Fekete-Szegő theorem: to determine the sharp upper bounds for close-to-convex functions, *Jour. of Inst. Math. & Comp. Sci (Math. Ser.)* **14**(3)(2001), pp. 207-216.
- [12] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex schlicht functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **1**(1952/53), pp. 169-185
- [13] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkers, A coefficient inequality for certain class of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20**(1969), pp. 8-12.

- [14] W. Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101**(1987), pp. 420-433.
- [15] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of Univalent Function*, Lecture notes in Math. 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983), pp. 362-372.
- [16] M. A. Nasr and H. R. El-Gawad, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions of order ρ , *New Trend in Geometric Function Theory and Applications* (Madras, 1990), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1991), pp. 66-74.

¹SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `alawiah.ibrahim@live.vu.edu.au`

²SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA, 43600 BANGI, SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

E-mail address: `maslina@ukm.my`

³SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG-3, WITS-2050, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/dragomir>