

**NEW SHARP INEQUALITIES OF OSTROWSKI AND  
GENERALIZED TRAPEZOID TYPE FOR THE  
RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRALS AND APPLICATIONS**

MOHAMMAD WAJEEH ALOMARI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, new sharp weighted generalizations of Ostrowski and generalized trapezoid type inequalities for the Riemann–Stieltjes integrals are proved. Several related inequalities are deduced and investigated. New Simpson’s type inequalities for  $\mathcal{RS}$ –integral are pointed out. Finally, as application; an estimation for a general quadrature rule  $\mathcal{RS}$ –integral via Ostrowski–generalized trapezoid quadrature formula is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to approximate the Riemann–Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ , Dragomir [13] has introduced the following (general) quadrature rule:

$$\mathcal{D}(f, u; x) := f(x)[u(b) - u(a)] - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)$$

After that, many authors have studied this quadrature rule under various assumptions of integrands and integrators. In the following, we give a summary of these results: let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be as follow:

- (1)  $f$  is of  $r$ - $H_f$ –Hölder type on  $[a, b]$ , where  $H_f > 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$  are given.
- (1')  $u$  is of  $s$ - $H_u$ –Hölder type on  $[a, b]$ , where  $H_u > 0$  and  $s \in (0, 1]$  are given.
- (2)  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .
- (2')  $u$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .
- (3)  $f$  is  $L_f$ –Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$ .
- (3')  $u$  is  $L_u$ –Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$ .
- (4)  $f$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ .
- (4')  $u$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ .
- (5)  $f$  is  $L_{1,f}$ –Lipschitz on  $[a, x]$  and  $L_{2,f}$ –Lipschitz on  $[x, b]$ .
- (5')  $u$  is  $L_{1,u}$ –Lipschitz on  $[a, x]$  and  $L_{2,u}$ –Lipschitz on  $[x, b]$ .
- (6)  $f$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, x]$  and  $[x, b]$ .
- (6')  $u$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, x]$  and  $[x, b]$ .
- (7)  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ .
- (8)  $|f'|$  is convex on  $[a, b]$ .

---

*Date:* April 30, 2012.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10, 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Ostrowski’s inequality, bounded variation, Riemann–Stieltjes integral.

Then, the following inequalities hold under the corresponding assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.1) \quad & |\mathcal{D}(f, u; x)| \\
& \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& H_f \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^r \cdot V_a^b(u), & (1), (2'), ([13]) \\
& H_u \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& [(x-a)^s + (b-x)^s] \left[ \frac{1}{2} V_a^b(f) + \frac{1}{2} \left| V_a^x(f) - V_x^b(f) \right| \right] \\
& [(x-a)^{qs} + (b-x)^{qs}]^{1/q} \left[ (V_a^x(f))^p + (V_x^b(f))^p \right]^{1/p}, & (1'), (2), ([14]) \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \\
& \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^s \cdot V_a^b(f)
\end{aligned} \right. \\
& \frac{L_u H_f}{r+1} \left[ (x-a)^{r+1} + (b-x)^{r+1} \right], & (1), (3'), ([6]) \\
& \frac{L_f H_u}{s+1} \left[ (x-a)^{s+1} + (b-x)^{s+1} \right], & (1'), (3), ([6]) \\
& L_u L_f \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \left( \frac{x - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] (b-a)^2, & (3), (3'), ([6]) \\
& \leq \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& \max \{L_{1,u}, L_{2,u}\} \times \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] [f(b) - f(a)], & (5'), (6), ([6]) \\
& \left[ \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right| \right] (b-a)
\end{aligned} \right. \\
& \max \{L_{1,f}, L_{2,f}\} \times \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] [u(b) - u(a)], & (5), (6'), ([6]) \\
& \left[ \frac{u(b)-u(a)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a)+u(b)}{2} \right| \right] (b-a)
\end{aligned} \right. \\
& H_f \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^r \cdot [u(b) - u(a)], & (1), (4'), ([11]) \\
& H_u \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^s \cdot [f(b) - f(a)], & (1'), (4), ([11]) \\
& \sup_{t \in [a,x]} \{(x-t) \mu(f; x, t)\} \cdot V_a^x(u) + \sup_{t \in [x,b]} \{(t-x) \mu(f; x, t)\} \cdot V_x^b(u), & (2'), (7), ([7]) \\
& \frac{1}{2} \left[ (x-a) \cdot V_a^x(u) \cdot \|f'\|_{\infty, [a,x]} + (b-x) \cdot V_x^b(u) \cdot \|f'\|_{\infty, [x,b]} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} |f'(x)| \cdot \left[ (x-a) \cdot V_a^x(u) + (b-x) \cdot V_x^b(u) \right], & (2'), (7), (8), ([7])
\end{aligned} \right.
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}$$

More details about each inequality of the above, the reader may refer to the corresponding mentioned references and the references therein.

From a different view point, the authors of [15] considered the problem of approximating the Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$  via the generalized trapezoid rule

$$[u(x) - u(a)]f(a) + [u(b) - u(x)]f(b)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(f, u; x) := [u(x) - u(a)]f(a) + [u(b) - u(x)]f(b) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)$$

$$(1.2) \quad |\mathcal{T}(f, u; x)| \leq \begin{cases} H_u \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^r \cdot V_a^b(f), & (1'), (2), \text{ ([15])} \\ H_f \begin{cases} [(x-a)^s + (b-x)^s] \left[ \frac{1}{2} V_a^b(u) + \frac{1}{2} \left| V_a^x(u) - V_x^b(u) \right| \right] \\ [(x-a)^{qs} + (b-x)^{qs}]^{1/q} \left[ (V_a^x(u))^p + (V_x^b(u))^p \right]^{1/p}, & (1), (2'), \text{ ([8])} \\ p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \\ \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^s \cdot V_a^b(u) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

For new quadrature rules involving  $\mathcal{RS}$ -integral see the recent works [1]–[3]. For other results concerning various approximation for  $\mathcal{RS}$ -integral under various assumptions on  $f$  and  $u$ , see [4, 5, 9, 10], [16]–[19] and the references therein.

In the recent work [20], Z. Liu has proved sharp generalization of weighted Ostrowski type inequality for mappings of bounded variation, as follows (see also [21]):

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping of bounded variation,  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  continuous and positive on  $(a, b)$ . Then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt - \left[ (1-\alpha)f(x) \int_a^b g(t) dt + \alpha \left( f(a) \int_a^x g(t) dt + f(b) \int_x^b g(t) dt \right) \right] \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt + \left| \int_a^x g(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \right] \cdot V_a^b(f)$$

where,  $V_a^b(f)$  denotes to the total variation of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ . The constant  $\left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right]$  is the best possible.

For recent results concerning Ostrowski inequality for mappings of bounded variation see [12],[20]–[24].

The main aim in this paper, is to introduce and discuss new weighted generalizations of the Ostrowski and the generalized trapezoid inequalities for the Riemann–Stieltjes integrals.

## 2. THE RESULT

We begin with the following result:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $g, u : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be such that  $g$  is continuous and positive on  $[a, b]$  and  $u$  is monotonic increasing on  $[a, b]$ . If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a mapping of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) + \left| \int_a^x g(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

where,  $\bigvee_a^b(f)$  denotes to the total variation of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ . The constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible.

*Proof.* Define the mapping

$$K_{g,u}(t; x) := \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha) \int_a^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s), & t \in [a, x] \\ (1 - \alpha) \int_b^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s), & t \in (x, b] \end{cases}$$

Using integration by parts, we have the following identity

$$\int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t) = \int_a^x \left[ (1 - \alpha) \int_a^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s) \right] df(t) \\ + \int_x^b \left[ (1 - \alpha) \int_b^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s) \right] df(t) \\ = (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + \alpha f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) \\ - \int_a^x f(t) d \left[ (1 - \alpha) \int_a^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s) \right] \\ + \alpha f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \\ - \int_x^b f(t) d \left[ (1 - \alpha) \int_b^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s) \right] \\ = \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] \\ + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t).$$

Using the fact that for a continuous function  $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $\nu : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of bounded variation, then the Riemann–Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b p(t) d\nu(t)$  exists and one has the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b p(t) d\nu(t) \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |p(t)| \bigvee_a^b(\nu).$$

As  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , by (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \{K_{g, u}(t; x)\} \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

Now, define the mappings  $p, q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$p(t) := (1 - \alpha) \int_a^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s), \quad t \in [a, x],$$

and

$$q(t) := (1 - \alpha) \int_b^t g(s) du(s) + \alpha \int_x^t g(s) du(s), \quad t \in (x, b],$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , and  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $g$  is *positive* continuous and  $u$  is monotonic increasing on  $[a, b]$  then the Riemann–Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b g(s) du(s)$  exists and *positive*. Also, since the derivative of the monotonic increasing function  $u$  is always positive, so that  $(gu')(t) > 0$  a.e., it follows that,  $p'(t), q'(t) > 0$ , almost everywhere on their corresponding domains. Therefore, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [a, x]} \{K_{g, u}(t; x)\} = \max \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \int_a^x g(s) du(s), \alpha \int_a^x g(s) du(s) \right\} \\ = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \int_a^x g(s) du(s),$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in (x, b]} \{K_{g, u}(t; x)\} = \max \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \int_x^b g(s) du(s), \alpha \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right\} \\ = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \int_x^b g(s) du(s).$$

Thus

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \{K_{g, u}(t; x)\} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \max \left\{ \int_a^x g(s) du(s), \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right\} \\ = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(s) du(s) + \left| \int_a^x g(s) du(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(s) du(s) \right| \right].$$

Therefore, by (2.3) and (2.4) we get (2.1). To prove that the constant  $\frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right|$  is best possible for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , take  $u(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and therefore, we refer to (1.3). Thus, the sharpness follows from (1.3), (consider  $f$  and  $g$  to be defined as in [20]). Hence, the proof is established and we shall omit the details.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *In Theorem 2, choose  $\alpha = 0$ , then we get*

$$(2.5) \quad \left| f(x) \int_a^b g(t) du(t) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) + \left| \int_a^x g(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

*A general weighted version of the above Ostrowski inequality for  $\mathcal{RS}$  integrals, may be deduced as follows:*

$$(2.6) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{\int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t)}{\int_a^b g(t) du(t)} \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{\int_a^x g(t) du(t)}{\int_a^b g(t) du(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

*provided that  $g(t) \geq 0$ , for almost every  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b g(t) du(t) \neq 0$ .*

**Remark 1.** *Choosing  $\alpha = 1$  in (2.1), then we get*

$$(2.7) \quad \left| f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) + \left| \int_a^x g(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g(t) du(t) \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f),$$

*which is ‘the generalized trapezoid inequality for  $\mathcal{RS}$ -integrals’*

**Corollary 2.** *In Theorem 2, let  $g(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then, we have the inequality*

$$(2.8) \quad |\alpha [(u(x) - u(a)) f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b)] \\ + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

*The constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible.*

*For instance,*

- *If  $\alpha = 0$ , then we get*

$$(2.9) \quad \left| [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

• If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ , then we get

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{1}{3} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + 2[u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

• If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then we get

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

• If  $\alpha = 1$ , then we get

$$(2.12) \quad \left| [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

*Proof.* The results follow by Theorem 2. It remains to prove the sharpness of (2.8). Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , assume that (2.8) holds with constant  $C_1 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(2.13) \quad |\alpha [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b)| \\ + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \Big| \\ \leq C_1 \cdot \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows  $u(t) = t$  and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [a, b] \setminus \left\{ \frac{a+b}{2} \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = \frac{a+b}{2} \end{cases},$$

which follows that  $\bigvee_a^b(f) = 1$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  it gives by (2.13)

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(b - a)}{2} \leq C_1 \frac{(b - a)}{2}.$$

which proves that  $C_1 \geq 1 - \alpha$ , and therefore  $1 - \alpha$  is the best possible for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, suppose  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and assume that (2.8) holds with constant  $C_2 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \alpha [(u(x) - u(a))f(a) + (u(b) - u(x))f(b)] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)]f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq C_2 \cdot \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u(x) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows  $u(t) = t$  and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (a, b] \\ 1, & t = a \end{cases},$$

which follows that  $\bigvee_a^b(f) = 1$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  it gives by (2.14)

$$\alpha \frac{(b-a)}{2} \leq C_2 \frac{(b-a)}{2}.$$

which proves that  $C_2 \geq \alpha$ , and therefore  $\alpha$  is the best possible for all  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Consequently, we can conclude that the constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible, for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *In (2.10), setting  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  then we have the following Simpson type inequality for Riemann–Stieltjes integral:*

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left[ u \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - u(a) \right] f(a) + 2 [u(b) - u(a)] f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left[ u(b) - u \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] f(b) \right\} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \left| u \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{u(a) + u(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

The constant  $\frac{2}{3}$  is the best possible.

**Remark 2.** *For recent three-point quadrature rules and related inequalities regarding Riemann–Stieltjes integrals, the reader may refer to the work [3].*

**Corollary 4.** *In (2.8), let  $u(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , then we get*

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \alpha ((x-a)f(a) + (b-x)f(b)) + (1-\alpha)(b-a)f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$



For  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , we have

$$(2.17) \quad \left| (b-a) \left[ \alpha \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} + (1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \frac{(b-a)}{2} \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

**Remark 3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, a weighted generalization of Montgomery's type identity for Riemann–Stieltjes integrals may be deduced as follows:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\int_a^b g(s) du(s)} \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t;x) df(t) + \frac{1}{\int_a^b g(s) du(s)} \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t),$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , where

$$K_{g,u}(t;x) := \begin{cases} \int_a^t g(s) du(s), & t \in [a, x] \\ \int_b^t g(s) du(s), & t \in (x, b] \end{cases}.$$

Provided that  $\int_a^b g(s) du(s) \neq 0$ .

### 3. ON $L$ -LIPSCHITZ INTEGRATORS

**Theorem 3.** Let  $g$  be as in Theorem 2. Let  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $L$ -Lipschitzian on  $[a, b]$ , then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (1-\alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq L \cdot \max \left\{ (x-a) \cdot \sup_{t \in [a,x]} \{M(t)\}, (b-x) \cdot \sup_{t \in [x,b]} \{N(t)\} \right\} \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u)$$

where,

$$M(t) := \max \left\{ (1-\alpha) \sup_{s \in [a,t]} |g(s)|, \alpha \sup_{s \in [t,x]} |g(s)| \right\},$$

and

$$N(t) := \max \left\{ (1-\alpha) \sup_{s \in [t,b]} |g(s)|, \alpha \sup_{s \in [t,x]} |g(s)| \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) \\ & \quad - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \\ & = \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that for a Riemann integrable function  $p : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $L$ -Lipschitzian function  $\nu : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the inequality one has the inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \int_c^d p(t) d\nu(t) \right| \leq L \int_c^d |p(t)| dt.$$

As  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz mapping on  $[a, b]$ , by (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t) \right| \leq L \int_a^b |K_{g,u}(t; x)| dt \\ (3.3) \quad & = L \left[ \int_a^x |p(t)| dt + \int_x^b |q(t)| dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

However, as  $u$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and  $g$  is continuous, by (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t)| & \leq (1 - \alpha) \left| \int_a^t g(s) du(s) \right| + \alpha \left| \int_x^t g(s) du(s) \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) \sup_{s \in [a, t]} |g(s)| \cdot \bigvee_a^t(u) + \alpha \sup_{s \in [t, x]} |g(s)| \cdot \bigvee_t^x(u) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \sup_{s \in [a, t]} |g(s)|, \alpha \sup_{s \in [t, x]} |g(s)| \right\} \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) \\ (3.4) \quad & := M(t) \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |q(t)| & \leq \max \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \sup_{s \in [t, b]} |g(s)|, \alpha \sup_{s \in [t, x]} |g(s)| \right\} \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \\ (3.5) \quad & := N(t) \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.3)–(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t) \right| &\leq L \left[ \int_a^x |p(t)| dt + \int_x^b |q(t)| dt \right] \\
&\leq L \left[ \left( \int_a^x M(t) dt \right) \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + \left( \int_x^b N(t) dt \right) \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \right] \\
&\leq L \left[ (x-a) \cdot \sup_{t \in [a,x]} \{M(t)\} \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + (b-x) \cdot \sup_{t \in [x,b]} \{N(t)\} \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \right] \\
&\leq L \cdot \max \left\{ (x-a) \cdot \sup_{t \in [a,x]} \{M(t)\}, (b-x) \cdot \sup_{t \in [x,b]} \{N(t)\} \right\} \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the result.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** In Theorem 3, if  $g(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then  $M(t) = N(t) = [\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$ , for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .

**Corollary 5.** In Theorem 3, let  $g(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \left| \alpha [(u(x) - u(a)) f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b)] \right. \\
& \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\
& \leq L \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

The constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible.

For instance,

- If  $\alpha = 0$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & \left| [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\
& \leq L \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

- If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{3} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + 2[u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\
& \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} L \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

- If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then we get

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

- If  $\alpha = 1$ , then we get

$$(3.10) \quad \left| [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq L \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

*Proof.* The results follow by Theorem 3. It remains to prove the sharpness of (3.6). Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , assume that (3.6) holds with constant  $C_1 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \alpha [(u(x) - u(a)) f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b)] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq LC_1 \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows  $f(t) = t - b$  and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [a, b) \\ 1, & t = b \end{cases},$$

Therefore,  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz with  $L = 1$  and  $\bigvee_a^b(u) = 1$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  it gives by (3.11)

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{(b-a)}{2} \leq C_1 \frac{(b-a)}{2}.$$

which proves that  $C_1 \geq 1 - \alpha$ , and therefore  $1 - \alpha$  is the best possible for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, suppose  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and assume that (3.6) holds with constant  $C_2 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \alpha [(u(x) - u(a)) f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b)] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq LC_2 \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows  $f(t) = t - a$  and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\frac{a+b}{2}\} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = \frac{a+b}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore,  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz with  $L = 1$  and  $\bigvee_a^b(u) = 1$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  it gives by (3.12)

$$\alpha \frac{(b-a)}{2} \leq C_2 \frac{(b-a)}{2}.$$

which proves that  $C_2 \geq \alpha$ , and therefore  $\alpha$  is the best possible for all  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Consequently, we can conclude that the constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible, for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *In (3.8), choosing  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , then we have the following Simpson's type inequality for  $\mathcal{RS}$ -integrals:*

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left[ u \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - u(a) \right] f(a) + 2[u(b) - u(a)] f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) + \left[ u(b) - u \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] f(b) \right\} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} L (b-a) \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{3}$  is the best possible.

**Corollary 7.** *In (3.6), let  $u(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , then we get*

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \alpha((x-a)f(a) + (b-x)f(b)) + (1-\alpha)(b-a)f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq L(b-a) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{b-a}{2} + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right].$$

For  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , we have

$$(3.15) \quad \left| (b-a) \left[ \alpha \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + (1-\alpha) f \left( \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq L \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}.$$

## 4. ON MONOTONIC NONDECREASING INTEGRATORS

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $g, u$  be as in Theorem 3. If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ , then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \sup_{t \in [a, x]} \{M(t)\} \cdot [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + \sup_{t \in [x, b]} \{N(t)\} \cdot [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u)$$

where,  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$  are defined in Theorem 3.

*Proof.* Using the identity

$$\alpha \left[ f(a) \int_a^x g(s) du(s) + f(b) \int_x^b g(s) du(s) \right] + (1 - \alpha) f(x) \int_a^b g(s) du(s) \\ - \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) \\ = \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t).$$

It is well-known that for a monotonic non-decreasing function  $\nu : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and continuous function  $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , one has the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b p(t) d\nu(t) \right| \leq \int_a^b |p(t)| d\nu(t).$$

As  $f$  is monotonic non-decreasing on  $[a, b]$ , by (4.2) we have

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t) \right| \leq \int_a^b |K_{g,u}(t; x)| df(t) \\ = \int_a^x |p(t)| df(t) + \int_x^b |q(t)| df(t)$$

Now, as  $u$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and  $g$  is continuous, by (3.4)–(3.5) we have

$$(4.4) \quad |p(t)| \leq M(t) \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u), \quad |q(t)| \leq N(t) \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u)$$

Thus, by (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_a^b K_{g,u}(t; x) df(t) \right| \\
& \leq \int_a^x |p(t)| df(t) + \int_x^b |q(t)| df(t) \\
& \leq \left( \int_a^x M(t) df(t) \right) \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + \left( \int_x^b N(t) df(t) \right) \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \\
& \leq \sup_{t \in [a,x]} \{M(t)\} \cdot [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + \sup_{t \in [x,b]} \{N(t)\} \cdot [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *In Theorem 4, let  $g(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then, we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & \left| \alpha [(u(x) - u(a))f(a) + (u(b) - u(x))f(b)] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(b) - u(a)]f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\
& \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left\{ [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \right\} \\
& \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, the constant  $\left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right]$  is the best possible.

For instance,

- If  $\alpha = 0$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & \left| [u(b) - u(a)]f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\
& \leq [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \\
& \leq \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

- If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ , then we get

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \frac{1}{3} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + 2 [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{2}{3} \left\{ [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \right\} \\ \leq \frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

- If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then we get

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) \} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \right\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

- If  $\alpha = 1$ , then we get

$$(4.9) \quad \left| [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq [f(x) - f(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^x(u) + [f(b) - f(x)] \cdot \bigvee_x^b(u) \\ \leq \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

*Proof.* The results follow by Theorem 4. It remains to prove the sharpness of (4.5). Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , assume that (4.5) holds with constant  $C_1 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(4.10) \quad |\alpha [ (u(x) - u(a)) f(a) + (u(b) - u(x)) f(b) ] \\ + (1 - \alpha) [ u(b) - u(a) ] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) | \\ \leq C_1 \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u).$$



Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -1, & t = a \\ 0, & t = (a, b] \end{cases},$$

and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [a, b) \\ 1, & t = b \end{cases},$$

Therefore,  $f$  is monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$  and  $\bigvee_a^b(u) = 1$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = a$  it gives by (4.10) that  $1 - \alpha \leq C_1$ , and which proves that  $1 - \alpha$  is the best possible for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, suppose  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and assume that (4.5) holds with constant  $C_2 > 0$ , i.e.,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\alpha[(u(x) - u(a))f(a) + (u(b) - u(x))f(b)] \\ & + (1 - \alpha)[u(b) - u(a)]f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)| \\ & \leq C_2 \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f(t)$  as above, and  $u(t) = t$ , which follows that  $\bigvee_a^b(u) = b - a$ , and  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = 0$ , setting  $x = b$  it gives by (4.11)  $\alpha \leq C_2$ , and therefore  $\alpha$  is the best possible for all  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Consequently, we can conclude that the constant  $[\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} - \alpha|]$  is the best possible, for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *In (4.7), choosing  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , then we have the following Simpson's type inequality for  $\mathcal{RS}$ -integrals:*

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left[ u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - u(a) \right] f(a) + 2[u(b) - u(a)] f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left[ u(b) - u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] f(b) \right\} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \left[ f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - f(a) \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) + \left[ f(b) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \cdot \bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b(u) \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(u). \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, the constant  $\frac{2}{3}$  is the best possible.

**Corollary 10.** *In (4.5), let  $u(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ , then we get*

$$(4.13) \quad \left| \alpha((x-a)f(a) + (b-x)f(b)) + (1-\alpha)(b-a)f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \{(x-a) \cdot [f(x) - f(a)] + (b-x) \cdot [f(b) - f(x)]\} \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f(x) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot (b-a).$$

For  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ , we have

$$(4.14) \quad \left| (b-a) \left[ \alpha \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + (1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot [f(b) - f(a)] \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{2} + \left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot (b-a).$$

**Remark 5.** *We give an attention to the interested reader, is that, in Theorems 2–4, one may observe various new inequalities by replacing the assumptions on  $u$ , e.g. to be of bounded variation,  $L_u$ -Lipschitz or monotonic nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ , which therefore gives in some cases the ‘dual’ of the above obtained inequalities.*

*It remains to mention that, in Theorem 3, and according to the assumptions on  $u$  one may observe several estimations for the functions  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  which therefore gives different functions  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$ .*

**Remark 6.** *In Theorems 2–4, a different result(s) in terms of  $L_p$  norms may be stated by applying the well-known Hölder integral inequality, by noting that*

$$\left| \int_c^d g(s) du(s) \right| \leq \sqrt[q]{u(d) - u(c)} \times \sqrt[p]{\int_c^d |g(s)|^p du(s)}.$$

where,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

**Remark 7.** *One can point out some results for the Riemann integral of a product, in terms of  $L_1$ ,  $L_p$  and  $L_\infty$  norms by using a similar argument considered in [13] (see also [1]–[2]).*

#### 5. APPLICATIONS TO OSTROWSKI–GENERALIZED TRAPEZOID QUADRATURE FORMULA FOR $\mathcal{RS}$ -INTEGRALS

Let  $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$  be a division of the interval  $[a, b]$ . Define the general Riemann–Stieltjes sum

$$S(f, u, I_n, \xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha \{ [u(\xi_i) - u(x_i)] f(x_i) + [u(x_{i+1}) - u(\xi_i)] f(x_{i+1}) \} \\ + (1-\alpha) [u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)] f(\xi_i)$$

In the following, we establish an upper bound for the error approximation of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$  by its Riemann-Stieltjes sum  $S(f, u, I_n, \xi)$ . As a sample we apply the inequality (2.8).

**Theorem 5.** *Under the assumptions of Corollary 2, we have*

$$\int_a^b f(t) du(t) = S(f, u, I_n, \xi) + R(f, u, I_n, \xi)$$

where,  $S(f, u, I_n, \xi)$  is given in (5.1) and the remainder  $R(f, u, I_n, \xi)$  satisfies the bound

$$(5.1) \quad |R(f, u, I_n, \xi)| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] [u(b) - u(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

*Proof.* Applying Corollary 2 on the intervals  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ , we may state that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha \{ [u(\xi_i) - u(x_i)] f(x_i) + [u(x_{i+1}) - u(\xi_i)] f(x_{i+1}) \} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)] f(\xi_i) - \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(t) du(t) \right| \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \left[ \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{2} + \left| u(\xi_i) - \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Summing the above inequality over  $i$  from 0 to  $n-1$  and using the generalized triangle inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & |R(f, u, I_n, \xi)| \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \alpha \{ [u(\xi_i) - u(x_i)] f(x_i) + [u(x_{i+1}) - u(\xi_i)] f(x_{i+1}) \} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - \alpha) [u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)] f(\xi_i) - \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(t) du(t) \right| \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{2} + \left| u(\xi_i) - \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| u(\xi_i) - \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] \left[ \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2} + \sup_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| u(\xi_i) - \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f) \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right| \right] [u(b) - u(a)] \cdot \bigvee_a^b(f). \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\sup_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| u(\xi_i) - \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \sup_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{2} = \frac{u(b) - u(a)}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}(f) = \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** *One may use the remaining inequalities in Section 2, to obtain other bounds for  $R(f, u, I_n, \xi)$ . We shall omit the details.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.W. Alomari, A companion of Ostrowski's inequality for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ , where  $f$  is of  $r$ - $H$ -Hölder type and  $u$  is of bounded variation and applications, submitted. Avalibale at [<http://ajmaa.org/RGMIA/papers/v14/v14a59.pdf>].
- [2] M.W. Alomari, A companion of Ostrowski's inequality for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ , where  $f$  is of bounded variation and  $u$  is of  $r$ - $H$ -Hölder type and applications, submitted. Avalibale at [<http://ajmaa.org/RGMIA/papers/v14/v14a59.pdf>].
- [3] M.W. Alomari, A three point quadrature rule for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with applications, in preparation.
- [4] G.A. Anastassiou, Univariate Ostrowski inequalities, *Monatsh. Math.*, 135 (3) (2002) 175–189. Revisited.
- [5] N.S. Barnett, S.S. Dragomir and I. Gomma, A companion for the Ostrowski and the generalised trapezoid inequalities, *Math. and Comp. Mode.*, 50 (2009), 179–187.
- [6] N.S. Barnett, W.-S. Cheung, S.S. Dragomir, A. Sofo, Ostrowski and trapezoid type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral with Lipschitzian integrands or integrators, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 57 (2009), 195–201.
- [7] P. Cerone, W.S. Cheung, S.S. Dragomir, On Ostrowski type inequalities for Stieltjes integrals with absolutely continuous integrands and integrators of bounded variation, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 54 (2007), 183–191.
- [8] P. Cerone, S.S. Dragomir, New bounds for the three-point rule involving the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, in: C. Gulati, et al. (Eds.), *Advances in Statistics Combinatorics and Related Areas*, World Science Publishing, 2002, pp. 53–62.
- [9] P. Cerone, S.S. Dragomir, Approximating the Riemann-Stieltjes integral via some moments of the integrand, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 49 (2009), 242–248.
- [10] P. Cerone, S.S. Dragomir, C.E.M. Pearce, A generalized trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation, *Turk. J. Math.*, 24 (2000), 147–163.
- [11] W.-S. Cheung, S.S. Dragomir, Two Ostrowski type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral of monotonic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 75 (2007), 299–311.
- [12] S.S. Dragomir, Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 60 (1999) 495–508.
- [13] S.S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(t)du(t)$  where  $f$  is of Hölder type and  $u$  is of bounded variation and applications, *J. KSIAM*, 5 (2001), 35–45.
- [14] S.S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications, *Korean J. Comput. & Appl. Math.*, 7 (2000), 611–627.
- [15] S.S. Dragomir, C. Buşe, M.V. Boldea, L. Braescu, A generalisation of the trapezoid rule for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum*, 6 (2) (2001) 33–351.

- [16] S.S. Dragomir, Some inequalities of midpoint and trapezoid type for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 47 (4) (2001), 2333–2340.
- [17] S.S. Dragomir, Refinements of the generalised trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities for functions of bounded variation, *Arch. Math.*, 91 (2008), 450–460
- [18] S.S. Dragomir, Approximating the Riemann–Stieltjes integral in terms of generalised trapezoidal rules, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 71 (2009), e62–e72.
- [19] S.S. Dragomir, Approximating the Riemann–Stieltjes integral by a trapezoidal quadrature rule with applications, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 54 (2011), 243–260.
- [20] Z. Liu, Another generalization of weighted Ostrowski type inequality for mappings of bounded variation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012) 393–397.
- [21] W.-J. Liu, Some weighted integral inequalities with a parameter and applications, *Acta Appl Math.*, 109 (2010), 389–400.
- [22] K.L. Tseng, S.R. Hwang, S.S. Dragomir, Generalizations of weighted Ostrowski type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation and their applications, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 55 (2008) 1785–1793.
- [23] K.L. Tseng, Improvements of some inequalities of Ostrowski type and their applications, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 12(9)(2008), 2427–2441.
- [24] K.L. Tseng, S.R. Hwang, G.S. Yang and Y.M. Chou, Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation I, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 217 (2010) 2348–2355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, JERASH UNIVERSITY, 26150 JERASH,  
JORDAN

*E-mail address:* mwomath@gmail.com