

**SOME GRÜSS' TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR TRACE OF
OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES**

S. S. DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Some inequalities of Grüss' type for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, under suitable assumptions for the involved operators, are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1935, G. Grüss [31] proved the following integral inequality which gives an approximation of the integral mean of the product in terms of the product of the integrals means as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \phi) (\Gamma - \gamma),$$

where $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable on $[a, b]$ and satisfy the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \phi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma$$

for each $x \in [a, b]$, where $\phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma$ are given real constants.

Moreover, the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

In 1950, M. Biernacki, H. Pidek and C. Ryll-Nardjewski [38, Chapter X] established the following discrete version of Grüss' inequality:

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two n -tuples of real numbers such that $r \leq a_i \leq R$ and $s \leq b_i \leq S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then one has

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right) (R - r) (S - s),$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x , $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For a simple proof of (1.1) as well as for some other integral inequalities of Grüss type, see Chapter X of the book [38].

For other related results see the papers [1]-[3], [8]-[10], [11]-[13], [17]-[24], [29], [40], [50] and the references therein.

In [18], in order to generalize the above result in abstract structures the author has proved the following Grüss' type inequality in real or complex inner product spaces.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Trace class operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, Trace, Grüss' Type Inequalities, Trace inequalities for matrices,

Theorem 1 (Dragomir, 1999, [18]). *Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space over \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) and $e \in H, \|e\| = 1$. If $\varphi, \gamma, \Phi, \Gamma$ are real or complex numbers and x, y are vectors in H such that the conditions*

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi e - x, x - \varphi e \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \langle \Gamma e - y, y - \gamma e \rangle \geq 0$$

hold, then we have the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad |\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Phi - \varphi| |\Gamma - \gamma|.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced by a smaller constant.

For other results of this type, see the recent monograph [21] and the references therein.

Let A be a selfadjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. The *Gelfand map* establishes a $*$ -isometrically isomorphism Φ between the set $C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$ of all *continuous functions* defined on the *spectrum* of A , denoted $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$, and the C^* -algebra $C^*(A)$ generated by A and the identity operator 1_H on H as follows:

For any $f, g \in C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

- (i) $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \Phi(f) + \beta \Phi(g)$;
- (ii) $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(f) = \Phi(f)^*$;
- (iii) $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)} |f(t)|$;
- (iv) $\Phi(f_0) = 1_H$ and $\Phi(f_1) = A$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$, for $t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)$.

With this notation we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f) \text{ for all } f \in C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$$

and we call it the *continuous functional calculus* for a selfadjoint operator A .

If A is a selfadjoint operator and f is a real valued continuous function on $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$, then $f(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)$ implies that $f(A) \geq 0$, *i.e.* $f(A)$ is a positive operator on H . Moreover, if both f and g are real valued functions on $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ then the following important property holds:

$$(P) \quad f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for any } t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A) \text{ implies that } f(A) \geq g(A)$$

in the operator order of $B(H)$.

In the recent paper [26], we obtained amongst other the following refinement of the Grüss inequality:

Theorem 2 (Dragomir, 2009, [26]). *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and assume that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars $m < M$. If f and g are continuous on $[m, M]$ and $\gamma := \min_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$ and $\Gamma := \max_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$ then*

$$(1.6) \quad |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[\|g(A)x\|^2 - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma - \gamma) (\Delta - \delta)$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$, where $\delta := \min_{t \in [m, M]} g(t)$ and $\Delta := \max_{t \in [m, M]} g(t)$.

In order to state some Grüss' type inequalities for trace operators on complex Hilbert spaces we need some preparations as follows.

2. SOME FACTS ON TRACE OF OPERATORS

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well known that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^*f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (2.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(2.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . This definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A|x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (2.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 3. *We have*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(2.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^*Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(2.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and

$$(2.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$;

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H);$$

(iv) $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$, the space of operators of finite rank, is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$;

(v) $\mathcal{B}_2(H) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the algebra of compact operators on H .

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$(2.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$;
- (ii) A (or $|A|$) is the product of two elements of $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 4. *With the above notations:*

- (i) We have

$$(2.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

- (ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

- (iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

- (iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\| \leq 1 \};$$

- (v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

- (iv) We have the following isometric isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}_1(H) \cong K(H)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_1(H)^* \cong \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where $K(H)^*$ is the dual space of $K(H)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(H)^*$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(2.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (2.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 5. *We have*

- (i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

- (ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(2.11) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

- (iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;

- (iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$;

- (v) $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

Utilising the trace notation we obviously have that

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \operatorname{tr}(B^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^*A) = \operatorname{tr}\left(|A|^2\right)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following Hölder's type inequality has been obtained by Ruskai in [42]

$$(2.12) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq \operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(|A|^{1/\alpha}\right) \right]^\alpha \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(|B|^{1/(1-\alpha)}\right) \right]^{1-\alpha}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $|A|^{1/\alpha}, |B|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$.

In particular, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get the Schwarz inequality

$$(2.13) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq \operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(|A|^2\right) \right]^{1/2} \left[\operatorname{tr}\left(|B|^2\right) \right]^{1/2}$$

with $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [45].

For some classical trace inequalities see [14], [16], [39] and [49], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [5]. For related works the reader can refer to [4], [6], [14], [30], [33], [34], [36], [43] and [46].

3. SOME GRÜSS' TYPE TRACE INEQUALITIES

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1^+(H) := \{P : P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \text{ and } P \geq 0\}$.

We have the following result:

Theorem 6. *For any $A, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm.

Proof. We observe that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left[P(A - \lambda 1_H) \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left[PA \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{\lambda}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the modulus in (3.2) and utilizing the properties of the trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \left| \operatorname{tr} \left[P(A - \lambda 1_H) \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \left| \operatorname{tr} \left[(A - \lambda 1_H) \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right] \right| \\
&\leq \|A - \lambda 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where for the last inequality we used the inequality (2.11).

Utilising Schwarz's inequality (2.13) we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} P^{1/2} \right| \right) \\
&\leq \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} [\operatorname{tr}(P)]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right|^2 \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(\left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right)^* \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(P^{1/2} \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right)^* \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right)^* \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(C^* - \frac{\overline{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left[\left(|C|^2 - \frac{\overline{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} C^* + \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 1_H \right) P \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right) \operatorname{tr}(P).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \leq \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \operatorname{tr}(P)$$

and by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Taking the infimum over $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ in (3.6) we get the desired result (3.1). \square

Corollary 1. *For any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad 0 & \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \\
& \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\|^2.$$

Proof. If we take in (3.1) $A = C^*$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C^* - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C^* - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which is clearly equivalent to (3.7).

The inequality (3.8) follows from the inequality between the second and fourth term in (3.7). \square

Corollary 2. For any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right| \\ \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Following [27], for the complex numbers α, β and the bounded linear operator T we define the following transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T) := (T^* - \bar{\alpha}I)(\beta I - T),$$

where by T^* we denote the adjoint of T .

We list some properties of the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot)$ that are useful in the following:

(i) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in B(H)$ we have:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(I) = (1 - \bar{\alpha})(\beta - 1)I, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \alpha}(T) = -(\alpha I - T)^*(\alpha I - T),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(\gamma T) = |\gamma|^2 \mathcal{C}_{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}}(T) \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$[\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)]^* = \mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}}(T^*) - \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T) = T^*T - TT^*.$$

(ii) The operator $T \in B(H)$ is normal if and only if $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}}(T^*) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

We recall that a bounded linear operator T on the complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called *accretive* if $\operatorname{Re} \langle Ty, y \rangle \geq 0$ for any $y \in H$.

Utilizing the following identity

$$(3.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)x, x \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T)x, x \rangle \\ = \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 - \left\| \left(T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} I \right) x \right\|^2$$

that holds for any scalars α, β and any vector $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$ we can give a simple characterization result that is useful in the following:

Lemma 1. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in B(H)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ (or, equivalently $\mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T)$) is accretive;
- (ii) The transform $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(T^*)$ (or, equivalently $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}}(T^*)$) is accretive;
- (iii) We have the norm inequality

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| T^* - \frac{\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|.$$

Remark 1. In order to give examples of operators $T \in B(H)$ and numbers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ is accretive, it suffices to select a bounded linear operator S and the complex numbers z, w with the property that $\|S - zI\| \leq |w|$ and, by choosing $T = S$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(z + w)$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(z - w)$ we observe that T satisfies (3.11), i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ is accretive.

Corollary 3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A \in B(H)$ such that the transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is accretive, or, equivalently

$$\left\| A - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|.$$

For any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is such that $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(C)$ is accretive, then

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following Grüss type inequality:

Corollary 4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A, C \in B(H)$ such that the transforms $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma, \delta}(C)$ are accretive. Then for any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality

$$(3.16) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta|.$$

Remark 2. In the case when A is a selfadjoint operator and $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$ for some real numbers $m < M$, then

$$\left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m)1_H,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| A - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m).$$

Then by (3.13) we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If C is a selfadjoint operator and $k1_H \leq C \leq K1_H$ for some real numbers $k < K$, then

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(K-k)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

We have the following Grüss type inequality

$$(3.19) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(K-k)$$

provided that $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$ and $k1_H \leq C \leq K1_H$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of all square matrices of order n with complex elements and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Hermitian matrix such that $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ for some scalars m, M with $m < M$. Then for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(AC)}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{n} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(C)}{n} \right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(C)}{n}I_n \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2)}{n} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(C)}{n} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where I_n is the identity matrix in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

If C is a Hermitian matrix such that $\text{Sp}(C) \subseteq [k, K]$ for some scalars k, K with $k < K$, then

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(C^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left(\left| C - \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n} 1_H \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \left[\frac{\text{tr}(C^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(K-k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when the operator A is a function of selfadjoint operators we have the following result as well.

Theorem 7. *Let S be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$ and $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a continuous function of bounded variation on $[m, M]$. For any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ we have the inequality*

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \left[\frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bigvee_m^M(f)$ is the total variation of f on the interval.

If the function $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$ on $[m, M]$, i.e.

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq L|t - s|$$

for any $t, s \in [m, M]$, then

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ &\leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ &\leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[\frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. From the inequality (3.3) we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ &\leq \|f(S) - \lambda 1_H\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

From (3.24) we get

$$(3.25) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ \leq \left\| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right).$$

Since f is of bounded variation on $[m, M]$, then we have

$$(3.26) \quad \left| f(t) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{f(t) - f(m) + f(t) - f(M)}{2} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} [|f(t) - f(m)| + |f(M) - f(t)|] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f)$$

for any $t \in [m, M]$.

From (3.26) we get in the order $\mathcal{B}(H)$ that

$$\left| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) 1_H,$$

which implies that

$$(3.27) \quad \left\| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) 1_H.$$

Making use of (3.25) and (3.27) we get the first inequality (3.22). The second part is obvious.

From (3.24) we have

$$(3.28) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ \leq \left\| f(S) - f \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(SP)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right) 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right)$$

any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

Since

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq L|t - s|$$

for any $t, s \in [m, M]$, then we have in the order $\mathcal{B}(H)$ that

$$|f(S) - f(s) 1_H| \leq L|S - s 1_H|$$

for any $s \in [m, M]$. In particular, we have

$$\left| f(S) - f \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(SP)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right) 1_H \right| \leq L \left| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(SP)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right|,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| f(S) - f \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(SP)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right) 1_H \right\| \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(SP)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\|$$

and by (3.28) we get the first inequality in (3.23).

The second part is obvious. \square

Remark 3. If we take $f(t) = t$ in (3.22), then we get the inequality (3.17) while from (3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.29) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PSC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

The case of selfadjoint operators C is as follows:

Corollary 5. Let S be a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$ and $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a continuous function of bounded variation on $[m, M]$. If C is selfadjoint with $\operatorname{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$ for some real numbers $n < N$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, then we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.30) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (M - n) \bigvee_m^M(f).
\end{aligned}$$

If the function $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$ on $[m, M]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.31) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Pf(S))}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - n) L \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

4. SOME EXAMPLES

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function $f : [m, M] \subset [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $f(t) = t^r$, $r > 0$, then we get

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r) \operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r) \operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \Delta_r \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \Delta_r \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$, any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$, where

$$\Delta_r := \begin{cases} rM^{r-1} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ rm^{r-1} & \text{if } r \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

If C is selfadjoint with $\operatorname{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$ for some real numbers $n < N$ then from (4.1) and (4.2) we get the power inequalities

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r) \operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (M^r - m^r) (N - n)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r) \operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta_r (N - n) \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\|.$$

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function $f : [m, M] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = \ln t$, then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S) \operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P) \operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$, any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

If C is selfadjoint with $\operatorname{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$ for some real numbers $n < N$ then from (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (N - n) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{N - n}{2m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\|.$$

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function $f : [m, M] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = t^{-1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$, any $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

If C is selfadjoint with $\operatorname{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$ for some real numbers $n < N$ then from (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$(4.11) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{M - m}{mM} (N - n)$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{N-n}{2m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\|.$$

Now, if we take $C = S$ in (4.1), then we get

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{r+1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^r)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M^r - m^r) (M - m), \end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset [0, \infty)$ and any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

Also, if we take $C = S$ in (4.5), then we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M - m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ and any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

Finally, if we take $C = S$ in (4.9), then we get

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M-m}{mM} \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left| \left(S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M-m}{mM} \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(M-m)^2}{mM}, \end{aligned}$$

for any S a selfadjoint operator with $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ and any $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$.

From the first and last terms in (4.15) we get the Kantorovich type inequality

$$1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(M+m)^2}{mM}.$$

We notice that, the positivity of the first terms in (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) follows from the Čebyšev's type trace inequality obtained in [28].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12** (2007), no. 4, 583–593.
- [2] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type and comparison of integral means inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Panamer. Math. J.* **17** (2007), no. 3, 91–109.
- [3] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities. *Math. Comput. Modelling* **45** (2007), no. 9-10, 1189–1200
- [4] T. Ando, Matrix Young inequalities, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **75** (1995), 33–38.
- [5] R. Bellman, Some inequalities for positive definite matrices, in: E.F. Beckenbach (Ed.), *General Inequalities 2*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on General Inequalities, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980, pp. 89–90.
- [6] E. V. Belmega, M. Jungers and S. Lasaulce, A generalization of a trace inequality for positive definite matrices. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 26, 5 pp.
- [7] N. G. de Bruijn, Problem 12, *Wisk. Opgaven*, **21** (1960), 12-14.
- [8] P. Cerone, On some results involving the Čebyšev functional and its generalisations. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (2003), no. 3, Article 55, 17 pp.
- [9] P. Cerone, On Chebyshev functional bounds. *Differential & difference equations and applications*, 267–277, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2006.
- [10] P. Cerone, On a Čebyšev-type functional and Grüss-like bounds. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **9** (2006), no. 1, 87–102.
- [11] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications. *Tamkang J. Math.* **38** (2007), no. 1, 37–49.
- [12] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, New bounds for the Čebyšev functional. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005), no. 6, 603–611.
- [13] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Chebychev functional bounds using Ostrowski seminorms. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **28** (2004), no. 2, 219–228.
- [14] D. Chang, A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237** (1999) 721–725.
- [15] L. Chen and C. Wong, Inequalities for singular values and traces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **171** (1992), 109–120.
- [16] I. D. Coop, On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994) 999–1001.
- [17] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss inequality in inner product spaces, *The Australian Math Soc. Gazette*, **26** (1999), No. 2, 66-70.
- [18] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **237** (1999), 74-82.
- [19] S. S. Dragomir, Some discrete inequalities of Grüss type and applications in guessing theory, *Honam Math. J.*, **21**(1) (1999), 145-156.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Grüss type, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **31**(4) (2000), 397-415.
- [21] S. S. Dragomir, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers Inc, New York, 2005, x+249 pp.
- [22] S. S. Dragomir and G.L. Booth, On a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality and its applications for the estimation of p-moments of guessing mappings, *Mathematical Communications*, **5** (2000), 117-126.
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type integral inequality for mappings of r -Hölder's type and applications for trapezoid formula, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **31**(1) (2000), 43-47.
- [24] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss' type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **29**(4)(1998), 286-292.
- [25] S. S. Dragomir, Čebyšev's type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **58** (2010), no. 7-8, 805–814. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11**(2008), Supp. Art. 9. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]

- [26] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss' type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* No. **28** (2011), 207–224. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **11** (2008), Supp. Art. 11. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]
- [27] S. S. Dragomir, New inequalities of the Kantorovich type for bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), no. 11-12, 2750–2760.
- [28] S. S. Dragomir, Some Čebyšev's type trace inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. .
- [29] A. M. Fink, A treatise on Grüss' inequality, *Analytic and Geometric Inequalities*, 93-113, Math. Appl. 478, Kluwer Academic Publ., 1999.
- [30] S. Furuichi and M. Lin, Refinements of the trace inequality of Belmega, Lasaulce and Debbah. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 23, 4 pp.
- [31] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$, *Math. Z.*, **39**(1935), 215-226.
- [32] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [33] H. D. Lee, On some matrix inequalities, *Korean J. Math.* **16** (2008), No. 4, pp. 565-571.
- [34] L. Liu, A trace class operator inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328** (2007) 1484–1486.
- [35] Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30**(4) (2004), 483-489.
- [36] S. Manjegani, Hölder and Young inequalities for the trace of operators, *Positivity* **11** (2007), 239–250.
- [37] A. Matković, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **418** (2006), no. 2-3, 551–564.
- [38] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [39] H. Neudecker, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **166** (1992) 302–303.
- [40] B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Grüss type inequalities via Cauchy's mean value theorem. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **11** (2008), no. 1, 75–80.
- [41] J. Pečarić, J. Mičić and Y. Seo, Inequalities between operator means based on the Mond-Pečarić method. *Houston J. Math.* **30** (2004), no. 1, 191–207
- [42] M. B. Ruskai, Inequalities for traces on von Neumann algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26**(1972), 280–289.
- [43] K. Shebrawi and H. Albadawi, Operator norm inequalities of Minkowski type, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **9**(1) (2008), 1–10, article 26.
- [44] K. Shebrawi and H. Albadawi, Trace inequalities for matrices, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **87** (2013), 139–148.
- [45] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [46] Z. Ulukök and R. Türkmen, On some matrix trace inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010**, Art. ID 201486, 8 pp.
- [47] X. Yang, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **250** (2000) 372–374.
- [48] X. M. Yang, X. Q. Yang and K. L. Teo, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 327–331.
- [49] Y. Yang, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **133** (1988) 573–574.
- [50] C.-J. Zhao and W.-S. Cheung, On multivariate Grüss inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008**, Art. ID 249438, 8 pp.

¹MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA