

f -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL OF OPERATOR LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

MOHSEN KIAN AND S. S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. We investigate the non-commutative f -divergence functional Θ of operator log-convex functions. In particular, we show that a continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if

$$\Theta(\tilde{A}\nabla\tilde{C}, \tilde{B}\nabla\tilde{D}) \leq (\Theta(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) \nabla \Theta(\tilde{A}, \tilde{D})) \sharp (\Theta(\tilde{C}, \tilde{B}) \nabla \Theta(\tilde{C}, \tilde{D}))$$

for all continuous fields $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\tilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$ of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . Moreover, We define operator φ -convex functions and investigate some of its properties. In particular, we show that this class contains operator convex functions and operator log-convex functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, assume that $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and I denotes the identity operator. An operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive (denoted by $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. If A is positive and invertible, then it is called strictly positive (denoted by $A > 0$). A linear map Φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \geq 0$ and is called unital if $\Phi(I) = I$.

A continuous real function f defined on an interval J is said to be operator convex if

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all self-adjoint operators A, B with spectra contained in J and every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. If $-f$ is operator convex, then f is said to be operator concave. If $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex, then the celebrated Hansen–Pedersen–Jensen operator inequality (see [6]) $f(C^*AC) \leq C^*f(A)C$ holds true for every self-adjoint operator A with spectrum contained in J and every isometry C . Another variant of this inequality, the Choi–Davis–Jensen inequality asserts that f is operator convex if and only if

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A)) \tag{1}$$

for all unital positive linear maps Φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and all self-adjoint operators A with spectrum in J (see e.g. [6]). The reader is referred to [6, 7, 8, 10, 12] and references therein for more information about operator convex functions and the Jensen operator inequality.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A60, 47B15, 47A64, 15A60.

Key words and phrases. Non-commutative f -divergence functional, operator mean, operator log-convex function, operator φ -convex function, perspective function, positive operator.

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be C^* -algebras of Hilbert space operators and T be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a bounded Radon measure μ . A field $(A_t)_{t \in T}$ of operators in \mathfrak{A} is said to be continuous if the function $t \mapsto A_t$ is norm continuous on T . Moreover, if the function $t \mapsto A_t$ is integrable on T , then the Bochner integral $\int_T A_t d\mu(t)$ is defined to be the unique element of \mathfrak{A} for which

$$\rho \left(\int_T A_t d\mu(t) \right) = \int_T \rho(A_t) d\mu(t),$$

for every linear functional ρ in the norm dual \mathfrak{A}^* of \mathfrak{A} .

A field $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ of positive linear mappings is said to be continuous if the function $t \mapsto \Phi_t(A)$ is continuous on T for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. If the C^* -algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are unital and the function $t \mapsto \Phi_t(I)$ is integrable on T with integral I , then we say that the field $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$ is unital.

By the well-known Kubo–Ando theory [9], an operator mean σ is a binary operation on the set of strictly positive operators which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) monotonicity: if $A \leq C$ and $B \leq D$, then $A\sigma B \leq C\sigma D$;
- (2) Transformer inequality: $C(A\sigma B)C \leq (CAC)\sigma(CBC)$.
- (3) Continuity: if A_n and B_n are two decreasing sequences of positive operators which are converging respectively to A and B in the strong operator topology, then $A_n\sigma B_n$ converges to $A\sigma B$.

Kubo and Ando [9] showed that for every operator mean σ there exists an operator monotone function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$A\sigma B = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

for all strictly positive operators A, B . Conversely, they proved that if $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator monotone, the binary operation defined by (2) is an operator mean. Some of the most familiar operator means are $A\nabla B = \frac{A+B}{2}$ (arithmetic mean), $A\sharp B = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (geometric mean) and $A!B = \left(\frac{A^{-1}+B^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1}$ (harmonic mean).

A continuous real function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called operator log-convex if

$$f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sharp f(B) \quad (3)$$

for all positive operators A and B . Inequality (3) was considered by Aujla et. all [3]. The operator arithmetic-geometric mean inequality $f(A)\sharp f(B) \leq \frac{f(A)+f(B)}{2}$ shows that the class of operator log-convex functions contains the class of operator convex functions. The converse is not true. To see this consider $f(x) = x$ [3]. Ando and Hiai [1] established various characterizations for operator log-convex functions. They presented the following result.

Theorem A.[1, Theorem 2.1] Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is operator monotone decreasing;
- (2) f is operator log-convex;

- (3) $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$ for all positive operators A and B and every operator mean σ ;
- (4) $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$ for all positive operators A and B and some operator mean $\sigma \neq \nabla$.

If f is operator convex, then (2) defines [4, 5] the perspective of f denoted by g , i.e.,

$$g(A, B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}} A B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is known that f is operator convex if and only if g is jointly operator convex [4, 5]. A more general version of g , the non-commutative f -divergence functional Θ was defined in [11] to be

$$\Theta(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t),$$

where $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

In Section 2, we study the non-commutative f -divergence functional Θ of operator log-convex functions. In particular, we prove that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if Θ is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

In Section 3, we define operator φ -convex functions, which contains operator convex and operator log-convex functions and give some of their properties. Various examples are also presented. .

2. THE NON-COMMUTATIVE f -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be n -tuples of positive operators on \mathcal{H} . It follows from the jointly operator concavity of the operator geometric mean that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sharp Y_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \sharp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right). \tag{4}$$

This inequality is known as the operator version of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

To achieve our result, we need a more general version of (4). Assume that $(A_t)_{t \in T}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . We can generalize (4) as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *If $(A_t)_{t \in T}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} , then*

$$\int_T (A_t \sharp B_t) d\mu(t) \leq \left(\int_T A_t d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left(\int_T B_t d\mu(t) \right).$$

Proof. Put $A = \int_T A_t d\mu(t)$ and $B = \int_T B_t d\mu(t)$. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T A_t d\mu(t) \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\int_T \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\int_T C_t^* (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) C_t d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ so that $\int_T C_t^* C_t d\mu(t) = I$. It follows from the operator concavity of the function $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_T C_t^* (B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) C_t d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq \int_T C_t^* \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_t d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by the operator Jensen inequality}) \\
&= \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that from (5) and (6) that

$$\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t)\right) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

from which we get the desired result. \square

Now we present a property of the non-commutative f -divergence functional of an operator log-convex function.

Theorem 2.2. *A continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex function if and only if*

$$\Theta \left(\tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \leq \left(\Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \sharp \left(\Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \tag{7}$$

for all continuous fields $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\tilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$ of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. First we show that if f is operator log-convex on $(0, \infty)$, then Θ is operator log-convex in its first variable. Assume that $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\tilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . For every $t \in T$

$$\begin{aligned}
f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) &= f \left(\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \nabla \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\
&\leq f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sharp f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the operator log-convexity of f . Multiplying both sides of (8) by $B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sharp f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9) \\ &= B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \sharp B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the property of (geometric) means. Integrating (9) over T and using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_T \left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \sharp B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by (9)}) \\ &\leq \left(\int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left(\int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\Theta \left(\tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \leq \Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \sharp \Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right). \quad (10)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta \left(\tilde{A} \nabla \tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) &\leq \Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \sharp \Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \nabla \tilde{D} \right) \quad (\text{by (10)}) \\ &\leq \left(\Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left(\tilde{A}, \tilde{D} \right) \right) \sharp \left(\Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{B} \right) \nabla \Theta \left(\tilde{C}, \tilde{D} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the joint operator convexity of Θ [11] and monotonicity of operator means.

Assume for the converse that Θ satisfies (7). Let $T = \{1\}$ and μ be the counting measure on T . Let A and C be strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . Then

$$f(A \nabla C) = \Theta(A \nabla C, I) \leq \Theta(A, I) \sharp \Theta(C, I) = f(A) \sharp f(C),$$

which means that f is operator log-convex. \square

Remark 2.3. In fact Theorem 2.2 assert that f is operator log-convex if and only if the non-commutative f -divergence functional Θ is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

Corollary 2.4. *A continuous non-negative function f is operator log-convex function if and only if the associated perspective function g is operator log-convex function in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.*

The next theorem provides a Choi–Davis–Jensen type inequality for perspectives of operator log-convex functions.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function and g be the associated perspective function. Then f is operator log-convex if and only if*

$$g\left(\int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t), \int_T \Phi_t(B_t) d\mu(t)\right) \leq \left(\int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right) \sharp \left(\int_T \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right) \quad (11)$$

for all unital fields $\tilde{\Phi} = (\Phi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ of positive linear maps and all continuous fields $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$, $\tilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\tilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. Assume that f is operator log convex. Then f is operator convex and so g is jointly operator convex [5, 4]. Put $X = \int_T \Phi_s(B_s) d\mu(s)$ and let the continuous field of positive linear mappings $(\Psi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be defined by

$$\Psi_t(Y) = X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_t\left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} Y B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) X^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

so that $\int_T \Psi_t(I) d\mu(t) = I$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t), \int_T \Phi_t(B_t) d\mu(t)\right) &= X^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_T \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t) X^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= X^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(\int_T \Psi_t\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) d\mu(t)\right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq X^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T \Psi_t\left(f\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) d\mu(t)\right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{by the Jensen operator inequality}) \\ &= \int_T \Phi_t\left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_t \nabla C_t) B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t \nabla C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) \sharp g(C_t, B_t) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.4}) \\ &\leq \int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) \sharp \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by operator concavity of } \sharp) \\ &\leq \left(\int_T \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right) \sharp \left(\int_T \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, put $T = \{1\}$ and let μ be the counting measure on T . If A and C are strictly positive, then with $\Phi(A) = A$ and $B = I$, inequality (11) implies the operator log-convexity of f . \square

Example 2.6. Let the operator log-convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be defined by $f(t) = t^{-1}$. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$g(\Phi(A \nabla C), \Phi(B)) \leq \Phi(g(A, B)) \sharp \Phi(g(C, B))$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi(B) \Phi(A \nabla C)^{-1} \Phi(B) \leq \Phi(BA^{-1}B) \sharp \Phi(BC^{-1}B).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} &\leq \Phi(B)^{-1} (\Phi(BA^{-1}B)\sharp\Phi(BC^{-1}B)) \Phi(B)^{-1} \\ &= \Phi(B)^{-1}\Phi(BA^{-1}B)\Phi(B)^{-1}\sharp\Phi(B)^{-1}\Phi(BC^{-1}B)\Phi(B)^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Note that it follows from the operator convexity of f that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(C)}{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(A)^{-1} + \Phi(C)^{-1}}{2} \quad (\text{by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(A^{-1}) + \Phi(C^{-1})}{2} \quad (\text{by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

while with $B = I$, inequality (12) provides a sharper inequality:

$$\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-1}) \sharp \Phi(C^{-1}) \leq \frac{\Phi(A^{-1}) + \Phi(C^{-1})}{2}.$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let A, B, C be strictly positive operators. If f is an operator log-convex function and g is its perspective function, then*

$$g\left(\left\langle \frac{A+C}{2}x, x \right\rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle\right) \leq \sqrt{\langle g(A, B)x, x \rangle \langle g(C, B)x, x \rangle}$$

for every unit vector x .

Example 2.8. Applying Corollary 2.7 to the operator log-convex function $f(t) = t^{-1}$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Bx, x \rangle \left\langle \frac{A+C}{2}x, x \right\rangle^{-1} \langle Bx, x \rangle &\leq \sqrt{\langle BA^{-1}Bx, x \rangle \langle BC^{-1}Bx, x \rangle} \\ &\leq \left\langle B \left(\frac{A^{-1} + C^{-1}}{2} \right) Bx, x \right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for every unit vector x .

3. OPERATOR φ -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Let φ be a continuous one to one real function. Assume that

$$A\sigma_{\varphi}B = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(A)\nabla\varphi(B))$$

for all self-adjoint operators A, B with spectra in domain of φ .

Definition 3.1. Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real function and φ be a continuous one to one real function defined on an interval containing $f(J)$. We say that f is operator φ -convex if

$$f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma_{\varphi}f(B)\tag{13}$$

for all self-adjoint operators A, B with spectra in J .

We remark that if σ_φ is an operator mean, then every operator φ -convex function belongs to the class of operator log-convex functions verified by Ando and Hiai [1]. If $\varphi(t) = t$, then Definition 3.1 gives operator convex functions.

Example 3.2. Let $\varphi(t) = \log t$. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator φ -convex, then for all positive operators A and B we have

$$f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq f(A)\sigma_\varphi f(B) = \exp\left(\frac{\log f(A) + \log f(B)}{2}\right).$$

Since $\log t$ is operator monotone, we get

$$\log f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\log f(A) + \log f(B)}{2}.$$

Therefore, if $\varphi(t) = \log t$, then Definition 3.1 reduces to the notion of operator log-convexity.

Example 3.3. Let $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator φ -convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq f(A)\sigma_\varphi f(B) = \left(\frac{f(A)^{-1} + f(B)^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1} = f(A)!f(B)$$

for all strictly positive operators A, B . So, f is operator log-convex in this case too.

Lemma 3.4. *If φ^{-1} is operator decreasing, then every operator φ -convex function is operator log-convex.*

Proof. Let f be operator φ -convex. If φ^{-1} is operator decreasing, then $\frac{1}{\varphi^{-1}}$ is operator concave and so

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi(f(A)) + \varphi(f(B))}{2}\right)^{-1} \geq \left(\frac{f(A)^{-1} + f(B)^{-1}}{2}\right),$$

which implies that f is operator log-convex. \square

Example 3.5. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$. The power mean of positive operators A and B is defined by $\left(\frac{A^p+B^p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Operator arithmetic mean and operator Harmonic mean are special case of power means with $p = 1$ and $p = -1$, respectively. It is known that power mean is an operator mean only if $-1 \leq p \leq 1$, while power means with $p > 1$ have many applications for example in mathematical physics and theory of operator spaces [2].

Assume that $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined by $\varphi(t) = t^{-p}$ ($p \geq 1$). Then $\varphi^{-1}(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{p}}$, which is operator decreasing. Therefore, if $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator φ -convex, then it is operator log-convex. It means that if

$$f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \left(\frac{f(A)^{-p} + f(B)^{-p}}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all strictly positive operator A, B , then f is operator log-convex and

$$f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \left(\frac{f(A)^{-1} + f(B)^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1}.$$

If f is operator φ -convex, then a variant of the Jensen operator inequality holds true. The proof of the next lemma is based on that of [6, Theorem 1.9].

Lemma 3.6. *Let φ be a continuous one-to-one function. If $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an operator φ -convex function, then*

$$f(C^*AC) \leq \varphi^{-1}(C^*\varphi(f(A))C)$$

for every self-adjoint operator A with spectrum in J and every isometry C .

Proof. If A and B are two self-adjoint operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ can be regarded as a self-adjoint operator in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$. Set $D = \sqrt{I - CC^*}$ so that operators U and V defined by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & -C^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ 0 & C^* \end{pmatrix},$$

are unitary operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ and

$$U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & C^*AD \\ DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^*XV = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & -C^*AD \\ -DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f(C^*AC) & 0 \\ 0 & f(DAD + CBC^*) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & 0 \\ 0 & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f \left(\frac{U^*XU + V^*XV}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{\varphi(f(U^*XU)) + \varphi(f(V^*XV))}{2} \right) \quad (\text{since } f \text{ is operator } \varphi\text{-convex}) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{U^*\varphi(f(X))U + V^*\varphi(f(X))V}{2} \right) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} C^*\varphi(f(A))C & 0 \\ 0 & D\varphi(f(A))D + C\varphi(f(B))C^* \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(C^*AC) \leq \varphi^{-1}(C^*\varphi(f(A))C)$. □

Remark 3.7. Note that if φ^{-1} is operator convex, then every operator φ -convex is operator convex:

$$f(C^*AC) \leq \varphi^{-1}(C^*\varphi(f(A))C) \leq C^*f(A)C.$$

Corollary 3.8. *If $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an operator φ -convex function and A_1, \dots, A_n are self-adjoint operators with spectra in J , then*

$$f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* A_i C_i \right) \leq \varphi^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* \varphi(f(A_i)) C_i \right)$$

for all operators C_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* C_i = I$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.6 to the operator $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ and the isometry $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix}$. □

We can present the following characterization of operator φ -convex functions using positive linear mappings.

Theorem 3.9. *A continuous function $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator φ -convex if and only if*

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\Phi(\varphi(f(A)))) \quad (14)$$

for every unital positive linear map Φ and every self-adjoint operator A with spectrum in J .

Proof. Suppose that A is a self-adjoint operator on a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with the spectral decomposition $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$. If Φ is a unital positive linear map on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\Phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i) = I$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(f(\lambda_i)) \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \quad (\text{by Corollary 3.8}) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f(\lambda_i)) \Phi(P_i)\right) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(\Phi(\varphi(f(A)))). \end{aligned}$$

If A is a self-adjoint operator on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then (14) follows by using a continuity argument.

For the converse assume that (14) holds true. put

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}; \quad A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ is a unital closed $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$. Let the unital positive linear map Ψ be defined on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ by

$$\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{A+B}{2}.$$

Now if A and B are two self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} with spectra in J , then it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(A\nabla B) &= f\left(\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\Psi\left(\varphi of\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}\left(\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(f(A)) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(f(B)) \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) = \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi(f(A)) + \varphi(f(B))}{2}\right) \\ &= f(A)\sigma_{\varphi}f(B), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that f is operator φ -convex. \square

Example 3.10. Let $\varphi(t) = t^{-1}$. Then Theorem 3.9 implies that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A)^{-1})^{-1} \tag{15}$$

for every strictly positive operator A and every unital positive linear map Φ . Inequality (15) implies that $\frac{1}{f}$ is operator concave and so f is operator decreasing. This ensures that f is operator log-convex if and only if it is operator decreasing.

Example 3.11. The function $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is operator log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Assume that the unital positive linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$\Phi((a_{ij})) = (a_{ij})_{2 \leq i, j \leq 3}.$$

If $A \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is the positive matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then by a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.1945 & -0.2706 \\ -0.2706 & 0.6533 \end{pmatrix}, & \Phi(f(A)^{-1})^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.2192 & -0.2933 \\ -0.2933 & 0.6760 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Phi(f(A)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.2420 & -0.3261 \\ -0.3261 & 0.7234 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(\Phi(A)) \not\leq \Phi(f(A)^{-1})^{-1} \not\leq \Phi(f(A)).$$

Corollary 3.12. *If Φ is a unital positive linear map and A is a strictly positive operator, then*

- (1) $\Phi(A)^{-\alpha} \leq \Phi(A^{\alpha})^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-\alpha})$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
- (2) $\Phi(A^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \Phi(A^{-1})^{-1} \leq \Phi(A)$ for all $\alpha \leq -1$.

Proof. (1): follows from the operator log-convexity of $t^{-\alpha}$.

(2): the function $t \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is operator log-convex. So it follows from Theorem 3.9 that $\Phi(A)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \left(\Phi\left(A^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}\right)\right)^{-1}$. Replacing A by A^{α} we get desired inequality. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n be positive linear mappings on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(I) = I$. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator log-convex function, then*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)^{-1})\right)^{-1}$$

for all strictly positive operators A_1, \dots, A_n .

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.9 to the strictly positive operator $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ and the unital positive linear map $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\Phi(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)$. \square

The next result shows that every operator log-convex function is sub-additive.

Proposition 3.14. *If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator log-convex function, then f is sub-additive. More precisely*

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) \sharp f(B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$$

for all strictly positive operators A, B .

Proof. Assume that A and B are strictly positive operators. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A + B) &= f((2A)\nabla(2B)) \leq f(2A) \sharp f(2B) \\ &\leq f(A) \sharp f(B) \quad (\text{by (1) of Theorem A}) \\ &\leq f(A) \nabla f(B) \quad (\text{by the A-G inequality}) \\ &\leq f(A) + f(B). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Acknowledgement. The First author was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No.92470040).

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando and F. Hiai, *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), 611-630.
2. K. M. R. Audenaert, *In-betweenness, a geometrical monotonicity property for operator means*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), no. 4, 1769–1778.
3. J. S. Aujla, M. S. Rawla and H. L. Vasudeva, *Log-convex matrix functions*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. **11** (2000), 19–32.
4. A. Ebadian, E. Nikoufar and M.E. Gordji, *Perspectives of matrix convex functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **108** (2011), no. 18, 7313–7314.
5. E. G. Effros, *A matrix convexity approach to some celebrated quantum inequalities*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **106** (2009), no. 4, 1006–1008.
6. T. Furuta, H. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities*, Zagreb, Element, 2005.
7. F. Hansen, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, *Jensen's operator inequality and its converses*, Math. Scand. **100** (2007), no. 1, 61–73.

8. M. Kian and M. S. Moslehian, *Operator inequalities related to Q -class functions*, Math. Slovaca, (to appear).
9. F. Kudo and T. Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann. **246** (1980), 205–224.
10. A. Matković, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, *A variant of Jensens inequality of Mercers type for operators with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **418**, (2006), 551–564.
11. M. S. Moslehian and M. Kian, *Non-commutative f -divergence functional*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), no. 1415, 1514–1529.
12. H. Osaka and J. Tomiyama, *Double piling structure of matrix monotone functions and of matrix convex functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 1825–1832.

MOHSEN KIAN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BOJNORD, P.O. BOX 1339, BOJNORD 94531, IRAN.

E-mail address: `kian@member.ams.org` and `kian@ub.ac.ir`.

S. S. DRAGOMIR: MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`