

Received 09/11/14

# Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis on Time Scales

George A. Anastassiou  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Memphis  
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.  
ganastss@memphis.edu

## Abstract

Here we start by proving the Riesz representation theorem for positive linear functionals on the space of continuous functions over a time scale. Then we prove further properties for the related Riemann-Stieltjes integral on time scales and we prove the related Hölder's inequality. Next we prove the Hölder's inequality for general positive linear functionals on time scales. We introduce basic concepts of Approximation theory on time scales and we discuss some limitations of the modulus of continuity there. Next we prove the famous Korovkin theorem on time scales, regarding the approximation of unit operator by sequences of positive linear operators on the space of continuity functions defined on a compact interval of a time scale.

Then we produce several Shisha-Mond type inequalities related to Korovkin's theorem, putting the convergence of positive linear operators and positive linear functionals in a quantitative form and giving rates of convergence, all operating on Lipschitz functions on a time scale. At the end we present an example of a concrete and genuine positive linear operator on time scales and we give its approximation and interpolation properties over continuous functions.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 39A12, 41A17, 41A25, 41A36, 47A58, 47A67, 93C70.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Time scale, Riesz representation theorem, Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Hölder's inequality, convergence of positive linear functionals and positive linear operators.

## 1 Introduction

Denote by  $C([a, b])$ , the space of continuous real valued functions on  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $L$  be a linear operator mapping  $C([a, b])$  into itself.  $L$  is called positive iff whenever  $f \geq g$ ;  $f, g \in C([a, b])$ , we have that  $L(f) \geq L(g)$ .

We mention the famous Korovkin's Theorem

**Theorem 2** (Korovkin [10] (1960), p. 14). Let  $[a, b]$  be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators  $L_n$  mapping  $C([a, b])$  into itself. Suppose that  $(L_n f)$  converges uniformly to  $f$  for the three test functions  $f = 1, x, x^2$ . Then  $(L_n f)$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  for all functions  $f \in C([a, b])$ .

We need

**Definition 3** Let  $f \in C([a, b])$  and  $0 \leq h \leq b - a$ . Call

$$\omega_1(f, h) := \sup_{\substack{\text{all } x, y \\ |x-y| \leq h}} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad (1)$$

the first modulus of continuity of  $f$  at  $h$ .

We also mention the famous theorem

**Theorem 4** (Shisha and Mond [12] (1968)). Let  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact interval. Let  $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting on  $C([a, b])$  into itself. For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , suppose  $L_n(1)$  is bounded. Let  $f \in C([a, b])$ . Then for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\|L_n f - f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|L_n 1 - 1\|_\infty + \|L_n(1) + 1\|_\infty \omega_1(f, \mu_n), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mu_n := \left\| L_n \left( (t-x)^2 \right) (x) \right\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  stands for the sup-norm over  $[a, b]$ . In particular, if  $L_n(1) = 1$  then (2) reduces to

$$\|L_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq 2\omega_1(f, \mu_n). \quad (3)$$

**Note 5** (i) In forming  $\mu_n^2$ ,  $x$  is kept fixed, however  $t$  forms the functions  $t, t^2$  on which  $L_n$  acts.

(ii) One can easily see, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\mu_n^2 \leq \left\| (L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2 \right\|_\infty + 2c \left\| (L_n(t))(x) - x \right\|_\infty + c^2 \left\| (L_n(1))(x) - 1 \right\|_\infty, \quad (4)$$

where  $c := \max(|a|, |b|)$ .

So if the assumptions of Korovkin's Theorem 2 are fulfilled then  $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ , therefore  $\omega_1(f, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and we obtain from (2) that  $\|L_n f - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , which is Korovkin's conclusion!!! I.e., Korovkin's result has been recast in a quantitative form.

Next we mention from [1].

We need

**Definition 6** Let  $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , be a bell-shaped function of compact support  $[-T, T]$ ,  $T > 0$ . We assume it is even, non-decreasing for  $x < 0$  and non-increasing for  $x \geq 0$ . Suppose also that  $B(0) =: B^* > 0$  is the global maximum of  $B$ . The function  $B$  may have jump discontinuities and it is measurable. Assume further that  $B(\pm T) = 0$ .

An example for  $B$  can be the hat function

$$\beta(x) := \begin{cases} 1+x, & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ 1-x, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

etc.

**Definition 7** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ , a bounded and measurable function,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h := \frac{b-a}{n}$ ,  $x_k := a + kh$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ .

We define the interpolation neural network operator

$$H_n(f, x) := \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) B\left(\frac{Tn(x-x_k)}{b-a}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^n B\left(\frac{Tn(x-x_k)}{b-a}\right)}. \quad (6)$$

This is a positive linear operator.

We state the interpolation result.

**Theorem 8** ([1]) Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded and measurable function. Then

$$H_n(f, x_i) = f(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (7)$$

where  $x_i := a + ih$ ,  $h := \frac{b-a}{n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We state the related approximation result at Jackson speed of convergence  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

**Theorem 9** ([1]) Let  $f \in C([a, b])$ . Then

$$\|H_n(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{2B^*}{B\left(\frac{T}{2}\right)} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{b-a}{n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

The above results motivated this paper.

In this article we prove similar to the above approximation theorems on time scales and we expand around, also treating related Functional Analysis topics.

To our knowledge this is the first article of study about classical approximation by positive linear operators on time scales.

The author would like to thank Professor Martin Bohner of Missouri University of Science and Technology, for his encouragement to conduct such research.

## 2 Time Scales Basics (see [4])

Since a time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  is a closed subset of the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is a complete metric space with the metric (distance)

$$d(t, s) = |t - s|, \text{ for } t, s \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (9)$$

Consequently, according to basic theory of general metric spaces we have for  $\mathbb{T}$  fundamental concepts such as open ball (intervals), neighborhoods of points, open sets, closed sets, compact sets and so on. In particular, for a given  $\delta > 0$ , the  $\delta$ -neighborhood  $U_\delta(t)$  of a given point  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  is the set of all points  $s \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $d(t, s) < \delta$ . By a neighborhood of a point  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  we mean an arbitrary set in  $\mathbb{T}$  containing a  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the point  $t$ . Also we have for functions  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the concepts of limit, continuity, and the properties of continuous functions on general complete metric spaces (note that, in particular, any function  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at each point of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale. We define the forward jump operator  $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

while the backward jump operator  $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is defined by

$$\rho(t) = \sup \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

In this definition we set in addition  $\sigma(\max \mathbb{T}) = \max \mathbb{T}$  if there exists a finite  $\max \mathbb{T}$ , and  $\rho(\min \mathbb{T}) = \min \mathbb{T}$  if there exists a finite  $\min \mathbb{T}$ .

Obviously both  $\sigma(t)$  and  $\rho(t)$  are in  $\mathbb{T}$  when  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . This is because  $\mathbb{T}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . If  $\sigma(t) > t$ , we say that  $t$  is right-scattered, while if  $\rho(t) < t$ , we say that  $t$  is left-scattered. Also, if  $t < \max \mathbb{T}$  and  $\sigma(t) = t$ , then  $t$  is called right-dense, while if  $t > \min \mathbb{T}$  and  $\rho(t) = t$ , then  $t$  is called left-dense. Points that are right-dense and left-dense are called dense and points that are right-scattered and left-scattered at the same time are called isolated.

If  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sigma(t) = \rho(t) = t$ . If  $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\sigma(t) = t+h$  and  $\rho(t) = t-h$ . But if  $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  ( $q > 1$ ),  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then  $\sigma(t) = qt$  and  $\rho(t) = t/q$  for  $t > 1$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}^k$  denote Hilger's truncated above set consisting of  $\mathbb{T}$  except for a positive left-scattered maximal point. Now we consider a function  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and define the so-called delta (or Hilger) derivative of  $f$  at a point  $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ .

Assume  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ . Then we define  $f^\Delta(t)$  to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  (in  $\mathbb{T}$ ) of  $t$  such that

$$|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \text{for all } s \in U. \quad (10)$$

We call  $f^\Delta(t)$  the delta (or Hilger) derivative of  $f$  at  $t$ .

If  $t \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{T}^k$ , then  $f^\Delta(t)$  is not uniquely defined, since for such a point  $t$ , small neighborhoods  $U$  of  $t$  consist only of  $t$ , and besides, we have  $\sigma(t) = t$ . Therefore (10) holds for an arbitrary number  $f^\Delta(t)$ .

Note that for the calculation of the delta derivative it is convenient to use its definition in the limit form

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}, \quad (11)$$

where the limit is taken in  $\mathbb{T}$  with the metric (9) and in the limit we suppose  $s \neq \sigma(t)$ .

Using (11) we get the following. If  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f^\Delta(t) = f'(t)$ , the ordinary derivative of  $f$  at  $t$ . If  $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$  ( $h > 0$ ), then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h}.$$

In particular, in the case  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$f^\Delta(t) = f(t+1) - f(t).$$

If  $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  ( $q > 1$ ), then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t}.$$

For the Riemann-Stieltjes integral on time scales and properties we follow [9]. We write " $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ", if  $f$  is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to a function  $\alpha$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

We mention

**Proposition 10** ([9]) *If  $f : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and if  $\alpha : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , i.e. it exists the Riemann-Stieltjes (R-S) integral on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , denoted by  $\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t)$  or by  $\int_a^b f \Delta\alpha$ .*

The description of (R-S) integral and properties on time scales parallels to the one on  $\mathbb{R}$  of the ordinary case.

**Example 11** For many interesting examples of time scales see [4].

We give here some important related examples:

(i) Let  $0 < r < 1$  fixed. The set  $K := \{r^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  is a time scale.

(ii) The set  $\theta := \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  is a time scale.

Call  $T_m := m + \theta$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $T_m$  is a time scale.

Set  $W := \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} T_m$ , then  $W$  is a time scale.

Call  $B_m := m - \theta$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is a time scale.

Set  $\Lambda := \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} B_m$ , then  $\Lambda$  is a time scale. Also  $W \cup \Lambda$  is another time scale.

Notice the above sets  $K, \theta, T_m, W, B_m, \Lambda, W \cup \Lambda$  contain all of their limit points, where near them the elements of these sets can be arbitrarily close to each other.

We need

**Definition 12** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale and  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M |x - y|^\beta, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

$M > 0, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{T}$ , we call  $f$  a Lipschitz function of order  $\beta$  and we denote it as  $f \in Lip(\beta)$ .

We make

**Remark 13** Let  $f : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , with  $f^\Delta$  existing and bounded on  $[a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , i.e.  $|f^\Delta(t)| \leq A, \forall t \in [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $A > 0$ . Let  $a \leq c < d \leq b$ . By the mean-value theorem on time scales ([7]), we have

$$f^\Delta(\tau) \leq \frac{f(d) - f(c)}{d - c} \leq f^\Delta(\xi), \quad (13)$$

where  $\tau, \xi \in [c, d)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Then it follows from (13) that

$$|f(d) - f(c)| \leq A(d - c), \quad (14)$$

thus  $f \in Lip(1)$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

### 3 More on Riemann-Stieltjes integral on Time Scales

Denote by  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  the Banach space of all functions  $f : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are continuous (in the metric of the time scale) on  $[a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and such that  $f(b) = f(\rho(b))$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max |f(t)|, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where  $\rho$  is the backward jump operator in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

By  $B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  we denote the Banach space of all bounded real-valued functions on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  with norm defined by  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup |f(t)|$ ,  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

We need

**Fundamental Lemma A** ([5, p. 120]) For every  $\delta > 0$  there exists at least one partition  $P = \{a = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n = b\}$  of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  ( $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ ) such that for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  either  $t_k - t_{k-1} \leq \delta$  or  $t_k - t_{k-1} > \delta$  and  $\rho(t_k) = t_{k-1}$ .

For given  $\delta > 0$  we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  the set of all partitions  $P = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  of  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  that possess the property of Fundamental Lemma A.

We present the Riesz representation theorem for positive linear functionals on  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ .

**Theorem 14** *Every positive linear functional  $F$  on  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  can be represented by a Riemann-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral in the form*

$$F(f) = \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t), \quad (15)$$

$\forall f \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , where  $\alpha$  is an increasing function on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  with  $\|F\| = F(1) = \alpha(b)$ , and  $\alpha(a) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be a positive linear functional on  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , which is a subspace of  $B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . By the extension theorem on positive linear functional ([11]), there exists a positive linear functional on  $B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , denoted by  $\bar{F}$  such that

$$F = \bar{F}|_{C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})}. \quad (16)$$

We consider the functions  $\chi_t$  defined for each fixed  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  by

$$\chi_t(\xi) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \xi \in [a, t)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 0, & \text{for } \xi \in [t, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

(for  $t \in [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ), and

$$\chi_b(\xi) = 1, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Clearly here  $\chi_t \in B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ .

When  $t_1 \leq t_2$ ,  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we get  $\chi_{t_1}(\xi) \leq \chi_{t_2}(\xi)$ ,  $\forall \xi \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , that is  $\chi_{t_1} \leq \chi_{t_2} \leq \chi_b$ . Hence

$$\bar{F}(\chi_{t_1}) \leq \bar{F}(\chi_{t_2}) \leq \bar{F}(\chi_b) = \bar{F}(1) = F(1). \quad (18)$$

We define the function

$$\alpha(t) = \bar{F}(\chi_t), \quad \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Notice also  $\alpha(a) = \overline{F}(\chi_a) = \overline{F}(0) = 0$ . We have that  $\alpha(t_1) \leq \alpha(t_2)$ , hence  $\alpha$  is increasing on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , thus  $\alpha$  is of bounded variation, with total variation  $\alpha(b) = F(1)$ .

Next we continue as in [9].

Let  $f \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , which is uniformly continuous and which means for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $t', t'' \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $|t' - t''| \leq \delta$  implies  $|f(t') - f(t'')| < \varepsilon$ .

For every partition  $P = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{P}_\delta([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  we consider the step function  $f^{(\varepsilon)}$  defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  by

$$f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) := f(t_{k-1}), \text{ if } t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (19)$$

and

$$f^{(\varepsilon)}(b) := f(t_n) = f(b), \quad (20)$$

which can be written in the form

$$f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) \left[ \chi_{t_k}(t) - \chi_{t_{k-1}}(t) \right], \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (21)$$

We have that

$$\left| f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) - f(t) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (22)$$

We see that as follows: let  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , then either  $t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)_{\mathbb{T}}$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $t = b$ . Consider first the case  $t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

If  $t_k - t_{k-1} \leq \delta$ , then

$$\left| f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) - f(t) \right| = |f(t_{k-1}) - f(t)| < \varepsilon.$$

If  $t_k - t_{k-1} > \delta$ , then  $t_{k-1} = \rho(t_k)$  and hence  $[t_{k-1}, t_k)_{\mathbb{T}} = \{t_{k-1}\}$  is a singleton, and thus

$$\left| f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) - f(t) \right| = \left| f^{(\varepsilon)}(t_{k-1}) - f(t_{k-1}) \right| = |f(t_{k-1}) - f(t_{k-1})| = 0. \quad (23)$$

In the case  $t = b$ , we get

$$\left| f^{(\varepsilon)}(t) - f(t) \right| = |f(b) - f(b)| = 0.$$

Therefore (22) is true.

Consequently it holds

$$\left\| f^{(\varepsilon)} - f \right\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon. \quad (24)$$

By linearity of  $\overline{F}$  we find

$$\overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) \left[ \overline{F}(\chi_{t_k}) - \overline{F}(\chi_{t_{k-1}}) \right] = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) [\alpha(t_k) - \alpha(t_{k-1})]. \quad (25)$$



Therefore  $\overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)})$  is a Riemann-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -sum of  $f$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , corresponding to the partition  $P$ .

Consequently it holds

$$\left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) - \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right| < \varepsilon \quad (26)$$

for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ .

Also we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) - F(f) \right| &= \left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) - \overline{F}(f) \right| = \\ \left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)} - f) \right| &\leq \|\overline{F}\| \left\| f^{(\varepsilon)} - f \right\|_{\infty} \leq \|\overline{F}\| \varepsilon =: (*). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Let  $g \in B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , we have that  $|g| \leq \|g\|_{\infty} < \infty$ . Hence  $-\|g\|_{\infty} \leq g \leq \|g\|_{\infty}$  and  $-\|g\|_{\infty} \overline{F}(1) \leq \overline{F}(g) \leq \|g\|_{\infty} \overline{F}(1)$ , i.e.

$$\left| \overline{F}(g) \right| \leq \overline{F}(1) \|g\|_{\infty} = F(1) \|g\|_{\infty}, \quad (28)$$

so that  $\overline{F}$  is a real valued bounded linear functional on  $B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  with  $\|\overline{F}\|_{\infty} \leq F(1) < \infty$ .

Similarly  $F$  is a real valued bounded linear functional on  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , with  $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq F(1)$ .

Furthermore it holds for  $g(t) = 1, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , that

$$F(1) = |F(1)| \leq \|F\|_{\infty} \cdot 1 = \|F\|_{\infty},$$

along with

$$F(1) = |\overline{F}(1)| \leq \|\overline{F}\|_{\infty} \cdot 1 = \|\overline{F}\|_{\infty}.$$

We conclude that

$$F(1) = \|F\|_{\infty} = \|\overline{F}\|_{\infty} = \alpha(b). \quad (29)$$

So that

$$(*) = \alpha(b) \varepsilon.$$

I.e.

$$\left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) - F(f) \right| \leq \alpha(b) \cdot \varepsilon. \quad (30)$$

Finally we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(f) - \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right| &\leq \left| F(f) - \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) \right| + \left| \overline{F}(f^{(\varepsilon)}) - \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right| \leq \\ &\alpha(b) \cdot \varepsilon + \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha(b) + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which implies (15), because  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary. The proof of the theorem now is complete. ■

We make

**Remark 15** Here  $\alpha : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is assumed to be increasing and let  $f \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Clearly  $\alpha$  is of bounded variation and  $f, |f| \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . We notice that

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} (\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)), \quad (32)$$

and

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \Delta\alpha(t). \quad (33)$$

Given  $f(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we get

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \geq 0. \quad (34)$$

Let also  $g \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , such that  $f(t) \geq g(t), \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Hence  $f(t) - g(t) \geq 0$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) - \int_a^b g(t) \Delta\alpha(t) = \int_a^b (f(t) - g(t)) \Delta\alpha(t) \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \geq \int_a^b g(t) \Delta\alpha(t). \quad (35)$$

Clearly if  $\alpha = 0$  or a constant, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Let now  $\alpha$  be strictly increasing on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $f(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  with  $\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) = 0$ . Then  $f(t) = 0, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . If  $f(t) > 0, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\alpha$  is increasing on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\int_a^b f(t) \Delta\alpha(t) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha$  is either zero, or a constant different than zero.

Next comes Hölder's inequality for (R-S) integrals

**Theorem 16** Let  $f, g \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ ,  $\alpha$  is increasing on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$$\int_a^b |f(t)g(t)| \Delta\alpha(t) \leq \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_a^b |g(t)|^q \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (37)$$

Equality holds nontrivially, when  $|g(x)| = c|f(x)|^{p-1}, c > 0, \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Proof.** For  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \geq 0$ , the basic inequality holds

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{\beta}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{p} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{q}, \quad (38)$$

with equality when  $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}$ .

Now suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$\int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t), \int_a^b |g(t)|^q \Delta\alpha(t) \neq 0.$$

Apply inequality (38) for

$$\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{\int_a^b |f(\tau)|^p \Delta\alpha(\tau)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta}(t) = \frac{|g(t)|^q}{\int_a^b |g(\tau)|^q \Delta\alpha(\tau)}, \quad (39)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{|f(t)|}{\left( \int_a^b |f(\tau)|^p \Delta\alpha(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \left( \frac{|g(t)|}{\left( \int_a^b |g(\tau)|^q \Delta\alpha(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right) \leq \\ & \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p \int_a^b |f(\tau)|^p \Delta\alpha(\tau)} + \frac{|g(t)|^q}{q \int_a^b |g(\tau)|^q \Delta\alpha(\tau)}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Hence by integrating (40) it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_a^b |f(t)| |g(t)| \Delta\alpha(t)}{\left( \int_a^b |f(\tau)|^p \Delta\alpha(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_a^b |g(\tau)|^q \Delta\alpha(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \\ & \frac{\int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t)}{p \int_a^b |f(\tau)|^p \Delta\alpha(\tau)} + \frac{\int_a^b |g(t)|^q \Delta\alpha(t)}{q \int_a^b |g(\tau)|^q \Delta\alpha(\tau)} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

proving inequality (37).

Next we assume that  $|g(x)| = c|f(x)|^{p-1}$ ,  $c > 0$ . Then

$$\int_a^b |f(t)| |g(t)| \Delta\alpha(t) = c \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right). \quad (42)$$

On the other hand we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_a^b |g(t)|^q \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \\ & \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} c \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^{q(p-1)} \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$c \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^q \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = c \left( \int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta\alpha(t) \right). \quad (43)$$

By (42), (43) we have proved that (37) is attained.

The proof of the theorem now is complete. ■

The special case  $p = q = 2$  reduces to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

**Corollary 17** (to Theorem 16) *It holds*

$$\int_a^b |f(t)g(t)| \Delta\alpha(t) \leq \left( \int_a^b f^2(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_a^b g^2(t) \Delta\alpha(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (44)$$

## 4 Approximation Basics on Time Scales

We need

**Definition 18** *Let  $f \in B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . We define*

$$\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \\ |x - y| \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad 0 < \delta \leq b - a, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) = \omega_1(f, b - a), \quad \text{if } \delta > b - a. \quad (46)$$

We call  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \cdot)$  the first modulus of continuity of  $f$ .

**Theorem 19** *It holds*

- (i)  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \cdot)$  is non-negative and increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,
- (iii)

$$\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, 0) = 0, \quad (47)$$

- (iv)  $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) = 0$ , iff  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Proof.** (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious.

(iv) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  with  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) \leq \varepsilon$ . I.e.  $\forall x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} : |x - y| \leq \delta$  we get  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ . That is  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $f$  be uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  : whenever  $|x - y| \leq \delta, x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , it implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ . I.e.  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) \leq \varepsilon$ . That is  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ . ■

**Comment 20** (i)  $\omega_1^\mathbb{T}(f, \cdot)$  fails the subadditivity property and other important properties of usual first modulus of continuity defined on a continuous interval  $[a, b]$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Let  $f$  be continuous on  $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$  or  $f \in C([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$ . Since  $f$  is continuous (in the time scale topology), it is uniformly continuous on the compact set  $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$ .

We make

**Definition 21** (i) Denote by  $C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  all the continuous functions from  $[a, b]_\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Clearly  $C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  is a Banach space, and  $C([a, b]_\mathbb{T}) \subset C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$ .

(ii) Let  $L : C([a, b]_\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  or  $L : C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  a linear operator. Let  $f, g \in C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  or in  $C([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$ . The operator  $L$  is called positive iff whenever  $f \geq g$  on  $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$ , we have that  $L(f) \geq L(g)$  on  $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$ .

We need (see also [6] in another abstract setting.)

**Theorem 22** Let  $T$  be a positive linear functional from  $C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f \in C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  with  $f \geq 0$ .

Then the following are equivalent

(i)

$$T(f) = 0 \tag{48}$$

(ii)

$$T(fg) = 0, \forall g \in C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T}), \tag{49}$$

(iii)

$$T(f^m) = 0, \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{50}$$

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and enough to take a  $g \in C_u([a, b]_\mathbb{T})$  with  $g \geq 0$ . If  $\min\{g, n\} = g$ , i.e.  $g \leq n$ , clearly it holds then

$$g \leq g + \frac{g^2}{2n}, \tag{51}$$

which is always true.

If  $\min\{g, n\} = n$ , i.e.  $n \leq g$ , since  $(g - n)^2 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow g^2 - 2gn + n^2 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow g^2 + n^2 \geq 2gn \Leftrightarrow$

$$g \leq \frac{g^2 + n^2}{2n} = \frac{g^2}{2n} + \frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{g^2}{2n} + n. \tag{52}$$

So we have proved that

$$0 \leq g - \min\{g, n\} \leq \frac{g^2}{2n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{53}$$

Hence

$$0 \leq fg - \min \{fg, nf\} \leq \frac{fg^2}{2n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that

$$0 \leq T(fg) - T(\min \{fg, nf\}) \leq \frac{1}{2n}T(fg^2), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (54)$$

However it holds

$$0 \leq T(\min \{fg, nf\}) \leq nT(f) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (55)$$

That is

$$0 \leq T(fg) \leq \frac{T(fg^2)}{2n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (56)$$

producing

$$T(fg) = 0. \quad (57)$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The result is trivial for  $m = 1$ , so suppose that  $m \geq 2$ . We proceed by complete induction hypothesis method. If  $m = 2$  and  $T(f^2) = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq T((nf - 1)^2) &= T(n^2f^2 - 2nf + 1) = \\ n^2T(f^2) - 2nT(f) + T(1) &= T(1) - 2nT(f). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

So that

$$0 \leq 2nT(f) \leq T(1), \quad (59)$$

and

$$0 \leq T(f) \leq \frac{T(1)}{2n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (60)$$

That is  $T(f) = 0$ .

Next, let  $m \geq 3$  such that  $T(f^m) = 0$ , we want to prove  $T(f) = 0$ . Assume for all  $m'$  with  $2 \leq m' < m$  that we have true  $T(f^{m'}) = 0$  implies  $T(f) = 0$ . Let  $k = 0$  or  $k = 1$  and particular  $m'$  as above such that  $m + k = 2m'$ .

We use the already proved direction (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Since  $T(f^m) = 0$  we get

$$T(f^{m+k}) = T(f^m \cdot f^k) = 0.$$

I.e.

$$T(f^{m+k}) = 0. \quad (61)$$

Also it holds

$$T\left(\left(f^{m'}\right)^2\right) = T\left(f^{2m'}\right) = T\left(f^{m+k}\right) = 0, \quad (62)$$

i.e.

$$T\left(\left(f^{m'}\right)^2\right) = 0. \quad (63)$$

By what we proved earlier in this direction we find  $T\left(f^{m'}\right) = 0$ . The last by complete induction hypothesis implies  $T(f) = 0$ . We are done. ■

We prove Hölder's inequality for positive linear operators over time scales.

**Theorem 23** *Let  $L$  be a positive linear operator from  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  into itself and let  $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .*

*Then:*

(i)

$$(L(|fg|))(x) \leq ((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} ((L(|g|^q))(x))^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (64)$$

for any  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and any  $f, g \in C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , i.e.

$$L(|fg|) \leq (L(|f|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (L(|g|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (65)$$

which is attained when  $|g| = c|f|^{p-1}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,

and

(ii)

$$\|L(|fg|)\|_{\infty} \leq (\|L(|f|^p)\|_{\infty})^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|L(|g|^q)\|_{\infty})^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (66)$$

**Proof.** Notice for a particular  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , the function  $(L(\cdot))(x)$  is a positive linear functional on  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ .

For  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \geq 0$ , we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{\beta}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{p} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{q}, \quad (67)$$

with equality when  $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}$ .

Now suppose first that

$$(L(|f|^p))(x), (L(|g|^q))(x) \neq 0.$$

Apply inequality (67) for

$$\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \frac{|f(t)|^p}{(L(|f|^p))(x)} \quad (68)$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}(t) = \frac{|g(t)|^q}{(L(|g|^q))(x)}, \quad (69)$$

to get

$$\frac{|f(t)|}{((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{|g(t)|}{((L(|g|^q))(x))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \frac{|f(t)|^p}{p(L(|f|^p))(x)} + \frac{|g(t)|^q}{q(L(|g|^q))(x)}, \quad (70)$$

$\forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

By positivity and linearity of the functional  $(L(\cdot))(x)$ , where  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  is fixed and from (70) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{L(|fg|)(x)}{((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} ((L(|g|^q))(x))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \\ & \frac{(L(|f|^p))(x)}{p(L(|f|^p))(x)} + \frac{(L(|g|^q))(x)}{q(L(|g|^q))(x)} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

that is proving (64).

Next we assume  $|g| = c|f|^{p-1}$ ,  $c > 0$ .

Then

$$L(|fg|)(x) = c(L(|f|^p))(x). \quad (72)$$

Also it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & ((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} ((L(|g|^q))(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} = ((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} c \left( L(|f|^{q(p-1)})(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = c((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} ((L(|f|^p))(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} = c((L(|f|^p))(x)), \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

proving that (65) is attained.

Assume now that

$$(L(|f|^p))(x) = 0, \quad p > 1 \text{ with } p \notin \mathbb{N}. \quad (74)$$

Consider  $\lambda := [p] + 1 - p \geq 0$  ( $[\cdot]$  is the integral part), then

$$[p] + 1 = \lambda + p > 1. \quad (75)$$

We have

$$L(|f|^{[p]+1})(x) = L(|f|^{\lambda+p})(x) = L(|f|^p \cdot |f|^\lambda)(x) = 0. \quad (76)$$

The last is true by Theorem 22 from the direction (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

I.e.

$$L(|f|^{[p]+1})(x) = 0. \quad (77)$$

Again by Theorem 22 from the direction (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), we derive

$$(L(|fg|))(x) = L(|f||g|)(x) = 0, \quad (78)$$

proving inequality (64) is valid as equality with both sides equal to zero.

The case of  $p \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$  is similar and easier.

The proof of the theorem is now complete.  $\blacksquare$



## 5 Approximation on Time Scales

We give Korovkin's theorem on time scales.

**Theorem 24** *Let  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale, and  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators,  $L_n$  is mapping  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  into itself. Suppose that  $(L_n f)$  converges uniformly to  $f$  for the three test functions  $f = 1, x, x^2$ ,  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .*

*Then  $(L_n f)$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  for any function  $f \in C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ .*

**Proof.** (Similar to Bauer (1978), [2])

Denote  $f(x) = x$  by  $id$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$  by  $id^2$ , where  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Every  $f \in C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  is bounded:

$$|f(x)| \leq \gamma, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (79)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ .

Also since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ : for all  $x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,

$$|x - y| \leq \sqrt{\delta} \text{ then } |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (80)$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$(x - y)^2 \leq \delta \text{ then } |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (81)$$

If  $(x - y)^2 \leq \delta$  then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon + \alpha^* (x - y)^2, \quad (82)$$

with  $\alpha^* := 2\gamma\delta^{-1}$ ,

and if  $(x - y)^2 > \delta$ , i.e.  $\frac{(x-y)^2}{\delta} > 1$  we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq 2\gamma \leq \frac{2\gamma}{\delta} (x - y)^2 \\ &= \alpha^* (x - y)^2 \leq \varepsilon + \alpha^* (x - y)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

So in either case we get

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon + \alpha^* (x - y)^2. \quad (84)$$

Thus for any  $y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  we get

$$|f - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon + \alpha^* (id - y)^2. \quad (85)$$

Linearity and positivity of the operators  $L_n$  then imply

$$|L_n f - f(y) L_n(1)| \leq \varepsilon L_n(1) + \alpha^* [L_n(id^2) - 2y L_n(id) + y^2 L_n(1)]. \quad (86)$$

Evaluating the above inequality at  $x = y$ , we get

$$|L_n f - f \cdot L_n 1| \leq \varepsilon (L_n 1 - 1) + \varepsilon + \alpha^* \left( L_n(id^2) - 2(id) L_n(id) + (id)^2 L_n(1) \right). \quad (87)$$

From the assumption on  $(L_n(f))$  for the three functions  $f = 1, id, id^2$  and from the triangle inequality it follows that  $L_n f$  converges to  $f$  uniformly, true for any  $f \in C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . ■

We give the following quantitative approximation theorem on time scales (see also Theorem 4).

**Theorem 25** *Let  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale, and  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators,  $L_n$  is mapping  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  into itself. Here we consider  $f \in C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  such that*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (88)$$

where  $M > 0$ .

Then

$$\|L_n f - f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + M \left( \sqrt{\|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + 1} \right) \left( \sqrt{\|(L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2\|_{\infty} + 2c\|(L_n(t))(x) - x\|_{\infty} + c^2\|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty}} \right), \quad (89)$$

where  $c = \max(|a|, |b|)$ .

If  $L_n(1) = 1$  we get

$$\|L_n f - f\|_{\infty} \leq M \sqrt{\|(L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2\|_{\infty} + 2c\|(L_n(t))(x) - x\|_{\infty}}. \quad (90)$$

If  $L_n(t^k)(x)$  converges uniformly to  $x^k$  on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , then by (89), we get that  $L_n f$  converges uniformly to  $f$  over  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Given that  $f^{\Delta}$  exists and is bounded on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , the Lipschitz constant  $M$  could have been such that  $|f^{\Delta}(t)| \leq M, \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , see Remark 13.

**Proof.** Let  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  be momentarily fixed. We notice the following

$$\begin{aligned} (L_n f)(x) - f(x) &= (L_n f)(x) - f(x)(L_n(1))(x) + f(x)(L_n(1))(x) - f(x) \\ &= (L_n f)(x) - L_n(f(x))(x) + f(x)((L_n(1))(x) - 1) \\ &= (L_n(f - f(x)))(x) + f(x)((L_n(1))(x) - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_n f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \\ |(L_n(f - f(x)))(x)| &+ |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (L_n(|f - f(x)|))(x) + |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| =: (*). \quad (92)$$

Since we assumed

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq M|y - x|, \quad (93)$$

$\forall x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we can write

$$|f(\cdot) - f(x)| \leq M|id(\cdot) - x|, \quad (94)$$

for any fixed  $x \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

So that

$$(*) \leq M(L_n(id(\cdot) - x))(x) + |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| \stackrel{(64)}{\leq} \quad (95)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & M((L_n(1))(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (L_n((id(\cdot) - x)^2))(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| \leq \\ & M \|L_n(1)\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| L_n((id(\cdot) - x)^2)(x) \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|f\|_{\infty} \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

We have derived that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(L_n f) - f\|_{\infty} \leq \\ & \|f\|_{\infty} \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + M \sqrt{\|L_n(1)\|_{\infty}} \sqrt{\left\| (L_n((t-x)^2))(x) \right\|_{\infty}} \leq \\ & \|f\|_{\infty} \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + M \sqrt{\|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + 1} \\ & \sqrt{\|(L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2\|_{\infty} + 2c \|(L_n(t))(x) - x\|_{\infty} + c^2 \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

proving the claim. ■

When smoothness is present the speed of convergence improves dramatically.

We present

**Theorem 26** *Let  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale, and  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators,  $L_n$  is mapping  $C_u^1([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  (the space of one time continuously differentiable functions on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ) into  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Here we consider  $f \in C_u^1([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  such that*

$$|f^{\Delta}(x) - f^{\Delta}(y)| \leq M|x - y|, \quad (98)$$

$\forall x, y \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $M > 0$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_n(f) - f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|L_n(1) - 1\|_{\infty} + \\ & \|f^{\Delta}\|_{\infty} \|(L_n(t-x))(x)\|_{\infty} + M \left\| (L_n((t-x)^2))(x) \right\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

We have that

$$\|(L_n(t-x))(x)\|_{\infty} \leq$$

$$\left( \sqrt{\|L_n(1) - 1\|_\infty + 1} \right) \sqrt{\|((L_n(t-x)^2))(x)\|_\infty}, \quad (100)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|((L_n(t-x)^2))(x)\|_\infty &\leq \|((L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2)\|_\infty + \\ &2c \|((L_n(t))(x) - x)\|_\infty + c^2 \|L_n(1) - 1\|_\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

where  $c := \max(|a|, |b|)$ .

Clearly by (99), (100), (101), under the assumptions  $((L_n(t^k))(x) \rightarrow x^k, k = 0, 1, 2)$ , converge uniformly on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we obtain  $L_n f \rightarrow f, f \in C_u^1([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , converges uniformly, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $((L_n(1))(x) = 1)$  and  $((L_n(t))(x) = x)$ , we get that

$$((L_n(t-x)^2))(x) = ((L_n(t^2))(x) - x^2), \quad (102)$$

and then the speed of uniform convergence of  $L_n f \rightarrow f$  squares in comparison to Theorem 25, under the assumption that  $((L_n(t^2))(x) \rightarrow x^2)$ , converges uniformly on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Proof.** For the Delta  $\Delta$ -integral on time scales and properties we refer to [4].

By fundamental property

$$\int_x^t f^\Delta(\tau) \Delta\tau = f(t) - f(x), \quad (103)$$

we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + \int_x^t f^\Delta(\tau) \Delta\tau, \quad (104)$$

and

$$f(t) = f(x) + f^\Delta(x)(t-x) + \int_x^t (f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)) \Delta\tau. \quad (105)$$

Call

$$\mathcal{R}(t, x) := \int_x^t (f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)) \Delta\tau. \quad (106)$$

We estimate first  $\mathcal{R}(t, x)$ .

(i) If  $t \geq x$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}(t, x)| &= \left| \int_x^t (f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)) \Delta\tau \right| \leq \int_x^t |f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)| \Delta\tau \\ &\leq M \int_x^t |\tau - x| \Delta\tau \leq M(t-x)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

(ii) If  $t < x$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}(t, x)| &= \left| \int_t^x (f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)) \Delta\tau \right| \leq \int_t^x |f^\Delta(\tau) - f^\Delta(x)| \Delta\tau \\ &\leq M \int_t^x |\tau - x| \Delta\tau \leq M(x - t)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

So that, in either case, we have found

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, x)| \leq M(t - x)^2. \quad (109)$$

Hence we obtain

$$(L_n(f(t)))(x) = (L_n(f(x)))(x) + f^\Delta(x)(L_n(t - x))(x) + (L_n(\mathcal{R}(t, x)))(x), \quad (110)$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (L_n(f(t)))(x) - f(x) &= f(x)((L_n(1))(x) - 1) + \\ &f^\Delta(x)(L_n(t - x))(x) + (L_n(\mathcal{R}(t, x)))(x). \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Therefore it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_n(f(t)))(x) - f(x)| &\leq |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| + \\ &|f^\Delta(x)| |(L_n(t - x))(x)| + (L_n(|\mathcal{R}(t, x)|))(x) \leq \\ |f(x)| |(L_n(1))(x) - 1| &+ |f^\Delta(x)| |(L_n(t - x))(x)| + M \left( (L_n((t - x)^2))(x) \right) \leq \\ \|f\|_\infty \|L_n(1) - 1\|_\infty &+ \|f^\Delta\|_\infty \|(L_n(t - x))(x)\|_\infty + M \left\| (L_n((t - x)^2))(x) \right\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Also, as earlier, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_n(t - x))(x)| &\leq (L_n(|t - x|))(x) \leq \\ \sqrt{(L_n(1))(x)} \sqrt{(L_n((t - x)^2))(x)} &\leq \\ \sqrt{\|L_n(1)\|_\infty} \sqrt{\left\| (L_n(t - x)^2)(x) \right\|_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

That is

$$\|(L_n(t - x))(x)\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\|L_n(1)\|_\infty} \sqrt{\left\| (L_n(t - x)^2)(x) \right\|_\infty}. \quad (115)$$

The proof of the theorem now is complete. ■

We mention

**Theorem 27** ([3], [4], [8], Taylor's formula) Assume  $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$  and  $f \in C_{rd}^n(\mathbb{T})$  (the space of  $n$  times rd-continuously differentiable functions, see [4]),  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Here generally define  $h_0(t, s) = 1, \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}; k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and

$$h_{k+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t h_k(\tau, s) \Delta\tau, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (116)$$

(then  $h_k^\Delta(t, s) = h_{k-1}(t, s)$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in \mathbb{T}$ , for each  $s \in \mathbb{T}$  fixed). Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{\Delta^k}(s) h_k(t, s) + \int_s^t h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) f^{\Delta^n}(\tau) \Delta\tau \quad (117)$$

(above  $f^{\Delta^0}(s) = f(s)$ ).

We need

**Definition 28** ([4]) Let the functions  $g_k : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , defined recursively as follows:

$$g_0(t, s) = 1, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (118)$$

and

$$g_{k+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t g_k(\sigma(\tau), s) \Delta\tau, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (119)$$

Notice that

$$g_k^\Delta(t, s) = g_{k-1}(\sigma(t), s), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (120)$$

Also it holds

$$g_1(t, s) = h_1(t, s) = t - s, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (121)$$

We need

**Theorem 29** ([4]) It holds

$$h_n(t, s) = (-1)^n g_n(s, t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \quad (122)$$

and all  $s \in \mathbb{T}^{k^n}$  ( $\mathbb{T}^{k^2} := (\mathbb{T}^k)^k, \dots, \text{etc.}$ )

We need

**Corollary 30** Assume  $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}, f \in C_{rd}^n(\mathbb{T}), n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{\Delta^k}(s) h_k(t, s) + (-1)^n f^{\Delta^n}(s) g_n(s, t) + \int_s^t h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \left( f^{\Delta^n}(\tau) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right) \Delta\tau. \quad (123)$$

**Proof.** By (117) and (122). Namely we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \Delta\tau &= (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t g_{n-1}(\sigma(\tau), t) \Delta\tau = \\ &(-1)^n \int_t^s g_{n-1}(\sigma(\tau), t) \Delta\tau = (-1)^n g_n(s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

■

We make

**Remark 31** (to Corollary 30) *One can easily prove inductively that*

$$|h_k(t, s)| \leq |t - s|^k, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (125)$$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Call

$$\mathcal{R}(t, s) := \int_s^t h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau)) \left( f^{\Delta^n}(\tau) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right) \Delta\tau. \quad (126)$$

Assume that

$$\left| f^{\Delta^n}(t) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right| \leq M |t - s|^\alpha, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (127)$$

where  $\alpha$  is fixed such that  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

We estimate  $\mathcal{R}(t, s)$ .

(i) Assume  $t \geq s$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, s)| \leq \int_s^t |h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau))| \left| f^{\Delta^n}(\tau) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right| \Delta\tau \leq \quad (128)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M \int_s^t |t - \sigma(\tau)|^{n-1} |\tau - s|^\alpha \Delta\tau &\leq M (\sigma(t) - s)^{n-1} \int_s^t |\tau - s|^\alpha \Delta\tau \leq \\ &M (\sigma(t) - s)^{n-1} (t - s)^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

We proved, if  $t \geq s$  then

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, s)| \leq M (\sigma(t) - s)^{n-1} (t - s)^{\alpha+1} \leq M (\sigma(t) - s)^{n+\alpha}. \quad (130)$$

(ii) Assume  $t < s$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, s)| \leq \int_t^s |h_{n-1}(t, \sigma(\tau))| \left| f^{\Delta^n}(\tau) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right| \Delta\tau \leq \quad (131)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M \int_t^s |t - \sigma(\tau)|^{n-1} |\tau - s|^\alpha \Delta\tau &\leq M (\sigma(s) - t)^{n-1} (s - t)^{\alpha+1} \\ &\leq M (\sigma(s) - t)^{n+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We proved, if  $t < s$  then

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, s)| \leq M(\sigma(s) - t)^{n+\alpha}. \quad (132)$$

I.e. we have found

$$|\mathcal{R}(t, s)| \leq M\varphi(t, s), \quad (133)$$

where

$$\varphi(t, s) := \begin{cases} (\sigma(t) - s)^{n+\alpha}, & \text{if } t \geq s, \\ (\sigma(s) - t)^{n+\alpha}, & \text{if } t < s, \end{cases} \quad (134)$$

notice that  $\varphi(\cdot, s) \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathbb{T}$ .

We give the following related result

**Theorem 32** Assume  $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale and  $s, t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$ .

Let  $(L_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators,  $L_N$  is mapping  $C_{rd}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  into itself, such that  $L_N(1) = 1$ . Consider  $f \in C_u^n([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\left| f^{\Delta^n}(t) - f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right| \leq M|t - s|^\alpha, \quad \forall t, s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (135)$$

$\alpha$  is fixed such that  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $M > 0$ .

Let also  $\varphi(t, s)$  defined by (134).

Then

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (L_N(f(t)))(s) - f(s) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f^{\Delta^k}(s) (L_N(h_k(t, s)))(s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (-1)^{n+1} f^{\Delta^n}(s) (L_N(g_n(s, t)))(s) \right| \\ & \leq M (L_N(\varphi(t, s)))(s), \quad \forall s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

(ii) additionally assume that  $f^{\Delta^k}(s) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , for a fixed  $s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , to derive

$$|(L_N(f(t)))(s) - f(s)| \leq M (L_N(\varphi(t, s)))(s), \quad (137)$$

and

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_N(f(t)))(s) - f(s)| & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| f^{\Delta^k}(s) \right| |(L_N(h_k(t, s)))(s)| + \\ & \left| f^{\Delta^n}(s) \right| |(L_N(g_n(s, t)))(s)| + M (L_N(\varphi(t, s)))(s), \quad \forall s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

If  $(L_N(\varphi(t, s)))(s) \rightarrow 0$ , by (ii), we get

$$(L_N(f(t)))(s) \rightarrow f(s), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Other useful convergence consequences follow by (i) and (iii).



**Proof.** By (123) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_N (f(t)))(s) &:= (L_N (f(t)))(s) - f(s) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f^{\Delta^k}(s) (L_N (h_k(t, s)))(s) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f^{\Delta^n}(s) (L_N (g_n(s, t)))(s) = (L_N (\mathcal{R}(t, s)))(s). \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

Hence it holds

$$|(\Delta_N (f(t)))(s)| \leq (L_N (|\mathcal{R}(t, s)|))(s) \stackrel{(133)}{\leq} M (L_N (\varphi(t, s)))(s), \quad (140)$$

$\forall s \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , proving the claim. ■

**Application 33** Let  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale, such that  $\rho(b) = b$ . Hence  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}) = C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Let  $f \in C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{N}$ , by uniform continuity of  $f$  on the compact set  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , there exists a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $t', t'' \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $|t' - t''| \leq \delta$  implies

$$|f(t') - f(t'')| < \frac{1}{N}. \quad (141)$$

Define again

$$\chi_t(\xi) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \xi \in [a, t)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ 0, & \text{for } \xi \in [t, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \quad (142)$$

for  $t \in [a, b)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and

$$\chi_b(\xi) = 1 \text{ for all } \xi \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (143)$$

Notice that  $\chi_a(\xi) = 0$ ,  $\xi \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

For a partition  $P = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  ( $t_0 = a < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b$ ) belonging to  $\mathcal{P}_\delta([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  we consider the step function  $f^{(\frac{1}{N})}$  defined on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  by

$$f^{(\frac{1}{N})}(t) := f(t_{k-1}), \quad \text{if } t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)_{\mathbb{T}},$$

$k = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$f^{(\frac{1}{N})}(b) := f(t_n) = f(b),$$

which can be written in the form

$$f^{(\frac{1}{N})}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) \left[ \chi_{t_k}(t) - \chi_{t_{k-1}}(t) \right], \quad (144)$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 14 we saw that for  $t_{k-1} < t_k$  we get  $0 \leq \chi_{t_{k-1}} \leq \chi_{t_k} \leq \dots \leq \chi_b$ . Thus it holds

$$\chi_{t_k}(t) - \chi_{t_{k-1}}(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (145)$$

We define the following linear operator on  $C([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  :

$$(L_N(f))(t) := f^{(\frac{1}{N})}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_{k-1}) \left[ \chi_{t_k}(t) - \chi_{t_{k-1}}(t) \right], \quad (146)$$

$\forall t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Clearly  $L_N$  is a positive linear operator from  $C_u([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  into  $B([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Notice that  $(L_N(f))(t_k) = f^{(\frac{1}{N})}(t_k) = f(t_k)$ , for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , that is  $(L_N(f))$  has the interpolation property over  $P$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 14 we get

$$\|L_N f - f\|_{\infty} = \left\| f^{(\frac{1}{N})} - f \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{N}. \quad (147)$$

The last proves uniform convergence of  $L_N f$  to  $f$ , as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The above genuine example on time scales proves that our theory of approximation by positive linear operators on time scales is not trivial and not only valid on continuous intervals  $[a, b]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## References

- [1] G.A. Anastassiou, *Approximation by Interpolating Neural Network Operators*, Neural Parallel Scientific Computations, accepted 2014.
- [2] H. Bauer, *Approximation and abstract boundaries*, Ann. Math. Monthly, 85, 1978, 632-647.
- [3] M. Bohner and G. Guseinov, *The Convolution on time scales*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol. 2007, Article ID 58373, 24 pages.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001).
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Editors, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Berlin, 2003.
- [6] K. Boulabiar, *A Hölder-type inequality for positive functionals on  $\Phi$ -Algebras*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/> Vol.3, Issue 5, Article 74, 2002.
- [7] G.Sh. Guseinov, *Integration on time scales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 285 (2003), 107-127.

- [8] R. Higgins and A. Peterson, *Cauchy functions and Taylor's formula for Time scales  $T$* , (2004), in Proc. Sixth. Internat. Conf. on Difference equations, edited by B. Aulbach, S. Elaydi, G. Ladas, pp. 299-308, New Progress in Difference Equations, Augsburg, Germany, 2001, publisher: Chapman & Hall / CRC.
- [9] A. Huseynov, *The Riesz representation theorem on time scales*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 55 (2012), 1570-1579.
- [10] P.P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Hindustan Publ. Corp., Delhi, India, 1960.
- [11] P. Lax, *Functional Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002.
- [12] O. Shisha and B. Mond, *The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators*, Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S., 60 (1968), 1196-1200.