

**ON WEIGHTED OSTROWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR
FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES WITH BOUNDED
VARIATION**

HUSEYIN BUDAK AND MEHMET ZEKI SARIKAYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain new weighted Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two independent variables with bounded variation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on (a, b) whose derivative $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a, b) , i.e. $\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a, b)} |f'(t)| < \infty$. Then, we have the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2}{(b-a)^2} \right] (b-a) \|f'\|_\infty,$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$ [19]. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible. This inequality is well known in the literature as the *Ostrowski inequality*.

In [15], Dragomir proved following Ostrowski type inequalities related functions of bounded variation:

Theorem 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - (b-a) f(x) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} (b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

holds for all $x \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible.

In [22], Tseng et al. gave the following weighted Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of bounded variation:

Theorem 2. *Let us have $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $w : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous and positive on (a, b) and $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable such that $h'(t) = w(t)$ on $[a, b]$. Let $a_1 = h^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(b) \right)$, $b_1 = h^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(b) \right)$. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then for all $x \in [a_1, b_1]$,*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 26B30, 26D10, 41A55.

Key words and phrases. Bounded Variation, Ostrowski type inequalities, Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

we have the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_a^b w(t)f(t)dt - \left[(1-\alpha)f(x) + \alpha \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \int_a^b w(t)dt \right| \leq K \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

where

$$K := \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w(t)dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w(t)dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w(t)dt \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w(t)dt, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\bigvee_a^b(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on interval $[a, b]$. In (1.2), the constant $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and the constant $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ for $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ are the best possible.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND LEMMAS

In 1910, Fréchet [17] has given the following characterization for the double Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Assume that $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are defined over the rectangle $Q = [a, b] \times [c, d]$; let R be the divided into rectangular subdivisions, or cells, by the net of straight lines $x = x_i$, $y = y_i$,

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b, \text{ and } c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d;$$

let ξ_i, η_j be any numbers satisfying $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $\eta_j \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$); and for all i, j let

$$\Delta_{11}g(x_i, y_j) = g(x_{i-1}, y_{j-1}) - g(x_{i-1}, y_j) - g(x_i, y_{j-1}) + g(x_i, y_j).$$

Then if the sum

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi_i, \eta_j) \Delta_{11}g(x_i, y_j)$$

tends to a finite limit as the norm of the subdivisions approaches zero, the integral of f with respect to g is said to exist. We call this limit the restricted integral, and designate it by the symbol

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) d_y d_x g(x, y).$$

If in the above formulation S is replaced by the sum

$$S^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi_{ij}, \eta_{ij}) \Delta_{11}g(x_i, y_j),$$

where ξ_{ij}, η_{ij} are numbers satisfying $\xi_{ij} \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $\eta_{ij} \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$, we call the limit, when it exist, the unrestricted integral, and designate it by the symbol

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) d_y d_x g(x, y).$$

Clearly, the existence of (2.2) implies both the existence of (2.1) and its equality (2.2). On the other hand, Clarkson [13] has shown that the existence of (2.1) does not imply the existence of (2.2).

In [12], Clarkson and Adams gave the following definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables:

2.1. Definitions. The function $f(x, y)$ is assumed to be defined in rectangle $R(a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d)$. By the term *net* we shall, unless otherwise specified mean a set of parallels to the axes:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m), \quad a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b; \\ y &= y_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = d. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the smaller rectangles into which R is divided by a net will be called a *cell*. We employ the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{11}f(x_i, y_j) &= f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}) - f(x_{i+1}, y_j) - f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_i, y_j) \\ \Delta f(x_i, y_j) &= f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}) - f(x_i, y_j) \end{aligned}$$

The total variation function, $\phi(\bar{x}) [\psi(\bar{y})]$, is defined as the total variation of $f(\bar{x}, y)$ [$f(x, \bar{y})$] considered as a function of y [x] alone in interval (c, d) [(a, b)], or as $+\infty$ if $f(\bar{x}, y)$ [$f(x, \bar{y})$] is of unbounded variation.

Definition 1. (*Vitali-Lebesgue-Fréchet-de la Vallée Poussin*). The function $f(x, y)$ is said to be of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{11}f(x_i, y_j)|$$

is bounded for all nets.

Definition 2. (*Fréchet*). The function $f(x, y)$ is said to be of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_i \bar{\epsilon}_j |\Delta_{11}f(x_i, y_j)|$$

is bounded for all nets and all possible choices of $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_j = \pm 1$.

Definition 3. (*Hardy-Krause*). The function $f(x, y)$ is said to be of bounded variation if it satisfies the condition of Definition 1 and if in addition $f(\bar{x}, y)$ is of bounded variation in y (i.e. $\phi(\bar{x})$ is finite) for at least one \bar{x} and $f(x, \bar{y})$ is of bounded variation in x (i.e. $\psi(\bar{y})$ is finite) for at least one \bar{y} .

Definition 4. (*Arzelà*). Let (x_i, y_i) ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) be any set of points satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b; \\ c &= y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(x, y)$ is said to be of bounded variation if the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\Delta f(x_i, y_i)|$$

is bounded for all such sets of points.

Therefore, one can define the concept of total variation of a function of variables, as follows:

Let f be of bounded variation on $Q = [a, b] \times [c, d]$, and let $\sum(P)$ denote the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\Delta_{11} f(x_i, y_j)|$ corresponding to the partition P of Q . The number

$$\bigvee_Q(f) := \bigvee_c^d \bigvee_a^b(f) := \sup \left\{ \sum(P) : P \in P(Q) \right\},$$

is called the total variation of f on Q .

In [18], authors proved the following Lemmas related double Riemann-Stieltjes integral:

Lemma 1. (Integrating by parts) If $f \in RS(g)$ on Q , then $g \in RS(f)$ on Q , and we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_c^d \int_a^b f(t, s) d_t d_s g(t, s) + \int_c^d \int_a^b g(t, s) d_t d_s f(t, s) \\ = f(b, d)g(b, d) - f(b, c)g(b, c) - f(a, d)g(a, d) + f(a, c)g(a, c).$$

Lemma 2. Assume that $\Omega \in RS(g)$ on Q and g is of bounded variation on Q , then

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_c^d \int_a^b \Omega(x, y) d_x d_y g(x, y) \right| \leq \sup_{(x, y) \in Q} |\Omega(x, y)| \bigvee_Q(g).$$

In [18], Jawarneh and Noorani obtained the following Ostrowski type inequality for functions of two variables with bounded variation:

Theorem 3. Let $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be mapping of bounded variation on Q . Then for all $(x, y) \in Q$, we have inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \left| (b-a)(d-c)f(x, y) - \int_c^d \int_a^b f(t, s) dt ds \right| \\ \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{2}(d-c) + \left| y - \frac{c+d}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_Q(f)$$

where $\bigvee_Q(f)$ denotes the total (double) variation of f on Q .

In [6], Budak and Sarikaya proved the following generalization of the inequality (2.5):

Theorem 4. *Let $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be mapping of bounded variation on Q . Then for all $(x, y) \in Q$, we have inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & |(b-a)(d-c)[(1-\lambda)(1-\eta)f(x,y) \\
& + \frac{(1-\lambda)\eta}{2}[f(a,y)+f(b,y)] + \frac{\lambda(1-\eta)}{2}[f(x,c)+f(x,d)] \\
& + \frac{\lambda\eta}{4}[f(a,c)+f(a,d)+f(b,c)+f(b,d)] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t,s)dsdt| \\
\leq & \max \left\{ \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \left(x - \frac{(2-\lambda)a + \lambda b}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\lambda)b + \lambda a}{2} - x \right) \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ \eta \frac{d-c}{2}, \left(y - \frac{(2-\eta)c + \eta d}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(2-\eta)d + \eta c}{2} - y \right) \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda, \eta \in [0, 1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \leq x \leq b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$, $c + \eta \frac{d-c}{2} \leq y \leq d - \eta \frac{d-c}{2}$, where $\bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on Q .

For more information and recent developments on inequalities for mappings of bounded variation, please refer to ([1]-[11],[14]-[16],[18],[20]-[25]).

The aim of this paper is to establish new weighted Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two independent variables with bounded variation.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let us have $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$. Let $w_1 : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous and positive on (a, b) and $h_1 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable such that $h_1'(t) = w_1(t)$ on $[a, b]$. Similarly, let $w_2 : [c, d] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous and positive on (c, d) and $h_2 : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable such that $h_2'(t) = w_2(t)$ on $[c, d]$. Let $a_1 = h_1^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right)$, $b_1 = h_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right)$, $c_1 = h_2^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right)$ and $d_1 = h_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right)$.

Theorem 5. *If $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, then we have the following inequality for all $(x, y) \in [a_1, b_1] \times [c_1, d_1]$,*

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) [(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)f(x, y)] \right. \\ & \left. + (1-\alpha)\beta \frac{f(x, c) + f(x, d)}{2} + \alpha(1-\beta) \frac{f(a, y) + f(b, y)}{2} \right] \\ & \left. + \alpha\beta \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{4} - \int_a^b \int_c^d w_1(t)w_2(s)f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq KL \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t) dt + \left| h_1(x) - \frac{h_1(a)+h_1(b)}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t) dt + \left| h_1(x) - \frac{h_1(a)+h_1(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t) dt, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$L = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t) dt + \left| h_2(y) - \frac{h_2(c)+h_2(d)}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t) dt + \left| h_2(y) - \frac{h_2(c)+h_2(d)}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t) dt, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \beta \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f)$ denotes the total variation of f on interval $[a, b] \times [c, d]$.

Proof. For $(x, y) \in [a_1, b_1] \times [c_1, d_1]$, we define the following mappings q, p by

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} h_1(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right], & t \in [a, x] \\ h_1(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right], & t \in [x, b] \end{cases}$$

and

$$p(s) = \begin{cases} h_2(s) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right], & s \in [c, y] \\ h_2(s) - \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right], & s \in [y, d]. \end{cases}$$

Using the $q(t)$ and $p(s)$ kernels, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_c^d q(t)p(s) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\ &= \int_a^x \int_c^y \left(h_1(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\ & \quad + \int_a^x \int_y^d \left(h_1(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\ & \quad + \int_x^b \int_c^y \left(h_1(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\ & \quad + \int_x^b \int_y^d \left(h_1(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Here, by integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & I_1 \\ &= \int_a^x \int_c^y \left(h_1(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[h_1(x) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[h_2(y) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] f(x, y) \\
&\quad + \left[h_1(x) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(x, c) \\
&\quad + \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left[h_2(y) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] f(a, y) \\
&\quad + \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(a, c) \\
&\quad - \int_a^x \int_c^y w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt,
\end{aligned}$$

By similar method, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad &I_2 \\
&= \int_a^x \int_y^d \left(h_1(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\
&= \left[h_1(x) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(x, d) \\
&\quad - \left[h_1(x) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[h_2(y) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] f(x, y) \\
&\quad + \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(a, d) \\
&\quad - \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left[h_2(y) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] f(a, y) \\
&\quad - \int_a^x \int_y^d w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad &I_3 \\
&= \int_x^b \int_c^y \left(h_1(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right] \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left[h_2(y) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) h_2(c) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] f(b, y) \\
&\quad + \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(b, c) \\
&\quad - \left[h_1(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h_1(b) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[h_2(y) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) h_2(c) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right] f(x, y) \\
&\quad - \left[h_1(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h_1(b) \right] \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(x, c) \\
&\quad - \int_x^b \int_c^y w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad &I_4 \\
&= \int_x^b \int_y^d \left(h_1(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h_1(b) \right] \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(h_2(s) - \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) h_2(d) \right] \right) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\
&= \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(b, d) \\
&\quad - \left(\alpha \frac{h_1(b) - h_1(a)}{2} \right) \left[h_2(y) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) h_2(d) \right] f(b, y) \\
&\quad - \left[h_1(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h_1(b) \right] \left(\beta \frac{h_2(d) - h_2(c)}{2} \right) f(x, d) \\
&\quad + \left[h_1(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h_1(b) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[h_2(y) - \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) h_2(d) \right] f(x, y) \\
&\quad - \int_x^b \int_y^d w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.2)-(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b \int_c^d q(t) p(s) d_s d_t f(t, s) \\
&= \left(\int_a^b w(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d g(t) dt \right) \left[(1 - \alpha) (1 - \beta) f(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) \beta \frac{f(x, c) + f(x, d)}{2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\alpha(1-\beta)\frac{f(a,y)+f(b,y)}{2} + \alpha\beta\frac{f(a,c)+f(a,d)+f(b,c)+f(b,d)}{4} \Big] \\
& - \int_a^b \int_c^d w_1(t)w_2(s)f(t,s)dsdt.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_a^b \int_c^d q(t)p(s)d_s d_t f(t,s) \right| \\
\leq & \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |q(t)| \sup_{s \in [c,d]} |p(s)| \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\
= & \max \left\{ h_1(x) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(b) \right], \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(b) \right] - h_1(x), \frac{\alpha}{2} [h_1(b) - h_1(a)] \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ h_2(y) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(c) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(d) \right], \left[\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(c) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(d) \right] - h_2(y), \frac{\beta}{2} [h_2(d) - h_2(c)] \right\} \\
& \times \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\
= & \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} [h_1(b) - h_1(a)] + \left| h_1(x) - \frac{h_1(a) + h_1(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} [h_1(b) - h_1(a)] \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} [h_2(d) - h_2(c)] + \left| h_2(y) - \frac{h_2(c) + h_2(d)}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} [h_2(d) - h_2(c)] \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\
= & \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t)dt + \left| h_1(x) - \frac{h_1(a) + h_1(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t)dt \right\} \\
& \times \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t)dt + \left| h_2(y) - \frac{h_2(c) + h_2(d)}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t)dt \right\} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\
= & KL \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 5. \square

Remark 1. If we choose $w(t) \equiv g(s) \equiv 1$ ($h_1(t) = t$ and $h_2(s) = s$), and $\alpha = \beta = 0$, then the inequality (3.1) reduces the inequality (2.5).

Remark 2. If we choose $w(t) \equiv g(s) \equiv 1$ ($h_1(t) = t$ and $h_2(s) = s$), $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in the inequality (3.1), then we have the Simpson's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(b, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, d) + f(a, c)}{36} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right)}{9} \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{4}{9} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{9} \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f) \end{aligned}$$

which is proved by Jawarneh and Noorani in [18].

Remark 3. If we choose $w_1(t) \equiv w_2(s) \equiv 1$ ($h_1(t) = t$ and $h_2(s) = s$), $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in the inequality (3.1), then we have

$$\left| \frac{f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right)}{2} - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \bigvee_Q(f).$$

which is given by Budak and Sarikaya in [10]. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

Remark 4. If we choose $w_1(t) \equiv w_2(s) \equiv 1$ ($h_1(t) = t$ and $h_2(s) = s$), $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in the inequality (3.1), then we have

$$\left| \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right)}{2} - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \bigvee_Q(f)$$

which is proved by Budak and Sarikaya in [10]. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

Remark 5. If we choose $w_1(t) \equiv w_2(s) \equiv 1$ ($h_1(t) = t$ and $h_2(s) = s$), $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$, $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $y = \frac{c+d}{2}$ in the inequality (3.1), then we have

(3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{4} + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(a, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(b, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c\right) + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d\right) \right] \\ & \quad \left. \left. + f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \bigvee_Q(f). \end{aligned}$$

which is given by Budak and Sarikaya in [6]. The constant $\frac{1}{16}$ is the best possible.

Corollary 1 (weighted Ostrowski inequality). *Under the assumption Theorem 5, if we choose $\alpha = \beta = 0$, for all $(x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$, then we have the following weighted Ostrowski inequality;*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) f(x, y) - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b w_1(t) dt + \left| h_1(x) - \frac{h_1(a) + h_1(b)}{2} \right| \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_c^d w_2(t) dt + \left| h_2(y) - \frac{h_2(c) + h_2(d)}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2 (weighted trapezoid inequality). *Under the assumption Theorem 5, if we choose $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then we have the following weighted trapezoid inequality;*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(b, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, d) + f(a, c)}{4} \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3 (weighted Simpson's inequality). *Under assumption Theorem 5, if we choose $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $x = h_1^{-1} \left(\frac{h_1(a) + h_1(b)}{2} \right)$ and $y = h_2^{-1} \left(\frac{h_2(c) + h_2(d)}{2} \right)$, then we have the weighted Simpson's inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) \left[\frac{f(b, d) + f(b, c) + f(a, d) + f(a, c)}{36} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{f \left(a, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) + f \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c \right) + f \left(b, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) + f \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, d \right)}{9} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{4}{9} f \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \right] - \int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{9} \left(\int_a^b w_1(t) dt \right) \left(\int_c^d w_2(t) dt \right) \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f). \end{aligned}$$

4. SOME COMPOSITE QUADRATURE FORMULA

Let us consider the arbitrary division $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$, and $J_m : c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = d$, $l_1^i := x_{i+1} - x_i$, and $l_2^j := y_{j+1} - y_j$,

$$v(l_1) := \max \{ l_1^i \mid i = 0, \dots, n-1 \},$$

$$v(l_2) := \max \left\{ l_2^j \mid j = 0, \dots, m-1 \right\},$$

$$v(W_1) := \max \left\{ W_1^i \mid i = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\}, \quad W_1^i := \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} w_1(u) du = h_1(x_{i+1}) - h_1(x_i),$$

$$v(W_2) := \max \left\{ W_2^j \mid j = 0, \dots, m-1 \right\}, \quad W_2^j := \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} w_2(u) du = h_2(y_{j+1}) - h_2(y_j).$$

Let us have $\alpha, \beta, w_1, h_1, w_2$, and h_2 defined as in Theorem 5. Let $a_1^i = h_1^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(x_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(x_{i+1}) \right)$, $b_1^i = h_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} h_1(x_i) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h_1(x_{i+1}) \right)$, $c_1^j = h_2^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(y_{j+1}) + \frac{\beta}{2} h_2(y_j) \right)$ and $d_1^j = h_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} h_2(y_j) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) h_2(y_{j+1}) \right)$, $\xi_i \in [a_1^i, b_1^i]$, ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$) and $\eta_j \in [c_1^j, d_1^j]$ ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$).

Define the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & A(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[(1-\alpha)(1-\beta) f(\xi_i, \eta_j) \right. \\ & \quad + (1-\alpha)\beta \frac{f(\xi_i, y_j) + f(\xi_i, y_{j+1})}{2} + \alpha(1-\beta) \frac{f(x_i, \eta_j) + f(x_{i+1}, \eta_j)}{2} \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha\beta \frac{f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})}{4} \right] W_1^i W_2^j. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. Let f defined as in Theorem 5 and let

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt = A(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m) + R(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m).$$

The remainder term $R(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad & |R(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m)| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} K_i L_j \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} (f) \leq M_1 N_1 \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \\ & \leq M_2 N_2 \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \leq M_3 N_3 \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} W_1^i + \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} W_1^i + \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} W_1^i \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} W_1^i, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \begin{cases} \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} W_1^i + \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \right\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} v(W_1) + \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} v(W_1) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} v(W_1), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}, \\
M_2 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} v(W_1) + \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} v(W_1) dt + \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} v(W_1) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} v(W_1), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}, \\
M_3 &= \begin{cases} (1-\alpha) v(W_1) & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} v(W_1), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
L_i &= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\beta}{2} W_2^j + \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} W_2^j + \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} W_2^j \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\beta}{2} W_2^j, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \beta \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (j = 0, \dots, m-1), \\
N_1 &= \begin{cases} \max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} W_2^j + \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right| \right\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} v(W_2) + \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} v(W_2) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\beta}{2} v(W_2), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \beta \leq 1. \end{cases}, \\
N_2 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1-\beta}{2} v(W_2) + \max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \left\{ \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right| \right\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} v(W_2) + \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right|, \frac{\beta}{2} v(W_2) \right\} \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\beta}{2} v(W_2), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \beta \leq 1. \end{cases}, \\
N_3 &= \begin{cases} (1-\beta) v(W_2), & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\beta}{2} v(W_2), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \beta \leq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 5 to the bidimensional interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \left| [(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)f(\xi_i, \eta_j) \right. \\
& + (1-\alpha)\beta \frac{f(\xi_i, y_j) + f(\xi_i, y_{j+1})}{2} \\
& + \alpha(1-\beta) \frac{f(x_i, \eta_j) + f(x_{i+1}, \eta_j)}{2} \\
& \left. + \alpha\beta \frac{f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})}{4} \right] W_1^i W_2^j \\
& - \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} w_1(t) w_2(s) f(t, s) ds dt \Big| \\
& \leq K_i L_j \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} (f).
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi_i \in [a_1^i, b_1^i]$, ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$) and $\eta_j \in [c_1^j, d_1^j]$ ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$).

Summing the inequality (4.2) over i from 0 to $n-1$ and j from 0 to $m-1$ and using the generalized triangle inequality, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & |R(f, w_1, h_1, w_2, h_2, I_n, J_m)| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} K_i L_j \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}}(f) \\
& \leq \left(\max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} K_i \right) \left(\max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} L_j \right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \bigvee_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}}(f) \\
& = M_1 N_1 \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f) \leq M_2 N_2 \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d(f).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proofs of the first three inequalities in (4.1).

In the last inequality (4.3), we observe that

$$\left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} W_1^i,$$

and so

$$\max_{i=0, \dots, n-1} \left| h_1(\xi_i) - \frac{h_1(x_i) + h_1(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} v(W_1).$$

Similarly

$$\max_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \left| h_2(\eta_j) - \frac{h_2(y_j) + h_2(y_{j+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{2} v(W_2).$$

These show that $M_2 \leq M_3$ and $N_2 \leq N_3$.

The proof of the theorem is completely completed. \square

Remark 6. If we choose $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $w_1(t) \equiv 1$, $h_1(t) = t$ on $[a, b]$ and $w_2(s) \equiv 1$, $h_2(s) = s$ on $[c, d]$ in Theorem 6, then the inequalities (4.1) reduce to the inequalities (4.2) in [5].

Remark 7. If we choose $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $w(t) \equiv 1$, $h_1(t) = t$ on $[a, b]$ and $g(s) \equiv 1$, $h_2(s) = s$ on $[c, d]$, $\xi_i = \frac{x_i x_{i+1}}{2}$ ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$) and $\eta_j = \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}$ ($j = 0, \dots, m-1$)

in Theorem 6, then we have the Simpson's sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_S(f, I_n, J_m) \\
&= \frac{4}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) l_1^i l_2^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, y_j\right) + f\left(\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, y_{j+1}\right) \right] l_1^i l_2^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[f\left(x_i, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) + f\left(x_{i+1}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2}\right) \right] l_1^i l_2^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} [f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})] l_1^i l_2^j
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(t, s) ds dt = A_S(f, I_n, J_m) + R_S(f, I_n, J_m)$$

and the remainder term $R_S(f, I_n, J_m)$ satisfies

$$|R_S(f, I_n, J_m)| \leq \frac{1}{9} v(l_1) v(l_2) \bigvee_a^b \bigvee_c^d (f)$$

which given by Budak and Sarikaya in [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. W. Alomari, *A Generalization of Weighted Companion of Ostrowski Integral Inequality for Mappings of Bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14(2011), Article 87, 11 pp.
- [2] M. W. Alomari and M.A. Latif, *Weighted Companion for the Ostrowski and the Generalized Trapezoid Inequalities for Mappings of Bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 14(2011), Article 92, 10 pp.
- [3] M. W. Alomari, *A Generalization of Dragomir's generalization of Ostrowski Integral Inequality and applications in numerical integration*, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 2012, 64(4).
- [4] N.S. Barnett, S.S. Dragomir, I. Gomm, *A companion for the Ostrowski and the generalized trapezoid inequalities*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 50 (2009) 179-187.
- [5] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *On Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two variables with bounded variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 17(2014), Article 153, 18 pp.
- [6] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *On generalization Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two variables with bounded variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 17(2014), Article 154, 13 pp.
- [7] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *On generalization of Dragomir's inequalities*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 17(2014), Article 155, 10 pp.
- [8] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *On generalization trapezoid inequality for functions of two variables with bounded variation and applications*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., (2014) accepted.
- [9] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *A companion of Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two variables with bounded Variation*, RGMIA Research Report Collection, 17(2014), Article 157, 16 pp.

- [10] H. Budak and M.Z. Sarikaya, *A companion of generalization of Ostrowski type inequalities for functions of two variables with bounded variation*, Submitted.
- [11] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir, and C. E. M. Pearce, *A generalized trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation*, Turk J Math, 24 (2000), 147-163.
- [12] J.A. Clarkson and C. R. Adams, *On definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1933), 824-854.
- [13] J.A. Clarkson, *On double Riemann-Stieltjes integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1933), 929-936.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, *The Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 60(1) (1999), 495-508.
- [15] S. S. Dragomir, *On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 4 (2001), no. 1, 59-66.
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, *A companion of Ostrowski's inequality for functions of bounded variation and applications*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 5 (2014) No. 1, 89-97 16 pp.
- [17] M. Fréchet, *Extension au cas des intégrals multiples d'une définition de l'intégrale due à Stieltjes*, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques 10 (1910), 241-256.
- [18] Y. Jawarneh and M.S.M Noorani, *Inequalities of Ostrowski and Simpson Type for Mappings of Two Variables with Bounded Variation and Applications*, TJMM, 3 (2011), No. 2, 81-94
- [19] A. M. Ostrowski, *Über die absolutabweichung einer differentiebaren funktion von ihrem integralmittelwert*, Comment. Math. Helv. 10(1938), 226-227.
- [20] K-L Tseng, G-S Yang, and S. S. Dragomir, *Generalizations of weighted trapezoidal inequality for mappings of bounded variation and their applications*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 40 (2004) 77-84.
- [21] K-L Tseng, *Improvements of some inequalities of Ostrowski type and their applications*, Taiwan. J. Math. 12 (9) (2008) 2427-2441.
- [22] K-L Tseng, S-R Hwang, and S. S. Dragomir, *Generalizations of weighted Ostrowski type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation and applications*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 55(2008), 1785-1793.
- [23] K-L Tseng, S-R Hwang, G-S Yang, and Y-M Chou, *Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation I*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 2348-2355.
- [24] K-L Tseng, S-R Hwang, G-S Yang, and Y-M Chou, *Weighted Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation*, Taiwanese J. of Math., Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 573-585, April 2011.
- [25] K-L Tseng, *Improvements of the Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation II*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 218 (2012) 5841-5847.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, DÜZCE UNIVERSITY, DÜZCE-TURKEY

E-mail address: `hsyn.budak@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `sarikayamz@gmail.com`