

Received 04/06/15

INEQUALITIES FOR ČEBYŠEV FUNCTIONAL IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

S. S. DRAGOMIR^{1,2}, M. V. BOLDEA³, AND M. MEGAN⁴

ABSTRACT. By utilizing some identities for double sums, some new inequalities for the Čebyšev functional in Banach algebras are given. Some examples for the exponential and resolvent functions on Banach algebras are also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1950, M. Biernacki, H. Pidek and C. Ryll-Nardzewski [4] established the following discrete version of Grüss' inequality, see also [52, Ch. X]:

Theorem 1. *Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two n -tuples of real numbers such that $r \leq a_i \leq R$ and $s \leq b_i \leq S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then one has the inequality:*

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right) (R - r) (S - s)$$

when $[x]$ is the integer part of $x, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

A weighted version of Grüss' discrete inequality was proved by J.E. Pečarić in 1979, see for instance [52, Ch. X]:

Theorem 2. *Let a, b be two monotonic n -tuples and p a positive one. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \cdot \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i \right| \leq |a_n - a_1| |b_n - b_1| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left(\frac{P_k \bar{P}_{k+1}}{P_n^2} \right)$$

where $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$, $\bar{P}_{k+1} = P_n - P_{k+1}$.

In 1981, A. Lupaş [52, Ch. X] proved some similar results for the first difference of a as follows :

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, Power series, Exponential function, Resolvent function, Norm inequalities.

Theorem 3. *Let a, b two monotonic n -tuples in the same sense and p a positive n -tuple. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \min_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |a_{i+1} - a_i| \min_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |b_{i+1} - b_i| \left[\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 p_i - \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n i p_i \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \cdot \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |a_{i+1} - a_i| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |b_{i+1} - b_i| \left[\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 p_i - \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n i p_i \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists the numbers $\bar{a}, \bar{a}_1, r, r_1, (rr_1 > 0)$ such that $a_k = \bar{a} + kr$ and $b_k = \bar{a}_1 + kr_1$, then in (1.3) the equality holds.

For some generalizations of Gruss' inequality for isotonic linear functionals defined on certain spaces of mappings see Chapter X of the book [52] where further references are given .

For related results, see [1]-[33], [38]-[44] and [47]-[63].

2. SOME FACTS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

In order to extend the above results for Banach algebras, we need some preliminary facts as follows:

Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra. An *algebra norm* on \mathcal{B} is a map $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, and, further:

$$\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. The normed algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *Banach algebra* if $\|\cdot\|$ is a *complete norm*.

We assume that the Banach algebra is *unital*, this means that \mathcal{B} has an identity 1 and that $\|1\| = 1$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital algebra. An element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is *invertible* if there exists an element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $ab = ba = 1$. The element b is unique; it is called the *inverse* of a and written a^{-1} or $\frac{1}{a}$. The set of invertible elements of \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$. If $a, b \in \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$ then $ab \in \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$ and $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$.

For a unital Banach algebra we also have:

- (i) If $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} < 1$, then $1 - a \in \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$;
- (ii) $\{a \in \mathcal{B} : \|1 - a\| < 1\} \subset \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$;
- (iii) $\text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$ is an *open subset* of \mathcal{B} ;
- (iv) The map $\text{Inv}\mathcal{B} \ni a \longmapsto a^{-1} \in \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$ is continuous.

For simplicity, we denote $\lambda 1$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and 1 is the identity of \mathcal{B} , by λ . The *resolvent set* of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\rho(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - a \in \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}\};$$

the *spectrum* of a is $\sigma(a)$, the complement of $\rho(a)$ in \mathbb{C} , and the *resolvent function* of a is $R_a : \rho(a) \rightarrow \text{Inv}\mathcal{B}$, $R_a(\lambda) := (\lambda - a)^{-1}$. For each $\lambda, \gamma \in \rho(a)$ we have the identity

$$R_a(\gamma) - R_a(\lambda) = (\lambda - \gamma) R_a(\lambda) R_a(\gamma).$$

We also have that $\sigma(a) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq \|a\|\}$. The *spectral radius* of a is defined as $\nu(a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\}$.

If a, b are *commuting* elements in \mathcal{B} , i.e. $ab = ba$, then

$$\nu(ab) \leq \nu(a)\nu(b) \text{ and } \nu(a+b) \leq \nu(a) + \nu(b).$$

Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, R)$ given by the *power series* $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$ ($|\lambda| < R$). If $\nu(a) < R$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j a^j$ converges in the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} because $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| \|a^j\| < \infty$, and we can define $f(a)$ to be its sum. Clearly $f(a)$ is well defined and there are many examples of important functions on a Banach algebra \mathcal{B} that can be constructed in this way. For instance, the *exponential map* on \mathcal{B} denoted \exp and defined as

$$\exp a := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} a^j \text{ for each } a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

If \mathcal{B} is not commutative, then many of the familiar properties of the exponential function from the scalar case do not hold. The following key formula is valid, however with the additional hypothesis of commutativity for a and b from \mathcal{B}

$$\exp(a+b) = \exp(a)\exp(b).$$

In a general Banach algebra \mathcal{B} it is difficult to determine the elements in the range of the exponential map $\exp(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. the element which have a "*logarithm*". However, it is easy to see that if a is an element in \mathcal{B} such that $\|1-a\| < 1$, then a is in $\exp(\mathcal{B})$. That follows from the fact that if we set

$$b = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (1-a)^n,$$

then the series converges absolutely and, as in the scalar case, substituting this series into the series expansion for $\exp(b)$ yields $\exp(b) = a$.

It is known that if x and y are commuting, i.e. $xy = yx$, then the exponential function satisfies the property

$$\exp(x)\exp(y) = \exp(y)\exp(x) = \exp(x+y).$$

Also, if x is invertible and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ then

$$\int_a^b \exp(tx) dt = x^{-1} [\exp(bx) - \exp(ax)].$$

Moreover, if x and y are commuting and $y-x$ is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \exp((1-s)x + sy) ds &= \int_0^1 \exp(s(y-x)) \exp(x) ds \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(s(y-x)) ds \right) \exp(x) \\ &= (y-x)^{-1} [\exp(y-x) - I] \exp(x) \\ &= (y-x)^{-1} [\exp(y) - \exp(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities for functions of operators in Hilbert spaces may be found in the papers [15], [14] and in the recent monographs [34], [35], [45] and the references therein.

The following inequality of Grüss-Lupaş type in Banach algebras holds:

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra over \mathbb{K} ($=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$), $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have the inequality:*

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i b_i \right\| \\ \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \|a_{j+1} - a_j\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \|b_{j+1} - b_j\| \\ \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |\alpha_i| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i |\alpha_i| \right)^2 \right]$$

The inequality (2.1) is sharp in the sense that the multiplicative constant $C = 1$ in the right membership can not be replaced by a smaller one.

Let α_n be nonzero complex numbers and let

$$R := \frac{1}{\limsup |\alpha_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}.$$

Clearly $0 \leq R \leq \infty$, but we consider only the case $0 < R \leq \infty$.

Denote by:

$$D(0, R) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < R\}, & \text{if } R < \infty \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } R = \infty, \end{cases}$$

consider the functions:

$$\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda) : D(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$$

and

$$\lambda \mapsto f_A(\lambda) : D(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f_A(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \lambda^n.$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital Banach algebra and 1 its unity. Denote by

$$B(0, R) = \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\| < R\}, & \text{if } R < \infty \\ \mathcal{B}, & \text{if } R = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We associate to f the map:

$$x \mapsto \tilde{f}(x) : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \tilde{f}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n.$$

Obviously, \tilde{f} is correctly defined because the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n$ is absolutely convergent, since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\alpha_n x^n\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \|x\|^n$.

Making use of Theorem 4 we have the following inequality for power series:

Theorem 5. *Let $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ be a power series that is convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$, with $R > 0$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $xy = yx$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$, then we have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < R$ the inequality:*

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \tilde{f}(\lambda \cdot 1) \tilde{f}(\lambda xy) - \tilde{f}(\lambda x) \tilde{f}(\lambda y) \right\| \\ \leq \|x - 1\| \|y - 1\| \left\{ f_A(|\lambda|) \left[|\lambda| f'_A(|\lambda|) + |\lambda|^2 f''_A(|\lambda|) \right] - [|\lambda| f'_A(|\lambda|)]^2 \right\}.$$

Motivated by the above results we establish in this paper other similar inequalities for the norm of the *Čebyšev difference*

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda \cdot 1) \tilde{f}(\lambda xy) - \tilde{f}(\lambda x) \tilde{f}(\lambda y)$$

by the use of some discrete inequalities of Grüss' type.

First we establish some identities of interest in Banach algebras,

3. IDENTITIES IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

Consider the Čebyšev functional defined for $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$, where \mathcal{B} is a Banach algebra over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} :

$$(3.1) \quad T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := P_n \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i,$$

where $P_n := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$.

The following particular identities for unweighted means hold as well, where $T_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$ is defined as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad T_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i.$$

If α, β are scalars and x, y are vectors in a Banach algebra \mathcal{B} , we denote by

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} := \alpha y - \beta x \in \mathcal{B}.$$

The first result is embodied in the following

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. If we define*

$$P_i := \sum_{k=1}^i p_k, \quad \bar{P}_i := P_n - P_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\},$$

$$A_i(\mathbf{p}) := \sum_{k=1}^i p_k a_k, \quad \bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p}) := A_n(\mathbf{p}) - A_i(\mathbf{p}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\},$$

then we have the identity

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \Delta b_i \\ &= P_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i \left(\frac{A_n(\mathbf{p})}{P_n} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right) \Delta b_i \\ &\quad (\text{if } P_i \neq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i \bar{P}_i \left(\frac{\bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p})}{\bar{P}_i} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right) \Delta b_i \\ &\quad (\text{if } P_i, \bar{P}_i \neq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}); \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta b_i := b_{i+1} - b_i$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$) is the forward difference.

Proof. We use the following well known summation by parts formula

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{l=p}^{q-1} d_l \Delta v_l = d_l v_l \Big|_p^q - \sum_{l=p}^{q-1} \Delta d_l v_{l+1},$$

where d_l and v_l are vectors in a linear space, $l = p, \dots, q$ ($q > p$; p, q are natural numbers).

If we choose in (3.4), $p = 1, q = n, d_i = P_i A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_i(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_i = b_i$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (P_i A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_i(\mathbf{p})) \Delta b_i \\ &= [P_i A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_i(\mathbf{p})] b_i \Big|_1^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta (P_i A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_i(\mathbf{p})) b_{i+1} \\ &= [P_n A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_n(\mathbf{p})] b_n - [P_1 A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_1(\mathbf{p})] b_1 \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [P_{i+1} A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n A_{i+1}(\mathbf{p}) - P_i A_n(\mathbf{p}) + P_n A_i(\mathbf{p})] b_{i+1} \\ &= P_n p_1 a_1 b_1 - p_1 A_n(\mathbf{p}) b_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_{i+1} A_n(\mathbf{p}) - P_n p_{i+1} a_{i+1}) b_{i+1} \\ &= P_n p_1 a_1 b_1 - p_1 A_n(\mathbf{p}) b_1 - A_n(\mathbf{p}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{i+1} b_{i+1} + P_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{i+1} a_{i+1} b_{i+1} \\ &= P_n \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i \\ &= T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \end{aligned}$$

which produce the first identity in (3.3).

The second and the third identities are obvious and we omit the details. \square

Before we prove the second result, we need the following lemma providing an identity that is interesting in itself as well.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. Then we have the equality*

$$(3.5) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j,$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Proof. Define, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$,

$$K(i) := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad K(i) &= \sum_{j=1}^i P_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} P_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i P_j \bar{P}_i \Delta a_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} P_i \bar{P}_j \Delta a_j \\
&= \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^i P_j \Delta a_j + P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \bar{P}_j \Delta a_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the summation by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^i P_j \Delta a_j &= P_j a_j \Big|_1^{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^i (P_{j+1} - P_j) a_{j+1} \\
&= P_{i+1} a_{i+1} - p_1 a_1 - \sum_{j=1}^i p_{j+1} a_{j+1} \\
&= P_{i+1} a_{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \bar{P}_j \Delta a_j &= \bar{P}_j a_j \Big|_{i+1}^n - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} (\bar{P}_{j+1} - \bar{P}_j) a_{j+1} \\
&= \bar{P}_n a_n - \bar{P}_{i+1} a_{i+1} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} (P_n - P_{j+1} - P_n + P_j) a_{j+1} \\
&= -\bar{P}_{i+1} a_{i+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K(i) &= \bar{P}_i \left(P_{i+1} a_{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j \right) + P_i \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1} - \bar{P}_{i+1} a_{i+1} \right) \\
&= \bar{P}_i P_{i+1} a_{i+1} - P_i \bar{P}_{i+1} a_{i+1} - \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j + P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1} \\
&= [(P_n - P_i) P_{i+1} - P_i (P_n - P_{i+1})] a_{i+1} \\
&\quad + P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1} - \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P_n p_{i+1} a_{i+1} + P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1} - \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j \\
&= (P_i + \bar{P}_i) p_{i+1} a_{i+1} + P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_{j+1} a_{j+1} - \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j a_j \\
&= P_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_j a_j - \bar{P}_i \sum_{j=1}^i p_j a_j = P_i \bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p}) - \bar{P}_i A_i(\mathbf{p}) \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix};
\end{aligned}$$

and the identity is proved. \square

We are able now to state and prove the second identity for the Čebyšev functional

Theorem 7. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6, we have the equality*

$$(3.9) \quad T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j \Delta b_i.$$

The proof is obvious by Theorem 6 and Lemma 1.

4. SOME NEW INEQUALITIES

The following result holds

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. Then we have the inequalities*

$$(4.1) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \text{for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

All the inequalities in (4.1) are sharp in the sense that the constants 1 cannot be replaced by smaller constants.

Proof. Using the first identity in (3.3), we have

$$\|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \|\Delta b_i\|.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we deduce the desired result (4.1).

Let prove, for instance, that the constant 1 in the second inequality is best possible.

Assume, for $C > 0$, we have that

$$(4.2) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, n \geq 2$.

If we choose $n = 2$, then we get

$$T_2(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1) (b_2 - b_1).$$

Also, for $n = 2$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_n \\ A_i(\mathbf{p}) & A_n(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} = |p_1 p_2| \|a_2 - a_1\|$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_j\|^p \right)^{1/p} = \|b_2 - b_1\|.$$

Then by (4.2), holding for $n = 2, p_1, p_2 \neq 0, a_1 \neq a_2, b_2 \neq b_1$, we deduce $C \geq 1$, proving that 1 is the best possible constant in that inequality. \square

The following corollary for the uniform distribution of the probability \mathbf{p} holds.

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 8 for \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} , we have the inequalities*

$$(4.3) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \times \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} i & n \\ \sum_{k=1}^i a_k & \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} i & n \\ \sum_{k=1}^i a_k & \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \text{for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \det \begin{pmatrix} i & n \\ \sum_{k=1}^i a_k & \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

The following result may be stated as well.

Theorem 9. *With the assumptions of Theorem 8 and if $P_i \neq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then we have the inequalities*

$$(4.4) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq |P_n| \times \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \frac{A_n(\mathbf{p})}{P_n} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| \|\Delta b_i\| \right\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| \left\| \frac{A_n(\mathbf{p})}{P_n} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \text{for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| \left\| \frac{A_n(\mathbf{p})}{P_n} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

All the inequalities in (4.4) are sharp in the sense that the constant 1 cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

Proof. Follows by the second identity in (3.3) and taking into account that

$$\|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq |P_n| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{A_n(\mathbf{p})}{P_n} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\| |P_i| \|\Delta b_i\|.$$

Using Hölder's weighted inequality, we easily deduce (4.4).

The sharpness of the constant may be shown in a similar manner. We omit the details. \square

The following corollary containing the unweighted inequalities holds.

Corollary 2. *With the above assumptions for \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} one, has*

$$(4.5) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \times \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \|\Delta b_i\| \right\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \text{for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities in (4.5) are sharp in the sense mentioned above.

Another type of inequalities may be stated if one uses the third identity in (3.3).

Theorem 10. *With the assumptions in Theorem 8 and if $P_i, \bar{P}_i \neq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then we have the inequalities*

$$(4.6) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \frac{\bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p})}{\bar{P}_i} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| |\bar{P}_i| \|\Delta b_i\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| |\bar{P}_i| \left\| \frac{\bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p})}{\bar{P}_i} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| |\bar{P}_i| \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \text{for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_i| |\bar{P}_i| \left\| \frac{\bar{A}_i(\mathbf{p})}{\bar{P}_i} - \frac{A_i(\mathbf{p})}{P_i} \right\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $p_i = \frac{1}{n}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have

$$(4.7) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{n-i} \sum_{k=i+1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\| \\ \times \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i) \|\Delta b_i\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i) \left\| \frac{1}{n-i} \sum_{k=i+1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i) \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \text{ for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i) \left\| \frac{1}{n-i} \sum_{k=i+1}^n a_k - \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i a_k \right\| \\ \times \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities in (4.6) and (4.7) are sharp in the above mentioned sense.

A different approach may be considered if one uses the representation in terms of double sums for the Čebyšev functional provided by the Theorem 7.

The following result holds.

Theorem 11. *With the assumptions in Theorem 8, we have the inequalities*

$$(4.8) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \{ |P_{\min\{i, j\}}| |\bar{P}_{\max\{i, j\}}| \} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|; \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |P_{\min\{i, j\}}|^q |\bar{P}_{\max\{i, j\}}|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \text{ for } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |P_{\min\{i, j\}}| |\bar{P}_{\max\{i, j\}}| \\ \times \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities are sharp in the sense mentioned above.

The proof follows by the identity (3.9) on using Hölder's inequality for double sums and we omit the details.

The above theorem has some important consequences as follows:

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(4.9) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |p_k| \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible in (4.9).

Proof. We observe that

$$|P_{\min\{i,j\}}| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} p_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|$$

and

$$|\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}| = \left| \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n p_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{\min\{i,j\}}| |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k| \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k| + \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |p_k| \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

Therefore

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \{|P_{\min\{i,j\}}| |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}|\} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |p_k| \right)^2$$

and by the first inequality in (4.8) we get (4.9).

To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{4}$, assume that (4.9) holds with a constant $C > 0$, i.e.

$$(4.10) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |p_k| \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|.$$

If we take in (4.10) $n = 2$, then we have

$$\|p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1) (b_2 - b_1)\| \leq C (|p_1| + |p_2|)^2 \|a_2 - a_1\| \|b_2 - b_1\|.$$

If we take $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_2 - a_1 = \alpha \cdot 1$, $b_2 - b_1 = \beta \cdot 1$ with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ then we get $C \geq \frac{1}{4}$, and the proof is completed. \square

We have:

Corollary 4. *Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(4.11) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \frac{1}{4^p} (n+1)^{2p} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|^q \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |p_i|^q - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i |p_i|^q \right)^2 \right]^{1/q} \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. We observe that by Hölder's inequality we have

$$|P_{\min\{i,j\}}|^q = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} p_k \right|^q \leq (\min\{i,j\})^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q$$

and

$$|\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}|^q = \left| \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n p_k \right|^q \leq (n - \max\{i,j\} + 1)^{q-1} \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

Then

$$(4.12) \quad |P_{\min\{i,j\}}|^q |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}|^q \\ \leq (n - \max\{i,j\} + 1)^{q-1} (\min\{i,j\})^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q \\ = [(n - \max\{i,j\} + 1) (\min\{i,j\})]^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q.$$

Observe that

$$(n - \max\{i,j\} + 1) (\min\{i,j\}) \leq \frac{1}{4} (n - \max\{i,j\} + 1 + \min\{i,j\})^2.$$

Since for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ we have

$$\max\{i,j\} - \min\{i,j\} = |i-j|$$

then

$$(n - \max\{i,j\} + 1) (\min\{i,j\}) \leq \frac{1}{4} (n+1 - |i-j|)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} (n+1)^2$$

and by (4.12) we get

$$|P_{\min\{i,j\}}|^q |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}|^q \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} (n+1)^2 \right]^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q$$

or any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

Making use of the second inequality in (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad & \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |P_{\min\{i,j\}}|^q |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}|^q \right)^{1/q} \\
& \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left(\left[\frac{1}{4} (n+1)^2 \right]^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q \right) \right)^{1/q} \\
& \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& = \left[\frac{1}{4} (n+1)^2 \right]^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q \right) \right)^{1/q} \\
& \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& = \frac{1}{4^p} (n+1)^{2p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q \right) \right)^{1/q} \\
& \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta a_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use the identity for real numbers

$$T_n(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_{\min\{i,j\}} \bar{Q}_{\max\{i,j\}} \Delta a_j \Delta b_i.$$

and the choices $a_j = b_j = j$ and $q_k = |p_k|^q$, then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k|^q \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k|^q \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|^q \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |p_i|^q - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i |p_i|^q \right)^2.$$

Replacing this in (4.13) produces the desired result (4.11). \square

The following corollary also holds. It was obtained earlier in the paper with a different proof.

Corollary 5. Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}^n$. Then we have the inequality

$$(4.14) \quad \|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i| \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |p_i| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i |p_i| \right)^2 \right] \\ \times \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|.$$

The inequality is sharp.

Proof. From the third inequality in (4.8) we have

$$\|T_n(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\| \\ \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |P_{\min\{i,j\}}| |\bar{P}_{\max\{i,j\}}| \\ = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} p_k \right| \left| \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n p_k \right| \\ \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |p_k| \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^n |p_k| \right) \\ = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i| \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 |p_i| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i |p_i| \right)^2 \right] \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta a_i\| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \|\Delta b_i\|$$

and the desired inequality (4.14) is proved.

The sharpness of the inequality follows as above and the details are omitted. \square

5. INEQUALITIES FOR POWER SERIES

We have:

Theorem 12. Let $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ be a power series that is convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$, with $R > 0$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $xy = yx$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$, then we have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < R$ the inequality:

$$(5.1) \quad \left\| \tilde{f}(\lambda \cdot 1) \tilde{f}(\lambda xy) - \tilde{f}(\lambda x) \tilde{f}(\lambda y) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|y-1\|}{(1-\|x\|)(1-\|y\|)} f_A^2(|\lambda|).$$

Proof. Utilising the inequality (4.9) we have for all $n \geq 1$

$$(5.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i x^i y^i - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i x^i \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i y^i \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\alpha_k| |\lambda|^k \right)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x^{i+1} - x^i\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|y^{i+1} - y^i\|.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x^{i+1} - x^i\| &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x^i(x-1)\| \leq \|x-1\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x^i\| \\ &\leq \|x-1\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|x\|^i = \|x-1\| \frac{1-\|x\|^n}{1-\|x\|} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|y^{i+1} - y^i\| \leq \|y-1\| \frac{1-\|y\|^n}{1-\|y\|}.$$

Utilizing (5.2) and the fact that $xy = yx$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad &\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i (xy)^i - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i x^i \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda^i y^i \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|x-1\| \|y-1\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\alpha_k| |\lambda|^k \right)^2 \frac{1-\|x\|^n}{1-\|x\|} \frac{1-\|y\|^n}{1-\|y\|} \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

Since all the series whose partial sums are involved in (5.3) are convergent, then by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.3) we deduce the desired inequality (5.1). \square

Corollary 6. *Let $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ be a power series that is convergent on the open disk $D(0, R)$, with $R > 0$. If $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\| < 1$, then we have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < R$ the inequality:*

$$(5.4) \quad \left\| \tilde{f}(\lambda \cdot 1) \tilde{f}(\lambda x^2) - [\tilde{f}(\lambda x)]^2 \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\|^2}{(1-\|x\|)^2} f_A^2(|\lambda|).$$

As some natural examples that are useful for applications, we can point out that, if

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ g(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cos \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ h(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sin \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ l(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \end{aligned}$$

then the corresponding functions constructed by the use of the absolute values of the coefficients are

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f_A(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ g_A(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cosh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ h_A(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sinh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ l_A(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with non-negative coefficients are:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ \sin^{-1}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} (2n+1) n!} \lambda^{2n+1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ \tanh^{-1}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1) \\ {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha) \Gamma(n+\beta) \Gamma(\gamma)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(n+\gamma)} \lambda^n, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \\ &\lambda \in D(0, 1); \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is *Gamma function*.

Example 1. a) If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $xy = yx$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$, then we have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the inequality:

$$(5.8) \quad \left| \exp[\lambda(1+xy)] - \exp[\lambda(x+y)] \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|y-1\|}{(1-\|x\|)(1-\|y\|)} \exp(2|\lambda|).$$

In particular, we have

$$(5.9) \quad \left| \exp[\lambda(1+x^2)] - \exp[2\lambda x] \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\|^2}{(1-\|x\|)^2} \exp(2|\lambda|)$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \left| \exp[\lambda(1-x^2)] - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|x+1\|}{(1-\|x\|)^2} \exp(2|\lambda|)$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|x\| < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

b) We have the inequality

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1-\lambda xy)^{-1} - (1-\lambda x)^{-1} (1-\lambda y)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|y-1\|}{(1-\|x\|)(1-\|y\|)(1-|\lambda|)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $xy = yx$, $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.

In particular, we have

$$(5.12) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1-\lambda x^2)^{-1} - (1-\lambda x)^{-2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\|^2}{(1-\|x\|)^2 (1-|\lambda|)^2}$$

and

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1+\lambda x^2)^{-1} - (1-\lambda x)^{-1} (1+\lambda x)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|x+1\|}{(1-\|x\|)^2 (1-|\lambda|)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|x\| < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.

c) We have the inequality

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \ln(1-\lambda)^{-1} \ln(1-\lambda xy)^{-1} - \ln(1-\lambda x)^{-1} \ln(1-\lambda y)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|y-1\|}{(1-\|x\|)(1-\|y\|)} [\ln(1-|\lambda|)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $xy = yx$, $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.

In particular, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1-\lambda x^2)^{-1} - (1-\lambda x)^{-2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\|^2}{(1-\|x\|)^2} [\ln(1-|\lambda|)]^2$$

and

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1+\lambda x^2)^{-1} - (1-\lambda x)^{-1} (1+\lambda x)^{-1} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\|x-1\| \|x+1\|}{(1-\|x\|)^2} [\ln(1-|\lambda|)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|x\| < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12** (2007), no. 4, 583–593.
- [2] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type and comparison of integral means inequalities for the Stieltjes integral. *Panamer. Math. J.* **17** (2007), no. 3, 91–109.
- [3] G. A. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities. *Math. Comput. Modelling* **45** (2007), no. 9-10, 1189–1200.
- [4] M. Biernacki, Sur une inégalité entre les intégrales due à Tchebyscheff. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska (Poland)*, **A5**(1951), 23-29.
- [5] K. Boukerrioua, and A. Guezane-Lakoud, On generalization of Čebyšev type inequalities. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** (2007), no. 2, Article 55, 4 pp.
- [6] P. L. Čebyšev, O približennyh vyraženiach odnih integralov čerez drugie. *Soobščeniya i protokoly zasedaniy Matematičeskogo obščestva pri Imperatorskom Har'kovskom Universitete* No. 2, 93–98; *Polnoe sobranie sočineniy P. L. Čebyševa*. Moskva–Leningrad, 1948a, (1882), 128-131.

- [7] P.L. Čebyšev, Ob odnom rjade, dostavljajušćem predel'nye veličiny integralov pri razloženíi podintegral'noj funkcii na množeteli. *Priloženi k 57 tomu Zapisk Imp. Akad. Nauk*, No. 4; *Polnoe sobranie sočineniĭ P. L. Čebyševa*. Moskva–Leningrad, 1948b, (1883),157-169.
- [8] P. Cerone, On a Čebyšev-type functional and Grüss-like bounds. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **9** (2006), no. 1, 87–102.
- [9] P. Cerone, On Chebyshev functional bounds. *Differential & difference equations and applications*, 267–277, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2006.
- [10] P. Cerone, On some results involving the Čebyšev functional and its generalisations. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (2003), no. 3, Article 55, 17 pp.
- [11] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications. *Tamkang J. Math.* **38** (2007), no. 1, 37–49.
- [12] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Chebychev functional bounds using Ostrowski seminorms. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **28** (2004), no. 2, 219–228.
- [13] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, New bounds for the Čebyšev functional. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005), no. 6, 603–611.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for the Čebyšev functional of two functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. & Appl.* **6**(2009), Issue 1, Article 7, pp. 1-58.
- [15] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for power series of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces via reverses of the Schwarz inequality. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **20** (2009), no. 9-10, 757–767.
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, On some improvements of Čebyšev's inequality for sequences and integrals, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica* (Romania), **XXXV** (4)(1990), 35-40.
- [17] S. S. Dragomir, Some improvement of Čebyšev's inequality for isotonic functionals, *Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* (Italy), **41** (1993), 473-481.
- [18] S.S. Dragomir, A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **237** (1999), 74-82.
- [19] S. S. Dragomir, Some discrete inequalities of Grüss type and applications in guessing theory, *Honam Math. J.*, **21**(1) (1999), 145-156.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, Grüss inequality in inner product spaces, *The Australian Math Soc. Gazette*, **26** (1999), No. 2, 66-70.
- [21] S. S. Dragomir, A Grüss type integral inequality for mappings of r -Hölder's type and applications for trapezoid formula, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **31**(1) (2000), 43-47.
- [22] S.S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Grüss type, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **31**(4) (2000), 397-415.
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski inequality for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$, where f is of Hölder type and u is of bounded variation and applications, *J. KSIAM*, **5**(2001), No. 1, 35-45.
- [24] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities in inner product spaces, *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.*, **4**(2) (2003), Article 42. (Online <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=280>).
- [25] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of Schwarz, triangle and Bessel inequalities in inner product spaces, *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.*, **5**(3) (2004), Article 76. (Online : <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=432>).
- [26] S.S. Dragomir, New reverses of Schwarz, triangle and Bessel inequalities in inner product spaces, *Austral. J. Math. Anal. & Applics.*, **1**(1) (2004), Article 1. (Online: <http://ajmaa.org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=nrstbiips.tex>).
- [27] S. S. Dragomir, On the Čebyšev's inequality for weighted means. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **104** (2004), no. 4, 345–355.
- [28] S.S. Dragomir, On Bessel and Grüss inequalities for orthornormal families in inner product spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **69**(2) (2004), 327-340.
- [29] S. S. Dragomir, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers Inc, New York, 2005, x+249 p.
- [30] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of the Schwarz inequality in inner product spaces generalising a Klamkin-McLenaghan result, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **73**(1)(2006), 69-78.
- [31] S. S. Dragomir, Some new Grüss' type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11**(e) (2008), Art. 12.
- [32] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for the Čebyšev functional of two functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11**(e) (2008), Art. 17.

- [33] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for the Čebyšev functional of two functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11**(e) (2008), Art. 8.
- [34] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. xii+121 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1520-6.
- [35] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of Ostrowski and Trapezoidal Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. x+112 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1778-1.
- [36] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and C. Bușe, Norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **16** (2013), Art. 73.
- [37] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via a Grüss'-Lupaș type inequality, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014),
- [38] S. S. Dragomir and G.L. Booth, On a Grüss-Lupaș type inequality and its applications for the estimation of p-moments of guessing mappings, *Mathematical Communications*, **5** (2000), 117-126.
- [39] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss' type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **29**(4)(1998), 286-292.
- [40] S. S. Dragomir and B. Mond, Some mappings associated with Čebyšev's inequality for sequences of real numbers. *Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc.* **8/9** (1993/94), 37-55.
- [41] S.S. Dragomir and J.E. Pečarić, Refinements of some inequalities for isotonic linear functionals, *L'Anal. Num. Théor de L'Approx.* (Romania) **18**(1989) (1), 61-65.
- [42] S. S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić and J. Sándor, The Chebyshev inequality in pre-Hilbertian spaces. II. *Proceedings of the Third Symposium of Mathematics and its Applications (Timișoara, 1989)*, 75-78, Rom. Acad., Timișoara, 1990. MR1266442 (94m:46033)
- [43] S. S. Dragomir and J. Sándor, The Chebyshev inequality in pre-Hilbertian spaces. I. *Proceedings of the Second Symposium of Mathematics and its Applications (Timișoara, 1987)*, 61-64, Res. Centre, Acad. SR Romania, Timișoara, 1988. MR1006000 (90k:46048).
- [44] A. M. Fink, A treatise on Grüss' inequality, *Analytic and Geometric Inequalities*, 93-113, Math. Appl. 478, Kluwer Academic Publ., 1999.
- [45] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005..
- [46] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$, *Math. Z.*, **39**(1935), 215-226.
- [47] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 1st Ed. and 2nd Ed. Cambridge University Press, (1934, 1952) Cambridge, England.
- [48] Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30**(4) (2004), 483-489.
- [49] A. Matković, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **418** (2006), no. 2-3, 551-564.
- [50] D. S. Mitrinović and J.E. Pečarić, History, variations and generalizations of the Čebyšev inequality and question of some priorities. II. *Rad Jugoslav. Akad. Znan. Umjet. No.* **450** (1990), 139-156.
- [51] D. S. Mitrinović and J.E. Pečarić, On an identity of D.Z. Djoković, *Prilozi Mak. Akad.Nauk. Umj. (Skopje)*, **12**(1)(1991), 21-22.
- [52] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [53] D. S. Mitrinović and P.M. Vasić, History, variations and generalisations of the Čebyšev inequality and the question of some priorities. *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* **No. 461-497** (1974), 1-30.
- [54] B. Mond and J. Pečarić, Convex inequalities in Hilbert spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, **19**(1993), 405-420.
- [55] B. Mond and J. Pečarić, On some operator inequalities, *Indian J. Math.*, **35**(1993), 221-232.
- [56] B. Mond and J. Pečarić, Classical inequalities for matrix functions, *Utilitas Math.*, **46**(1994), 155-166.
- [57] B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Čebyšev type inequalities. *An. Științ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iași. Mat. (N.S.)(Romania)*, **53** (2007), no. 1, 97-102.

- [58] B. G. Pachpatte, New Čebyšev type inequalities involving functions of two and three variables. *Soochow J. Math.* **33** (2007), no. 4, 569–577.
- [59] B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Grüss type inequalities via Cauchy’s mean value theorem. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **11** (2008), no. 1, 75–80.
- [60] J. Pečarić, Remarks on Biernacki’s generalization of Čebyšev’s inequality. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A* **47** (1993), 116–122.
- [61] J. E. Pečarić and S.S. Dragomir, Some remarks on Čebyšev’s inequality, *L’Anal. Num. Théor. de L’Approx.* **19** (1)(1990), 58-65.
- [62] J. Pečarić, J. Mičić and Y. Seo, Inequalities between operator means based on the Mond-Pečarić method. *Houston J. Math.* **30** (2004), no. 1, 191–207
- [63] C.-J. Zhao and W.-S. Cheung, On multivariate Grüss inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008**, Art. ID 249438, 8 pp.

¹MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA

³MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BANAT UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES AND VETERINARY MEDICINE TIMIȘOARA, 119 CALEA ARADULUI, 300645 TIMIȘOARA, ROMÂNIA

⁴DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA, B-DUL V. PÂRVAN 4, 1900-TIMIȘOARA, ROMÂNIA