# A NEW HERMITE-HADAMARD INEQUALITY FOR h-CONVEX STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

### HÜSEYIN BUDAK AND MEHMET ZEKI SARIKAYA

ABSTRACT. Firstly, some new definitions which are the special cases of h-convex stochastic processes are given. Then, we establish a new refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for h-convex stochastic processes and give some special cases of this result.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality which was first published in [5] gives us an estimate of the mean value of a convex function  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

(1.1) 
$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \le \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}$$

An account the history of this inequality can be found in [3]. Surveys on various generalizations and developments can be found in [2] and [9].

In 1980, Nikodem [10] introduced convex stochastic processes and investigated their regularity properties. In 1992, Skwronski [14] obtained some further results on convex functions.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be an arbitrary probability space. A function  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a random variable if it is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. A function  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, is called a stochastic process if for every  $t \in I$  the function X(t, .) is a random variable.

Recall that the stochastic process  $X: I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is called

(i) continuous in probability in interval I, if for all  $t_0 \in I$  we have

$$P - \lim_{t \to t_0} X(t, .) = X(t_0, .),$$

where  $P - \lim$  denotes the limit in probability.

(ii) mean-square continuous in the interval I, if for all  $t_0 \in I$ 

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} E\left[ \left( X\left(t\right) - X\left(t_0\right) \right)^2 \right] = 0,$$

where E[X(t)] denotes the expectation value of the random variable X(t, .).

Obviously, *mean-square* continuity implies continuity in probability, but the converse implication is not true.

RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 19 (2016), Art. 30

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 26A51, 33B20, 52A40, 52A41.

Key words and phrases. Hermite-Hadamard inequality, convex stochastic processes, h-convex stochastic processes.

**Definition 1.** Suppose we are given a sequence  $\{\Delta^m\}$  of partitions,  $\Delta^m = \{a_{m,0}, ..., a_{m,n_m}\}$ . We say that the sequence  $\{\Delta^m\}$  is a normal sequence of partitions if the length of the greatest interval in the n-th partition tends to zero, *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{1 \le i \le n_m} |a_{m,i} - a_{m,i-1}| = 0.$$

Now we would like to recall the concept of the mean-square integral. For the definition and basic properties see [15].

Let  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a stochastic process with  $E\left[X(t)^2\right] < \infty$  for all  $t \in I$ . Let  $[a,b] \subset I$ ,  $a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n = b$  be a partition of [a,b] and  $\Theta_k \in [t_{k-1},t_k]$  for all k = 1, ..., n. A random variable  $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the mean-square integral of the process X on [a,b], if we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X\left(\Theta_k\right) \left(t_k - t_{k-1}\right) - Y\right)^2\right] = 0$$

for all normal sequence of partitions of the interval [a, b] and for all  $\Theta_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , k = 1, ..., n. Then, we write

$$Y(\cdot) = \int_{a}^{b} X(s, \cdot) ds \text{ (a.e.)}.$$

For the existence of the mean-square integral it is enough to assume the mean-square continuity of the stochastic process X.

Throughout the paper we will frequently use the monotonicity of the meansquare integral. If  $X(t, \cdot) \leq Y(t, \cdot)$  (a.e.) in some interval [a, b], then

$$\int_{a}^{b} X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \leq \int_{a}^{b} Y\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \text{ (a.e.)}.$$

Of course, this inequality is the immediate consequence of the definition of the mean-square integral.

**Definition 2.** We say that a stochastic processes  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, if for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $u, v \in I$  the inequality

(1.2) 
$$X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v, \cdot\right) \le \lambda X\left(u, \cdot\right) + (1-\lambda)X\left(v, \cdot\right) \quad (a.e.)$$

is satisfied. If the above inequality is assumed only for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , then the process X is Jensen-convex or  $\frac{1}{2}$ -convex. A stochastic process X is concave if (-X) is convex. Some interesting properties of convex and Jensen-convex processes are presented in [10, 15].

Now, we present some results proved by Kotrys [6] about Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex stochastic processes.

**Lemma 1.** If  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a stochastic process of the form  $X(t, \cdot) = A(\cdot)t + B(\cdot)$ , where  $A, B : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  are random variables, such that  $E[A^2] < \infty, E[B^2] < \infty$  and  $[a, b] \subset I$ , then

$$\int_{a}^{b} X(t, \cdot) dt = A(\cdot) \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2} + B(\cdot)(b - a) \quad (a.e.).$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex stochastic process and  $t_0 \in intI$ . Then there exist a random variable  $A : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  such that X is supported at  $t_0$  by the process  $A(\cdot)(t - t_0) + X(t_0, \cdot)$ . That is

$$X(t, \cdot) \ge A(\cdot)(t - t_0) + X(t_0, \cdot)$$
 (a.e.).

for all  $t \in I$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be Jensen-convex, mean-square continuous in the interval I stochastic process. Then for any  $u, v \in I$  we have

(1.3) 
$$X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \le \frac{1}{v-u} \int_{u}^{v} X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \le \frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2} \quad (a.e.)$$

In [11], Sarikaya et al. proved the following refinement of the inequality (1.3):

**Theorem 2.** If  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be Jensen-convex, mean-square continuous in the interval I stochastic process. Then for any  $u, v \in I$  and for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(1.4) \quad X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \le h\left(\lambda\right) \le \frac{1}{v-u} \int_{u}^{v} X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \le H\left(\lambda\right) \le \frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2},$$

where

$$h(\lambda) := \lambda X\left(\frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) + (1-\lambda) X\left(\frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right)$$

and

$$H(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2} \left( X \left( \lambda v + (1 - \lambda) u, \cdot \right) + \lambda X \left( u, \cdot \right) + (1 - \lambda) X \left( v, \cdot \right) \right).$$

In [1], Barraez et al. introduced the concept of h-convex stochastic process with following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let  $h: (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative function,  $h \neq 0$  we say that a stochastic process  $X: I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is an *h*-convex stochastic process if, for every  $t_1, t_2 \in I, \lambda \in (0,1)$ , the following inequality is satisfied

(1.5) 
$$X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v, \cdot\right) \le h(\lambda)X\left(u, \cdot\right) + h\left(1-\lambda\right)X\left(v, \cdot\right) \quad (a.e.)$$

Obviously, if we take  $h(\lambda) = \lambda$  and  $h(\lambda) = \lambda^s$  in (1.5), then the definition of h-convex stochastic process reduces to the definition of classical convex stochastic process [10] and s-convex stochastic process in the second sence [12] respectively. Moreover, A stochastic process  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is:

1) Godunova-Levin stochastic process if, we take  $h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  in (1.5),

(1.6) 
$$X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v, \cdot\right) \le \frac{X\left(u, \cdot\right)}{\lambda} + \frac{X\left(v, \cdot\right)}{1-\lambda} \text{ (a.e.)}$$

2) *P*-stochastic process if, we take  $h(\lambda) = 1$  in (1.5),

(1.7) 
$$X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v, \cdot\right) \le X\left(u, \cdot\right) + X\left(v, \cdot\right) \text{ (a.e.)}$$

Authors proved the following Hermite-Hadamard inequality for h-convex stochastic process in [1]: **Theorem 3.** If  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  Let be  $h : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  a non-negative function,  $h \neq 0$ and  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  a non negative, h-convex, mean square integrable stochastic process. For every  $u, v \in I, (u < v)$ , the following inequality is satisfied almost everywhere

$$\frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \leq \frac{1}{v-u}\int_{u}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt \leq \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right)+X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right]\int_{0}^{1}h(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

For more information and recent developments on Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for stochastic process, please refer to ([1], [4], [6]-[8], [11]-[13], [16]).

The aim of this paper is to establish an improvement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for h-convex stochastic process.

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 4.** If  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  Let be  $h : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  a non-negative function,  $h \neq 0$ and  $X : I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  a non negative, h-convex, mean square integrable stochastic process. For every  $u, v \in I$ , (u < v), we have the following inequality

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{4\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2}}X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)$$

$$\leq \quad \Delta_{1} \leq \frac{1}{v-u}\int_{u}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt$$

$$\leq \quad \Delta_{2} \leq \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right)+X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}+h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\int_{0}^{1}h(\lambda)d\lambda$$

where

$$\Delta_1 := \frac{1}{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right]$$

and

$$\Delta_2 := \left[\frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right)\right] \int_0^1 h(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X: I \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a *h*-convex stochastic process, we have

$$(2.2) X\left(\frac{u+\frac{u+v}{2}}{2},\cdot\right) = X\left(\frac{\lambda u + (1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2} + (1-\lambda)u + \lambda\frac{u+v}{2}}{2},\cdot\right)$$
$$\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) + X\left((1-\lambda)u + \lambda\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)\right].$$

Integrating (2.2) from 0 to 1 with respect to  $\lambda$ , we get

$$(2.3) \quad X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4},\cdot\right)$$

$$\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\int_{0}^{1} X\left(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) d\lambda + \int_{0}^{1} X\left((1-\lambda)u + \lambda\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) d\lambda\right]$$

$$\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\frac{2}{v-u} \int_{u}^{\frac{u+v}{2}} X(t,\cdot) dt + \frac{2}{v-u} \int_{u}^{\frac{u+v}{2}} X(t,\cdot) dt\right]$$

$$= \frac{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{v-u} \int_{u}^{\frac{u+v}{2}} X(t,\cdot) dt.$$

That is,

(2.4) 
$$\frac{1}{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4},\cdot\right) \le \frac{1}{v-u}\int_{u}^{\frac{u+v}{2}}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt.$$

Since X is a h-convex stochastic process, we also have

$$(2.5) X\left(\frac{\frac{u+v}{2}+v}{2},\cdot\right) = X\left(\frac{\lambda\frac{u+v}{2}+(1-\lambda)v+(1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2}+\lambda v}{2},\cdot\right)$$
$$\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[X\left(\lambda\frac{u+v}{2}+(1-\lambda)v,\cdot\right)+X\left((1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2}+\lambda v,\cdot\right)\right].$$

Integrating (2.5) from 0 to 1 with respect to  $\lambda$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &X\left(\frac{u+3v}{4},\cdot\right)\\ &\leq \quad h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\int\limits_{0}^{1}X\left(\lambda\frac{u+v}{2}+(1-\lambda)v,\cdot\right)d\lambda+\int\limits_{0}^{1}X\left((1-\lambda)\frac{u+v}{2}+\lambda v,\cdot\right)d\lambda\right]\\ &\leq \quad h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{2}{v-u}\int\limits_{\frac{u+v}{2}}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt+\frac{2}{v-u}\int\limits_{\frac{u+v}{2}}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt\right]\\ &= \quad \frac{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{v-u}\int\limits_{\frac{u+v}{2}}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt, \end{split}$$

i.e.

(2.6) 
$$\frac{1}{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}X\left(\frac{u+3v}{4},\cdot\right) \le \frac{1}{v-u}\int_{\frac{u+v}{2}}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt.$$

Summing inequalities (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right] \le \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X\left(t, \cdot\right) dt$$

which finishes the proof of second inequality in (2.1).

Applying the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for h-convex stochastic process (Theorem 3), we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{v-u}\int\limits_{u}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{v-u}\int\limits_{u}^{\frac{u+v}{2}}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt + \frac{2}{v-u}\int\limits_{\frac{u+v}{2}}^{v}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left[X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)\right]\int\limits_{0}^{1}h(\lambda)d\lambda\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left[X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right]\int\limits_{0}^{1}h(\lambda)d\lambda\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)\right]\int\limits_{0}^{1}h(\lambda)d\lambda = \Delta_{2}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of third inequality in (2.1).

For the first inequality, using the h-convexity of X, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^2} X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^2} X\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{3u+v}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{u+3v}{4},\cdot\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^2} \left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{3u+v}{2},\cdot\right) + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{u+3v}{4},\cdot\right)\right] \\ &= \Delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \Delta_2 &= \left[\frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)\right] \int_0^1 h(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\leq \left[\frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2} + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right]\right] \int_0^1 h(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &= \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right] \left[\frac{1}{2} + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \int_0^1 h(\lambda)d\lambda. \end{split}$$

This completes completely the proof of the Theorem.

**Remark 1.** Under assumption of Theorem 4 with h(t) = t, we have

$$X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \le \Delta_1 \le \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \le \Delta_2 \le \frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2}$$

where

$$\Delta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right]$$

and

$$\Delta_{2} := \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{X\left(u, \cdot\right) + X\left(v, \cdot\right)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right) \right].$$

This inequality is a special case of the Theorem 2 with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Corollary 1.** Under assumption of Theorem 4 with  $h(t) = t^s$ , we have the refinement Hermite-Hadamard inequality for s-convex stochastic processes in the second sense

$$2^{2s-2}X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \leq \Delta_{1} \leq \frac{1}{v-u} \int_{u}^{v} X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt$$
$$\leq \Delta_{2} \leq \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right] \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{s}}\right] \frac{1}{s+1}$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = 2^{s-2} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right]$$
$$\left[ X\left(u, \cdot\right) + X\left(v, \cdot\right) - \left(u+v, \cdot\right) \right] = 1$$

and

$$\Delta_{2} = \left[\frac{X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right)\right]\frac{1}{s+1}$$

**Corollary 2.** Under assumption of Theorem 4 with h(t) = 1, we have the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for P-stochastic processes

$$\frac{1}{4}X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \le \Delta_1 \le \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X\left(t,\cdot\right) dt \le \Delta_2 \le \frac{3}{2} \left[X\left(u,\cdot\right) + X\left(v,\cdot\right)\right]$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right]$$
$$\Delta_2 = \left[ \frac{X\left(u, \cdot\right) + X\left(v, \cdot\right)}{2} + X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right) \right]$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \left[\frac{1}{2} + X\left(\frac{1}{2}, \cdot\right)\right].$$
**Corollary 3.** Under assumption of Theorem 4 with  $h(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ , we have the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for Godunova-Levin stochastic processes

$$\frac{1}{16}X\left(\frac{u+v}{2},\cdot\right) \le \Delta \le \frac{1}{v-u}\int_{u}^{b}X\left(t,\cdot\right)dt$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{8} \left[ X\left(\frac{3u+v}{4}, \cdot\right) + \left(\frac{u+3v}{4}, \cdot\right) \right]$$

 $\overline{7}$ 

#### References

- D. Barraez, L. Gonzalez, N. Merentes and A. M. Moros, On h-convex stochastic process, Mathematica Aeterna,5(4), 2015, 571-581.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir and R.P. Agarwal, Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula, Appl. Math. lett., 11(5) (1998), 91-95.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000.
- [4] L. Gonzalez, N. Merentes, and M. Valera-Lopez, Some estimates on the Hermite-Hadamard inequality through convex and quasi-convex stochastic processes, Mathematica Aeterna, 5(5), 2015, 745-767.
- [5] J. Hadamard, Etude sur les proprietes des fonctions entieres et en particulier d'une fonction consideree par Riemann, J. Math. Pures Appl., 58(1893), 171-215.
- [6] D. Kotrys, Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex stochastic processes, Aequat. Math. 83 (2012), 143-151.
- [7] S. Maden, M. Tomar and E. Set, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for s-convex stochastic processes in first sense, Pure and Applied Mathematics Letters, Volume 2015, 1-7.
- [8] J. Materano, N. Merentes and M. Valera-Lopez, Some estimates on the Simpson's type inequalities through s-convex and quasi-convex stochastic processes, Mathematica Aeterna, 5(5), 2015, 673-705.
- [9] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pečarič, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, ser. Math. Appl. (East European Ser.). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1993, vol. 61
- [10] K. Nikodem, On convex stochastic processes, Aequat. Math. 20, 184-197 (1980).
- [11] M. Z. Sarikaya, H. Yaldiz and H. Budak, Some integral inequalities for convex stochastic process, Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae, Vol. LXXXV, 1 (2016), 155-164.
- [12] E. Set, M. Tomar and S. Maden, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for s-convex stochastic processes in the second sense, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, 2(6), 2014: 202-207. doi: 10.12691/tjant-2-6-3.
- [13] E. Set, M. Z. Sarikaya and M. Tomar, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities forcoordinates convex stochastic processes, Mathematica Aeterna, 5(2), 2015, 363 - 382.
- [14] A. Skowronski, On some properties of J-convex stochastic processes, Aequat. Math. 44, 249-258 (1992).
- [15] K. Sobczyk, Stochastic differential equations with applications to physics and engineering, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1991).
- [16] M. Tomar, E. Set and S. Maden, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for log convex stochastic processes, Journal of New Theory, 2, 2015, 23-32.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, DÜZCE UNIVERSITY, KONURALP CAMPUS, DÜZCE-TURKEY

E-mail address: hsyn.budak@gmail.com

E-mail address: sarikayamz@gmail.com