SEVERAL APPLICATIONS OF YOUNG-TYPE AND HOLDER'S INEQUALITIES

LOREDANA CIURDARIU

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to present several applications of several new Young-type and Holder's inequalities given by Alzer, H., Fonseca, C. M. and Kovacec, A. to isotonic linear functional, power series and inner product.

1. Introduction

The famous Young's inequality, as a classical result, state that:

 $a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu} < \nu a + (1-\nu)b,$

when a and b are positive numbers, $a \neq b$ and $\nu \in (0, 1)$.

In these years, there are many interesting generalizations of this well-known inequality and its reverse, see for example [11, 12, 9, 8, 1] many others and references therein.

As in [1], we consider $A_{\nu}(a,b) = \nu a + (1-\nu)b$, and $G_{\nu}(a,b) = a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu}$. The following result, given in [8] is a refinement of the left-hand side of a refinement of the inequality of Young proved in 2010 and 2011 by Kittaneh and Manasrah, [11], [12].

Proposition 1. For all a, b > 0 we have

$$3\nu \left(A_{\frac{1}{3}}(a,b) - G_{\frac{1}{3}(a,b)} \right) \le A_{\nu}(a,b) - G_{\nu}(a,b)$$

if $0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{3}$, *and*

 $3\nu(1-\nu)\left(A_{\frac{2}{3}}(a,b) - G_{\frac{2}{3}(a,b)}\right) \le A_{\nu}(a,b) - G_{\nu}(a,b)$

 $if_{\frac{1}{3}} \le \nu < 1.$

More recently, in [1] are given new results which extend many generalizations of Young's inequality given before. We recall these results below in order to use them in the next sections.

Date: March 5, 2016.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D20.

Key words and phrases. Young inequality, selfadjoint operators, inner product .

RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 19 (2016), Art. 43

Theorem 1. Let λ , ν and τ be real numbers with $\lambda \geq 1$ and $0 < \nu < \tau < 1$. Then

$$\left(\frac{\nu}{\tau}\right)^{\lambda} < \frac{A_{\nu}(a,b)^{\lambda} - G_{\nu}(a,b)^{\lambda}}{A_{\tau}(a,b)^{\lambda} - G_{\tau}(a,b)^{\lambda}} < \left(\frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau}\right)^{\lambda}$$

for all positive and distinct real numbers a and b. Moreover, both bounds are sharp.

Theorem 2. Let $\nu \in (0, 1)$ For all real numbers a, b with 0 < a < b we have

$$\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}a\log^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) < A_{\nu}(a,b) - G_{\nu}(a,b) < \frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}b\log^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

and

$$\exp\left(\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}\left(1-\frac{a}{b}\right)^2\right) < \frac{A_\nu(a,b)}{G_\nu(a,b)} < \exp\left(\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}\left(1-\frac{b}{a}\right)^2\right)$$

In each inequality, the factor $\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}$ is the best possible.

2. The Young-type and Holder's inequalities for isotonic linear functional

The following important definition is given in [2], [6], [3] and it is necessary to recall it here.

Definition 1. Let *E* be a nonempty set and *L* be a class of real-valued functions $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ having the following properties:

(L1) If $f, g \in L$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(af + bg) \in L$.

(L2) If f(t) = 1 for all $t \in E$, then $f \in L$.

An isotonic linear functional is a functional $A : L \to \mathbb{R}$ having the following properties:

(A1) If $f, g \in L$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then A(af + bg) = aA(f) + bA(g). (A2) If $f \in L$ and $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in E$, then $A(f) \geq 0$. The mapping A is said to be normalised if (A3) $A(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Lemma 1. ([10], Corollary 3.3) If f is Δ - integrable on [a, b) then for an arbitrary positive number α the function $|f|^{\alpha}$ is Δ -integrable on [a, b).

Lemma 2. ([10], Theorem 3.6) Let f and g be Δ -integrable functions on [a, b). then their product fg is Δ -integrable on [a, b). The following results are applications of recent Young-type inequalities given in [1] in the case if isotonic linear functionals and also Holder-type inequalities in the same case using methods presented in [7],[6].

Also, are presented as particular cases these inequalities for the cases of the time scale Cauchy delta, Cauchy navbla, α - diamond, multiple Riemann, and multiple Lebesque integrals.

Theorem 3. L satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A satisfy A1, A2 on the set E. If f^p , g^q , fg, $f^p \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)$, $g^q \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right) \in L$, $A(f^p) > 0$, $A(g^q) > 0$, f and g are positive functions and $0 < \frac{f^p}{A(f^p)} < \frac{g^q}{A(g^q)}$ then:

$$\frac{1}{2pq} \frac{1}{A(f^p)} A\left[f^p \log^2\left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)\right] <$$

$$< 1 - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q)} <$$

$$< \frac{1}{2pq} \frac{1}{A(g^q)} A\left[g^q \log^2\left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)\right]$$

and

$$\begin{split} A\left(fg\exp\frac{1}{2pq}\left(1-\frac{f^p}{g^q}\frac{A(g^q)}{A(f^p)}\right)^2\right) < \\ < A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q) < \\ < A\left(fg\exp\frac{1}{2pq}\left(1-\frac{g^q}{f^p}\frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)^2\right), \end{split}$$

where p > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, if in this second case, instead of $f^p \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)$, $g^q \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right) \in L$ we have $fg \exp \frac{1}{2pq} \left(1 - \frac{f^p}{g^q} \frac{A(g^q)}{A(f^p)}\right)^2$, $fg \exp \frac{1}{2pq} \left(1 - \frac{g^q}{f^p} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)}\right)^2 \in L$.

Proof. We take into account first inequality from Theorem 2,

$$\frac{(1-\nu)}{2}a\log^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) < A_{\nu}(a,b) - G_{\nu}(a,b) < \frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}b\log^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

where we replace a by $\frac{f^p(x)}{A(f^p)}$ and b by $\frac{g^q(x)}{A(g^q)}$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{p}$. Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{pq} \frac{f^p(x)}{A(f^p)} \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q(x)}{f^p(x)} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)} \right) &< \frac{1}{p} \frac{f^p(x)}{A(f^p)} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{g^q(x)}{A(g^q)} - \frac{fg(x)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q) <} \\ &< \frac{1}{pq} \frac{g^q(x)}{A(g^q)} \log^2 \left(\frac{g^q(x)}{f^p(x)} \frac{A(f^p)}{A(g^q)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in E$.

ν

Now we take the functional A in previous inequality and we get the desired inequality.

For the second inequality the same method will be used.

Theorem 4. If L satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A satisfy A1, A2 on the set E. If f^p , g^q , fg, $f^{p\tau}$, $g^{q(1-\tau)} \in L$, $A(f^p) > 0$, $A(g^q) > 0$, $p\tau > 1$, $\tau < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and f and g are positive functions then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p\tau} \left[1 - \frac{A(f^{p\tau})A(g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau}(f^p)A^{1-\tau}(g^q)} \right] &< 1 - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q)} < \\ &< \frac{1}{q(1-\tau)} \left[1 - \frac{A(f^{p\tau})A(g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau}(f^p)A^{1-\tau}(g^q)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $A, B: L \to \mathbf{R}$ are two normalised isotonic functionals such that the conditions $f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)}$, $f^{p(1-\tau)}g^{q\tau}$, $f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}} \in L$ appear instead of $f^{p\tau}$, $g^{q(1-\tau)} \in L$ then we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{p\tau} [\tau A(f^p) B(g^q) + (1-\tau) A(g^q) B(f^p) - A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)}) B(f^{p(1-\tau)} g^{q\tau})] < \\ < \frac{1}{p\tau} A(f^p) B(g^q) + \frac{1}{q} A(g^q) B(f^p) - A(fg) B(f^{\frac{p}{q}} g^{\frac{q}{p}}) < \\ < \frac{1}{p\tau} [\tau A(f^p) B(g^q) + (1-\tau) A(g^q) B(f^p) - A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)}) B(f^{p(1-\tau)} g^{q\tau})]. \end{split}$$

A particular case will be obtained when, A = B,

$$\frac{1}{p\tau} [A(f^p)A(g^q) - A(f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)})A(f^{p(1-\tau)}g^{q\tau})] < \\ < A(f^p)A(g^q) - A(fg)A(f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}}) < \\ < \frac{1}{p\tau} [A(f^p)A(g^q) - A(f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)})A(f^{p(1-\tau)}g^{q\tau})].$$

Proof. If we use in inequality from Theorem 1, $a = \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)}$ and $b = \frac{f^p(y)}{g^q(y)}$ then we get

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu}{\tau} \left[\tau \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} + (1-\tau) \frac{f^p(y)}{g^q(y)} - \frac{f^{p\tau}(x)}{g^{q\tau}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\tau)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\tau)}(y)} \right] < \\ & < \nu \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} + (1-\nu) \frac{f^p(y)}{g^q(y)} - \frac{f^{p\nu}(x)}{g^{q\nu}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\nu)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\nu)}(y)} < \\ & < \frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau} \left[\tau \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} + (1-\tau) \frac{f^p(y)}{g^q(y)} - \frac{f^{p\tau}(x)}{g^{q\tau}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\tau)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\tau)}(y)} \right], \end{split}$$

for any $x, y \in E$.

We multiply last inequality by $g^q(x)g^q(y) > 0$ and we get

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu}{\tau} \left[\tau f^p(x) g^q(y) + (1-\tau) f^p(y) g^q(x) - \frac{f^{p\tau}(x)}{g^{q\tau}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\tau)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\tau)}(y)} g^q(x) g^q(y) \right] < \\ & < \nu f^p(x) g^q(y) + (1-\nu) f^p(y) g^q(x) - \frac{f^{p\nu}(x)}{g^{q\nu}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\nu)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\nu)}(y)} g^q(x) g^q(y) < \\ & < \frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau} \left[\tau f^p(x) g^q(y) + (1-\tau) f^p(y) g^q(x) - \frac{f^{p\tau}(x)}{g^{q\tau}(x)} \frac{f^{p(1-\tau)}(y)}{g^{q(1-\tau)}(y)} g^q(x) g^q(y) \right], \\ & \text{for any } x, y \in E. \end{split}$$

Fix $y \in E$ as in [7] and we have in the order of L that

$$\frac{\nu}{\tau} \left[\tau f^p g^q(y) + (1-\tau) f^p(y) g^q - f^{p\tau} f^{p(1-\tau)}(y) g^{q(1-\tau)} g^{q\tau}(y) \right] <$$

$$<\nu f^{p}g^{q}(y) + (1-\nu)f^{p}(y)g^{q} - f^{p\nu}f^{p(1-\nu)}(y)g^{q(1-\nu)}g^{q\nu}(y) < < \frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau} \left[\tau f^{p}g^{q}(y) + (1-\tau)f^{p}(y)g^{q} - f^{p\tau}f^{p(1-\tau)}(y)g^{q(1-\tau)}g^{q\tau}(y)\right].$$

If we take now the functional A in previous inequality, we have,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{p\tau} \left[\tau A(f^p) g^q(y) + (1-\tau) f^p(y) A(g^q) - A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)}) f^{p(1-\tau)}(y) g^{q\tau}(y) \right] < \\ < \frac{1}{p} A(f^p) g^q(y) + \frac{1}{q} f^p(y) A(g^q) - A(fg) f^{\frac{p}{q}}(y) g^{\frac{q}{p}}(y) < \\ < \frac{1}{q(1-\tau)} \left[\tau A(f^p) g^q(y) + (1-\tau) f^p(y) A(g^q) - A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)}) f^{p(1-\tau)}(y) g^{q\tau}(y) \right]. \end{split}$$

for any $y \in E$. Then we write this inequality in the order of L and then we take the functional B in this inequality obtaining the desired result.

Theorem 5. Let $p\tau > 1$, $\tau < 1$ with p > 0, $\tau > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If L satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A satisfy A1, A2 on the set E. If f^p , g^q , fg, $f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)}$, $f^{\frac{pk}{n}}g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})} \in L$, $k = \overline{0, n}$, $A(f^p) > 0$, $A(g^q) > 0$ and f and g are positive functions then:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{(p\tau)^n} \left[A\left(\left(\tau \frac{f^{\frac{p}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(f^p)} + (1-\tau) \frac{g^{\frac{q}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(g^q)} \right)^n \right) - \frac{A(f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau}(f^p)A^{1-\tau}(g^q)} \right] < \\ < A\left[\left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{f^{\frac{p}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(f^p)} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{g^{\frac{q}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(g^q)} \right)^n \right] - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q)} < \\ < \frac{1}{(q(1-\tau))^n} \left[A\left(\left(\tau \frac{f^{\frac{p}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(f^p)} + (1-\tau) \frac{g^{\frac{q}{n}}}{A^{\frac{1}{n}}(g^q)} \right)^n \right) - \frac{A(f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau}(f^p)A^{1-\tau}(g^q)} \right] \\ or \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{(p\tau)^n} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{\tau^k (1-\tau)^{n-k}}{A^{\frac{k}{n}} (f^p) A^{1-\frac{k}{n}} (g^q)} A \left(f^{\frac{pk}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})} \right) - \frac{A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau} (f^p) A^{1-\tau} (g^q)} \right] < \\ < \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{p^k q^{n-k}} \frac{A(f^{\frac{pk}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})})}{A^{\frac{k}{n}} (f^p) A^{1-\frac{k}{n}} (g^q)} - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}} (f^p) A^{\frac{1}{q}} (g^q)} < \\ < \frac{1}{(q(1-\tau))^n} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ k \end{array} \right) \frac{\tau^k (1-\tau)^{n-k}}{A^{\frac{k}{n}} (f^p) A^{1-\frac{k}{n}} (g^q)} A \left(f^{\frac{pk}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})} \right) - \frac{A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)})}{A^{\tau} (f^p) A^{1-\tau} (g^q)} \right] \end{split}$$

Proof. The proof will be as in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. \blacksquare

Theorem 6. Let $p\tau > 1$, $\tau < 1$ with p > 0, $\tau > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If L satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A satisfy A1, A2 on the set E. If f^p , g^q , fg, $f^{p\tau}g^{q(1-\tau)}$, $f^{p(1-\frac{k}{n})}g^{q\frac{k}{n}}$, $f^{p(1-\tau)}g^{q\tau}$, $f^{\frac{pk}{n}}g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})} \in L$, $k = \overline{0,n}$, $A(f^p) > 0$, $A(g^q) > 0$ and f and g are positive functions then we have:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\nu}{\tau}\right)^{n} [\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \tau^{n-k} (1-\tau)^{k} A(f^{p(1-\frac{k}{n})} g^{q\frac{k}{n}}) B(f^{p\frac{k}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(f^{p\tau} g^{q(1-\tau)}) B(f^{p(1-\tau)} g^{q\tau})] < \\ < \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{p^{n-k} g^{k}} A(f^{p(1-\frac{k}{n})} g^{q\frac{k}{n}}) B(f^{p\frac{k}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg) B(f^{\frac{p}{q}} g^{\frac{q}{p}}) < \\ < \left(\frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau}\right)^{n} [\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \tau^{n-k} (1-\tau)^{k} A(f^{p(1-\frac{k}{n})} g^{q\frac{k}{n}}) B(f^{p\frac{k}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg) B(f^{\frac{p}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg) B(f^{\frac{p}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg^{p\frac{q}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg^{p\frac{q}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) - A(fg^{p\frac{q}{n}} g^{q(1-\frac{k}{n})}) B(f^{p(1-\tau)} g^{q\tau})] \end{split}$$

In [8] Feng improved the left-hand side of the Kittaneh-Manasrah inequality [11, 12].

Proposition 2. If L satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A satisfy A1, A2 on the set E and if f^p , g^q , $fg \in L$, $A(f^p) > 0$, $A(g^q) > 0$, f and g are positive functions and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ then:

(i) If $p \ge 3$ and $f^{\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{2q}{3}} \in L$

$$\frac{3}{p} \left(1 - \frac{A(f^{\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{2}{3}q})}{A^{\frac{1}{3}}(f^p)A^{\frac{2}{3}}(g^q)} \right) \le 1 - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q)}$$

(*ii*) If
$$1 and $f^{\frac{2p}{3}}g^{\frac{q}{3}} \in L$$$

$$\frac{3}{q} \left(1 - \frac{A(f^{2\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{q}{3}})}{A^{\frac{2}{3}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{3}}(g^q)} \right) \le 1 - \frac{A(fg)}{A^{\frac{1}{p}}(f^p)A^{\frac{1}{q}}(g^q)}.$$

(iii) If p > 3 and $f^{\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{2q}{3}}$, $f^{\frac{2p}{3}}g^{\frac{q}{3}}$, $f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}} \in L$ then we have:

$$\left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) A(g^q) B(f^p) \le \frac{3}{p} A(f^{\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{2q}{3}}) B(f^{\frac{2p}{3}}g^{\frac{q}{3}}) - A(fg) B(f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}})$$

Moreover, if A = B then previous inequality becomes:

$$\left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) A(g^q) A(f^p) \le \frac{3}{p} A(f^{\frac{p}{3}}g^{\frac{2q}{3}}) A(f^{\frac{2p}{3}}g^{\frac{q}{3}}) - A(fg) A(f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}})$$

(iv) If $1 and <math>g^{\frac{q}{3}}f^{\frac{2p}{3}}$, $g^{\frac{2q}{3}}f^{\frac{p}{3}}$, $f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}} \in L$ then we have:

$$\left(\frac{2}{q} - \frac{1}{p}\right)B(g^q)A(f^p) \le \frac{3}{q}A(g^{\frac{q}{3}}f^{\frac{2p}{3}})B(g^{\frac{2q}{3}}f^{\frac{p}{3}}) - A(fg)B(f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}}).$$

Moreover, if A = B then previous inequality becomes:

$$\left(\frac{2}{q} - \frac{1}{p}\right)A(g^q)A(f^p) \le \frac{3}{q}A(g^{\frac{q}{3}}f^{\frac{2p}{3}})A(g^{\frac{2q}{3}}f^{\frac{p}{3}}) - A(fg)A(f^{\frac{p}{q}}g^{\frac{q}{p}}).$$

Consequence 1. Theorem 4, 5 and Proposition 2 can be rewritten for the time scale Cauchy delta, Cauchy nabla, α -diamond, multiple Riemann, and multiple Lebesque integrals.

Remark 1. For example, first inequality of Theorem 4 can be rewritten like below: (i) Let $f, g \in C_{rd}([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ be positive functions,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{p\tau} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x)\Delta x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x)\Delta x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right] &< 1 - \frac{\int_a^b f(x)g(x)\Delta x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} < \\ &< \frac{1}{q(1-\tau)} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x)\Delta x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x)\Delta x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)\Delta x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)\Delta x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right], \end{split}$$

where p, τ and q as in Theorem 4.

(ii) Let $f, g \in C_{ld}([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ be positive functions,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{p\tau} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x) \nabla x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x) \nabla x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x) \nabla x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x) \nabla x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right] &< 1 - \frac{\int_a^b f(x) g(x) \nabla x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x) \nabla x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x) \nabla x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \\ &< \frac{1}{q(1-\tau)} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x) \nabla x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x) \nabla x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x) \nabla x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x) \nabla x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right], \end{split}$$

where p, τ and q as in Theorem 4.

(iii) Let $f, g: [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ be \diamond_{α} -integrable and positive functions

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{p\tau} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x)) \diamond_\alpha x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x)) \diamond_\alpha x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right] < \\ & < 1 - \frac{\int_a^b f(x)g(x)) \diamond_\alpha x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} < \\ & < \frac{1}{q(1-\tau)} \left[1 - \frac{\int_a^b f^{p\tau}(x)) \diamond_\alpha x \int_a^b g^{q(1-\tau)}(x)) \diamond_\alpha x}{\left(\int_a^b f^p(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{\tau} \left(\int_a^b g^q(x)) \diamond_\alpha x\right)^{1-\tau}} \right] \\ & \text{rd a again Theorem /} \end{split}$$

where p, τ and q as in Theorem 4.

3. The Young-type inequalities for inner product

First, as in [6], it is necessary to recall that for selfadjoint operators $A, B \in B(H)$ we write $A \leq B$ (or $B \geq A$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle$ for every vector $x \in H$. We will consider for beginning A as being a selfadjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle ., . \rangle)$. The *Gelfand map* establishes a *- isometrically isomorphism Φ between the set C(Sp(A)) of all *continuous functions* defined on the *spectrum* of A, denoted Sp(A), and the C^* - algebra $C^*(A)$ generated by A and the identity operator 1_H on H as follows: For any $f, f \in C(Sp(A))$ and for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ we have

(i) $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \Phi(f) + \beta \Phi(g);$

LOREDANA CIURDARIU

(ii) $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(f) = \Phi(f^*)$; (iii) $||\Phi(f)|| = ||f|| := \sup_{t \in Sp(A)} |f(t)|$; (iv) $\Phi(f_0) = 1_H$ and $\Phi(f_1) = A$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$ for $t \in Sp(A)$.) Using this notation, as in [6] for example, we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f)$$
 for all $f \in C(Sp(A))$

and we call it the *continuous functional calculus* for a selfadjoint operator A. It is known that if A is a selfadjoint operator and f is a real valued continuous function on Sp(A), then $f(t) \ge 0$ for any $t \in Sp(A)$ implies that $f(A) \ge 0$, i.e. f(A) is a *positive operator* on H. In addition, if and f and g are real valued functions on Sp(A) then the following property holds:

(1)
$$f(t) \ge g(t)$$
 for any $t \in Sp(A)$ implies that $f(A) \ge g(A)$

in the operator order of B(H).

Using the definition from [6], we say that the functions $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ are syncronous (asyncronous) on the interval [a, b] if they satisfy the following condition:

$$(f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) \ge (\le)0$$

for each $t, s \in [a, b]$.

Consequence 2. Let $A \in B(H)$ and $B \in B(H)$ be two selfadjoint operators on H and $\nu \in (0, 1)$.

(i) If $0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{3}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &3\nu \left[\frac{1}{3} < Ax, x > < y, y > + \frac{2}{3} < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\frac{1}{3}}x, x > < B^{\frac{2}{3}}y, y > \right] \leq \\ &\leq \nu < Ax, x > < y, y > + (1-\nu) < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1-\nu}y, y > \\ &(ii) \text{ If } \frac{3}{2} \leq \nu < 1 \text{ then we obtain} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &3(1-\nu)\left[\frac{2}{3} < Ax, x > < y, y > +\frac{1}{3} < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\frac{2}{3}}x, x > < B^{\frac{1}{3}}y, y > \right] \leq \\ &\leq \nu < Ax, x > < y, y > +(1-\nu) < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1-\nu}y, y > \\ &(iii) \text{ If } \lambda = 1 \text{ and } 0 < \nu < \tau < 1 \text{ then we have} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu}{\tau} [\tau < Ax, x > < y, y > + (1 - \tau) < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\tau}x, x > < B^{1 - \tau}y, y >] < \\ & < \nu < Ax, x > < y, y > + (1 - \nu) < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1 - \nu}y, y > < \\ & < \frac{1 - \nu}{1 - \tau} [\tau < Ax, x > < y, y > + (1 - \tau) < x, x > < By, y > - < A^{\tau}x, x > < B^{1 - \tau}y, y >] \end{cases}$$
for each $x, y \in H$.

(iv) Moreover, when $B \in B^*(K)$ is an selfadjoint operator on pseudo-Hilbert space K, see [4] and [5], instead of a selfadjoint operator on H and $\lambda = 1$ and $0 < \nu < \tau < 1$ then we have

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu}{\tau}[\tau < Ax, x > [y, y] + (1 - \tau) < x, x > [By, y] - < A^{\tau}x, x > [B^{1 - \tau}y, y]] < \\ & < \nu < Ax, x > [y, y] + (1 - \nu) < x, x > [By, y] - < A^{\nu}x, x > [B^{1 - \nu}y, y] < \end{split}$$

8

 $<\frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau}[\tau < Ax, x > [y,y] + (1-\tau) < x, x > [By,y] - < A^{\tau}x, x[< B^{1-\tau}y,y]]$ for each $x \in H$ and $y \in K$.

Proof. The demonstration will be as in [6] and we prove only (i).

We fix a and apply the property (1) for the first inequality from Proposition 1, obtaining:

$$3\nu[\frac{1}{3}A + \frac{2}{3}Ib - A^{\frac{1}{3}}b^{\frac{2}{3}}] \le \nu A + (1-\nu)Ib - A^{\nu}b^{(1-\nu)}$$

for each $b \in \mathbf{R}$. Then we obtain for each $x \in H$ the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\nu[\frac{1}{3} < Ax, x > +\frac{2}{3}b < x, x > - < A^{\frac{1}{3}}x, x > b^{\frac{2}{3}}] \leq \\ & \leq \nu < Ax, x > +(1-\nu)b < x, x > - < A^{\nu}x, x > b^{1-\nu} \end{aligned}$$

and now we will apply again the property (1), this time for b and we get

$$3\nu[\frac{1}{3} < Ax, x > I + \frac{2}{3}B < x, x > - < A^{\frac{1}{3}}x, x > B^{\frac{2}{3}}] \le 1$$

 $\leq \nu < Ax, x > I + (1-\nu)B < x, x > - < A^{\nu}x, x > B^{1-\nu}$ which is equivalent to:

 $3\nu[\frac{1}{3} < Ax, x > < y, y > +\frac{2}{3} < By, y > < x, x > - < A^{\frac{1}{3}}x, x > < B^{\frac{2}{3}}y, y >] \le \le \nu < Ax, x > < y, y > +(1-\nu) < By, y > < x, x > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1-\nu}y, y >.$

Remark 2. Let $A \in B(H)$ and $B \in B(H)$ be two selfadjoint operators on H and $\nu \in (0,1)$.

(i) If we consider in Proposition 2 the elements $x, y \in H$ with ||x|| = 1 and ||y|| = 1 then we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}[<\log^2 By, y>+< A\log^2 Ax, x>-\\ &-2<\log By, y>]<\\ &<\nu+(1-\nu)-<\\ &<\frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{2}[+<\log^2 Ax, x>-\\ &-2<\log Ax, x>], \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If we consider in Consequence 2 the elements $x, y \in H$ with ||x|| = 1 and ||y|| = 1 then we obtain the following inequalities:

(a) If $p \geq 3$ then

$$3\nu \left[\frac{1}{3} < Ax, x > +\frac{2}{3} < By, y > - < A^{\frac{1}{3}}x, x > < B^{\frac{2}{3}}y, y > \right] \le \\ \le \nu < Ax, x > +(1-\nu) < By, y > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1-\nu}y, y > .$$

(b) If
$$1 then$$

$$\begin{split} &3(1-\nu)\left[\frac{2}{3} < Ax, x> + \frac{1}{3} < By, y> - < A^{\frac{2}{3}}x, x> < B^{\frac{1}{3}}y, y>\right] \leq \\ &\leq \nu < Ax, x> + (1-\nu) < By, y> - < A^{\nu}x, x> < B^{1-\nu}y, y> . \end{split}$$

(c) If $\lambda = 1$ and $0 < \nu < \tau < 1$ then

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\nu}{\tau}[\tau < Ax, x > +(1-\tau) < By, y > - < A^{\tau}x, x > < B^{1-\tau}y, y >] < \\ & < \nu < Ax, x > +(1-\nu) < By, y > - < A^{\nu}x, x > < B^{1-\nu}y, y > < \\ & < \frac{1-\nu}{1-\tau}[\tau < Ax, x > +(1-\tau) < By, y > - < A^{\tau}x, x > < B^{1-\tau}y, y >] \end{split}$$

References

- Alzer, H., Fonseca, C. M., Kovacec, A., Young-type inequalities and their matrix analogues, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 63, 3, (2015), 622-635.
- [2] Andrica D. and Badea C, Gruss'inequality for positive linear functionals, Periodica Math. Hung., 19 (1998), 155-167.
- [3] Anwar, M., Bibi, R., Bohner, M., and Pecaric, J., Integral Inequalities on Time Scales via the Theory of Isotonic Linear Functionals, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 483595, 16 pages.
- [4] Ciurdariu L., Craciunescu A., On spectral representation on gramian normal operators on pseudo-Hilbert spaces, Analele Universitatii de Vest din Timisoara, Seria Matematica Informatica, XLV, 1, pp.131-149, (2007).
- [5] Ciurdariu L., Inequalities for selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces and pseudo-Hilbert spaces, RGMIA, Res. Rep. Coll., 2015.
- [6] Dragomir S. S., Cebysev's type inequalities for functions on selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Res. Rep. Coll., RGMIA, 2009.
- [7] Dragomir S. S., Several results for isotonic functionals via two new reverses of Young's inequality, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., pp 12, 2015.
- [8] Feng, Y., Refinements of Young's inequalities for matrices, Far East J. Math. Sci., (FJMS), 2012;63:45-55.
- [9] Furuichi S., Minculete, N., Alternative reverse inequalities for Young's inequality, Journal of Mathematical inequalities, 5, 4 (2011), 595–600.
- [10] Guseinov, G. S., Integration on time scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 285, 107-127 (2003).
- [11] Kittaneh, F., Manasrah, Y., Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **59**, 9 (2011), 1031–1037.
- [12] Kittaneh, F., Manasrah, Y., Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 361 (2010), 262–269.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, "POLITEHNICA" UNIVERSITY OF TIMISOARA, P-TA. VICTORIEI, NO.2, 300006-TIMISOARA

10