

# New Generalization of Discrete Montgomery Identity with Applications

P.G. Popescu and J. L. Díaz-Barrero

## Abstract

In this paper, a discrete version of the well-known Montgomery's identity is generalized, and a refinement of an inequality derived by B.G. Pachpatte in 2007 is presented. Finally, the results obtained are applied for expanding a complex multinomial formula in different way of the classical expansion.

**Key words:** Montgomery identity, Gruss inequality, Multinomial expansion, Refinements, Generalizations.

*Mathematical subject classification (2000): 26D15, 26D20.*

## 1 Introduction

In [4] appeared the following discrete version of the well-known Montgomery's identity. It which will be used later on to prove our main results is stated as follows

$$x_k = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_i,$$

where  $\{x_k\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  is a finite sequence of real numbers,  $\Delta x_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$ , and where  $D_n(k, i) = i/n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  and  $D_n(k, i) = i/n-1$  for  $k \leq i \leq n$ . Putting  $H_n(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_n(k, i)|$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and taking into account the computation from [5], yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H_n(k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} - \left( k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \right) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{6},$$

as can be immediately checked.

The aim of this paper is to generalize this discrete version of Montgomery's identity and to use it to refine and inequality derived by B.G. Pachpatte in 2007 and published in [3]. Furthermore, as an application of the results obtained we have expanded in a different way of the usual expansions a classical multinomial formulae. Multinomial expansions have many applications in probability, stochastic processes and number theory ([1],[2]).

## 2 Generalization of Discrete Montgomery Identity

In the following a generalization of discrete Montgomery's identity for  $n$  sequences of numbers, namely,  $\{x_{1k}\}, \{x_{2k}\}, \dots, \{x_{nk}\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is given.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\{x_{1k}\}, \{x_{2k}\}, \dots, \{x_{mk}\}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be finite sequences of real numbers, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^m x_{ik} &= \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} x_{mk} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} + \frac{1}{n^{m-2}} x_{mk} X_{m-1k} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} + \dots \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} x_{mk} x_{m-1k} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{3k} X_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i} + x_{mk} x_{m-1k} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{2k} X_{1k}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $X_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_{ji}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

*Proof.* To prove the preceding the identity by argue by induction. First, multiplying Montgomery's identity for  $\{x_{1k}\}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  by  $x_{2k}$ , yields

$$x_{2k} x_{1k} = \frac{1}{n} x_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i} + x_{2k} X_{1k},$$

which is exactly the identity claimed for the case when  $m = 2$ . Now, we assume that the identity holds for a positive integer  $m$ , and we have to proof that it also holds for  $m+1$ . Indeed, multiplying the identity for  $m$  sequences of real numbers by  $x_{m+1k}$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{ik} &= \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} x_{m+1k} x_{mk} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} + \frac{1}{n^{m-2}} x_{m+1k} x_{mk} X_{m-1k} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} \\ &+ \dots + \frac{1}{n} x_{m+1k} x_{mk} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{3k} X_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i} + x_{m+1k} x_{mk} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{2k} X_{1k} \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the identity for two sequences of real numbers, which is true, applying it to the sequences  $\{x_{m+1k}\}, \{x_{mk}\}$ , ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), and changing the first term of the RHS of previous identity, we obtain for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} x_{ik} &= \frac{1}{n^m} x_{m+1k} \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} + \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} x_{m+1k} X_{mk} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} + \dots \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} x_{m+1k} x_{mk} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{3k} X_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i} + x_{m+1k} x_{mk} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{2k} X_{1k}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, the proof is complete.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of the previous result is the following

**Corollary 1** Let  $\{x_{1k}\}, \{x_{2k}\}, \dots, \{x_{mk}\}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be finite sequences of real numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m x_{ik} &= \frac{1}{n^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} + \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{mk} X_{m-1k}) \\ &+ \dots + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{1k} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{mk} x_{m-1k} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{3k} X_{2k}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{mk} x_{m-1k} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{2k} X_{1k}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_{ji}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

*Proof.* Adding up the identities of Theorem 1 for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and finally multiplying by  $1/n$  the result follows.  $\square$

### 3 Gruss like Inequalities

Hereafter, we give a direct refinement of the first inequality of Theorem 2.2 from [3] derived by B.G. Pachpatte, using discrete Montgomery identity for two sequences of numbers. It is stated as follows

**Theorem 2** Let  $\{x_k\}, \{y_k\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) be two finite sequences of real numbers such that  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{|\Delta x_k|\} = A$  and  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{|\Delta y_k|\} = B$ , where  $A, B$  are nonnegative constants. Then the following inequality holds

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \right| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{A}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k| H_n(k), \frac{B}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| H_n(k) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Writing the identity of Montgomery for each element  $x_k$  of the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), and multiplying it by  $y_k$ , we get

$$x_k y_k - \frac{1}{n} y_k \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y_k \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_i.$$

Adding up the previous identities for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_i$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \right| \leq \frac{A}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k| H_n(k)$$

after taking the absolute value in both sides of the preceding identity.

Likewise, a similar inequality is obtained if we carry out the same procedure using the elements of the sequence  $\{y_k\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), multiplied by the corresponding  $x_k$ . Then, we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \right| \leq \frac{B}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| H_n(k).$$

Finally, using the above results the inequality claimed in the statement follows and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Observe that the inequality presented in Theorem 2 is a refinement of the result given in [3] by Pachpatte.

A similar result is the following

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\{x_k\}, \{y_k\}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be two finite sequences of real numbers such that  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|x_k|\} = A$  and  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|y_k|\} = B$ , where  $A, B$  are nonnegative constants. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \right| \leq \frac{2AB}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n H_n(k).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|x_k|\} = A$  and  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|y_k|\} = B$ , then on account of the modulus properties we obtain  $|\Delta x_k| \leq 2A$  and  $|\Delta y_k| \leq 2B$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Now following the steps of the proof of Theorem 2 we get the result we wanted to prove.  $\square$

Next taking into account the above results, we give some generalizations of the discrete Gruss type inequality.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $\{x_{1k}\}, \{x_{2k}\}, \dots, \{x_{mk}\}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be finite sequences of real numbers, such that  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{|\Delta x_{ik}|\} = A_i$ , where the  $A_i$ 's are nonnegative constants, for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Then the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m x_{ik} - \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} \right| &\leq \frac{A_{m-1}}{n^{m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{ik}| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (|x_{mk}| H_n(k)) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{A_2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{1k}| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{i=3}^m |x_{ik}| \cdot H_n(k) \right) \\ &+ \frac{A_1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{i=2}^m |x_{ik}| \cdot H_n(k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Applying the modulus property to the previous identity and taking into account that

$$|X_{jk}| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta x_{ji} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_n(k, i)| |\Delta x_{ji}| \leq A_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_n(k, i)| = A_j H_n(k),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we get the result stated.  $\square$

Finally, changing the conditions as we have done before, we obtain another generalization of the discrete Gruss like inequality.

**Theorem 5** Let  $\{x_{1k}\}, \{x_{2k}\}, \dots, \{x_{mk}\}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  be finite sequences of real numbers, such that  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|x_{ik}|\} = A_i$ , where the  $A_i$ 's are nonnegative constants, for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then the following inequality holds

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m x_{ik} - \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} \right| \leq \frac{2 \prod_{i=1}^m A_i}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n H_n(k).$$

*Proof.* From  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|x_{ik}|\} = A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and taking into account the modulus properties we obtain  $|\Delta x_{ik}| \leq 2A_i$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Furthermore, applying the modulus property to the identity from Theorem 4 we get the wanted result.  $\square$

## 4 A multinomial expansion

In the following we will apply the results presented so far to expand a complex formula. For general purposes, let us consider the following formula

$$\Gamma = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^m = \sum_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_m=n} \binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m} f_1^{n_1} f_2^{n_2} \dots f_m^{n_m},$$

where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers,  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  are nonnegative integers, and  $f_k$  is an arbitrary expression. A complete set of multinomial expansions was presented in [2], which shows the detailed structure of each decomposed term. Here we present a different way to expand  $\Gamma$  based on the identity given in Corollary 1. It is stated in the following

**Theorem 6** Let  $m, n$  be positive integers, with  $m \geq 2$  and  $f_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  be arbitrary expressions, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & n^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^m - \left[ n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right)^{m-2} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n f_k X_k + \dots \right. \\ & \left. + n^{m-2} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^{m-2} X_k + n^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^{m-1} X_k \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_n(k, i) \Delta f_i$ .

*Proof.* It follows directly from Corollary 1, where all the elements of the sequence of numbers are  $f_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The work has been funded by the Sectoral Operational Programme Human Resources Development 2007-2013 of the Ministry of European Funds through the Financial Agreement POSDRU/159/1.5/S/134398.

## References

- [1] M. Dwass. *Probability Theory and Applications*. Benjamin, New York, 1970.
- [2] N. Ma. *Complete multinomial expansions*. Applied mathematics and Computations, 124(2001), 365-370.
- [3] B. G. Pachpatte. *New Discrete Ostrowski-Gruss Like Inequalities*. Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser. Math. Inform., 22(1) (2007), 15-20.
- [4] A. Aglic Aljinovic, J. Pecaric. *A discrete Euler identity*. J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 5(3) Art. 58 (2004).
- [5] S. S. Dragomir. *The discrete version of Ostrowski's inequality in normed linear spaces*. J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 3(1) Art. 2 (2002).

School of Civil Engineering  
Technical University of Catalonia (BarcelonaTech)  
Jordi Girona 1-3, C2, 08034 Barcelona. Spain  
[jose.luis.diaz@upc.edu](mailto:jose.luis.diaz@upc.edu)

Applied Science Faculty  
Computer Science and Engineering Department  
Faculty of Automatic Control and Computers  
University "Politehnica" of Bucharest  
Splaiul Independenței 313, 060042, Bucharest (6), Romania  
[pgpopescu@yahoo.com](mailto:pgpopescu@yahoo.com)