

**NEW THREE POINTS INEQUALITIES FOR
RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRAL OF LIPSCHITZIAN
INTEGRANDS AND INTEGRATORS OF BOUNDED VARIATION**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide some simple error bounds in approximating the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ by the use of three points formula

$$(1 - \alpha) \{ [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) \} + \alpha [u(b) - u(a)] f(x)$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in [a, b]$ in the case that the function f is Lipschitzian and u is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Applications for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators and unitary operators on Hilbert spaces are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

One can approximate the *Stieltjes integral* $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ with the *Ostrowski type rule*

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) [u(b) - u(a)] \quad ([15], [16])$$

or with the *trapezoid type rule*

$$(1.2) \quad [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) \quad ([24]),$$

where $x \in [a, b]$.

In order to provide *a priori* sharp bounds for the *approximation error*, consider the functionals:

$$\Theta(f, u; a, b, x) := \int_a^b f(t) du(t) - f(x) [u(b) - u(a)]$$

and

$$T(f, u; a, b, x) := [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t).$$

For the functional $\theta(f, u; a, b, x)$ we have the bound [15]:

$$(1.3) \quad |\theta(f, u; a, b, x)| \leq H \left[(x - a)^r \bigvee_a^x(f) + (b - x)^r \bigvee_x^b(f) \right]$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 26D10, 47A63, 47A30.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Continuous functions, Functions of bounded variation, Hilbert spaces, Selfadjoint operators, Unitary operators.

$$\leq H \times \begin{cases} [(x-a)^r + (b-x)^r] \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f) + \frac{1}{2} \left| \bigvee_a^x(f) - \bigvee_x^b(f) \right| \right]; \\ [(x-a)^{qr} + (b-x)^{qr}]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\left(\bigvee_a^x(f) \right)^p + \left(\bigvee_x^b(f) \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \quad \text{if } p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^r \bigvee_a^b(f), \end{cases}$$

provided f is of bounded variation and u is of r - H -Hölder type, i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad |u(t) - u(s)| \leq H |t - s|^r \quad \text{for each } t, s \in [a, b],$$

with given $H > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1]$.

If f is of q - K -Hölder type and u is of bounded variation, then [16]

$$(1.5) \quad |\theta(f, u; a, b, x)| \leq K \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^q \bigvee_a^b(u),$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

If u is monotonic nondecreasing and f of q - K -Hölder type, then the following refinement of (1.5) also holds [8]:

$$(1.6) \quad |\theta(f, u; a, b, x)| \leq K \left[(b-x)^q u(b) - (x-a)^q u(a) \right. \\ \left. + q \left\{ \int_a^x \frac{u(t) dt}{(x-t)^{1-q}} - \int_x^b \frac{u(t) dt}{(t-x)^{1-q}} \right\} \right] \\ \leq K [(b-x)^q [u(b) - u(x)] + (x-a)^q [u(x) - u(a)]] \\ \leq K \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^q [u(b) - u(a)],$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

If f is monotonic nondecreasing and u is of r - H -Hölder type, then [8]:

$$(1.7) \quad |\theta(f, u; a, b, x)| \\ \leq H \left[[(x-a)^r - (b-x)^r] f(x) \right. \\ \left. + r \left\{ \int_a^x \frac{f(t) dt}{(b-t)^{1-r}} - \int_x^b \frac{f(t) dt}{(t-r)^{1-r}} \right\} \right] \\ \leq H \{(b-x)^r [f(b) - f(x)] + (x-a)^r [f(x) - f(a)]\} \\ \leq H \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right]^r [f(b) - f(a)],$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

The error functional $T(f, u; a, b, x)$ satisfies similar bounds, see [24], [8], [3] and [2] and the details are omitted. For other approximation results for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, see also [21], [20], [19], and [8] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we provide some simple ways to approximate the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ by the use of three points formula, namely we establish bounds for the error functional

$$T\Theta(f, u; a, b, x, \alpha) := (1 - \alpha) \{[u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a)\} \\ + \alpha [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in [a, b]$ while u is of bounded variation and f is Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$. Applications for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators and unitary operators on Hilbert spaces are also given.

2. INEQUALITIES FOR LIPSCHITZIAN INTEGRANDS

Assume that $u, f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ exists, we write for simplicity, like in [1, p. 142] that $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u, [a, b])$, or $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)$ when the interval is implicitly known. If the functions u, f are real valued, then we write $f \in \mathcal{R}(u, [a, b])$, or $\mathcal{R}(u)$.

We start with the following identity of interest.

Lemma 1. *Let $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in [a, b]$ such that $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u, [a, b])$. Then for any $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$(2.1) \quad [u(b) - \mu] f(b) + [\gamma - u(a)] f(a) + (\mu - \gamma) f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\ = \int_a^x [u(t) - \gamma] df(t) + \int_x^b [u(t) - \mu] df(t).$$

In particular, for $\mu = \gamma$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad [u(b) - \gamma] f(b) + [\gamma - u(a)] f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) = \int_a^b [u(t) - \gamma] df(t).$$

Proof. Using integration by parts rule for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$\int_a^x [u(t) - \gamma] df(t) = [u(x) - \gamma] f(x) - [u(a) - \gamma] f(a) - \int_a^x f(t) du(t)$$

and

$$\int_x^b [u(t) - \mu] df(t) = [u(b) - \mu] f(b) - [u(x) - \mu] f(x) - \int_x^b f(t) du(t)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

If we add these two equalities, we get

$$\int_a^x [u(t) - \gamma] df(t) + \int_x^b [u(t) - \mu] df(t) \\ = [u(b) - \mu] f(b) + [\gamma - u(a)] f(a) + [\mu - u(x)] f(x) \\ + [u(x) - \gamma] f(x) - \int_a^x f(t) du(t) - \int_x^b f(t) du(t) \\ = [u(b) - \mu] f(b) + [\gamma - u(a)] f(a) + (\mu - \gamma) f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, which proves the desired equality (2.1). \square

If in (2.1) we take $\gamma = \alpha u(a) + (1 - \alpha)u(x)$ and $\mu = (1 - \alpha)u(x) + \alpha u(b)$ where $x \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & (1 - \alpha) \{ [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) \} \\
 & + \alpha [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\
 & = \int_a^x [u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1 - \alpha)u(x)] df(t) \\
 & \quad + \int_x^b [u(t) - (1 - \alpha)u(x) - \alpha u(b)] df(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & (1 - \alpha) \left\{ \left[u(b) - u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] f(b) + \left[u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - u(a) \right] f(a) \right\} \\
 & + \alpha [u(b) - u(a)] f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\
 & = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left[u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1 - \alpha)u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] df(t) \\
 & \quad + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left[u(t) - (1 - \alpha)u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \alpha u(b) \right] df(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

If in this equality, we take $\alpha = 1$, we get the Montgomery type identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\
 & = \int_a^x [u(t) - u(a)] df(t) + \int_x^b [u(t) - u(b)] df(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$, which was obtained for the first time by the author in [15].

In particular, for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & [u(b) - u(a)] f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\
 & = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} [u(t) - u(a)] df(t) + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b [u(t) - u(b)] df(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

If in (2.3) we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \{ [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) + [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) \} \\
 & \quad - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\
 & = \int_a^x \left[u(t) - \frac{u(a) + u(x)}{2} \right] df(t) + \int_x^b \left[u(t) - \frac{u(x) + u(b)}{2} \right] df(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and in particular

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[u(b) - u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] f(b) + \left[u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - u(a) \right] f(a) \right. \\ \left. + [u(b) - u(a)] f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right\} - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\ = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left[u(t) - \frac{u(a) + u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2} \right] df(t) + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left[u(t) - \frac{u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + u(b)}{2} \right] df(t).$$

If in (2.3) we take $\alpha = 0$, then we get

$$(2.9) \quad [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\ = \int_a^b [u(t) - u(x)] df(t)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$, and, in particular,

$$(2.10) \quad \left[u(b) - u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] f(b) + \left[u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - u(a) \right] f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \\ = \int_a^b \left[u(t) - u\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] df(t).$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let $f, u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in [a, b]$. If f is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, namely*

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq L|t - s| \text{ for all } t, s \in [a, b]$$

and u are of bounded variation, then $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u, [a, b])$ and

$$(2.11) \quad |T\Theta(f, u; a, b, x, \alpha)| \\ \leq \alpha L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\ + (1 - \alpha) L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt \right] \\ \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L \left((x - a) \bigvee_a^x(u) + (b - x) \bigvee_x^b(u) \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\bigvee_a^b(u) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(u) - \bigvee_x^b(u) \right| \right) (b - a) \\ (b - a + |2x - a - b|) \bigvee_a^b(u) \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L (b - a) \bigvee_a^b(u) \right.$$

In particular, we have for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \left| T\Theta \left(f, u; a, b, \frac{a+b}{2}, \alpha \right) \right| \\
& \leq \alpha L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
& + (1-\alpha) L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^t(u) \right) dt \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \alpha, 1-\alpha \} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is well known that, if $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Riemann integrable and $v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b p(t) dv(t)$ exists and [1]

$$(2.13) \quad \left| \int_a^b p(t) dv(t) \right| \leq L \int_a^b |p(t)| dt.$$

By utilising (2.3), we have for $x \in (a, b)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad & \left| (1-\alpha) \{ [u(b) - u(x)] f(b) + [u(x) - u(a)] f(a) \} \right. \\
& \left. + \alpha [u(b) - u(a)] f(x) - \int_a^b f(t) du(t) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \leq \left| \int_a^x [u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1-\alpha) u(x)] df(t) \right| \\
& + \left| \int_x^b [u(t) - (1-\alpha) u(x) - \alpha u(b)] df(t) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \leq L \int_a^x |[u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1-\alpha) u(x)]| dt \\
& + L \int_x^b |[u(t) - (1-\alpha) u(x) - \alpha u(b)]| dt =: C(f, u, x; \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Since u is of bounded variation, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|[u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1-\alpha) u(x)]| &= |[\alpha(u(t) - u(a)) + (1-\alpha)(u(t) - u(x))]| \\
&\leq \alpha |u(t) - u(a)| + (1-\alpha) |u(x) - u(t)| \\
&\leq \alpha \bigvee_a^t(u) + (1-\alpha) \bigvee_t^x(u)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |[u(t) - (1 - \alpha)u(x) - \alpha u(b)]| &= |[(1 - \alpha)(u(t) - u(x)) + \alpha(u(t) - u(b))]| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha)|u(t) - u(x)| + \alpha|u(b) - u(t)| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha)\bigvee_x^t(u) + \alpha\bigvee_t^b(u) \end{aligned}$$

for $x, t \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^x |[u(t) - \alpha u(a) - (1 - \alpha)u(x)]| dt &\leq \int_a^x \left[\alpha \bigvee_a^t(u) + (1 - \alpha) \bigvee_t^x(u) \right] dt \\ &= \alpha \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + (1 - \alpha) \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^b |[u(t) - (1 - \alpha)u(x) - \alpha u(b)]| dt &\leq \int_x^b \left[(1 - \alpha) \bigvee_x^t(u) + \alpha \bigvee_t^b(u) \right] dt \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt + \alpha \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

If we add these two inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(f, u, x; \alpha) &\leq L \left[\alpha \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + (1 - \alpha) \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt \right] \\ &\quad + L \left[(1 - \alpha) \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt + \alpha \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\ &= \alpha L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt \right] =: D(f, u, x; \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

This prove the first inequality in (2.11).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f, u, x; \alpha) &\leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} \\
&\times L \left\{ \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt + \int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt \right\} \\
&= \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) + \bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) + \bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
&= \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L \left[\bigvee_a^x(u) \int_a^x dt + \bigvee_x^b(u) \int_x^b dt \right] \\
&= \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} L \left((x - a) \bigvee_a^x(u) \bigvee_a^x(f) + (b - x) \bigvee_x^b(u) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

This proves the second inequality in (2.11).

The last part is obvious. \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that f and u are as in Theorem 1.*

(i) *If we take $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad &\left| T\Theta \left(f, u; a, b, \frac{a+b}{2}, \alpha \right) \right| \\
&\leq \alpha L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
&+ (1 - \alpha) L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^t(u) \right) dt \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} (b - a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $p \in [a, b]$ is such that $\bigvee_a^p(u) = \bigvee_p^b(u)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad &|T\Theta(f, u; a, b, p, \alpha)| \leq \alpha L \left[\int_a^p \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_p^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
&+ (1 - \alpha) L \left[\int_a^p \left(\bigvee_t^p(u) \right) dt + \int_p^b \left(\bigvee_p^t(u) \right) dt \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} (b - a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 1 we get the Ostrowski type inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.19) \quad |\Theta(f, u; a, b, x)| &\leq L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
 &\leq L \left[(x-a) \bigvee_a^x(u) + (b-x) \bigvee_x^b(u) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} L \begin{cases} \left(\bigvee_a^b(u) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(u) - \bigvee_x^b(u) \right| \right) (b-a) \\ (b-a + |2x-a-b|) \bigvee_a^b(u) \end{cases} \leq L(b-a) \bigvee_a^b(u),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

In particular, for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad \left| \Theta \left(f, u; a, b, \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right| &\leq L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $p \in [a, b]$ is such that $\bigvee_a^p(u) = \bigvee_p^b(u)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad |\Theta(f, u; a, b, p)| &\leq L \left[\int_a^p \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \int_p^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} L (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 1 we get the trapezoid type inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.22) \quad |T(f, u; a, b, x)| &\leq L \left[\int_a^x \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\bigvee_x^t(u) \right) dt \right] \leq L \left[(x-a) \bigvee_a^x(u) + (b-x) \bigvee_x^b(u) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} L \begin{cases} \left(\bigvee_a^b(u) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(u) - \bigvee_x^b(u) \right| \right) (b-a) \\ (b-a + |2x-a-b|) \bigvee_a^b(u) \end{cases} \leq (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

In particular, for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$(2.23) \quad \left| T \left(f, u; a, b, \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right| \\ \leq L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{a+b}{2}}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\frac{t}{\frac{a+b}{2}} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

If $p \in [a, b]$ is such that $\bigvee_a^p(u) = \bigvee_p^b(u)$, then

$$(2.24) \quad |T(f, u; a, b, p)| \\ \leq L \left[\int_a^p \left(\frac{p}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^p(u) \right) dt + \int_p^b \left(\frac{t}{p} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^p(u) \right) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

If we take in Theorem 1 $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, and consider the error functional

$$T\Theta(f, u; a, b, x) := T\Theta \left(f, u; a, b, x, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

then we get the three point inequalities

$$(2.25) \quad |T\Theta(f, u; a, b, x)| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left[\int_a^x \left(\frac{t}{a} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\frac{b}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} L \left[\int_a^x \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt + \int_x^b \left(\frac{t}{x} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^x(u) \right) dt \right] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left((x-a) \bigvee_a^x(u) + (b-x) \bigvee_x^b(u) \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} L \begin{cases} \left(\bigvee_a^b(u) + \left| \bigvee_a^x(u) - \bigvee_x^b(u) \right| \right) (b-a) \\ (b-a + |2x-a-b|) \bigvee_a^b(u) \end{cases} \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

In particular, for $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ we get the mixture of trapezoid and mid-point inequalities

$$(2.26) \quad \left| T\Theta \left(f, u; a, b, \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\frac{t}{a} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\frac{b}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} L \left[\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{a+b}{2}}{t} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\frac{t}{\frac{a+b}{2}} \right) \left(\bigvee_t^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(u) \right) dt \right] \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

If $p \in [a, b]$ is such that $\bigvee_a^p(u) = \bigvee_p^b(u)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.27) \quad |T\Theta(f, u; a, b, p)| & \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}L \left[\int_a^p \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(f) \right) + \int_p^b \left(\bigvee_t^b(u) \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(f) \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2}L \left[\int_a^p \left(\bigvee_a^p(u) \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(f) \right) + \int_p^b \left(\bigvee_p^t(u) \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(f) \right) \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)L \bigvee_a^b(u).
\end{aligned}$$

3. APPLICATIONS FOR SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be selfadjoint and let φ_λ be defined for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\varphi_\lambda(s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } -\infty < s \leq \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{for } \lambda < s < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator

$$(3.1) \quad E_\lambda := \varphi_\lambda(A)$$

is a projection which reduces A .

The properties of these projections are collected in the following fundamental result concerning the spectral representation of bounded selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, see for instance [27, p. 256]:

Theorem 2 (Spectral Representation Theorem). *Let A be a bounded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and let $a = \min \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A)\} =: \min Sp(A)$ and $b = \max \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A)\} =: \max Sp(A)$. Then there exists a family of projections $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, called the spectral family of A , with the following properties*

- $E_\lambda \leq E_{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda'$;
- $E_{a-0} = 0, E_b = 1_H$ and $E_{\lambda+0} = E_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
- We have the representation

$$A = \int_{a-0}^b \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

More generally, for every continuous complex-valued function φ defined on \mathbb{R} there exists a unique operator $\varphi(A) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the inequality

$$\left\| \varphi(A) - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(\lambda'_k) [E_{\lambda_k} - E_{\lambda_{k-1}}] \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0 < a = \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n = b, \\ \lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} \leq \delta \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \lambda'_k \in [\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k] \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

this means that

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(A) = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) dE_\lambda,$$

where the integral is of Riemann-Stieltjes type.

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 for A , E_λ and φ we have the representations*

$$\varphi(A)x = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) dE_\lambda x \text{ for all } x \in H$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) d \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

In particular,

$$\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle = \int_{a-0}^b \varphi(\lambda) d \langle E_\lambda x, x \rangle \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

Moreover, we have the equality

$$\|\varphi(A)x\|^2 = \int_{a-0}^b |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 d \|E_\lambda x\|^2 \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

We need the following result that provides an upper bound for the total variation of the function $\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ on an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, see [23].

Lemma 2. *Let $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the spectral family of the bounded selfadjoint operator A . Then for any $x, y \in H$ and $\alpha < \beta$ we have the inequality*

$$(3.4) \quad \left[\bigvee_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \right]^2 \leq \langle (E_\beta - E_\alpha)x, x \rangle \langle (E_\beta - E_\alpha)y, y \rangle,$$

where $\bigvee_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle)$ denotes the total variation of the function $\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Remark 1. *For $\alpha = a - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta = b$ we get from (3.4) the inequality*

$$(3.5) \quad \bigvee_{a-\varepsilon}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \langle (1_H - E_{a-\varepsilon})x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle (1_H - E_{a-\varepsilon})y, y \rangle^{1/2}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

This implies, for any $x, y \in H$, that

$$(3.6) \quad \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \|x\| \|y\|,$$

where $\bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle)$ denotes the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left[\bigvee_{a-\varepsilon}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \right]$.

We can state the following result for functions of selfadjoint operators:

Theorem 3. *Let A be a bounded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and let $a = \min \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A) \} =: \min Sp(A)$ and $b = \max \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in Sp(A) \} =: \max Sp(A)$. Also, assume that $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the spectral family of the bounded selfadjoint operator A and assume that φ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$ on $[a, b]$, where $[a, b] \subset \overset{\circ}{I}$ (the interior of I). Then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in [a, b]$*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & |(1 - \alpha) \{ \langle (1_H - E_s) x, y \rangle \varphi(b) + \langle E_s x, y \rangle \varphi(a) \} \\
& + \alpha \langle x, y \rangle \varphi(s) - \langle \varphi(A) x, y \rangle| \\
& \leq \max \{ \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\
& \leq \max \{ \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\|
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \left| (1 - \alpha) \left\{ \left\langle (1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}}) x, y \right\rangle \varphi(b) + \left\langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} x, y \right\rangle \varphi(a) \right\} \right. \\
& \left. + \alpha \langle x, y \rangle \varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \langle \varphi(A) x, y \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} (b-a) L \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} (b-a) L \|x\| \|y\|
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

Proof. Using the inequality (2.11) we have for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in (a, b)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (1 - \alpha) \{ [\langle E_b x, y \rangle - \langle E_s x, y \rangle] \varphi(b) + [\langle E_s x, y \rangle - \langle E_{a-\varepsilon} x, y \rangle] \varphi(a - \varepsilon) \} \right. \\
& \left. + \alpha [\langle E_b x, y \rangle - \langle E_{a-\varepsilon} x, y \rangle] \varphi(s) - \int_{a-\varepsilon}^b \varphi(t) d \langle E_t x, y \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \max \{ \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} L \left(\frac{b-a+\varepsilon}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a-\varepsilon+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-\varepsilon}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $x, y \in H$.

Taking the limit over $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ and using the continuity of φ and the Spectral Representation Theorem, we deduce the desired result (3.7). \square

Remark 2. If we take $\alpha = 1$ in (3.7), then we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\langle x, y \rangle \varphi(s) - \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle| \\ & \leq L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)}x, y \rangle) \\ & \leq L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in (a, b)$ and, in particular,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \langle x, y \rangle \varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)}x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

If we take $\alpha = 0$ in (3.7), then we get

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & | \langle (1_H - E_s)x, y \rangle \varphi(b) + \langle E_sx, y \rangle \varphi(a) - \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle | \\ & \leq L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)}x, y \rangle) \\ & \leq L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in (a, b)$ and, in particular,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \langle (1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}})x, y \rangle \varphi(b) + \langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}}x, y \rangle \varphi(a) - \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)}x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} (b-a) L \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

If we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in (3.7), then we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle (1_H - E_s)x, y \rangle \varphi(b) + \langle E_sx, y \rangle \varphi(a) \} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \langle x, y \rangle \varphi(s) - \langle \varphi(A)x, y \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)}x, y \rangle) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in (a, b)$ and, in particular,

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\langle \left(1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} \right) x, y \right\rangle \varphi(b) + \left\langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} x, y \right\rangle \varphi(a) \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle x, y \right\rangle \varphi \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \left\langle \varphi(A) x, y \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) L \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) L \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

The above inequality (3.7) can produce several particular examples of interest. For example if $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ and we take $\varphi(t) = \ln t$ then φ is Lipschitzian with the constant

$$L := \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{a} > 0$$

and by (3.7) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \left| (1-\alpha) \left\{ \left\langle (1_H - E_s) x, y \right\rangle \ln b + \left\langle E_s x, y \right\rangle \ln a \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \alpha \left\langle x, y \right\rangle \ln s - \left\langle \ln Ax, y \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \max \{ \alpha, 1-\alpha \} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \max \{ \alpha, 1-\alpha \} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$, $s \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, by (3.8) we get

$$(3.16) \quad \left| (1-\alpha) \left\{ \left\langle \left(1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} \right) x, y \right\rangle \ln b + \left\langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} x, y \right\rangle \ln a \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \alpha \left\langle x, y \right\rangle \ln \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \left\langle \ln Ax, y \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \alpha, 1-\alpha \} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \alpha, 1-\alpha \} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

If we take $\alpha = 1$ in (3.15) and (3.16), then we get

$$(3.17) \quad \left| \left\langle x, y \right\rangle \ln s - \left\langle \ln Ax, y \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$, $s \in [a, b]$ and, in particular

$$(3.18) \quad \left| \langle x, y \rangle \ln \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \langle \ln Ax, y \rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

If we take $\alpha = 0$ in (3.15) and (3.16), then we get

$$(3.19) \quad | \langle (1_H - E_s) x, y \rangle \ln b + \langle E_s x, y \rangle \ln a - \langle \ln Ax, y \rangle | \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$, $s \in [a, b]$ and, in particular

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \langle (1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}}) x, y \rangle \ln b + \langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} x, y \rangle \ln a - \langle \ln Ax, y \rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

If we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in (3.15) and (3.16), then we get

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle (1_H - E_s) x, y \rangle \ln b + \langle E_s x, y \rangle \ln a \} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \langle x, y \rangle \ln s - \langle \ln Ax, y \rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2a} \left(\frac{b-a}{2} + \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$, $s \in [a, b]$ and, in particular

$$(3.22) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle (1_H - E_{\frac{a+b}{2}}) x, y \rangle \ln b + \langle E_{\frac{a+b}{2}} x, y \rangle \ln a \} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \langle x, y \rangle \ln \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \langle \ln Ax, y \rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \bigvee_{a-0}^b (\langle E_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

4. APPLICATIONS FOR UNITARY OPERATORS

A unitary operator is a bounded linear operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ on a Hilbert space H satisfying

$$U^*U = UU^* = 1_H$$

where U^* is the adjoint of U , and $1_H : H \rightarrow H$ is the identity operator. This property is equivalent to the following:

- (i) U preserves the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the Hilbert space, i.e., for all vectors x and y in the Hilbert space, $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ and
- (ii) U is surjective.

The following result is well known [27, p. 275 - p. 276]:

Theorem 4 (Spectral Representation Theorem). *Let U be a unitary operator on the Hilbert space H . Then there exists a family of projections $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]}$, called the spectral family of U , with the following properties*

- a) $P_\lambda \leq P_{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda'$;
- b) $P_0 = 0, P_{2\pi} = 1_H$ and $P_{\lambda+0} = P_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi)$;
- c) We have the representation

$$U = \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i\lambda) dP_\lambda.$$

More generally, for every continuous complex-valued function φ defined on the unit circle $\mathcal{C}(0, 1)$ there exists a unique operator $\varphi(U) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the inequality

$$\left\| \varphi(U) - \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(\exp(i\lambda'_k)) [P_{\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_{k-1}}] \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{n-1} < \lambda_n = 2\pi, \\ \lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} \leq \delta \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \lambda'_k \in [\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k] \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

this means that

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(U) = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\exp(i\lambda)) dP_\lambda,$$

where the integral is of Riemann-Stieltjes type.

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 for U , P_λ and φ we have the representations*

$$\varphi(U)x = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\exp(i\lambda)) dP_\lambda x \quad \text{for all } x \in H$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \varphi(U)x, y \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\exp(i\lambda)) d\langle P_\lambda x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

In particular,

$$\langle \varphi(U)x, x \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\exp(i\lambda)) d\langle P_\lambda x, x \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Moreover, we have the equality

$$\|\varphi(U)x\|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(\exp(i\lambda))|^2 d\|P_\lambda x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

On making use of an argument similar to the one in [23, Theorem 6], we have:

Lemma 3. *Let $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]}$ be the spectral family of the unitary operator U on the Hilbert space H . Then for any $x, y \in H$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2\pi$ we have the inequality*

$$(4.3) \quad \bigvee_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \langle (P_\beta - P_\alpha)x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle (P_\beta - P_\alpha)y, y \rangle^{1/2},$$

where $\bigvee_{\alpha}^{\beta} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle)$ denotes the total variation of the function $\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

In particular,

$$(4.4) \quad \bigvee_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

We have:

Theorem 5. *Let U be a unitary operator on the Hilbert space H and $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]}$ the spectral family of projections of U . Also, assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{C}(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}(0, 1)$. If $\varphi \circ \exp(i \cdot)$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $K > 0$, then for all $s \in [0, 2\pi]$*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & |[(1 - \alpha)\varphi(1) + \alpha\varphi \circ \exp(is)] \langle x, y \rangle - \langle \varphi(U)x, y \rangle| \\ & \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} K (\pi + |s - \pi|) \bigvee_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ & \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} K (\pi + |s - \pi|) \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

In particular,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |[(1 - \alpha)\varphi(1) + \alpha\varphi(-1)] \langle x, y \rangle - \langle \varphi(U)x, y \rangle| \\ & \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} \pi K \bigvee_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \max\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\} \pi L \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

The proof follows in a similar way to the one from Theorem 3 by utilising Theorem 4 and the inequality (2.11).

We observe that, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ we get from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left[\frac{\varphi(1) + \varphi \circ \exp(is)}{2} \right] \langle x, y \rangle - \langle \varphi(U)x, y \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} K (\pi + |s - \pi|) \bigvee_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} K (\pi + |s - \pi|) \|x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in H$ and $s \in [0, 2\pi]$.

In particular,

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \left[\frac{\varphi(1) + \varphi(-1)}{2} \right] \langle x, y \rangle - \langle \varphi(U)x, y \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi K \bigvee_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi K \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$.

For the real numbers $a \neq \pm 1, 0$ consider the function $\varphi : \mathcal{C}(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{1}{1-az}$. Observe that

$$(4.9) \quad |\varphi_a(z) - \varphi_a(w)| = \frac{|a| |z - w|}{|1 - az| |1 - aw|}$$

for any $z, w \in \mathcal{C}(0, 1)$.

If $z = e^{it}$ with $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, then we have

$$|1 - az|^2 = 1 - 2a \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z}) + a^2 |z|^2 = 1 - 2a \cos t + a^2 \\ \geq 1 - 2|a| + a^2 = (1 - |a|)^2$$

therefore

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{|1 - az|} \leq \frac{1}{|1 - |a||} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|1 - aw|} \leq \frac{1}{|1 - |a||}$$

for any $z, w \in \mathcal{C}(0, 1)$.

Utilising (4.9) and (4.10) we deduce

$$(4.11) \quad |\varphi_a(z) - \varphi_a(w)| \leq \frac{|a|}{(1 - |a|)^2} |z - w|$$

for any $z, w \in \mathcal{C}(0, 1)$, showing that the function φ_a is Lipschitzian with the constant $L_a = \frac{|a|}{(1 - |a|)^2}$ on the circle $\mathcal{C}(0, 1)$.

If we take $z = e^{it}$ and $w = e^{is}$ with $t, s \in [0, 2\pi]$ in (4.11) we get

$$(4.12) \quad |\varphi_a(e^{it}) - \varphi_a(e^{is})| \leq \frac{|a|}{(1 - |a|)^2} |e^{it} - e^{is}|.$$

Since

$$|e^{is} - e^{it}|^2 = |e^{is}|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(e^{i(s-t)}) + |e^{it}|^2 \\ = 2 - 2 \cos(s - t) = 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{s - t}{2}\right)$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, hence

$$(4.13) \quad |e^{is} - e^{it}| = 2 \left| \sin\left(\frac{s - t}{2}\right) \right| \leq |s - t|$$

for $t, s \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Therefore by (4.12) and (4.13) we get

$$(4.14) \quad |\varphi_a(e^{it}) - \varphi_a(e^{is})| \leq \frac{|a|}{(1 - |a|)^2} |s - t|$$

for $t, s \in [0, 2\pi]$, which shows that $\varphi_a(e^{i\cdot})$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $K = \frac{|a|}{(1 - |a|)^2} > 0$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Using the inequality (4.8) we have for $a \neq \pm 1, 0$ that

$$(4.15) \quad \left| \frac{1}{1-a^2} \langle x, y \rangle - \langle (1_H - aU)^{-1} x, y \rangle \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi \frac{|a|}{(1-|a|)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (\langle P_{(\cdot)} x, y \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi \frac{|a|}{(1-|a|)^2} \|x\| \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in H$, where $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]}$ is the spectral family of the unitary operator U on the Hilbert space H .

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Second Edition, 1981.
- [2] N. S. Barnett, W. S. Cheung, S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo, Ostrowski and trapezoid type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral with Lipschitzian integrands or integrators, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57** (2009), no. 2, 195–201. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* 9(2006), No. 4, Article 9.
- [3] P. Cerone, W. S. Cheung and S. S. Dragomir, On Ostrowski type inequalities for Stieltjes integrals with absolutely continuous integrands and integrators of bounded variation, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **54** (2007), no. 2, 183–191. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* 9(2006), No. 2, Article 14. [ONLINE: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n2.html>].
- [4] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Trapezoid type rules from an inequalities point of view, in *Handbook of Analytic Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, Ed. G. Anastassiou, CRC Press, New York, pp. 65-134.
- [5] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications, *Tamkang J. Math.* **38** (2007), no. 1, 37–49. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, 5(2) (2002), Article 14.
- [6] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, A generalised trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation, *Turkish J. Math.*, **24**(2) (2000), 147-163.
- [7] X. L. Cheng and J. Sun, A note on the perturbed trapezoid inequality, *J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **3**(2) Art. 29, (2002).
- [8] W. S. Cheung and S. S. Dragomir, Two Ostrowski type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral of monotonic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **75** (2007), no. 2, 299–311., Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* 9(2006), No. 3, Article 8.
- [9] W. S. Cheung and S. S. Dragomir, A survey on Ostrowski type inequalities for Riemann-Stieltjes integral. *Handbook of functional equations*, 75–104, Springer Optim. Appl., 95, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, The Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999), No. 3, 495–508.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **4** (2001), No. 1, 59-66. Preprint: *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* 2 (1999), Art. 7.
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, Ostrowski type inequalities for Lebesgue integral: a survey of recent results. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **14** (2017), no. 1, Art. 1, 283 pp.
- [13] S. S. Dragomir, Ostrowski's inequality for monotonous mappings and applications, *J. KSIAM*, **3**(1) (1999), 127-135.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, The Ostrowski's integral inequality for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, *Computers and Math. with Applic.*, **38** (1999), 33-37.
- [15] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Korean J. Appl. Math.*, **7** (2000), 477-485.
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's inequality for Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ where f is of Hölder type and u is of bounded variation and applications, *J. KSIAM*, **5**(1) (2001), 35-45.
- [17] S. S. Dragomir, The median principle for inequalities and applications. *Functional equations, inequalities and applications*, 21–37, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [18] S. S. Dragomir, A companion of the Grüss inequality and applications. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17** (2004), no. 4, 429–435.

- [19] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Grüss type for the Stieltjes integral, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **26** (2004), 89-122.
- [20] S. S. Dragomir, A generalisation of Cerone's identity and applications, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.* **23** (2007), no. 1, 79-90. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **8**(2005), No. 2. Article 19.
- [21] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for Stieltjes integrals with convex integrators and applications, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **20** (2007), 123-130.
- [22] S. S. Dragomir, The perturbed median principle for integral inequalities with applications. Nonlinear analysis and variational problems, 53-63, Springer Optim. Appl., 35, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Math Vietnam* (2014) **39**:287-303, DOI 10.1007/s40306-014-0061-4. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **15**(2012), Art. 16.
- [24] S. S. Dragomir, C. Buşe, M. V. Boldea and L. Braescu, A generalisation of the trapezoidal rule for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum*, (Korea) **6**(2) (2001), 337-351.
- [25] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss type for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **29**(4) (1998), 287-292.
- [26] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, A Grüss type inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications for numerical analysis, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **6**(3) (2001), 425-433.
- [27] G. Helmsberg, *Introduction to Spectral Theory in Hilbert Space*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. -New York, 1969.
- [28] Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30**(4) (2004), 483-489.
- [29] A. Ostrowski, Über die Absolutabweichung einer differentienbaren Funktionen von ihren Integralmittelwert, *Comment. Math. Hel.* **10** (1938), 226-227.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE, IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA