

# ARITHMETIC MEAN-GEOMETRIC MEAN INEQUALITY UNDER ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS

EUGEN J. IONAȘCU

ABSTRACT. We generalize the inequality  $\frac{ab+bc+ac}{3} \leq (\frac{a+b+c}{3})^2$  to  $n$  arbitrary positive real numbers and use that to obtain a non-homogenous version of the AM-GM inequality, given that their arithmetic average is the reciprocal of their harmonic average.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Arithmetic Mean-Geometric Mean Inequality, or simply AM-GM inequality, is perhaps the most frequent tool used in obtaining other inequalities needed in analysis or other areas of mathematics. It is stating that for arbitrary  $n$  positive real numbers  $\{a_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n}$ , we have

$$(1) \quad AM := \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \geq GM := \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Also, equality in (1) takes place if and only if all numbers are equal to each other. In what follows we are going to assume that  $\{a_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n}$  is not a constant sequence. There are many proofs of this important inequality which are using various methods, ranging from induction to Lagrange multipliers (see [2] for a recent approach and [1] for whole collection of proofs). Let us observe that the inequality (1) is homogeneous. In this paper we are interested in a non-homogeneous version (1), of the form

$$(2) \quad AM \geq GM^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

under certain extra assumption on the numbers  $\{a_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n}$ . The extra assumption does not seem that natural, but if we introduce the Harmonic mean, defined as usual as

$$HM := \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}}$$

then we can write this extra condition in a more meaningful way:

$$(3) \quad AM = HM^{-1}.$$

Since we have  $HM \leq AM$  then we need to have  $AM > 1$  and then  $HM < 1$ , otherwise  $HM \leq AM \leq 1$  which attracts  $HM = AM = 1$ . In the last scenario, all numbers must be equal to one another and we excluded this situation. We may assume that  $GM > 1$ , otherwise (2) becomes trivial. This is the case, if  $n = 2$ , since  $AM = HM^{-1}$  implies  $GM = 1$ . For this reason, we are going to assume that we have at least three numbers. If  $n = 3$ , let's say  $a + b + c = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ . We

---

*Date:* August 26<sup>th</sup>, 2018.

*Key words and phrases.* inequalities, induction.

AMS 2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 26D15

can choose  $a$  and  $b$  arbitrary positive numbers and then solve for  $c$ , since the map  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x - \frac{1}{x}$  is a bijection. In this case, let us show that

$$AM \geq GM^3.$$

This can be written as  $a + b + c \geq 3abc$ . The relation between  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  implies that  $abc = \frac{ab+ac+bc}{a+b+c}$ . Then the inequality above becomes  $(a + b + c)^2 \geq 3(ab + ac + bc)$  which is equivalent to  $(a - b)^2 + (b - c)^2 + (a - c)^2 \geq 0$ . This insures that the inequality  $AM \geq GM^3$  is true and equality takes place only if  $a = b = c$ . The case  $n = 4$  appeared as a proposed problem in [3] and that was our starting point for this note. We are interested in the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , if  $n$  positive real numbers  $\{a_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n}$  satisfy*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j},$$

then

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \geq \max \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}, \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{\frac{-1}{n-2}} \right),$$

or

$$AM \geq \max(GM^{\frac{n}{n-2}}, GM^{\frac{-n}{n-2}}).$$

We observe that in proving (5), we may actually assume that none of the  $a_j$  are equal to 1 or  $a_i a_j = 1$  for some  $i$  and  $j$  in  $[n] := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . It is interesting that for  $n = 4$ , we found rational solutions for (3), such as  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 7$ ,  $a_3 = 15$  and  $a_4 = \frac{3}{70}$ , but no such solutions for  $n = 3$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let us observe that (4) is invariant to the change  $a_j \rightarrow 1/a_j$ . As a result, we only need to prove

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \geq \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

Let us introduce the notation:  $\hat{a}_j = \frac{1}{a_j} \prod_{k=1}^n a_k$ . From the hypothesis we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^n a_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \hat{a}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}.$$

The (6) becomes equivalent to

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{a}_k \leq n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{n-1}.$$

We observe that (7) is homogeneous. Let us show that (7) is true and independent of any other hypothesis.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , if  $n$  positive real numbers  $\{a_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n}$ , then the inequality (7) takes place.*

To prove this, we are going to use induction. The basis case,  $n = 3$ , was argued in the Introduction. Let us assume the inequality (7) is true for  $n$  numbers ( $n \geq 3$ ). Let us take  $n + 1$  positive numbers  $\{b_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,n,n+1}$ . We need to prove that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \widehat{b}_k \leq (n+1) \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j \right)^n.$$

Since this is a homogeneous inequality we may assume that  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j = n+1$ . So, the inequality (8) which we need to prove, becomes

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \widehat{b}_k \leq n+1.$$

We observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \widehat{b}_k = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n + b_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \widetilde{b}_k,$$

where  $\widetilde{b}_k$  is  $\frac{1}{b_j} \prod_{k=1}^n b_k$ . Using the induction hypothesis we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \widehat{b}_k = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n + b_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \widetilde{b}_k \leq b_1 b_2 \dots b_n + b_{n+1} n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right)^{n-1}.$$

Let us denote  $b_{n+1} = x$ . Also, using the AM-GM inequality, the above inequality can be continued as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \widehat{b}_k \leq \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right)^n + xn \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right)^{n-1} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^n + xn \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^{n-1}.$$

In order to show (9), it is enough to prove that

$$(10) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^n + xn \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^{n-1} \leq n+1.$$

Let us then introduce the function  $f(x) = \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^n + xn \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^{n-1}$  defined on  $[0, n+1]$ . This function can be written as

$$f(x) = \frac{n+1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^{n-1} [(n-1)x + 1].$$

If we differentiate  $f$ , we get

$$f'(x) = \frac{(n+1)(n-1)}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} (n+1-x) \right]^{n-2} \left[ \frac{(n+1-x)}{n} - \frac{(n-1)x+1}{n} \right]$$

and in factored form

$$f'(x) = \frac{(n^2-1)}{n^{n-1}} (n+1-x)^{n-2} (1-x).$$

This implies that  $f$  has a maximum at  $x = 1$  on  $[0, n+1]$ . Since  $f(1) = n+1$ , we obtain (10).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Peter S. Bullen, Handbook of means and their inequalities, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Foundation (2003)
  - [2] Marian Genčev, *On a proof of the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **125**(7), (2018), pp. 650-652
  - [3] Digby Smith, *Problem 4340*, Crux Mathematicorum **4**, (2018), p. 163
- Current address:* Columbus State University, Mathematics Department, Columbus, GA, USA  
*E-mail address:* `math@ejionascu.ro`