

BOUNDS ON A GENERALIZED ČEBYŠEV FUNCTIONAL FOR THE RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRAL

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide some bounds for the error in approximating the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t)$ by the product

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \int_a^b g(t) du(t)$$

under various assumptions for the integrands f and g , and the integrator u for which the above integral exists.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1998, S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov [17], in order to approximate the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ with the simpler expression

$$\frac{1}{b-a} [u(b) - u(a)] \int_a^b f(t) dt$$

introduced the following error functional

$$(1.1) \quad D(f, u; a, b) := \int_a^b f(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} [u(b) - u(a)] \int_a^b f(t) dt$$

provided that both the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ and the Riemann integral $\int_a^b f(t) dt$ exist.

Assume that in the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$, the integrator u is L -Lipschitzian, i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad |u(t) - u(s)| \leq L |t - s| \quad \text{for each } t, s \in [a, b],$$

where $L > 0$ is given. It is well known that, in this case, the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ exists provided the integrand $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 1 (Dragomir-Fedotov 1998, [17]). *If u is L -Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$ and f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq L \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| dt.$$

The inequality (1.3) is sharp.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 41A55, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Trapezoidal Quadrature Rule, Selfadjoint operators, Functions of Selfadjoint operators, Spectral representation, Inequalities for selfadjoint operators.

Moreover, if there exist the constants $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad m \leq f(t) \leq M \quad \text{for any } t \in [a, b],$$

then

$$(1.5) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2}L(M - m)(b - a).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in (1.5).

A function w is said to be of *bounded variation* if for any *division* I_n of $[a, b]$, $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b$, the variation of w on I_n is finite, which means that

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |w(x_{i+1}) - w(x_i)| < \infty.$$

The *total variation* of w on $[a, b]$ is denoted by $V_a^b(w)$, where

$$(1.7) \quad V_a^b(w) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |w(x_{i+1}) - w(x_i)|, I_n \text{ is a division of } [a, b] \right\}.$$

Theorem 2 (Dragomir-Fedotov 2001, [18]). *If u is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and f is continuous on $[a, b]$, then*

$$(1.8) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| V_a^b(u).$$

The inequality (1.8) is sharp.

Moreover, if f is K -Lipschitzian, then

$$(1.9) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2}K(b-a)V_a^b(u).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible in (1.9).

For some related results for the functional $D(f, u; a, b)$, see [2]-[6], [10]-[14] and [17]-[19].

We can introduce the more general functional

$$(1.10) \quad D(f, g, u; a, b) := \int_a^b f(t)g(t)du(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt \int_a^b g(t)du(t),$$

provided that the involved Riemann-Stieltjes integrals exist. For $g(t) = 1, t \in [a, b]$, we get

$$D(f, 1, u; a, b) = D(f, u; a, b).$$

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we establish some inequalities for the functional $D(f, g, u; a, b)$ under various assumptions of the integrands f and g and integrator u .

2. INEQUALITIES FOR BOUNDED VARIATION INTEGRATORS

Assume that $u, f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(u) dv(t)$ exists, we write for simplicity, like in [1, p. 142] that $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u, [a, b])$, or $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)$ when the interval is implicitly known. If the functions u, f are real valued, then we write $f \in \mathcal{R}(u, [a, b])$, or $\mathcal{R}(u)$.

We start with the following simple fact:

Lemma 1. *Let $f, g, v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in [a, b]$. If $fg, g \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(v, [a, x]) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(v, [x, b])$, then $fg, g \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(v, [a, b])$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dv(t) &= \lambda \int_a^x g(t) dv(t) + \mu \int_x^b g(t) dv(t) \\
 &\quad + \int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \mu] g(t) dv(t) \\
 &= \mu \int_a^b g(t) dv(t) + (\lambda - \mu) \int_a^x g(t) dv(t) \\
 &\quad + \int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \mu] g(t) dv(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\mu = \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dv(t) &= \lambda \int_a^b g(t) dv(t) \\
 &\quad + \int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t) \\
 &= \lambda \int_a^b g(t) dv(t) + \int_a^b [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The integrability follows by Theorem 7. 4 from [1] which says that if a function is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable on the intervals $[a, x]$, $[x, b]$ with $x \in [a, b]$, then it is integrable on the whole interval $[a, b]$.

Using the properties of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] g(t) dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \mu] g(t) dv(t) \\
 &= \int_a^x f(t) g(t) dv(t) - \lambda \int_a^x g(t) dv(t) + \int_x^b f(t) g(t) dv(t) - \mu \int_x^b g(t) dv(t) \\
 &= \int_a^b f(t) g(t) dv(t) - \lambda \int_a^x g(t) dv(t) - \mu \int_x^b g(t) dv(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the first equality in (2.1).

The rest is obvious. \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that $f, v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in [a, b]$ are such that $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(v, [a, x]) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(v, [x, b])$. Then for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \int_a^b f(t) dv(t) &= \lambda[v(x) - v(a)] + \mu[v(b) - v(x)] \\
 &\quad + \int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \mu] dv(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\mu = \lambda$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t) dv(t) &= \lambda[v(b) - v(a)] \\ &+ \int_a^x [f(t) - \lambda] dv(t) + \int_x^b [f(t) - \lambda] dv(t) \\ &= \lambda[v(b) - v(a)] + \int_a^b [f(t) - \lambda] dv(t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Lemma 1 for $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$.

Remark 1. We observe that, see [1, Theorem 7.27], if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, namely, are continuous on $[a, b]$ and $v \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, namely of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then for any $x \in [a, b]$ the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals in Lemma 1 exist and the equalities (2.1) and (2.2) hold.

If we use the equality (2.2) for $\lambda = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt$, then we have

$$(2.5) \quad D(f, g, u; a, b) = \int_a^b \left[f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right] g(t) du(t).$$

In particular, for $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad D(f, u; a, b) = \int_a^b \left[f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right] du(t).$$

We have:

Theorem 3. Assume that $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and $u \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, then

$$(2.7) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq \begin{cases} \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \int_a^b |g(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\ \left(\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right)^{1/p} \\ \times \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^q d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right)^{1/q} \\ \text{where } p, q, > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right). \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is well known that if $p \in \mathcal{R}(u, [a, b])$ where $u \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ then we have [1, p. 177]

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \int_a^b p(t) du(t) \right| \leq \int_a^b |p(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |p(t)| \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

Using the equality (2.5) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t)g(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \int_a^b g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| |g(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right).$$

Using Hölder's inequality for monotonic nondecreasing integrators, we have

$$\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| |g(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\ \leq \left(\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^q d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right)^{1/q}$$

for $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

By using (2.9) we then get the middle inequality in (2.7)

The rest is obvious. \square

Remark 2. If we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, then by (2.7) we get

$$(2.10) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \\ \leq \begin{cases} \max_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \bigvee_a^b(u), \text{ see (1.8),} \\ \left(\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right)^{1/p} (u(b) - u(a))^{1/q} \\ \text{where } p, q, > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right). \end{cases}$$

We have:

Theorem 4. Assume that $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and $u \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$. If $f \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, then

$$(2.11) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \\ \leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \left[\bigvee_a^b(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \right] \\ \leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

Proof. Using the Ostrowski type inequality for functions of bounded variation $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, [7], [9] (or the survey [16])

$$\left| g(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b(g), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| |g(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& \leq \bigvee_a^b(f) \int_a^b \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| \right] |g(t)| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& \leq \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \int_a^b \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| \right] d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) + \int_a^b \left| \frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right] \\
& = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(u) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \right] = B(f, g, u).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& = \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) \bigvee_a^t(u) \Big|_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} + \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt \\
& + \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \bigvee_a^t(u) \Big|_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b - \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt \\
& = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(u) - \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2} (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(u) - \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(u) + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| d \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(u) + \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \\
& = \bigvee_a^b(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$B(f, g, u) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \bigvee_a^b(f) \left[\bigvee_a^b(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \right]$$

that proves the first inequality in (2.11).

The functions $\bigvee_a^b(u)$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot - \frac{a+b}{2})$ are monotonic nondecreasing. By using Čebyšev's inequality for the same monotonicity functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \\ & \geq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) dt \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the last part of (2.11). \square

Remark 3. If we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$ in (2.11), then we get

$$(2.13) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \bigvee_a^b(f) \left[\bigvee_a^b(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\bigvee_a^t(u) \right) \operatorname{sgn} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) dt \right] \leq \bigvee_a^b(f) \bigvee_a^b(u).$$

3. INEQUALITIES FOR LIPSCHITZIAN INTEGRATORS

We have:

Theorem 5. Assume that f is Riemann-Integrable on $[a, b]$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and u is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, namely $|u(t) - u(s)| \leq L|t - s|$ for any $t, s \in [a, b]$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq L \times \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \int_a^b |g(t)| dt, \\ \left(\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}, \\ p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| dt. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for $p = q = 2$,

$$(3.2) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq L(b-a) \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt - \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. It is known that if $p : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Riemann integrable and $u : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b p(t) du(t)$ exists and

$$\left| \int_a^b p(t) du(t) \right| \leq L \int_a^b |p(t)| dt.$$

Since $\in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, hence the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

$$\int_a^b \left[f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right] g(t) du(t)$$

exists and, by (2.5) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) g(t) du(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \int_a^b g(t) du(t) \right| \\ \leq L \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| |g(t)| dt =: D(f, g).$$

Using Hölder's integral inequality, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| |g(t)| dt \\ \leq \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \int_a^b |g(t)| dt, \\ \left(\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}, \\ p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| dt. \end{cases}$$

By making use of (3.3) and (3.4) we get

In particular, for $p = 2$, we have

$$\int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 dt \\ = (b-a) \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 dt \right] \\ = (b-a) \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt - \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 \right],$$

which proves (3.2). \square

Remark 4. If we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, in (3.1) and (3.2), then we get

$$(3.5) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq L \times \begin{cases} (b-a) \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|, \\ (b-a) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \\ p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \\ \int_a^b \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| dt. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq L(b-a) \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt - \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Using a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 4, we can state the following result as well:

Theorem 6. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{BV}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and u is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$. Then

$$(3.7) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{3}{4}(b-a) \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t)| L \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

Remark 5. If in the inequality (3.7) we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, then we get, see also [3],

$$(3.8) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{3}{4}(b-a) L \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

4. SOME FURTHER BOUNDS

In we proved amongst other that, if $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of bounded variation, then

$$\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt - \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b(f)$$

with the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ as best possible.

So, if f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and u is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, then by (3.2) we get the simple bound:

$$(4.1) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2} L (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(f) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

If in this inequality we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, then by (4.1) we have, see also [13],

$$(4.2) \quad |D(f, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2} L (b-a) \bigvee_a^b(f).$$

Now, for $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $[a, b]$ an interval of real numbers, define the sets of complex-valued functions

$$\bar{U}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) := \left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \left[(\Gamma - f(t)) \left(\overline{f(t)} - \bar{\gamma} \right) \right] \geq 0 \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \right\}$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) := \left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \left| f(t) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \right\}.$$

This family of functions is a particular case of the class introduced in [15]

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Delta}_{[a,b],g}(\gamma, \Gamma) \\ & := \left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \left| f(t) - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} g(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| |g(t)| \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The following representation result may be stated.

Proposition 1. *For any $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \neq \Gamma$, we have that $\bar{U}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ are nonempty, convex and closed sets and*

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{U}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) = \bar{\Delta}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma).$$

Proof. We observe that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the equivalence

$$\left| z - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma|$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} [(\Gamma - z)(\bar{z} - \bar{\gamma})] \geq 0.$$

This follows by the equality

$$\frac{1}{4} |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 - \left| z - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right|^2 = \operatorname{Re} [(\Gamma - z)(\bar{z} - \bar{\gamma})]$$

that holds for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The equality (4.3) is thus a simple consequence of this fact. \square

On making use of the complex numbers field properties we can also state that:

Corollary 2. *For any $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \neq \Gamma$, we have that*

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) = \{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid & (\operatorname{Re} \Gamma - \operatorname{Re} f(t)) (\operatorname{Re} f(t) - \operatorname{Re} \gamma) \\ & + (\operatorname{Im} \Gamma - \operatorname{Im} f(t)) (\operatorname{Im} f(t) - \operatorname{Im} \gamma) \geq 0 \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we assume that $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\gamma)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$, then we can define the following set of functions as well:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) := \{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid & \operatorname{Re}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Re} f(t) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \\ & \text{and } \operatorname{Im}(\Gamma) \geq \operatorname{Im} f(t) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily observe that $\bar{S}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ is closed, convex and

$$(4.6) \quad \emptyset \neq \bar{S}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma) \subseteq \bar{U}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma).$$

If $f \in \bar{\Delta}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$ is square integrable on $[a, b]$, then we have the following Grüss type inequality (see for instance [8])

$$\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt - \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma|,$$

so if f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[a, b]$ and u is Lipschitzian with the constant $L > 0$, then by (3.2) we get the simple bound:

$$(4.7) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| L (b-a) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

If in this inequality we take $g(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, then by (4.7) we get

$$(4.8) \quad |D(f, g, u; a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| L (b-a).$$

This inequality extends the result (1.5) from Introduction to complex valued functions $f \in \bar{\Delta}_{[a,b]}(\gamma, \Gamma)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Second Edition, 1981.
- [2] P. Cerone, W.-S. Cheung and S. S. Dragomir, On Ostrowski type inequalities for Stieltjes integrals with absolutely continuous integrands and integrators of bounded variation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **54** (2007), no. 2, 183–191.
- [3] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Approximation of the Stieltjes integral and application in numerical integration, *Applications of Math.*, **51**(1) (2006), 37-47.
- [4] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, New bounds for the Čebyšev functional, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **18** (2005), 603-611.
- [5] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications, *Tamkang J. Math.* **38**(2007), No. 1, 37-49. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **5**(2) (2002), Art. 14. [ONLINE <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n2.html>].
- [6] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, New upper and lower bounds for the Čebyšev functional, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **3**(5) (2002), Article 77. [ONLINE <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=229>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, The Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings of bounded variation. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999), No. 3, 495–508.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Grüss's inequality in inner product spaces and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237** (1999), no. 1, 74–82.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **4** (2001), No. 1, 59-66. Preprint: *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **2** (1999), Art. 7, [Online: <http://rgmia.org/papers/v2n1/v2n1-7.pdf>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Sharp bounds of Čebyšev functional for Stieltjes integrals and applications, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **67**(2) (2003), 257–266.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, New estimates of the Čebyšev functional for Stieltjes integrals and applications, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **41**(2) (2004), 249–264.
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, A sharp bound of the Čebyšev functional for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications, *J. Inequalities & Applications*, Vol. **2008**, [Online <http://www.hindawi.com/GetArticle.aspx?doi=10.1155/2008/824610>].
- [13] S. S. Dragomir, Accurate approximations of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with (l, L) -Lipschitzian integrators, *AIP Conf. Proc. 939, Numerical Anal. & Appl. Math.*, Ed. T.H. Simos et al., pp. 686-690. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **10**(2007), No. 3, Article 5. [Online <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n3.html>].
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Grüss type for the Stieltjes integral, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, **26** (2004), 89-122.

- [15] S. S. Dragomir, The perturbed median principle for integral inequalities with applications. *Nonlinear analysis and variational problems*, 53–63, Springer Optim. Appl., 35, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [16] S. S. Dragomir, Ostrowski type inequalities for Lebesgue integral: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Volume **14** (2017), Issue 1, Article 1, pp. 1-287.
- [17] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, An inequality of Grüss type for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **29**(4) (1998), 287-292.
- [18] S. S. Dragomir and I. Fedotov, A Grüss type inequality for mappings of bounded variation and applications to numerical analysis, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **6**(3) (2001), 425-433.
- [19] Z. Lliu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, *Soochow J. Math.*, **30**(4) (2004), 483-489.

MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir/>

SCHOOL OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG-3, WITS-2050, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA