

BOUNDS FOR THE HH f -DIVERGENCE MEASURES IN TERMS OF χ^2 -DIVERGENCE

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish some inequalities for the Hermite-Hadamard (HH) f -divergence measures in terms of χ^2 -divergence. An application for Kullback-Leibler divergence is also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the set X and the σ -finite measure μ be given and consider the set of all probability densities on μ to be defined on $\Omega := \{p|p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p(x) \geq 0, \int_X p(x) d\mu(x) = 1\}$. The f -divergence is defined as follows [2], [3]

$$(1.1) \quad D_f(p, q) := \int_X p(x) f \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega,$$

where the function f is convex on $(0, \infty)$. It is assumed that $f(u)$ is zero and strictly convex at $u = 1$. By appropriately defining this convex function, various divergences are derived. For instance, the following celebrated divergences are particular cases of f -divergence

$$(1.2) \quad D_{KL}(p, q) := \int_X p(x) \log \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega,$$

(Kullback-Leibler divergence [9])

$$(1.3) \quad D_v(p, q) := \int_X |p(x) - q(x)| d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

(variation distance)

$$(1.4) \quad D_H(p, q) := \int_X \left| \sqrt{p(x)} - \sqrt{q(x)} \right| d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

(Hellinger distance [7])

$$(1.5) \quad D_{\chi^2}(p, q) := \int_X p(x) \left[\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 - 1 \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

(χ^2 -divergence)

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94A17, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. f -divergence measures, HH f -divergence measures, Kullback-Leibler divergence, Hellinger discrimination, χ^2 -divergence, Jeffrey's distance.

$$(1.6) \quad D_J(p, q) := \int_X [p(x) - q(x)] \ln \left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

(Jeffreys distance [8])

$$(1.7) \quad D_\Delta(p, q) := \int_X \frac{[p(x) - q(x)]^2}{p(x) + q(x)} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

(triangular discrimination [12])

In [10], Lin and Wong (see also [11]) introduced the following divergence

$$(1.8) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) := \int_X p(x) \log \left[\frac{p(x)}{\frac{1}{2}p(x) + \frac{1}{2}q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

This can be represented as follows, using the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$D_{LW}(p, q) = D_{KL} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right).$$

Lin and Wong have established the following inequalities

$$(1.9) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{KL}(p, q);$$

$$(1.10) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) + D_{LW}(q, p) \leq D_v(p, q) \leq 2;$$

$$(1.11) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) \leq 1.$$

In [11], Shioya and Da-te improved (1.9)-(1.11) by showing that

$$D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_v(p, q) \leq 1.$$

In the same paper [11], the authors introduced the generalised Lin-Wong f -divergence $D_f(p, \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q)$ and the Hermite-Hadamard (HH) f -divergence

$$(1.12) \quad D_{HH}^f(p, q) := \int_X p(x) \frac{\int_1^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}} f(t) dt}{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega$$

and, by use of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions, proved the following basic inequality

$$(1.13) \quad D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_f(p, q),$$

provided that f is convex and normalised, i.e., $f(1) = 0$.

In 2002, Barnett, Cerone & Dragomir [1] improved the inequality (1.13) as follows:

Theorem 1. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and normalised, i.e. $f(1) = 0$. Let $p, q \in \Omega$ then we have the inequality,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.14) \quad 0 &\leq D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \\
 &\leq \lambda D_f \left(p, p + \frac{\lambda}{2} (q-p) \right) + (1-\lambda) D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (q-p) \right) \\
 &\leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} [D_f(p, (1-\lambda)p + \lambda q) + (1-\lambda) D_f(p, q)] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} D_f(p, q),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.15) \quad 0 &\leq D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[D_f \left(p, \frac{3p+q}{4} \right) + D_f \left(p, \frac{p+3q}{4} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D_f(p, q) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} D_f(p, q).
 \end{aligned}$$

In 2005, [5], the author obtained the following estimate for a differentiable convex and normalised function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(1.16) \quad 0 \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} D_{f^\dagger}(p, q)$$

for $p, q \in \Omega$, where

$$(1.17) \quad f^\dagger(t) := (t-1)f'(t), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

In the paper [6] we also obtained the dual inequality

$$(1.18) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} D_f(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8} D_{f^\dagger}(p, q)$$

for $p, q \in \Omega$.

Motivated by the above results, we establish in this paper other inequalities for the HH f -divergence.

2. GENERAL RESULTS

We start with the following useful representation for the HH f -divergence:

Lemma 1. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and normalised, then we have the representation*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad D_{HH}^f(p, q) &= \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 f \left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right) ds \right) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \int_0^1 D_f(p, sq + (1-s)p) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for $p, q \in \Omega$.

Proof. Using the change of variable

$$t = \frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

we have

$$\frac{\int_1^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}} f(t) dt}{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1} = \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}\right) ds$$

for $x \in X$ for which $p(x), q(x), q(x) - p(x) \neq 0$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_{HH}^f(p, q) &:= \int_X p(x) \frac{\int_1^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}} f(t) dt}{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 f\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}\right) ds \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_X p(x) f\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used Fubini's theorem.

Since

$$\int_X p(x) f\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}\right) d\mu(x) = D_f(p, sq + (1-s)p)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left(\int_X p(x) f\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)}\right) d\mu(x) \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 D_f(p, sq + (1-s)p) ds \end{aligned}$$

and the equalities in are proved. \square

For $s \in [0, 1]$ and the convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define the *s-weighted perspective* $\mathcal{P}_{f,s} : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(u, v) := uf\left(\frac{sv + (1-s)u}{u}\right).$$

We have the following lemma that is of interest in itself as well:

Lemma 2. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then for all $s \in [0, 1]$ the s-weighted perspective $\mathcal{P}_{f,s}$ is also convex as a function of two variables.*

Proof. Let $(u, v), (w, z) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(\alpha(u, v) + \beta(w, z)) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(\alpha u + \beta w, \alpha v + \beta z) \\
&= (\alpha u + \beta w) f\left(\frac{s(\alpha v + \beta z) + (1-s)(\alpha u + \beta w)}{\alpha u + \beta w}\right) \\
&= (\alpha u + \beta w) f\left(\frac{\alpha(sv + (1-s)u) + \beta(sz + (1-s)w)}{\alpha u + \beta w}\right) \\
&= (\alpha u + \beta w) f\left(\frac{\alpha u \frac{sv+(1-s)u}{u} + \beta w \frac{sz+(1-s)w}{w}}{\alpha u + \beta w}\right) \\
&\leq (\alpha u + \beta w) \\
&\times \left[\frac{\alpha u}{\alpha u + \beta w} f\left(\frac{sv + (1-s)u}{u}\right) + \frac{\beta w}{\alpha u + \beta w} f\left(\frac{sz + (1-s)w}{w}\right) \right] \\
&= \alpha u f\left(\frac{sv + (1-s)u}{u}\right) + \beta w f\left(\frac{sz + (1-s)w}{w}\right) \\
&= \alpha \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(u, v) + \beta \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(w, z),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the joint convexity of the perspective $\mathcal{P}_{f,s}$. \square

Remark 1. If we use the perspective concept, then by (2.1) we also have

$$(2.3) \quad D_{HH}^f(p, q) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(p(x), q(x)) d\mu(x) \right) ds.$$

The following joint convexity of the HH f -divergence holds:

Theorem 2. Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and normalised, then D_{HH}^f is convex as a mapping of two variables on $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Proof. Let $(p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) \in \Omega$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then by the representation (2.3) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{HH}^f(\alpha(p_1, q_1) + \beta(p_2, q_2)) \\
&= D_{HH}^f(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2, \alpha q_1 + \beta q_2) \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(\alpha p_1(x) + \beta p_2(x), \alpha q_1(x) + \beta q_2(x)) d\mu(x) \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(\alpha(p_1(x), q_1(x)) + \beta(p_2(x), q_2(x))) d\mu(x) \right) ds \\
&\geq \int_0^1 \left(\int_X [\alpha \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(p_1(x), q_1(x)) + \beta \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(p_2(x), q_2(x))] d\mu(x) \right) ds \\
&= \alpha \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(p_1(x), q_1(x)) d\mu(x) \right) ds \\
&+ \beta \int_0^1 \left(\int_X \mathcal{P}_{f,s}(p_2(x), q_2(x)) d\mu(x) \right) ds \\
&= \alpha D_{HH}^f(p_1, q_1) + \beta D_{HH}^f(p_2, q_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired convexity. \square

3. BOUNDS IN TERMS OF χ^2 -DIVERGENCE

The above definitions $D_f(p, q)$ and $D_{HH}^f(p, q)$ can be extended to continuous functions f defined on $(0, \infty)$, however, in this general case, the positivity properties of the divergences under consideration do not hold in general.

We have:

Theorem 3. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and normalised. Let $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$ and $p, q \in \Omega$ are such that*

$$(3.1) \quad r \leq \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \leq R \text{ for } \mu\text{-almost every } x \in X.$$

(i) *If there exists a real number m such that*

$$(3.2) \quad m \leq f''(t) \text{ for all } t \in [r, R],$$

then we have the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \leq D_f\left(p, \frac{p+q}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

(ii) *If there exists the real number M such that*

$$(3.4) \quad f''(t) \leq M \text{ for all } t \in [r, R],$$

then we have the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{8}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_f\left(p, \frac{p+q}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{6}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q).$$

Proof. (i) Consider the auxiliary function $g_m : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_m(t) := f(t) - \frac{1}{2}m(\ell^2(t) - 1)$, where $\ell(t) = t$ is the identity function. This function is convex and normalized on $[r, R]$, since g_m is twice differentiable and

$$g_m''(t) := f''(t) - m \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [r, R].$$

We have for $p, q \in \Omega$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) \\ &= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}mD_{HH}^{\ell^2-1}(p, q) \\ &= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}m \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 - 1 \right] ds \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}m \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 ds \right) d\mu(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}m \int_X p(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}m \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 ds \right) d\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2}m. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \left[s^2 \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + 2s(1-s) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + (1-s)^2 \right] ds \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \left[\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X p(x) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{sq(x) + (1-s)p(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 ds \right) d\mu(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \int_X p(x) \left(\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right) d\mu(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left[\int_X p(x) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 d\mu(x) + \int_X p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} d\mu(x) + \int_X p(x) d\mu(x) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left[\int_X \frac{q^2(x)}{p(x)} d\mu(x) + \int_X q(x) d\mu(x) + \int_X p(x) d\mu(x) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left[\int_X \frac{q^2(x)}{p(x)} d\mu(x) + 1 + 1 \right] = \frac{1}{3} [D_{\chi^2}(p, q) + 3] = \frac{1}{3} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) &= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}m \left[\frac{1}{3} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) + 1 \right] + \frac{1}{2}m \\
&= D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}m D_{\chi^2}(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{g_m} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) &= D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}m D_{\ell^2-1} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \\
&= D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}m D_{\chi^2} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\chi^2} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) &= \int_X p(x) \left[\left(\frac{\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2}}{p(x)} \right)^2 - 1 \right] d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_X p(x) \left[\left(\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2p(x)} \right)^2 - 1 \right] d\mu(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right)^2 - 1 \right] d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_X p(x) \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right) - 1 \right] d\mu(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_X p(x) \left(\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right) d\mu(x) - 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[\int_X \frac{q^2(x)}{p(x)} d\mu(x) + 2 \int_X p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} d\mu(x) + \int_X p(x) d\mu(x) \right] - 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) + 1 - 1 = \frac{1}{4} D_{\chi^2}(p, q),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{g_m} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) &= D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} m D_{\ell^2-1} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \\
&= D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{8} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q).
\end{aligned}$$

If we use the first inequality in (1.13) for g_m we have

$$0 \leq D_{g_m} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q),$$

which by above calculations gives

$$0 \leq D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{8} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

This proves (3.3).

(ii) Consider the auxiliary function $g_M : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_M(t) := \frac{1}{2} M (\ell^2(t) - 1) - f(t)$, where $\ell(t) = t$ is the identity function. This function is convex and normalized on $[r, R]$, since g_M is twice differentiable and

$$g_M''(t) = M - f''(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [r, R].$$

Now, by using a similar argument to the one for the auxiliary function g_m we deduce the desired result (3.5). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 and if*

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < m \leq f''(t) \leq M < \infty \text{ for all } t \in [r, R],$$

then we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{8} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} M D_{\chi^2}(p, q),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{6} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{6} M D_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{24} m D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{24} M D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

We also have:

Theorem 4. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and normalised. Let $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$ and $p, q \in \Omega$ are such that the condition (3.1) is valid.*

(i) *If there exists a real number m such that the assumption (3.2) holds, then we have the inequality*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) - \frac{1}{4}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

(ii) *If there exists the real number M such that the assumption (3.4) holds, then we have the inequality*

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{6}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_f(p, q).$$

Proof. (i) Consider the auxiliary function $g_m : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_m(t) := f(t) - \frac{1}{2}m(\ell^2(t) - 1)$, where $\ell(t) = t$ is the identity function. This function is convex and normalized on $[r, R]$.

We have

$$D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) = D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{g_m}(p, q) &:= \int_X p(x) g_m \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \left[f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) - \frac{1}{2}m \left(\ell^2 \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) - 1 \right) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= D_f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

If we use the second inequality in (1.13) we have

$$0 \leq D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}D_{g_m}(p, q),$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[D_f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) - \frac{1}{4}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.10).

(ii) Follows in a similar way for the auxiliary function $g_M : [r, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_M(t) := \frac{1}{2}M(\ell^2(t) - 1) - f(t)$. \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 and if the condition (3.6) holds, then we have*

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{2}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \quad (\text{see also [4]})$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{12}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{12}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

Further, we observe that by using the definitions of the auxiliary mappings $g_m(t)$ and $g_M(t)$ we have

$$g_m^\dagger(t) = (t-1) \left(f(t) - \frac{1}{2}m(t^2-1) \right)' = f^\dagger(t) - mt(t-1)$$

and

$$g_M^\dagger(t) = Mt(t-1) - f^\dagger(t).$$

This give

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{g_m^\dagger}(p, q) &= D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - m \int_X p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad D_{g_M^\dagger}(p, q) = MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{f^\dagger}(p, q).$$

Theorem 5. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and normalised. Let $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$ and $p, q \in \Omega$ are such that the condition (3.1) is valid.*

(i) *If there exists a real number m such that the assumption (3.2) holds, then we have the inequality*

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{24}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{12}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If there exists the real number M such that the assumption (3.4) holds, then we have the inequality*

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) + D_f \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{f^\dagger}(p, q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) + D_{HH}^f(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_{f^\dagger}(p, q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) If we use the inequality (1.16) for g_m , then we have

$$0 \leq D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) - D_{g_m} \left(p, \frac{p+q}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{8}D_{g_m^\dagger}(p, q),$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) - D_f\left(p, \frac{p+q}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{8}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}[D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)], \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.16).

If we use (1.18) for g_m , then we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2}D_{g_m}(p, q) - D_{HH}^{g_m}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8}D_{g_m^\dagger}(p, q),$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[D_f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)\right] - D_{HH}^f(p, q) + \frac{1}{6}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}[D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - mD_{\chi^2}(p, q)], \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Follows in a similar way for g_M . \square

Finally, we have:

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 and if the condition (3.6) holds, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.20) \quad \frac{1}{12}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) &\leq \frac{1}{8}D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) - D_{HH}^f(p, q) + D_f\left(p, \frac{p+q}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{1}{24}mD_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8}D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) + D_{HH}^f(p, q) - \frac{1}{2}D_f(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{24}MD_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

4. AN EXAMPLE

We consider the convex and normalized function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = -\ln t$. We have

$$D_f(p, q) := D_{KL}(p, q)$$

and

$$D_f\left(p, \frac{p+q}{2}\right) = D_{LW}(p, q)$$

for all $p, q \in \Omega$.

We define the *identric mean* of two positive numbers $a, b > 0$

$$I(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{1/(b-a)} & \text{if } b \neq a, \\ a & \text{if } b = a. \end{cases}$$

We observe that

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln t dt = \frac{b \ln b - b - b \ln b + a}{b-a} = \ln I(a, b).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_{HH}^f(p, q) &= - \int_X p(x) \frac{\int_1^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}} \ln t dt}{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1} d\mu(x) = - \int_X p(x) \ln \left[I \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}, 1 \right) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) \ln \left[I \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}, 1 \right) \right]^{-1} d\mu(x) =: D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

where we call $D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q)$ the *Kullback-Leibler HH divergence*.

If $0 < r < 1 < R < \infty$ then for $f(t) = -\ln t$,

$$\inf_{t \in [r, R]} f''(t) = \inf_{t \in [r, R]} \frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{1}{R^2}, \quad \sup_{t \in [r, R]} f''(t) = \sup_{t \in [r, R]} \frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

If $p, q \in \Omega$ satisfy the condition (3.1), then by using (3.7)-(3.9) for $m = \frac{1}{R^2}$ and $M = \frac{1}{r^2}$ we get

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{8R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{8r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{6R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{6r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q)$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{24R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q) - D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{24r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

By (3.13), we also have

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{12R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{KL}(p, q) - D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{12r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q).$$

Now, if $f(t) = -\ln t$, then

$$f^\dagger(t) := - \left(\frac{t-1}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{t} - 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f^\dagger}(p, q) &= \int_X p(x) \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x) = \int_X \left(\frac{p^2(x)}{q(x)} - p(x) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \frac{p^2(x)}{q(x)} d\mu(x) - 1 = D_{\chi^2}(q, p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in \Omega$.

Finally, by the (3.20) and (3.21) we also have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{12R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) &\leq \frac{1}{8} D_{\chi^2}(q, p) - D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q) + D_{LW}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{24R^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q) &\leq \frac{1}{8} D_{\chi^2}(q, p) + D_{HH}^{KL}(p, q) - \frac{1}{2} D_{KL}(p, q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24r^2} D_{\chi^2}(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

provided $p, q \in \Omega$ satisfy the condition (3.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. S. BARNETT, P. CERONE, AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some new inequalities for Hermite-Hadamard divergence in information theory, in *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, Volume 3, Edited by Yeol Je Cho, Jong Kyu Kim, Yong Kab Choi, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2003, pp. 7-20. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **5** (2002), No. 4, Art. 8. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v5n4/NIHHDIT.pdf>].
- [2] I. CSISZÁR, Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2** (1967), 299-318.
- [3] I. CSISZÁR, On topological properties of f -divergences, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2** (1967), 329-339.
- [4] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some inequalities for (m, M) -convex mappings and applications for the Csiszár Φ -divergence in information theory. *Math. J. Ibaraki Univ.* **33** (2001), 35–50.
- [5] S. S. DRAGOMIR, An Ostrowski type inequality for convex functions, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **16** (2005), 12–25. [Online <http://pefmath2.etf.bg.ac.rs/files/125/963.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A generalised trapezoid type inequality for convex functions (June 2003). *Mathematics Preprint Archive* Vol. 2003, Issue 6, pp 56-65. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3177646>, see also <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0305374.pdf>.
- [7] E. HELLINGER, Neue Begründung der Theorie quadratischer Formen von unendlichvielen Veränderlichen, *J. für reine und Angew. Math.*, **36** (1909), 210-271.
- [8] H. JEFFREYS, An invariant form for the prior probability in estimating problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, **186** A (1946), 453-461.
- [9] S. KULLBACK and R. A. LEIBLER, On information and sufficiency, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **22** (1951), 79-86.
- [10] J. LIN and S. K. M. WONG, A new directed divergence measure and its characterization, *Int. J. General Systems*, **17** (1990), 73-81.
- [11] H. SHIOYA and T. DA-TE, A generalisation of Lin divergence and the derivative of a new information divergence, *Elec. and Comm. in Japan*, **78** (7) (1995), 37-40.
- [12] F. TOPSØE, Some inequalities for information divergence and related measures of discrimination, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, Volume: **46**, Issue: 4, 1602 - 1609. Preprint *Res. Rep. Coll., RGMA*, **2** (1) (1999), 85-98.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA