

SOME NEW PROPERTIES OF LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS DEFINED ON CONVEX SUBSETS IN LINEAR SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For a Lebesgue integrable function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ we consider the symmetric functions

$$J_{f,w,r}(x, y) := \frac{\int_0^1 f^r((1-t)x + ty) f^r(tx + (1-t)y) w(t) dt}{f^r(x) f^r(y)}$$

and

$$M_{f,w,r}(x, y) := \frac{\int_0^1 f^r((1-t)x + ty) f^r(tx + (1-t)y) w(t) dt}{f^{2r}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}$$

where $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function defined on the convex subset C of a linear space X and $r > 0$.

In this paper we show among others that $J_{f,w,r}$ is Schur concave and $M_{f,w,r}$ is Schur convex on $C \times C$. Some examples for log-convex functions of a real variable are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

A function $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is said to be *log-convex* or *multiplicatively convex* if $\log f$ is convex, or, equivalently, if for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ one has the inequality:

$$(1.1) \quad f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq [f(x)]^t [f(y)]^{1-t}.$$

We note that if f and g are convex and g is increasing, then $g \circ f$ is convex; moreover, since $f = \exp(\log f)$, it follows that a log-convex function is convex, but the converse may not necessarily be true. This follows directly from (1.1) because, by the *arithmetic-geometric mean inequality*, we have

$$[f(x)]^t [f(y)]^{1-t} \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let us recall the *Hermite-Hadamard inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2},$$

where $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on the interval I , $a, b \in I$ and $a < b$.

For related results, see [13] and [9].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Log-convex functions, Schur convex functions, Integral inequalities, Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

Note that if we apply the above inequality for the log-convex functions $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, we have that

$$(1.3) \quad \ln \left[f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx \leq \frac{\ln f(a) + \ln f(b)}{2},$$

from which we get

$$(1.4) \quad f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx \right] \leq \sqrt{f(a) f(b)}$$

that is an inequality of Hermite-Hadamard's type for log-convex functions.

By using simple properties of log-convex functions Dragomir and Mond proved in 1998 the following result [11].

Theorem 1. *Let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex mapping on I and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then one has the inequality:*

$$(1.5) \quad f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \sqrt{f(x) f(a+b-x)} dx \leq \sqrt{f(a) f(b)}.$$

The inequality between the first and second term in (1.5) may be improved as follows [11]. A different upper bound for the middle term in (1.5) can be also provided.

Theorem 2. *Let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex mapping on I and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then one has the inequalities:*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \sqrt{f(x) f(a+b-x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq L(f(a), f(b)), \end{aligned}$$

where $L(p, q)$ is the logarithmic mean of the strictly positive real numbers p, q , i.e.,

$$L(p, q) := \frac{p-q}{\ln p - \ln q} \text{ if } p \neq q \text{ and } L(p, p) := p.$$

The last inequality in (1.6) was obtained in a different context in [14].

As shown in [15], the following result also holds:

Theorem 3. *Let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex mapping on I and $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. Then one has the inequalities:*

$$(1.7) \quad f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \sqrt{f(x)} dx \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

We define the p -logarithmic mean as

$$L_p(a, b) := \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{with } a \neq b \\ a, & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}$$

for $p \neq 0, -1$ and $a, b > 0$.

In the recent work [8] we generalized the inequality (1.6) as follows:

Theorem 4. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function on $[a, b]$. Then for any $p > 0$ we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.8) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx\right] \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^p(x) f^p(a+b-x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^{2p}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} [L_{2p-1}(f(a), f(b))]^{1-\frac{1}{2p}} [L(f(a), f(b))]^{\frac{1}{2p}}, & p \neq \frac{1}{2}; \\ L(f(a), f(b)), & p = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.9) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx\right] \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^p(x) f^p(a+b-x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^{2p}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. If we take in (1.8) $p = 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.10) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx\right] \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) f(a+b-x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f^2(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq [A(f(a), f(b))]^{\frac{1}{2}} [L(f(a), f(b))]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take $p = \frac{1}{4}$ in (1.9), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.11) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx\right] \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \sqrt[4]{f(x) f(a+b-x)} dx\right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \sqrt{f(x)} dx\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

This improves the inequality (1.7).

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we study among others the Schur convexity of some functions associated to a log-convex function on C . Some examples for log-convex functions of a real variable are also given.

2. LOG CONVEX FUNCTIONS ON CONVEX SETS IN LINEAR SPACES

We consider the function $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on the convex subset C of the linear space X and for each $(x, y) \in C^2 := C \times C$ we introduce the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(x,y)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{(x,y)}(t) := f((1-t)x + ty).$$

It is well known that the function f is convex on C if and only if for each $(x, y) \in C^2$ the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ is convex on $[0, 1]$.

By utilising the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality for the convex function $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ on $[0, 1]$ we then have

$$(2.1) \quad f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}$$

for all $(x, y) \in C^2$.

We say that the function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is log-convex on C if

$$(2.2) \quad f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq [f(x)]^t [f(y)]^{1-t}$$

for all vectors $x, y \in C$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. By taking the log in (2.2) we deduce that f is log-convex on C if $\ln f$ is convex on C .

Lemma 1. *Consider the function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. The function f is log-convex on C if and only if for all $(x, y) \in C^2$ the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ is log-convex on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Assume that f is log-convex on C and $(x, y) \in C^2$. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(x,y)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &= f((\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)x + (1 - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)y) \\ &= f((\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)x + (\alpha + \beta - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)y) \\ &= f(\alpha [t_1 x + (1 - t_1)y] + \beta [t_2 x + (1 - t_2)y]) \\ &\leq [f(t_1 x + (1 - t_1)y)]^\alpha [f(t_2 x + (1 - t_2)y)]^\beta \\ &= [\varphi_{(x,y)}(t_1)]^\alpha [\varphi_{(x,y)}(t_2)]^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ is log-convex on $[0, 1]$.

Let $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then by the log-convexity of $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(tx + (1-t)y) &= \varphi_{(x,y)}(t) = \varphi_{(x,y)}(t \cdot 1 + (1-t) \cdot 0) \\ &\leq [\varphi_{(x,y)}(1)]^t [\varphi_{(x,y)}(0)]^{1-t} = [f(x)]^t [f(y)]^{1-t}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the log-convexity of f on C . \square

By utilising Theorem 2 and 4 for the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(x,y)}$ we can state the following result for log-convex functions defined on the convex set C of the linear space X .

Theorem 5. Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function on C and $(x, y) \in C^2$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\int_0^1 \ln f(tx + (1-t)y) dt\right] \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \sqrt{f(tx + (1-t)y) f((1-t)x + ty)} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq L(f(x), f(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the logarithmic mean.

For any $p > 0$ we have the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\int_0^1 \ln f(tx + (1-t)y) dt\right] \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 f^p(tx + (1-t)y) f^p((1-t)x + ty) dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 f^{2p}(tx + (1-t)y) dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} [L_{2p-1}(f(x), f(y))]^{1-\frac{1}{2p}} [L(f(x), f(y))]^{\frac{1}{2p}}, & p \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ L(f(x), f(y)), & p = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $L_r(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the r -logarithmic mean.

If $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &\leq \exp\left[\int_0^1 \ln f(tx + (1-t)y) dt\right] \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 f^{2p}(tx + (1-t)y) dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 f^{2p}(tx + (1-t)y) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \int_0^1 f(tx + (1-t)y) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $t \in [0, 1]$ we define the function $S_t : C^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$S_{f,t}(x, y) = f(tx + (1-t)y).$$

Lemma 2. If the function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function on C and $t \in (0, 1)$, then $S_{f,t}$ is log-convex on C^2 .

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $(x, y), (u, v) \in C^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f,t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) &= S_{f,t}(\alpha x + \beta u, \alpha y + \beta v) \\ &= f(t(\alpha x + \beta u) + (1-t)(\alpha y + \beta v)) \\ &= f(\alpha [tx + (1-t)y] + \beta [tu + (1-t)v]) \\ &\leq [f(tx + (1-t)y)]^\alpha [f(tu + (1-t)v)]^\beta \\ &= [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $S_{f,t}$ is log-convex on C^2 . \square

For for $t \in [0, 1]$ we define the function $T_{f,t} : C^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$T_{f,t}(x, y) = \frac{S_{f,t}(x, y) + S_{f,1-t}(x, y)}{2} = \frac{f(tx + (1-t)y) + f((1-t)x + ty)}{2}.$$

We observe that $T_{f,t}$ is symmetric on C^2 , namely $T_{f,t}(x, y) = T_{f,t}(y, x)$ for all $(x, y) \in C^2$.

Theorem 6. *If the function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function on C and $t \in (0, 1)$, then $T_{f,t}$ is log-convex on C^2 .*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $(x, y), (u, v) \in C^2$. Then by Lemma 2 we have for $t \in (0, 1)$ that

$$S_{f,t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) \leq [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta$$

and

$$S_{f,1-t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) \leq [S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta.$$

If we add these two inequalities we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{f,t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) + S_{f,1-t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) \\ \leq [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta + [S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

If we use the Hölder's type inequality

$$ab + cd \leq (a^p + c^p)^{1/p} (b^q + d^q)^{1/q},$$

where $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then we get for

$$a = [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha, \quad b = [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta, \quad c = [S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha, \quad d = [S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta$$

and $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}, q = \frac{1}{\beta}$ that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta + [S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta \\ & \leq \left[([S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} + ([S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \right]^\alpha \\ & \quad \times \left[\left([S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} + \left([S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^\beta \\ & = [S_{f,t}(x, y) + S_{f,1-t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v) + S_{f,1-t}(u, v)]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of (2.6) and (2.7) we get

$$2T_{f,t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) \leq [2T_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [2T_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta = 2[T_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [T_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta,$$

which proves the fact that $T_{f,t}$ is log-convex on C^2 . \square

For a Lebesgue integrable function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a log-convex function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ we consider the function

$$S_{f,w}(x, y) = \int_0^1 S_{f,t}(x, y) w(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(tx + (1-t)y) w(t) dt.$$

Theorem 7. *If the function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function on C and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable function on $[0, 1]$, then $S_{f,w}$ is log-convex on C^2 .*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $(x, y), (u, v) \in C^2$. Then, by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f,w}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) &= \int_0^1 S_{f,t}(\alpha(x, y) + \beta(u, v)) w(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta w(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's weighted integral inequality for $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $q = \frac{1}{\beta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 [S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta w(t) dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 ([S_{f,t}(x, y)]^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} w(t) dt \right)^\alpha \left(\int_0^1 ([S_{f,t}(u, v)]^\beta)^{1/\beta} w(t) dt \right)^\beta \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 S_{f,t}(x, y) w(t) dt \right)^\alpha \left(\int_0^1 S_{f,t}(u, v) w(t) dt \right)^\beta \\ &= [S_{f,w}(x, y)]^\alpha [S_{f,w}(u, v)]^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the log-convexity of $S_{f,w}$ on C^2 . \square

We denote by $[x, y]$ the closed segment defined by $\{(1-s)x + sy, s \in [0, 1]\}$. We also define the functional

$$\Psi_{g,t}(x, y) := (1-t)g(x) + tg(y) - g((1-t)x + ty) \geq 0$$

where $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

In [5] we obtained among others the following result :

Lemma 3. *Let $g : C \subset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the convex set C . Then for each $x, y \in C$ and $z \in [x, y]$ we have*

$$(2.8) \quad (0 \leq) \Psi_{g,t}(x, z) + \Psi_{g,t}(z, y) \leq \Psi_{g,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e., the functional $\Psi_{g,t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is superadditive as a function of interval.

If $z, u \in [x, y]$, then

$$(2.9) \quad (0 \leq) \Psi_{g,t}(z, u) \leq \Psi_{g,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e., the functional $\Psi_{g,t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing as a function of interval.

For a log-convex function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and for $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we consider the function $\Pi_{f,t} : C^2 \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \Pi_{f,t}(x, y) := \frac{[f(x)]^{1-t} [f(y)]^t}{f((1-t)x + ty)} \geq 1.$$

We observe that

$$\Psi_{\ln f, t}(x, y) := (1-t) \ln f(x) + t \ln f(y) - \ln f((1-t)x + ty) = \ln \Pi_{f,t}(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

We have:

Theorem 8. Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function. Then for each $x, y \in C$ and $z \in [x, y]$ we have

$$(2.11) \quad (1 \leq) \Pi_{f,t}(x, z) \Pi_{f,t}(z, y) \leq \Pi_{f,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e., the functional $\Pi_{f,t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is supermultiplicative as a function of interval.

If $z, u \in [x, y]$, then

$$(2.12) \quad (1 \leq) \Pi_{f,t}(z, u) \leq \Pi_{f,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$, i.e., the functional $\Pi_{f,t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing as a function of interval.

For a log-convex function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and for $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we also consider the function $\Omega_{f,t} : C^2 \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ defined by

$$\Omega_{f,t}(x, y) := \Pi_{f,t}(x, y) \Pi_{f,1-t}(x, y) = \frac{f(x) f(y)}{f((1-t)x + ty) f(tx + (1-t)y)}.$$

Corollary 1. Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function. Then for each $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ and $z \in [x, y]$ we have

$$(2.13) \quad (1 \leq) \Omega_{f,t}(x, z) \Omega_{f,t}(z, y) \leq \Omega_{f,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

If $z, u \in [x, y]$, then

$$(2.14) \quad (1 \leq) \Omega_{f,t}(z, u) \leq \Omega_{f,t}(x, y)$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

The proof follows by Theorem 8 written for t and $1 - t$ and multiplying the obtained inequalities.

3. SCHUR CONVEXITY

For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $x_{[1]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ denote the components of x in decreasing order, and let $x_{\downarrow} = (x_{[1]}, \dots, x_{[n]})$ denote the decreasing rearrangement of x . For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \prec y$ if, by definition,

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, & k = 1, \dots, n-1; \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{[i]}. \end{cases}$$

When $x \prec y$, x is said to be *majorized* by y (y *majorizes* x). This notation and terminology was introduced by Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya in 1934.

A real-valued function ϕ defined on a set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *Schur-convex* on \mathcal{A} if

$$(3.1) \quad x \prec y \text{ on } \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq \phi(y).$$

If, in addition, $\phi(x) < \phi(y)$ whenever $x \prec y$ but x is not a permutation of y , then ϕ is said to be *strictly Schur-convex* on \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then ϕ is simply said to be Schur-convex or strictly Schur-convex.

For fundamental properties of Schur convexity see the monograph [16] and the references therein. For some recent results, see [1]-[3] and [17]-[19].

The following result is known in the literature as *Schur-Ostrowski theorem* [16, p. 84]:

Theorem 9. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $\phi : I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable. Necessary and sufficient conditions for ϕ to be Schur-convex on I^n are*

$$(3.2) \quad \phi \text{ is symmetric on } I^n,$$

and for all $i \neq j$, with $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(3.3) \quad (z_i - z_j) \left[\frac{\partial \phi(z)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \phi(z)}{\partial x_j} \right] \geq 0 \text{ for all } z \in I^n,$$

where $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k}$ denotes the partial derivative of ϕ with respect to its k -th argument.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with the following properties:

(i) \mathcal{A} is symmetric in the sense that $x \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow x\Pi \in \mathcal{A}$ for all permutations Π of the coordinates.

(ii) \mathcal{A} is convex and has a nonempty interior.

We have the following result, [16, p. 85].

Theorem 10. *If ϕ is continuously differentiable on the interior of \mathcal{A} and continuous on \mathcal{A} , then necessary and sufficient conditions for ϕ to be Schur-convex on \mathcal{A} are*

$$(3.4) \quad \phi \text{ is symmetric on } \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad (z_1 - z_2) \left[\frac{\partial \phi(z)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial \phi(z)}{\partial x_2} \right] \geq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Another interesting characterization of Schur convex functions ϕ on \mathcal{A} was obtained by C. Stępniański in [20]:

Theorem 11. *Let ϕ be any function defined on a symmetric convex set \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the function ϕ is Schur convex on \mathcal{A} if and only if*

$$(3.6) \quad \phi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and

$$(3.7) \quad \phi(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2, \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n) \leq \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ and for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

It is well known that any symmetric convex function defined on a symmetric convex set \mathcal{A} is Schur convex, [16, p. 97]. If the function $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric and quasi-convex, namely

$$\phi(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v) \leq \max\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\}$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$, a symmetric convex set, then ϕ is Schur convex on \mathcal{A} [16, p. 98].

Let X be a linear space and $G \subset X^2 := X \times X$ a convex set. We say that G is symmetric if $(x, y) \in G$ implies that $(y, x) \in G$. If $C \subset X$ is a convex subset of X , then the Cartesian product $G := C^2 := C \times C$ is convex and symmetric in X^2 .

Motivated by the characterization result of Stępniański above, we say that a function $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called *Schur convex* on the convex and symmetric set $G \subset X^2$ if

$$(3.8) \quad \phi(s(x, y) + (1 - s)(y, x)) \leq \phi(x, y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in G$ and for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

If $G = C^2$, then we recapture the general concept of Schur convexity introduced by Burai and Makó in 2016, [1].

We say that the function $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric on G if $\phi(x, y) = \phi(y, x)$ for all $(x, y) \in G$.

If $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Schur convex* on the convex and symmetric set $G \subset X^2$, then ϕ is symmetric on G . Indeed, if $(x, y) \in G$, then by (3.8) we get for $s = 0$ that $\phi(y, x) \leq \phi(x, y)$. If we replace x with y then we also get $\phi(x, y) \leq \phi(y, x)$ which shows that $\phi(x, y) = \phi(y, x)$ for all $(x, y) \in G$.

For a function $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we define the associated symmetric functions $T_{f,t} : C^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $M_{f,t} : C^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$(3.9) \quad T_{f,t}(x, y) := \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f((1-t)x + ty)f(tx + (1-t)y)}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad M_{f,t}(x, y) := \frac{f((1-t)x + ty)f(tx + (1-t)y)}{f^2\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}.$$

Theorem 12. *Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function and $t \in [0, 1]$. The functions $T_{f,t}$ and $M_{f,t}$ are Schur convex on C^2 .*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & T_{f,t}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) \\ &= T_{f,t}(sx + (1-s)y, sy + (1-s)x) \\ &= \frac{f((1-s)x + sy)}{f((1-t)((1-s)x + sy) + t(sx + (1-s)y))} \\ &\quad \times \frac{f(sx + (1-s)y)}{f(t((1-s)x + sy) + (1-t)(sx + (1-s)y))}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $u = (1-s)x + sy$, $v = sx + (1-s)y$ in (2.14), then we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{f((1-s)x + sy)}{f((1-t)((1-s)x + sy) + t(sx + (1-s)y))} \\ & \times \frac{f(sx + (1-s)y)}{f(t((1-s)x + sy) + (1-t)(sx + (1-s)y))} \\ & \leq \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f((1-t)x + ty)f(tx + (1-t)y)} = T_{f,t}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$T_{f,t}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) \leq T_{f,t}(x, y),$$

for all $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, $t \in [0, 1]$, which shows that $T_{f,t}$ is Schur convex.

Let $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & M_{f,t}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) \\
&= M_{f,t}(sx + (1-s)y, sy + (1-s)x) \\
&= \frac{f((1-t)(sx + (1-s)y) + t(sy + (1-s)x))}{f\left(\frac{sx+(1-s)y+sy+(1-s)x}{2}\right)} \\
&\times \frac{f(t(sx + (1-s)y) + (1-t)(sy + (1-s)x))}{f\left(\frac{sx+(1-s)y+sy+(1-s)x}{2}\right)} \\
&= \frac{f(s((1-t)x + ty) + (1-s)((1-t)y + tx))}{f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} \\
&\times \frac{f(s((1-t)y + tx) + (1-s)((1-t)x + ty))}{f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the log-convexity of f we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & f(s((1-t)x + ty) + (1-s)((1-t)y + tx)) \\
&\leq [f((1-t)x + ty)]^s [f((1-t)y + tx)]^{1-s}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & f(s((1-t)y + tx) + (1-s)((1-t)x + ty)) \\
&\leq [f((1-t)y + tx)]^s [f((1-t)x + ty)]^{1-s}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply (3.14) with (3.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \frac{f(s((1-t)x + ty) + (1-s)((1-t)y + tx))}{f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} \\
&\times \frac{f(s((1-t)y + tx) + (1-s)((1-t)x + ty))}{f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} \\
&\leq \frac{f((1-t)x + ty) f((1-t)y + tx)}{f^2\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} = M_{f,t}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of (3.13) and (3.16) we deduce that

$$M_{f,t}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) \leq M_{f,t}(x, y),$$

which shows that $M_{f,t}$ is Schur convex. \square

Remark 2. We observe that the function

$$J_{f,t}(x, y) := \frac{f((1-t)x + ty) f(tx + (1-t)y)}{f(x) f(y)}$$

is Schur concave, namely

$$J_{f,t}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) \geq J_{f,t}(x, y)$$

provided $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If $r > 0$, then the function $J_{f,t}^r$ is a Schur concave function and $M_{f,t}^r$ is a Schur convex function on C^2 , provided $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a log-convex function and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 13. *Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function, $r > 0$ and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable function. Then $J_{f,w,r}$ is Schur concave on C^2 and $M_{f,w,r}$ is Schur convex on C^2 .*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in C^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f,w,r}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) &= \int_0^1 J_{f,t}^r(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) w(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^1 J_{f,t}^r(x, y) w(t) dt = J_{f,w,r}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{f,w,r}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) &= \int_0^1 M_{f,t}^r(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) w(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 M_{f,t}^r(x, y) w(t) dt = M_{f,w,r}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired results. \square

For a logarithmic convex function f defined on the interval I , by changing the variable $u = (1-t)x + ty$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $(x, y) \in I^2$, $y \neq x$, we have

$$(3.17) \quad J_{f,w,r}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_x^y f^r(u) f^r(x+y-u) w\left(\frac{u-x}{y-x}\right) du}{(y-x) f^r(x) f^r(y)}, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ 1, & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad M_{f,w,r}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_x^y f^r(u) f^r(x+y-u) w\left(\frac{u-x}{y-x}\right) du}{(y-x) f^{2r}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ 1, & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x \end{cases}$$

for a Lebesgue integrable function $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $r > 0$.

In particular, for $w \equiv 1$ we put

$$(3.19) \quad J_{f,r}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_x^y f^r(u) f^r(x+y-u) du}{(y-x) f^r(x) f^r(y)}, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ 1, & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad M_{f,r}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_x^y f^r(u) f^r(x+y-u) du}{(y-x) f^{2r}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ 1, & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2. *Let $f : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function on I , $r > 0$ and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable function. Then $J_{f,w,r}$ defined by (3.17) is Schur concave on I^2 and $M_{f,w,r}$ defined by (3.18) is Schur convex on I^2 .*

In particular, $J_{f,r}$ defined by (3.19) is Schur concave on I^2 and $M_{f,r}$ defined by (3.20) is Schur convex on I^2 .

Further, if $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric on $[0, 1]$, namely $w(1-t) = w(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. In this situation

$$S_{g,w}(x, y) = \int_0^1 g(tx + (1-t)y) w(t) dt$$

is symmetric on C^2 .

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{g,w}(y, x) &= \int_0^1 g(ty + (1-t)x) w(t) dt = \int_0^1 g((1-s)y + sx) w(1-s) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 g(sx + (1-s)y) w(s) ds = S_{g,w}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y) \in C^2$.

Theorem 14. *Let $f : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a log-convex function, $r > 0$ and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable symmetric function. Then $S_{f,w,r}$ is Schur convex on C^2 , where*

$$S_{f,w,r}(x, y) = \int_0^1 f^r(tx + (1-t)y) w(t) dt.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$S_{f,w,r}(x, y) = \int_0^1 S_{f^r,t}(x, y) w(t) dt = S_{f^r,w}(x, y).$$

Since f^r is log-convex, f being log-convex on C , hence by Theorem 7 we get that $S_{f,w,r}$ is log-convex on C^2 . Therefore, for $(x, y) \in C^2$, $s \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f,w,r}(s(x, y) + (1-s)(y, x)) &\leq [S_{f,w,r}(x, y)]^s [S_{f,w,r}(y, x)]^{1-r} \\ &= [S_{f,w,r}(x, y)]^s [S_{f,w,r}(x, y)]^{1-r} = S_{f,w,r}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $S_{f,w,r}$ is Schur convex on C^2 . \square

In the case when f is log-convex on the interval I , $r > 0$ and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable symmetric function, then

$$S_{f,w,r}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y-x} \int_0^1 f^r(u) w\left(\frac{u-x}{y-x}\right) du, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ f^r(x) \int_0^1 w(t) dt, & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x \end{cases}$$

is Schur convex on I^2 .

For $w(t) = |t - \frac{1}{2}|$ and $w(t) = t(1-t)$ we can consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f,|\cdot-\frac{1}{2}|,r}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(y-x)^2} \int_0^1 f^r(u) |u - \frac{x+y}{2}| dt, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ \frac{1}{4} f^r(x), & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ S_{f,\cdot(1-\cdot),r}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(y-x)^3} \int_0^1 f^r(u) (y-u)(u-x) dt, & (x, y) \in I^2, y \neq x, \\ \frac{1}{6} f^r(x), & (x, y) \in I^2, y = x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that $S_{f,|\cdot-\frac{1}{2}|,r}$ and $S_{f,\cdot(1-\cdot),r}$ are Schur convex on I provided f is log-convex on the interval I and $r > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Burai and J. Makó, On certain Schur-convex functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **89** (3) (2016), 307-319.
- [2] Y. Chu, G. Wang, X. Zhang, Schur convexity and Hadamard's inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **13** (4) (2010) 725–731.
- [3] V. Čuljak, A remark on Schur-convexity of the mean of a convex function. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), No. 4, 1133–1142.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Refinements of the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality for log-convex functions, *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.* **28** (2001), no. 3, 129–134.1
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Superadditivity and monotonicity of some functionals associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions in linear spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **42** (2012), no. 5, 1447–1459.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for GA-convex functions. *Ann. Math. Sil.* **32** (2018), no. 1, 145–168.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for GA-convex functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A* **72** (2018), no. 1, 55–68. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art 33. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a33.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, New inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for log-convex functions, *Khayyam J. Math.* **3** (2017), no. 2, 98–115. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art 42. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a42.pdf>].
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Ostrowski type inequalities for Lebesgue integral: a survey of recent results. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **14** (2017), No. 1, Art. 1, 283 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=v14n1/V14I1P1.tex>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Integral inequalities for Schur convex functions on symmetric and convex sets in linear spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **22** (2019), Art.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir and B. Mond, Integral inequalities of Hadamard's type for log-convex functions, *Demonstratio Math.*, **31** (2) (1998), 354-364.
- [12] S. S. Dragomir and K. Nikodem, Functions generating (m, M, Ψ) -Schur-convex sums. *Aequationes Math.* **93** (2019), No. 1, 79–90.
- [13] S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMA Monographs, 2000. [Online https://rgmia.org/monographs/hermite_hadamard.html].
- [14] P. M. Gill, C. E. M. Pearce and J. Pečarić, Hadamard's inequality for r-convex functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.* **215** (1997), No. 2, pp. 461-470.
- [15] W. T. Sulaiman, Refinements to Hadamard's inequality for log-convex functions. *Applied Mathematics*, **2011**, 2, p. 899-903.
- [16] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin and B. C. Arnold, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Second Edition, Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London, 2011.
- [17] K. Nikodem, T. Rajba and S. Waśowicz, *Functions generating strongly Schur-convex sums*. Inequalities and applications 2010, 175–182, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., 161, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012.
- [18] J. Qi and W. Wang, Schur convex functions and the Bonnesen style isoperimetric inequalities for planar convex polygons. *J. Math. Inequal.* **12** (2018), no. 1, 23–29.
- [19] H.-N. Shi and J. Zhang, Compositions involving Schur harmonically convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **22** (2017), no. 5, 907–922.
- [20] C. Stępniać, An effective characterization of Schur-convex functions with applications, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, **14** (2007), No. 1, 103–108.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA