

REVERSES OF OPERATOR FÉJER'S INEQUALITIES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, the convex set of selfadjoint operators with spectra in I . If $A \neq B$ and f , as an operator function, is Gâteaux differentiable on

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\},$$

while $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

Two particular examples of interest are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (*operator concave*) on I if

$$(1.1) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I . Notice that a function f is operator concave if $-f$ is operator convex.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator monotone* if it is monotone with respect to the operator order, i.e., $A \leq B$ with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$ imply $f(A) \leq f(B)$.

For some fundamental results on operator convex (operator concave) and operator monotone functions, see [7] and the references therein.

As examples of such functions, we note that $f(t) = t^r$ is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The function $f(t) = t^r$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$ if either $1 \leq r \leq 2$ or $-1 \leq r \leq 0$ and is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The logarithmic function $f(t) = \ln t$ is operator monotone and operator concave on $(0, \infty)$. The entropy function $f(t) = -t \ln t$ is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$. The exponential function $f(t) = e^t$ is neither operator convex nor operator monotone.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Operator convex functions, Integral inequalities, Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Multivariate operator convex function.

In [5] we obtained among others the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f((1-s)A + sB) ds \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2},$$

where A, B are selfadjoint operators with spectra included in I .

From the operator convexity of the function f we have

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [f((1-s)A + sB) + f(sA + (1-s)B)] \\ &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and A, B selfadjoint operators with spectra included in I .

If $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric in the sense that $p(1-s) = p(s)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, then by multiplying (1.3) with $p(s)$, integrating on $[0, 1]$ and taking into account that

$$\int_0^1 p(s) f((1-s)A + sB) ds = \int_0^1 p(s) f(sA + (1-s)B) ds,$$

we get the weighted version of (1.2) for A, B selfadjoint operators with spectra included in I

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 p(s) ds\right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) &\leq \int_0^1 p(s) f(sA + (1-s)B) ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 p(s) ds\right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the operator version of the well known *Féjer's inequalities* for scalar convex functions.

For recent inequalities for operator convex functions see [1]-[6] and [8]-[17].

Motivated by the above results, we establish in this paper a reverse for each inequality in (1.4) in the case when the operator convex function is Gâteaux differentiable as a function of selfadjoint operators. Two particular examples of interest for $f(x) = -\ln x$ and $f(x) = x^{-1}$ are also given.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

Let f be an operator convex function on I . For $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators with spectra in I , we consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) := f((1-t)A + tB).$$

For $x \in H$ we can also consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B);x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) := \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) x, x \right\rangle = \left\langle f((1-t)A + tB) x, x \right\rangle.$$

We have the following basic fact:

Lemma 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I . For any $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is well defined and convex in the operator order. For any $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $x \in H$ the function $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ is convex in the usual sense on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. If $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the convex combination $(1-t)A + tB$ is a selfadjoint operator with the spectrum in I showing that $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on H . By the continuous functional calculus of selfadjoint operator we also conclude that $f((1-t)A + tB)$ is a selfadjoint operator with spectrum in I .

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$. If $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &:= f((1 - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f((\alpha + \beta - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f(\alpha[(1 - t_1)A + t_1B] + \beta[(1 - t_2)A + t_2B]) \\ &\leq \alpha f((1 - t_1)A + t_1B) + \beta f((1 - t_2)A + t_2B) \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ in the operator order.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $x \in H$. If $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B);x}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &= \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)x, x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left[\alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2) \right] x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1)x, x \right\rangle + \beta \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2)x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity of $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ on $[0, 1]$. \square

A continuous function $g : \mathcal{SA}_I(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* in $A \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ along the direction $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ if the following limit exists in the strong topology of $\mathcal{B}(H)$

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla g_A(B) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(A + sB) - g(A)}{s} \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

If the limit (2.3) exists for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then we say that f is *Gâteaux differentiable* in A and we can write $g \in \mathcal{G}(A)$. If this is true for any A in an open set \mathcal{S} from $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we write that $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S})$.

If g is a continuous function on I , by utilising the continuous functional calculus the corresponding function of operators will be denoted in the same way.

For two distinct operators $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we consider the segment of selfadjoint operators

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We observe that $A, B \in [A, B]$ and $[A, B] \subset \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$.

Lemma 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and*

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) = \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B - A).$$

Also we have for the lateral derivative that

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_A(B - A)$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B - A).$$

Proof. Let $t \in (0, 1)$ and $h \neq 0$ small enough such that $t + h \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t-h)A + (t+h)B) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, hence by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \nabla g_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.8).

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f((1-h)A + hB) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(A + h(B-A)) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \nabla f_A(B-A) \end{aligned}$$

since f is assumed to be Gâteaux differentiable in A . This proves (2.5).

The equality (2.6) follows in a similar way. \square

Lemma 3. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) \leq \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A)$$

in the operator order.

We also have

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A)$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

Proof. Let $x \in H$. The auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ is convex in the usual sense on $[0, 1]$ and differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and for $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B),x}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B),x}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla g_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A)x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ we have by the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions that

$$\varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_1) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_2)$$

then we get

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A)x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A)x, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$, which is equivalent to the inequality (2.8) in the operator order.

Let $0 < t_1 < 1$. By the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions we also have

$$\varphi'_{(A,B),x}(0+) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_1),$$

which, as above implies that

$$\langle \nabla f_A(B-A)x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A)x, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$, that is equivalent to the operator inequality (2.9).

The inequality (2.10) follows in a similar way. \square

Corollary 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then for all $t \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

3. REVERSE OPERATOR FÉJER INEQUALITIES

We have:

Theorem 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $p \equiv 1$ we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. Using the integration by parts formula for Bochner's integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) \Big|_{1/2}^1 + \int_{1/2}^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= - \left(\int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(1/2) + \int_{1/2}^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= - \left(\int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) + \int_{1/2}^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) \Big|_0^{1/2} - \int_0^{1/2} p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(1/2) - \int_0^{1/2} p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - \int_0^{1/2} p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the second identity from the first, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&= \int_{1/2}^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt + \int_0^{1/2} p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
&\quad - \left(\int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of p we obtain

$$\int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds$$

and then we get the following identity of interest in itself

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \int_0^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt - \int_0^1 p(s) ds f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\
&= \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 we have

$$\nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad t \in [0, 1/2]$$

and

$$\varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A), \quad t \in [1/2, 1]$$

in the operator order.

These imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) \nabla f_A(B-A) &\leq \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad t \in [0, 1/2] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) \\ &\leq \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) \nabla f_B(B-A), \quad t \in [1/2, 1], \end{aligned}$$

and by integration

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) dt \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) dt \nabla f_B(B-A) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) dt \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq -\int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &\leq -\int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) dt \nabla f_A(B-A). \end{aligned}$$

If we add these inequalities, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad &\left[\int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) dt - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) dt \right] \varphi'_{(A,B)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) dt \nabla f_B(B-A) - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds\right) dt \nabla f_A(B-A) \end{aligned}$$

in the operator order.

Integrating by parts in the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) dt &= \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds\right) t \Big|_{1/2}^1 + \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) p(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt &= \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) t \Big|_0^{1/2} - \int_0^{1/2} p(t) t dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(t) t dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - t \right) p(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_t^1 p(s) ds \right) dt - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 t p(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds + \int_0^{1/2} p(t) t dt \\ &= \int_0^1 t p(t) dt - \int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^1 t p(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) p(t) dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since the function $q(t) = (t - \frac{1}{2})p(t)$ is asymmetric on $[0, 1]$.

Also by changing the variable $s = 1 - t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - t \right) p(t) dt &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) p(1-s) ds = \int_{1/2}^1 \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) p(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. If we take $p(t) = |t - \frac{1}{2}|$, $t \in [0, 1]$ in (3.1), then we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| f((1-t)A + tB) dt - \frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

Theorem 2. Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $p \equiv 1$ we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the integration by parts for Bochner's integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(1) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi_{(A,B)}(0) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [1/2, 1]$$

and

$$\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1/2].$$

By Lemma 3 then have in the operatorial order the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \varphi'_{(A,B)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \\
& \leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \nabla f_B(B-A)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \varphi'_{(A,B)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \\
& \leq - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
& \leq - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \nabla f_A(B-A).
\end{aligned}$$

If we add these inequalities, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \left[\int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \right. \\
& \left. - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \right] \times \varphi'_{(A,B)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \\
& \leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
& - \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \nabla f_B(B-A) \\
& - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \nabla f_A(B-A).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \\
 & - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \\
 & = \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds + \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \\
 & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \\
 & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(t) dt \\
 & = \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 p(t) t dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(t) dt \\
 & = \int_0^1 p(t) dt - \int_0^1 p(t) t dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(t) dt = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - t \right) p(t) dt = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

since the function $q(t) = (t - \frac{1}{2})p(t)$ is asymmetric on $[0, 1]$.

Therefore, by the first inequality in (3.8) we get the first inequality in (3.6).

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \right) dt \\
 & = \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \\
 & = \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \Big|_{1/2}^1 - \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \\
 & = \int_0^1 p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds \\
 & = \int_0^1 p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt \\
 & = \int_{1/2}^1 p(s) ds - \int_{1/2}^1 tp(t) dt = \int_{1/2}^1 (1-t)p(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \int_0^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \left(\left[\int_0^t p(s) ds \right]_0^{1/2} - \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} p(s) ds + \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt = \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

If we change the variable $s = 1 - t$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt &= - \int_1^{1/2} (1-s)p(1-s) ds = \int_{1/2}^1 (1-s)p(1-s) ds \\
&= \int_{1/2}^1 (1-s)p(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + t \right) p(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - t + \frac{1}{2} \right) p(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 (1-t)p(t) dt = \int_0^{1/2} tp(t) dt \text{ (by 3.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

and by the second inequality in (3.8) we get the second part of (3.6). \square

Remark 2. If we take $p(t) = \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right|$, $t \in [0, 1]$ in (3.6), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{8} - \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{48} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. It is well known that, if f is a C^1 -function defined on an open interval, then the operator function $f(X)$ is Fréchet differentiable and the derivative $Df(A)(B)$ equals the Gâteaux derivative $\nabla f_A(B)$. So for operator convex functions f that are of class C^1 on I and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric weight on $[0, 1]$ we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad 0 &\leq \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)]$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$.

4. SOME EXAMPLES

We note that the function $f(x) = -\ln x$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$. The \ln function is operator Gâteaux differentiable with the following explicit formula for the derivative (cf. Pedersen [12, p. 155]):

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla \ln_T(S) = \int_0^\infty (s1_H + T)^{-1} S (s1_H + T)^{-1} ds$$

for $T, S > 0$

If we write the inequalities (3.1) and (3.6) for $-\ln$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric we get

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \ln \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) - \int_0^1 p(t) \ln((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right]$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 p(t) \ln((1-t)A + tB) dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{\ln A + \ln B}{2} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right]$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

The function $f(x) = x^{-1}$ is also operator convex on $(0, \infty)$, operator Gâteaux differentiable and

$$\nabla f_T(S) = -T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for $T, S > 0$.

If we write the inequalities (3.1) and (3.6) for this function, then we get

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 p(t) ((1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}]$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) ((1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}]$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and S. S. Dragomir, A survey of Jensen type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 12, 3785–3812.
- [2] V. Bacak, T. Vildan and R. Türkmen, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 2013:262, 10 pp.
- [3] V. Darvish, S. S. Dragomir, H. M. Nazari and A. Taghavi, Some inequalities associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for operator h -convex functions. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math.* **21** (2017), no. 2, 287–297.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. xii+121 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1520-6.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2011), no. 3, 766–772.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions and positive maps. *Spec. Matrices* **7** (2019), 38–51. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **19** (2016), Art. 80. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v19/v19a80.pdf>].
- [7] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [8] A. G. Ghazanfari, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are operator convex. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **10** (2016), no. 8, 1695–1703.
- [9] A. G. Ghazanfari, The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator s -convex functions. *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.* **6** (2014), no. 3, 52–61.
- [10] J. Han and J. Shi, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator convex function. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 11, 6035–6041.
- [11] B. Li, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **8** (2013), no. 9-12, 463–467.
- [12] G. K. Pedersen, Operator differentiable functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36** (1) (2000), 139–157.
- [13] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. M. Nazari and S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator geometrically convex functions. *Monatsh. Math.* **181** (2016), no. 1, 187–203.
- [14] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, Refinements for Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator h -convex function. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 5, 1299–1307.
- [15] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, On some new generalized Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for product of two operator h -convex functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 4, 983–992.
- [16] S.-H. Wang, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions on the coordinates. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 3, 1116–1125.
- [17] S.-H. Wang, New integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for operator m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **22** (2017), no. 4, 744–753.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA