

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR WEIGHTED AND INTEGRAL MEANS OF OPERATOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, the convex set of selfadjoint operators with spectra in I . If $A \neq B$ and f , as an operator function, is Gâteaux differentiable on

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\},$$

while $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable satisfying the condition

$$0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, 1]$$

and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

Some particular examples of interest are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (*operator concave*) on I if

$$(1.1) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I . Notice that a function f is operator concave if $-f$ is operator convex.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator monotone* if it is monotone with respect to the operator order, i.e., $A \leq B$ with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$ imply $f(A) \leq f(B)$.

For some fundamental results on operator convex (operator concave) and operator monotone functions, see [8] and the references therein.

As examples of such functions, we note that $f(t) = t^r$ is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The function $f(t) = t^r$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$ if either $1 \leq r \leq 2$ or $-1 \leq r \leq 0$ and is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The logarithmic function $f(t) = \ln t$ is operator monotone and operator concave

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Operator convex functions, Integral inequalities, Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Féjer's inequalities.

on $(0, \infty)$. The entropy function $f(t) = -t \ln t$ is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$. The exponential function $f(t) = e^t$ is neither operator convex nor operator monotone.

In [5] we obtained among others the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f((1-s)A + sB) ds \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2},$$

where A, B are selfadjoint operators with spectra included in I .

For two distinct operators $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we consider the segment of selfadjoint operators

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We observe that $A, B \in [A, B]$ and $[A, B] \subset \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$.

A continuous function $g : \mathcal{SA}_I(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* in $A \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ along the direction $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ if the following limit exists in the strong topology of $\mathcal{B}(H)$

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla g_A(B) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(A + sB) - g(A)}{s} \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

If the limit (1.3) exists for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then we say that f is *Gâteaux differentiable* in A and we can write $g \in \mathcal{G}(A)$. If this is true for any A in a subset \mathcal{S} from $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we write that $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S})$.

In the recent paper [7], we obtained the following operator *Féjer's type inequalities*:

Theorem 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt - \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].$$

In particular, for $p \equiv 1$ we get

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].$$

We also have:

Theorem 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely $p(1-t) = p(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \leq \left(\int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].$$

In particular, for $p \equiv 1$ we get

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

For recent inequalities for operator convex functions see [1]-[6] and [9]-[18].

Motivated by the above results, we establish in this paper some upper and lower bounds in the operator order for the difference

$$\int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau$$

in the case when the operator convex function f is Gâteaux differentiable as a function of selfadjoint operators. Two particular examples of interest for $f(x) = -\ln x$ and $f(x) = x^{-1}$ are also given.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

Let f be an operator convex function on I . For $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators with spectra in I , we consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) := f((1-t)A + tB).$$

For $x \in H$ we can also consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B);x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) := \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \right\rangle = \langle f((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle.$$

We have the following basic fact:

Lemma 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I . For any $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is well defined and convex in the operator order. For any $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $x \in H$ the function $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ is convex in the usual sense on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. If $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the convex combination $(1-t)A + tB$ is a selfadjoint operator with the spectrum in I showing that $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ is convex in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on H . By the continuous functional calculus of selfadjoint operator we also conclude that $f((1-t)A + tB)$ is a selfadjoint operator with spectrum in I .

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$. If $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &:= f((1-\alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f((\alpha + \beta - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f(\alpha[(1-t_1)A + t_1B] + \beta[(1-t_2)A + t_2B]) \\ &\leq \alpha f((1-t_1)A + t_1B) + \beta f((1-t_2)A + t_2B) \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ in the operator order.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $x \in H$. If $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B);x}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &= \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) x, x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left[\alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2) \right] x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) x, x \right\rangle + \beta \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2) x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity of $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ on $[0, 1]$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and*

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) = \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A).$$

Also we have for the lateral derivative that

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_A(B-A)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

Proof. Let $t \in (0, 1)$ and $h \neq 0$ small enough such that $t+h \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t-h)A + (t+h)B) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, hence by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$ in (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \nabla g_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7).

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f((1-h)A + hB) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(A + h(B-A)) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \nabla f_A(B-A) \end{aligned}$$

since f is assumed to be Gâteaux differentiable in A . This proves (2.4).

The equality (2.5) follows in a similar way. \square

Lemma 3. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) \leq \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A)$$

in the operator order.

We also have

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

Proof. Let $x \in H$. The auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B),x}$ is convex in the usual sense on $[0, 1]$ and differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and for $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B),x}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B),x}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla g_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A) x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$ we have by the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions that

$$\varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_1) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_2),$$

then we get

$$(2.10) \quad \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A) x, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$, which is equivalent to the inequality (2.7) in the operator order.

Let $0 < t_1 < 1$. By the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions we also have

$$\varphi'_{(A,B),x}(0+) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B),x}(t_1),$$

which, as above, implies that

$$\langle \nabla f_A(B-A) x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla g_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) x, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$, that is equivalent to the operator inequality (2.8).

The inequality (2.9) follows in a similar way. \square

Corollary 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then for all $t \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

We start to the following identity that is of interest in itself as well:

Lemma 4. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function, then we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 g(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating by parts in the Bochner's integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt + \int_\tau^1 (t - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \tau \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_0^\tau \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt - (\tau - 1) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_\tau^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

that holds for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply this identity by $g(\tau)$ and integrate over τ in $[0, 1]$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 g(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau + \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left(\int_\tau^1 (t - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \\
 &= \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \Big|_0^1 \\
 &- \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_0^1 g(s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^1 t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 g(s) ds - \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad &\int_0^1 g(\tau) \left(\int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \\
&= \left(\int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \Big|_0^1 \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the identity in (3.1). \square

Theorem 3. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function such that*

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, 1],$$

then we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad &\int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \nabla f_A(B-A) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \nabla f_B(B-A) \\
&\leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \nabla f_B(B-A) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \nabla f_A(B-A)
\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau [p(1-s) \nabla f_B(B-A) - p(s) \nabla f_A(B-A)] ds \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
& \leq \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau [p(1-s) \nabla f_A(B-A) - p(s) \nabla f_B(B-A)] ds \right) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have for $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lebesgue integrable function that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
& = \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) (\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
& \quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By the properties of $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ from the above section, we have in the operator order that

$$(3.9) \quad \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \geq \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \geq \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+)$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \geq (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \geq (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+)$$

for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$.

From

$$\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^\tau p(s) ds + \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds,$$

we get that $\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \geq 0$ for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$.

From (3.9) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) & \geq \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \\
& \geq \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+)
\end{aligned}$$

and from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) & \leq -\left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \\
& \leq -\left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-)
\end{aligned}$$

all $\tau \in (0, 1)$.

If we integrate these inequalities over $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and add the obtained results, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)+}(0) \\ & \geq \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ & \geq \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-). \end{aligned}$$

By using the equality (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad & \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\ & - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\ & \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\ & - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+), \end{aligned}$$

and since $\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B-A)$ and $\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_B(B-A)$ hence we obtain (3.6).

If we change the variable $y = 1 - \tau$, then we have

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{1-y}^1 p(s) ds \right) (1-y) dy.$$

Also by the change of variable $u = 1 - s$, we get

$$\int_{1-y}^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^y p(1-u) du,$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\ & - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\ & = \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau [p(1-s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - p(s) \varphi'_{(A,B)+}(0+)] ds \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left(\int_0^\tau \left[p(1-s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - p(s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \right] ds \right) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.11) we get (3.7). \square

We say that the function $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric on $[0, 1]$ if

$$p(1-t) = p(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Corollary 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.5) holds, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
& \quad \times [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
& \quad \times [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since p is symmetric, then $p(1-s) = p(s)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and by (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \left[\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \right] \\
& \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
& \leq \left[\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \right] \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the second and third inequalities (3.12).

Since $0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau$, hence

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau$$

and the last part of (3.12) is proved. \square

Remark 1. *If the function p is nonnegative and symmetric then the inequality (3.12) holds true.*

Remark 2. *It is well known that, if f is a C^1 -function defined on an open interval, then the operator function $f(X)$ is Fréchet differentiable and the derivative $Df(A)(B)$ equals the Gâteaux derivative $\nabla f_A(B)$. So for operator convex functions f that are of class C^1 on I and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric weight on $[0, 1]$ such that the condition (3.5) holds, we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \times [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \times [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$

If we consider the weight $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $p(s) = |s - \frac{1}{2}|$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \quad + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\tau \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \quad + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\tau \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{\tau^2}{2} \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \quad + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\tau \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{\tau^2}{2} \right) (1-\tau) d\tau &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\tau) \tau (1-\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\tau)^2 \tau d\tau = \frac{11}{384} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\tau - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{7}{384}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{64}.$$

Since $\int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| d\tau = \frac{1}{4}$, hence

$$\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{16}.$$

Utilising (3.12) for symmetric weight $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $p(s) = \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad & -\frac{3}{16} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{16} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)], \end{aligned}$$

where f is an operator convex function on I , $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$.

Consider now the symmetric function $p(s) = (1-s)s$, $x \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds = \int_a^{\tau} (1-s)s ds = -\frac{1}{6}\tau^2(2\tau-3), \quad \tau \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau = -\frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 \tau^2(2\tau-3)(1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{40}.$$

Also

$$\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 (1-\tau)\tau d\tau = \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{20}$$

and by (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad & -\frac{3}{20} [\nabla f_B (B - A) - \nabla f_A (B - A)] \\
 & \leq 6 \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \tau f((1 - \tau) A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau) A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{3}{20} [\nabla f_B (B - A) - \nabla f_A (B - A)],
 \end{aligned}$$

where f is an operator convex function on I , $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$.

4. SOME EXAMPLES

The function $f(x) = x^{-1}$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$, operator Gâteaux differentiable and

$$\nabla f_T(S) = -T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for $T, S > 0$.

If $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.5) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
 & \times [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
 & \times [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & -\frac{3}{16} [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}] \\
 & \leq 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{3}{16} [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}],
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad & -\frac{3}{20} [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}] \\
 & \leq 6 \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \tau ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1 - \tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{3}{20} [A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B - A)B^{-1}]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

We note that the function $f(x) = -\ln x$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$. The \ln function is operator Gâteaux differentiable with the following explicit formula for the derivative (cf. Pedersen [13, p. 155]):

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla \ln_T(S) = \int_0^\infty (s1_H + T)^{-1} S (s1_H + T)^{-1} ds$$

for $T, S > 0$.

If we write the inequality (3.5) for $-\ln$ and $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.5) holds, then we get

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ & \times \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ & \times \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

If we take in (4.5) $p(\tau) = |\tau - \frac{1}{2}|$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$, then we get

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{3}{16} \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & - 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{16} \left[\int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and S. S. Dragomir, A survey of Jensen type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 12, 3785–3812.
- [2] V. Bacak, T. Vildan and R. Türkmen, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 2013:262, 10 pp.
- [3] V. Darvish, S. S. Dragomir, H. M. Nazari and A. Taghavi, Some inequalities associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for operator h -convex functions. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math.* **21** (2017), no. 2, 287–297.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. xii+121 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1520-6.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2011), no. 3, 766–772.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions and positive maps. *Spec. Matrices* **7** (2019), 38–51. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **19** (2016), Art. 80. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v19/v19a80.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of operator Fejér's inequalities, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **22** (2019), Art. 91, 14 pp. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v22/v22a91.pdf>].
- [8] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [9] A. G. Ghazanfari, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are operator convex. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **10** (2016), no. 8, 1695–1703.
- [10] A. G. Ghazanfari, The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator s -convex functions. *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.* **6** (2014), no. 3, 52–61.
- [11] J. Han and J. Shi, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator convex function. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 11, 6035–6041.
- [12] B. Li, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **8** (2013), no. 9-12, 463–467.
- [13] G. K. Pedersen, Operator differentiable functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36** (1) (2000), 139–157.
- [14] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. M. Nazari and S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator geometrically convex functions. *Monatsh. Math.* **181** (2016), no. 1, 187–203.
- [15] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, Refinements for Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator h -convex function. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 5, 1299–1307.
- [16] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, On some new generalized Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for product of two operator h -convex functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 4, 983–992.
- [17] S.-H. Wang, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions on the coordinates. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 3, 1116–1125
- [18] S.-H. Wang, New integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for operator m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **22** (2017), no. 4, 744–753.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA