

APPROXIMATING AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS FOR JENSEN'S GAP

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ we consider the following *integral transform*

$$\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where the integral is assumed to exist for T a positive operator on a complex Hilbert space H . We show among others that, if $A, B > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left. \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) \right. \\ & \times \left. \left. (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \quad (\geq 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ as an operator function. We also obtain the following representation for the operator Jensen's gap of the logarithm

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln A_k \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left. \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left. \left. \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dt \quad (\geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. A real valued

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Operator monotone functions, Operator convex functions, Operator inequalities, Löwner-Heinz inequality. Logarithmic operator inequalities.

continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [7], see for instance [1, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = a + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

If f is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ in (1.1).

For some example of operator monotone functions see [3]-[5], [8], [9] and the references therein.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (*operator concave*) on I if

$$(OC) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I . Notice that a function f is operator concave if $-f$ is operator convex.

We have the following representation of operator convex functions [1, p. 147]:

Theorem 2. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.3) \quad f(t) = a + bt + ct^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{t^2\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that (1.2) holds. If f is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ and $b = f'_+(0)$, the right derivative, in (1.1).

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [1, p. 145]

$$(1.4) \quad t^r = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} t \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{u+t}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.5) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Motivated by these representations, we introduce, for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, the following *integral transform*

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda + t} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0,$$

where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (1.6) exists for all $t > 0$.

For μ the Lebesgue usual measure, we put

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda + t} d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

If we take μ to be the usual Lebesgue measure and the kernel $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then

$$(1.8) \quad t^r = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} t \mathcal{D}(w_r)(t), \quad t > 0.$$

For the same measure, if we take the kernel $w_{\ln}(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)^{-1}$, $t > 0$, we have the representation

$$(1.9) \quad \ln t = (t - 1) \mathcal{D}(w_{\ln})(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Assume that $T > 0$, then by the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we can define the positive operator

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where w and μ are as above. Also, when μ is the usual Lebesgue measure, then

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

for $T > 0$.

In this paper, we show among others that, if $A, B > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ as an operator function. We also obtain the following representation for the operator Jensen's gap of the

logarithm

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln A_k \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dt \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We consider the inverse function $\ell^{-1}(t) = t^{-1}$, $t > 0$ and the corresponding operator inverse function $\ell^{-1}(A) = A^{-1}$ defined for operators $A > 0$. Denote by $S(H)$ the class of all selfadjoint operators on H .

Lemma 1. *The operator inverse function ℓ^{-1} is Fréchet differentiable in $A > 0$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad D(\ell^{-1})(A)(V) = -A^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

for all $V \in S(H)$.

Proof. Let $A > 0$ and $V \in S(H)$. Then there exists a small open interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ such that for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $A + tV$ is invertible. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell^{-1}(A + tV) - \ell^{-1}(A) &= (A + tV)^{-1} - A^{-1} = (A + tV)^{-1}(A - (A + tV))A^{-1} \\
&= -t(A + tV)^{-1}VA^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\ell^{-1}(A + tV) - \ell^{-1}(A)}{t} = -(A + tV)^{-1}VA^{-1}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

By taking the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\ell^{-1})(A)(V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell^{-1}(A + tV) - \ell^{-1}(A)}{t} = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (A + tV)^{-1}VA^{-1} \\
&= -A^{-1}VA^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and the statement is proved. \square

Lemma 2. *The operator inverse function ℓ^{-1} is twice Fréchet differentiable in $A > 0$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad D^2(\ell^{-1})(A)(V, V) = 2A^{-1}(VA^{-1}V)A^{-1} \geq 0$$

for all $V \in S(H)$.

Proof. Let $A > 0$ and $V \in S(H)$. Then there exists a small open interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ such that for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $A + tV$ is invertible and put

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &:= D(\ell^{-1})(A + tV)(V) - D(\ell^{-1})(A)(V) \\ &= A^{-1}VA^{-1} - (A + tV)^{-1}V(A + tV)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides of U_t with $A + tV$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (A + tV)U_t(A + tV) &= (A + tV)A^{-1}VA^{-1}(A + tV) - V \\ &= (1 + tVA^{-1})V(1 + tA^{-1}V) - V \\ &= (V + tVA^{-1}V)(1 + tA^{-1}V) - V \\ &= V + tVA^{-1}V + tVA^{-1}V + t^2VA^{-1}VA^{-1}V - V \\ &= 2tVA^{-1}V + t^2(VA^{-1})^2V \\ &= t \left[2VA^{-1}V + t(VA^{-1})^2V \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this equality both sides with $(A + tV)^{-1}$ we get

$$U_t = t(A + tV)^{-1} \left[2VA^{-1}V + t(VA^{-1})^2V \right] (A + tV)^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(\ell^{-1})(A)(V, V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\ell^{-1})(A + tV)(V) - D(\ell^{-1})(A)(V)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t(A + tV)^{-1} \left[2VA^{-1}V + t(VA^{-1})^2V \right] (A + tV)^{-1}}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (A + tV)^{-1} \left[2VA^{-1}V + t(VA^{-1})^2V \right] (A + tV)^{-1} \\ &= 2A^{-1}(VA^{-1}V)A^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^{-1} > 0$, then by multiplying both sides by V we get $VA^{-1}V \geq 0$ and by multiplying both sides by A^{-1} we get $A^{-1}(VA^{-1}V)A^{-1} \geq 0$, and the proof of (2.2) is thus proved. \square

Lemma 3. For all $C, E > 0$ we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad E^{-1} - C^{-1} &= -C^{-1}(E - C)C^{-1} \\ &+ 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left((1-t)C + tE \right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left((E - C) \left((1-t)C + tE \right)^{-1} (E - C) \right) \left((1-t)C + tE \right)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the Taylor's type formula with integral remainder, see for instance [2, p. 112],

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad f(E) &= f(C) + D(f)(C)(E - C) \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(f) \left((1-t)C + tE \right) (E - C, E - C) dt \end{aligned}$$

that holds for functions f which are of class C^2 on an open and convex subset \mathcal{O} in the Banach algebra $S(H)$ and $C, E \in \mathcal{O}$.

Therefore, if we write this formula for ℓ^{-1} and the class of strictly positive operators, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-1} &= C^{-1} - C^{-1}(E - C)C^{-1} \\ &+ 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) ((1-t)C + tE)^{-1} \\ &\times \left((E - C) ((1-t)C + tE)^{-1} (E - C) \right) ((1-t)C + tE)^{-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

and the representation (2.3) is proved. \square

Lemma 4. For all $A > 0$,

$$(2.5) \quad D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V) = - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $V \in S(H)$.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ we have for t in a small open interval around 0 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} (\lambda + A - \lambda - A - tV) (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= -t \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A)}{t} \\ &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.5) is obtained. \square

We have the following approximation result of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A)$$

with an explicit error term expressed as a double integral:

Theorem 3. For all $A, B > 0$, we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad &\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ &\times \left. \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \quad (\geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the identity (2.3) we get for $E = \lambda + B$ and $C = \lambda + A$ that

$$(2.7) \quad (\lambda + B)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} = -(\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} \\ + 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \times \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) \\ \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we multiply this equality by $w(\lambda) \geq 0$ and integrate, then we get

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + B)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ = - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} \\ + 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ \times \left. \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) \right. \\ \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda)$$

and since, by (2.5),

$$- \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} = D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A),$$

hence by (2.8) we derive the equality in (2.8).

We observe that for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, $(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} > 0$. If we multiply this inequality both sides by $(B - A)$ we derive

$$(B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \geq 0.$$

Further, if we multiply this inequality both sides by $(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}$ we get

$$(1-t) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \times \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. If we multiply this inequality with $w(\lambda) \geq 0$ and integrate, then we obtain the inequality in (2.6). \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & (f(B) - f(0))B^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} \\
& + (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - D(f)(A)(B - A)A^{-1} \\
& = 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $f(0) = 0$, then we have the simpler equality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & f(B)B^{-1} - f(A)A^{-1} + f(A)A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} \\
& - D(f)(A)(B - A)A^{-1} \\
& = 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} - b, \quad t > 0,$$

where $b \geq 0$ and μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$.

We have for $A > 0$, $V \in S(H)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(A) \\
& = (f(A + tV) - f(0))(A + tV)^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} \\
& = (f(A + tV) - f(0))(A + tV)^{-1} - (f(A + tV) - f(0))A^{-1} \\
& \quad + (f(A + tV) - f(0))A^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} \\
& = (f(A + tV) - f(0)) \left[(A + tV)^{-1} - A^{-1} \right] \\
& \quad + [(f(A + tV) - f(0)) - (f(A) - f(0))] A^{-1} \\
& = (f(A + tV) - f(0)) \left[(A + tV)^{-1} - A^{-1} \right] \\
& \quad + [f(A + tV) - f(A)] A^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for t in a small interval around 0.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(A)}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[(f(A + tV) - f(0)) \frac{(A + tV)^{-1} - A^{-1}}{t} \right] \\
&+ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f(A + tV) - f(A)}{t} \right] A^{-1} \\
&= (f(A) - f(0))(-A^{-1}VA^{-1}) + D(f)(A)(V)A^{-1} \\
&= D(f)(A)(V)A^{-1} - f(A)A^{-1}VA^{-1} + f(0)A^{-1}VA^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\
&= (f(B) - f(0))B^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} - D(f)(A)(B - A)A^{-1} \\
&+ f(A)A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - f(0)A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} \\
&= (f(B) - f(0))B^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} \\
&+ (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1} - D(f)(A)(B - A)A^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.6) we get (2.9). \square

Remark 1. We consider the representation obtained from (1.8) for the operator $A > 0$ and the power $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$T^{r-1} = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{w}_r)(T)$$

with the kernel $\tilde{w}_r(\lambda) := \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$. Then by (2.6) we get for $A, B > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(\tilde{w}_r)(B) - \mathcal{D}(\tilde{w}_r)(A) - D(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{w}_r))(A)(B - A) \\
&= 2 \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\
&\times \left. \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) \right. \\
&\times \left. \left. (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
& B^{r-1} - A^{r-1} - D(\ell^{r-1})(A)(B - A) \\
&= 2 \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\
&\times \left. \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) \right. \\
&\times \left. \left. (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

We also observe that

$$(2.11) \quad D(\ell^{r-1})(A)(V) = -\frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

We have the following representation of operator Jensen's gap for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,

$$J(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}, \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right).$$

Theorem 4. *We have the representation*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}, \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This also shows that $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. From the identity (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &- D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply this inequality with $p_k \geq 0$, take into account that $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &= D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &= D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) (0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain the desired result (2.12). \square

The case of operator monotonic functions is as follows:

Corollary 2. *Assume that function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1), then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f(A_k) A_k^{-1} - f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^{-1} \\ & - f(0) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{-1} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left. \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \times \left. \left. \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \right. \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

The case of operator convex functions is as follows:

Corollary 3. *Assume that function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.3), then for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} =$*

(A_1, \dots, A_n) and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f(A_k) A_k^{-2} - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^{-2} \\
& - f(0) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{-2} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^{-2} \right] \\
& - f'_+(0) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{-1} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j\right)^{-1} \right] \\
& = 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \quad (\geq 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the representation (1.3) we derive

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(0) - f'_+(0)t}{t^2} - ct, \quad t > 0.$$

By utilising Theorem 4 we deduce (2.14). \square

Remark 2. We have the operator power inequality for $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{r-1} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^{r-1} \\
& = 2 \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda \\
& \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

3. THE CASE OF LOGARITHM

The case of logarithm is important and can not be obtained from the consideration above.

Theorem 5. *For all $A, B > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \ln B - \ln A - D(\ln)(A)(B - A) \\
 &= -2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_0^\infty (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left((B-A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dt \\
 & \leq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad D(\ln)(A)(B - A) = \int_0^\infty (\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Proof. We have from (1.5) for $A, B > 0$ that

$$(3.3) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \left[(B-1)(\lambda + B)^{-1} - (A-1)(\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\lambda.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (B-1)(\lambda + B)^{-1} - (A-1)(\lambda + A)^{-1} \\
 &= B(\lambda + B)^{-1} - A(\lambda + A)^{-1} - \left((\lambda + B)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B(\lambda + B)^{-1} - A(\lambda + A)^{-1} \\
 &= (B + \lambda - \lambda)(\lambda + B)^{-1} - (A + \lambda - \lambda)(\lambda + A)^{-1} \\
 &= 1 - \lambda(\lambda + B)^{-1} - 1 + \lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1} - \lambda(\lambda + B)^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (B-1)(\lambda + B)^{-1} - (A-1)(\lambda + A)^{-1} \\
 &= \lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1} - \lambda(\lambda + B)^{-1} - \left((\lambda + B)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right) \\
 &= (\lambda + 1) \left[(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + B)^{-1} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.3) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \left[(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + B)^{-1} \right] d\lambda.$$

Therefore, for $A > 0$, $V \in S(H)$ and t in a small interval around 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
& D(\ln)(A)(V) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(A + tV) - \ln A}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}}{t} \right] d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}}{t} \right] d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda = \int_0^\infty (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$D(\ln)(A)(B - A) = \int_0^\infty (\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

For $A > 0$, $V \in S(H)$ and t in a small interval around 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & D(\ln)(A + tV)(V) - D(\ln)(A)(V) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$W_t := (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda + A + tV) W_t (\lambda + A + tV) \\
&= V - (\lambda + A + tV) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} (\lambda + A + tV) \\
&= V - \left(1 + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right) V \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) \\
&= V - \left(V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) \\
&= V - V - tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V - tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V - t^2 V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \\
&= -2tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V - t^2 \left(V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right)^2 V \\
&= -t \left(2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + t \left(V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right)^2 V \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{t} W_t &= -(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} \left(2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + t \left(V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right)^2 V \right) \\
&\quad \times (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \neq 0$.

From this, by taking the limit, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{t} W_t \right) = -2(\lambda + A)^{-1} \left(V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) (\lambda + A)^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(\ln)(A)(V, V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\ln)(A + tV)(V) - D(\ln)(A)(V)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} W_t \right) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{t} W_t \right) d\lambda \\ &= -2 \int_0^\infty (\lambda + A)^{-1} \left(V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we write the Taylor's expansion formula (2.4) for the logarithm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln B &= \ln A + D(\ln)(A)(B - A) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(\ln)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A) dt \\ &= \ln A + D(\ln)(A)(B - A) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_0^\infty (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left((B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the equality (3.1).

The inequality follows in a similar way as in Theorem 3. \square

Corollary 4. For the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ we have the logarithmic identity for the Jensen's gap,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln A_k \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dt \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [2] R. Coleman, *Calculus on Normed Vector Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301–306.
- [4] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008) 972–980.

- [5] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [6] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [7] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.
- [8] M. S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, An extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437** (2012), 2359–2365.
- [9] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.