

**ERROR BOUNDS RELATED TO MIDPOINT AND TRAPEZOID
RULES FOR AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM OF POSITIVE
OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ we consider the following *integral transform*

$$\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where the integral is assumed to exist for T a positive operator on a complex Hilbert space H . We show among others that, if $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)$ is the second derivative of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ as a real function.

We also have the integral inequalities for power $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{r-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \frac{A^{r-1} + B^{r-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3}. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. A real valued

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Operator monotone functions, Operator convex functions, Operator inequalities, Midpoint inequality, Trapezoid inequality.

continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [7], see for instance [1, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = a + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

If f is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ in (1.1).

For some example of operator monotone functions see [3]-[5], [8], [9] and the references therein.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [1, p. 145]

$$(1.3) \quad t^r = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} t \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{u+t}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.4) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Motivated by these representations, we introduce, for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, the following *integral transform*

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+t} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0,$$

where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (1.5) exists for all $t > 0$.

For μ the Lebesgue usual measure, we put

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+t} d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

If we take μ to be the usual Lebesgue measure and the kernel $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then

$$(1.7) \quad t^r = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} t \mathcal{D}(w_r)(t), \quad t > 0.$$

For the same measure, if we take the kernel $w_{\ln}(\lambda) = (\lambda + 1)^{-1}$, $t > 0$, we have the representation

$$(1.8) \quad \ln t = (t - 1) \mathcal{D}(w_{\ln})(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Assume that $T > 0$, then by the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we can define the positive operator

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where w and μ are as above. Also, when μ is the usual Lebesgue measure, then

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

for $T > 0$.

In this paper, we show among others that, if $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We also have the integral inequalities for power $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{r-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \frac{A^{r-1} + B^{r-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3}. \end{aligned}$$

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We have the following representation of the Fréchet derivative:

Lemma 1. *For all $A > 0$,*

$$(2.1) \quad D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V) = - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $V \in S(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators on H .

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ we have for t in a small open interval around 0 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} - (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} (\lambda + A - \lambda - A - tV) (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= -t \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A)}{t} \\ &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.1) is obtained. \square

The second Fréchet derivative can be represented as follows:

Lemma 2. For all $A > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & D^2(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V, V) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for all $V \in S(H)$.

Proof. We have by the definition of the Fréchet second derivative that

$$\begin{aligned} & D^2(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V, V) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V)}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe, by (2.1), that we have for t in a small open interval around 0

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \\ &\times \left[(\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Define for $\lambda \geq 0$ and t as above,

$$U_{t,\lambda} := (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} V (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides of $U_{t,\lambda}$ with $\lambda + A + tV$, the we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad & (\lambda + A + tV) U_{t,\lambda} (\lambda + A + tV) \\ &= (\lambda + A + tV) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} (\lambda + A + tV) - V \\ &= \left(1 + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1}\right) V \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V\right) - V \\ &= \left(V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V\right) \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V\right) - V \\ &= V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \\ &\quad + t^2 V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V - V \\ &= 2tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + t^2 V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \\ &= t \left[2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V\right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the equality by $(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}$ both sides, we get for $t \neq 0$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{U_{t,\lambda}}{t} = (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} \left[2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V\right] \times (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}.$$

If we take the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$ in, then we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{U_{t,\lambda}}{t}\right) = 2(\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by the properties of limit under the sign of integral, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(V)}{t} \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{U_{t,\lambda}}{t}\right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the representation (2.2) is obtained. \square

We have the following representation for the transform $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$:

Theorem 2. For all $A, B > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) \\ &= \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + A)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the Taylor's type formula with integral remainder, see for instance [2, p. 112],

$$(2.6) \quad f(E) = f(C) + D(f)(C)(E - C) + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(f)((1-t)C + tE)(E - C, E - C) dt$$

that holds for functions f which are of class C^2 on an open and convex subset \mathcal{O} in the Banach algebra $B(H)$ and $C, E \in \mathcal{O}$.

If we write (2.6) for $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ and $A, B > 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B) &= \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A) dt \end{aligned}$$

and by the representations (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain the desired result (2.5). \square

For a continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ and $A, B > 0$ we consider the auxiliary function $f_{A,B} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_{A,B}(t) := f((1-t)A + tB), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We have the following representations of the derivatives:

Lemma 3. *Assume that the operator function generated by f is twice Fréchet differentiable in each $A > 0$, then for $B > 0$ we have that $f_{A,B}$ is twice differentiable on $[0, 1]$,*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} = D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d^2 f_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} = D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, where in 0 and 1 the derivatives are the right and left derivatives.

Proof. We prove only for the interior points $t \in (0, 1)$. Let h be in a small interval around 0 such that $t + h \in (0, 1)$. Then for $h \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{f_{A,B}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-(t+h))A + (t+h)B) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{A,B}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \right] \\ &= D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{df_{A,B}(t+h)}{dt} - \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \right] \\ &= \frac{D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A) - D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A)}{h} \\ &= \frac{D(f)((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A))(B-A) - D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 f_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{df_{A,B}(t+h)}{dt} - \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \right] \right\} \\ &= D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A, B-A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.8). \square

For the transform $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t)$ defined in the introduction, we consider the auxiliary function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) &:= \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Corollary 1. *For all $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} &= D(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))((1-t)A + tB)(B-A) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \\ &\quad \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} &= D^2(\mathcal{D}(w, \mu))((1-t)A + tB)(B-A, B-A) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \\ &\quad \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that if $f(t) = \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t)$, $t > 0$, in Lemma 3, then by the representations from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain the desired equalities (2.9) and (2.10).

3. MAIN RESULTS

We have the following identity for the midpoint rule:

Theorem 3. For all $A, B > 0$ we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
& = 2 \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 (1-s) \right. \\
& \times \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
& \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \\
& \left. \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] ds \right\} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.5) we have for $B = E > 0$ and $A = C > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(E) \\
& = \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(C) - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + C)^{-1} (E - C) (\lambda + C)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
& + 2 \int_0^1 (1-s) \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-s)C + sE)^{-1} (E - C) \right. \\
& \left. \times (\lambda + (1-s)C + sE)^{-1} (E - C) (\lambda + (1-s)C + sE)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for $E = (1-t)A + tB$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $C = \frac{A+B}{2}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) \\
& = \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
& - \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + \frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} (B-A) \left(\lambda + \frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
& + 2 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \int_0^1 (1-s) \\
& \times \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
& \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \\
& \left. \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

If we integrate (3.2) over $t \in [0, 1]$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) ((1-t)A + tB) dt \\
 &= \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
 & - \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) dt \\
 & \times \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + \frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} (B-A) \left(\lambda + \frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & + 2 \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 (1-s) \right. \\
 & \times \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
 & \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \\
 & \left. \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] ds \right\} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

and since $\int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) dt = 0$, hence the identity (3.1) is proved. \square

Corollary 2. Assume that $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B-A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad 0 & \leq \frac{1}{24} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) ((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$, hence

$$\lambda + \alpha \leq \lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \leq \lambda + \beta,$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

This implies that

$$(3.4) \quad (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} \leq \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply this both sides with $B-A$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} (B-A)^2 \\
 & \leq (B-A) \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \\
 & \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} (B-A)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Since $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$, hence $(\lambda + \beta)^{-1} (B - A)^2 \geq \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1}$ and $(\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} (B - A)^2 \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \Delta$, then by (3.5)

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} \\ & \leq (B - A) \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B - A) \\ & \leq \Delta (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply both sides with $(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB))^{-1}$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-2} \\ & \leq \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B - A) \\ & \times \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B - A) \\ & \times \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} \\ & \leq \Delta (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

By utilising (3.4) we further obtain the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} \\ & \leq \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B - A) \\ & \times \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B - A) \\ & \times \left(\lambda + (1 - s) \frac{A + B}{2} + s((1 - t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} \\ & \leq \Delta (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply by $2w(\lambda)(t - \frac{1}{2})^2(1-s) \geq 0$ and integrate, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & 2\delta \int_0^\infty w(\lambda)(\lambda + \beta)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 dt \int_0^1 (1-s) ds \\
 & \leq 2 \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left\{ \int_0^1 (1-s) \right. \\
 & \times \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
 & \times \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} (B-A) \\
 & \times \left. \left. \left(\lambda + (1-s) \frac{A+B}{2} + s((1-t)A + tB) \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] ds \right\} dt \\
 & \leq 2\Delta \int_0^\infty w(\lambda)(\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 dt \int_0^1 (1-s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

and by the identity (3.1) and the fact that

$$\int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 dt = \frac{1}{12} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 (1-s) ds = \frac{1}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \frac{1}{12} \delta \int_0^\infty w(\lambda)(\lambda + \beta)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta \int_0^\infty w(\lambda)(\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take the derivative in (1.5) over t , then we get

$$\mathcal{D}'(w, \mu)(t) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + t)^2} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + t)^3} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \alpha)^3} d\mu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \\
 \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \beta)^3} d\mu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.2) we obtain (3.3). □

We have the following identity for the trapezoid rule:

Theorem 4. For all $A, B > 0$ we have the identity

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 t(1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using integration by parts for the Bochner integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t(1-t) \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[t(1-t) \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 (1-2t) \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} dt \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} dt \\ &= \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(0) \right] - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

that gives the identity

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t(1-t) \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10) we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t(1-t) \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 t(1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of (3.10) and (3.11). \square

We have:

Corollary 3. Assume that $\beta \geq A, B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B-A)^2 \leq \Delta$, then

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(A) + \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} \\
 & \leq (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \\
 & \quad \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\
 & \leq \Delta (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply by $t(1-t)w(\lambda) \geq 0$ and integrate, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad & \delta \left(\int_0^1 t(1-t) dt \right) \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 t(1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 & \leq \Delta \left(\int_0^1 t(1-t) dt \right) \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 t(1-t) dt = \frac{1}{6},$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \alpha)^3} d\mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\alpha)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \beta)^3} d\mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}''(w, \mu)(\beta),$$

then by (3.14) we derive (3.12). \square

We have an alternative identity for the midpoint rule:

Theorem 5. For all $A, B > 0$ we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad & \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
 & = \int_0^{1/2} t^2 \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 & + \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)^2 \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using integration by parts for Bochner's integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} t^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[t^2 \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \Big|_0^{1/2} - 2 \int_0^{1/2} t \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} dt \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2)}{dt} - \int_0^{1/2} t \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2)}{dt} \\
&\quad - \left[t \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) \Big|_0^{1/2} - \int_0^{1/2} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2)}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2) + \int_0^{1/2} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[(t-1)^2 \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \Big|_{1/2}^1 - 2 \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1) \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt} dt \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2)}{dt} \\
&\quad - \left[(t-1) \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) \Big|_{1/2}^1 - \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \frac{d\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2)}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2) + \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

If we add these two equalities, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} t^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\
&= -\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2) + \int_0^{1/2} \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt + \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t) dt - \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(1/2).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} t^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\
&= \int_0^{1/2} t^2 \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.18) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)^2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} dt \\
 & = \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)^2 \left[\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

By employing (3.16)-(3.18) we derive the desired result (3.15). \square

Remark 1. By making use of the identity (3.15) one can obtain the same upper and lower bounds for the midpoint rule as those in Corollary 2.

4. EXAMPLES FOR OPERATOR MONOTONE FUNCTIONS

We have the following result:

Proposition 1. Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$. If $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B-A)^2 \leq \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{24} \delta \left(\frac{f''(\beta) \beta^2 - 2\beta f'(\beta) + 2f(\beta)}{\beta^3} \right) \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{-1} f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\
 & \quad - \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{-1} f\left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta \left(\frac{f''(\alpha) \alpha^2 - 2\alpha f'(\alpha) + 2f(\alpha)}{\alpha^3} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{12} \delta \left(\frac{f''(\beta) \beta^2 - 2\beta f'(\beta) + 2f(\beta)}{\beta^3} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{A^{-1}f(A) + B^{-1}f(B)}{2} \\
 & \quad - \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{-1} f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta \left(\frac{f''(\alpha) \alpha^2 - 2\alpha f'(\alpha) + 2f(\alpha)}{\alpha^3} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(t) = \frac{f(t)}{t} - b, \quad t > 0,$$

where $b \geq 0$ and μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$.

The derivative of this function is

$$\mathcal{D}'(\ell, \mu)(t) = \frac{f'(t)t - f(t)}{t^2}, \quad t > 0$$

and the second derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}''(\ell, \mu)(t) &= \frac{(f'(t)t - f(t))' t^2 - 2t(f'(t)t - f(t))}{t^4} \\ &= \frac{f''(t)t^3 - 2t^2 f'(t) + 2tf(t)}{t^4} = \frac{f''(t)t^2 - 2tf'(t) + 2f(t)}{t^3}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.3) we obtain (4.1) and by (3.12) we obtain (4.2). \square

Consider $f(t) = t^r$, $r \in (0, 1]$. Then for $t > 0$,

$$\frac{f''(t)t^2 - 2tf'(t) + 2f(t)}{t^3} = \frac{r(r-1)t^r - 2rt^r + 2t^r}{t^3} = (1-r)(2-3)t^{r-3}$$

If $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq (B-A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants α , β , δ , Δ , then by Proposition 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{24} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt - \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^{r-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \delta (1-r)(2-r) \beta^{r-3} \leq \frac{A^{r-1} + B^{r-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} \Delta (1-r)(2-r) \alpha^{r-3}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [2] R. Coleman, *Calculus on Normed Vector Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301–306.
- [4] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008) 972–980.
- [5] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [6] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [7] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.
- [8] M. S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, An extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437** (2012), 2359–2365.
- [9] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.