

**LOWER AND UPPER BOUNDS IN TERMS OF SECOND
DERIVATIVE FOR THE CONVEX INTEGRAL TRANSFORM
WITH APPLICATIONS TO JENSEN'S GAP**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ we consider the following *convex integral transform*

$$\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) T^2 (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where the integral is assumed to exist for T a positive operator on a complex Hilbert space H . We show among others that, if $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$, and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ as an operator functions and $\mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)$ is the second derivative of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ as a real function.

If $\beta \geq A_k \geq \alpha > 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $0 < \delta \leq \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j\right)^2 \leq \Delta$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, and $p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$, then we have the power inequalities

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta p (p - 1) \beta^{p-2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^p - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta p (p - 1) \alpha^{p-2}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

$$0 \leq \frac{\delta}{2\beta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln A_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha}$$

and

$$0 < \frac{\delta}{2\beta^2} \leq \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln(A_k) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha^2}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Operator monotone functions, Operator convex functions, Operator inequalities, Löwner-Heinz inequality. Logarithmic operator inequalities.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [1, p. 145]

$$(1.1) \quad t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln\left(\frac{u+t}{u+1}\right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.2) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Motivated by these representations, we introduce, for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, the following *integral transform*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+t} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0,$$

where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (1.3) exists for all $t > 0$.

For μ the Lebesgue usual measure, we put

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+t} d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

If we take μ to be the usual Lebesgue measure and the kernel $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then

$$(1.5) \quad t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \mathcal{D}(w_r)(t), \quad t > 0.$$

For the same measure, if we take the kernel $w_{\ln}(\lambda) = (\lambda+1)^{-1}$, $t > 0$, we have the representation

$$(1.6) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \mathcal{D}(w_{\ln})(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Assume that $T > 0$, then by the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we can define the positive operator

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where w and μ are as above. Also, when μ is the usual Lebesgue measure, then

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

for $T > 0$.

A real valued continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [7], see for instance [1, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.9) \quad f(t) = a + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t + \lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

If f is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ in (1.9).

For some example of operator monotone functions see [3]-[5], [8], [9] and the references therein.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (operator concave) on I if

$$(OC) \quad f((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1 - \lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I . Notice that a function f is operator concave if $-f$ is operator convex.

We have the following representation of operator convex functions [1, p. 147]:

Theorem 2. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.11) \quad f(t) = a + bt + ct^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{t^2\lambda}{t + \lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that (1.2) holds. If f is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ and $b = f'_+(0)$, the right derivative, in (1.11).

For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$, we can define the following mapping, which we call the *convex integral transform*,

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(t) := t^2 \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(t), \quad t > 0.$$

For $t > 0$ we have

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(t) &:= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) t^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (t + \lambda - \lambda)^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(t + \lambda)^2 - 2\lambda(t + \lambda) + \lambda^2 \right] (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(t + \lambda) - 2\lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[t - \lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If $\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$ and $\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \lambda d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$, then we conclude that

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(t) = - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \lambda d\mu(\lambda) + t \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}(\ell^2 w, \mu)(t),$$

where $\ell(t) = t$, $t > 0$.

Consider, for instance, the kernel $e_{-a}(\lambda) := \exp(-a\lambda)$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $a > 0$. After some calculations, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}(e_{-a})(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-a\lambda)}{t + \lambda} d\lambda = E_1(at) \exp(at), \quad t \geq 0$$

where the *exponential integral* is given by

$$E_1(t) := \int_t^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du.$$

We also have

$$\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \exp(-a\lambda) \lambda d\lambda = \frac{1}{a^2}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty w(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \exp(-a\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{a}.$$

Therefore

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{C}(e_{-a})(t) := t^2 \mathcal{D}(e_{-a}, \mu)(t) = t^2 E_1(at) \exp(at), \quad t > 0.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell^2 e_{-a}, \mu)(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 \exp(-a\lambda)}{t + \lambda} d\lambda$$

then by (1.14) we get

$$t^2 E_1(at) \exp(at) = -\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{t}{a} + \mathcal{D}(\ell^2 w, \mu)(t),$$

which gives

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell^2 w, \mu)(t) = t^2 E_1(at) \exp(at) - \frac{t}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2}, \quad t > 0, a > 0.$$

If we take $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then $\int_0^\infty w_r(\lambda) d\lambda = \infty$ and the equality (1.14) does not hold in this case.

Using the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces we can introduce the following *convex integral transform* of the positive operator T

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) T^2 (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

provided the integral exist. By (1.13) we also have

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(T) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[T - \lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

In this paper, we show among others that, if $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$ is the Fréchet derivative of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ as an operator functions and $\mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)$ is the second derivative of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ as a real function.

If $\beta \geq A_k \geq \alpha > 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $0 < \delta \leq \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j\right)^2 \leq \Delta$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some constants α , β , δ , Δ and $p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$, then we have the power inequalities

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta p (p-1) \beta^{p-2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^p - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right)^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta p (p-1) \alpha^{p-2}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

$$0 \leq \frac{\delta}{2\beta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln A_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha}$$

and

$$0 < \frac{\delta}{2\beta^2} \leq \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln (A_k) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha^2}.$$

2. REPRESENTATION RESULTS

We have the following representation of the Fréchet derivative $D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$:

Lemma 1. *For all $A > 0$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V) \\ = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (A + \lambda)^{-1} [AVA + \lambda(VA + AV)] (A + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for all $V \in S(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators on H .

Proof. Let $A > 0$ and $V \in S(H)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ and by (1.13) and we have for t in a small open interval around 0 that

$$\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A + tV) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[A + tV - \lambda + \lambda^2 (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[A + tV - \lambda + \lambda^2 (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[A - \lambda + \lambda^2 (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ tV + \lambda^2 \left[(A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} - (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ tV + \lambda^2 \left[(A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} (-tV) (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= t \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 \left[(A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A + tV) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A)}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 \left[(A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (A + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

for $A > 0$ and $V \in S(H)$.

Define for $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$U_\lambda := V - \lambda^2 (A + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply U_λ both sides by $A + \lambda$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(A + \lambda) U_\lambda (A + \lambda) &= (A + \lambda) V (A + \lambda) - \lambda^2 V \\
&= (AV + \lambda V) (A + \lambda) - \lambda^2 V \\
&= AVA + \lambda VA + \lambda AV + \lambda^2 V - \lambda^2 V \\
&= AVA + \lambda (VA + AV).
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $(A + \lambda)^{-1}$ we get

$$U_\lambda = (A + \lambda)^{-1} [AVA + \lambda(VA + AV)] (A + \lambda)^{-1},$$

which, by (2.2), implies the representation (2.1). \square

For the case of second Fréchet derivative $D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$, we have the representation:

Lemma 2. For all $A > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V, V) &= 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $V \in S(H)$.

Proof. Let $A > 0$ and $V \in S(H)$. We have by the definition of the Fréchet second derivative that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V, V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V)}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe, by (2.2), that we have for t in a small open interval around 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(V) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (A + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \\
 &\quad \times \left[(A + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} - (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$W_{t,\lambda} := (A + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + \lambda)^{-1} - (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (A + tV + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides of $W_{t,\lambda}$ with $\lambda + A + tV$, the we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda + A + tV) W_{t,\lambda} (\lambda + A + tV) \\
 &= (\lambda + A + tV) (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} (\lambda + A + tV) - V \\
 &= \left(1 + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right) V \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) - V \\
 &= \left(V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) \left(1 + t (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right) - V \\
 &= V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \\
 &\quad + t^2 V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V - V \\
 &= 2tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + t^2 V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \\
 &= t \left[2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the equality by $(\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}$ both sides, we get for $t \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & \frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} = (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1} \left[2V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V \right] \\
 & \quad \times (\lambda + A + tV)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$ in (2.6), then we get

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} \right) = 2(\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1} V (\lambda + A)^{-1}.$$

Then by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) we derive (2.3). \square

We have the following representation for the transform $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$:

Theorem 3. For all $A, B > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\
 &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the Taylor's type formula with integral remainder, see for instance [2, p. 112],

$$(2.9) \quad f(E) = f(C) + D(f)(C)(E - C) \\ + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(f)((1-t)C + tE)(E - C, E - C) dt$$

that holds for functions f which are of class C^2 on an open and convex subset \mathcal{O} in the Banach algebra $B(H)$ and $C, E \in \mathcal{O}$.

If we write (2.9) for $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ and $A, B > 0$, we get

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) = \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) + D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A) dt.$$

By making use of (2.3) we obtain

$$D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A) \\ = \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt,$$

which, by (2.10), produces the equality in (2.8).

Now, observe that

$$(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

By multiplying this inequality both sides by $(B - A)$, we derive

$$(B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, if we multiply this inequality both sides by $(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, by multiplying with $(1-t)\lambda^2 w(\lambda) \geq 0$ and integrating we deduce the inequality part in (2.8). \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.9). Then for all $A, B > 0$ we have*

$$(2.11) \quad Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) - b(B - A)^2 \\ = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^3 (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\ \geq 0.$$

Proof. From (1.9) we derive

$$tf(t) = at + bt^2 + t^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{t + \lambda} d\mu(\lambda) = at + bt^2 + \mathcal{C}(\ell, \mu)(t),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$, $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$ and μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$.

This gives that

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, \mu)(t) = tf(t) - at - bt^2.$$

Observe that for $A, B > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= D(\ell f - a\ell - b\ell^2)(A)(B - A) \\ &= D(\ell f)(A)(B - A) - aD(\ell)(A)(B - A) - bD(\ell^2)(A)(B - A). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} D(\ell f)(A)(B - A) &= \ell(A)D(f)(A)(B - A) + f(A)D(\ell)(A)(B - A) \\ &= AD(f)(A)(B - A) + f(A)(B - A), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D(\ell^2)(A)(B - A) = A(B - A) + (B - A)A = AB + BA - 2A^2,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(\ell f)(A)(B - A) &= AD(f)(A)(B - A) + f(A)(B - A) \\ &\quad - a(B - A) - b(AB + BA - 2A^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= Bf(B) - aB - bB^2 - Af(A) + aA + bA^2 \\ &\quad - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) + a(B - A) \\ &\quad + b(AB + BA - 2A^2) \\ &= Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) \\ &\quad + b(AB + BA - A^2 - B^2) \\ &= Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) - b(B - A)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.8) we derive (2.11). \square

Corollary 2. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.11). Then for all $A, B > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & f(B) - f(A) - D(f)(A)(B - A) - c(B - A)^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1 - t) \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^3 (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.11) we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, \mu)(t) = f(t) - a - bt - ct^2,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ &= f(B) - bB - cB^2 - f(A) + bA + cA^2 \\ & \quad - D(f)(A)(B - A) + bD(\ell)(A)(B - A) + cD(\ell^2)(A)(B - A) \\ &= f(B) - bB - cB^2 - f(A) + bA + cA^2 \\ & \quad - D(f)(A)(B - A) + b(B - A) + c(AB + BA - 2A^2) \\ &= f(B) - f(A) - D(f)(A)(B - A) - c(B - A)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.8) we derive the desired result (2.12). \square

We have the following error bounds for *operator Jensen's gap* related to the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,

$$J(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}, \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right).$$

We have the following representation result for the Jensen's gap:

Theorem 4. *For the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & J(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}, \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this shows that $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. From (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 & \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (2.14) by $p_k \geq 0$ and sum over k from 1 to n , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k \right) \mathcal{C}(w, \mu) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 & \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^n p_k D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
&= D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
&= D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) (0) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

hence by (2.15) we obtain (2.13). \square

Corollary 3. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.9). For the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k f(A_k) - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \\
& - b \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^2 \right] \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^3 \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
& \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
& \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by (2.13) observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left(A_k^2 - A_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) A_k + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 &\quad - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.11). For the n -tuple of positive operators $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and probability density n -tuple $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f(A_k) - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) - b \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^2 \right] \\
 &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left[\int_0^\infty \lambda^3 \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left(\lambda + (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j + t A_k \right)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] dt \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS

We have the following operator bounds:

Theorem 5. Assume that $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \\
 & \leq \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\lambda + \alpha \leq \lambda + (1-t)A + tB \leq \lambda + \beta,$$

which implies that

$$(3.2) \quad (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply this both sides with $B - A$, then we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} (B - A)^2 \leq (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \\ \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} (B - A)^2.$$

Since $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$, hence $(\lambda + \beta)^{-1} (B - A)^2 \geq \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1}$ and $(\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} (B - A)^2 \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \Delta$, then by (3.3) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} \leq (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \Delta,$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we multiply this inequality both sides with $(\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1}$, we derive

$$(3.5) \quad \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-1} (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-2} \\ \leq (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} \\ \times (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} \\ \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-1} \Delta (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-2}$$

and by (3.2) we further obtain the bounds

$$(3.6) \quad \delta (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} \\ \leq (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} \\ \times (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} \\ \leq (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} \Delta.$$

If we multiply with $2\lambda^2 w(\lambda) (1 - t)$ and integrate, then we get

$$2\delta \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - t) dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^1 (1 - t) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} \right. \\ \times \left. \left((B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right) \right. \\ \times \left. (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq 2\Delta \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - t) dt \right) d\mu(\lambda),$$

which, by the equality (2.12), is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \delta \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + \beta)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(A)(B - A) \\ \leq \Delta \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + \alpha)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda).$$

If we take the derivative in (1.6) over t then we get

$$\mathcal{C}'(w, \mu)(t) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-2} \right] d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + t)^3} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \alpha)^3} d\mu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \\ \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 w(\lambda)}{(\lambda + \beta)^3} d\mu(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.7) we get (3.1). \square

The case of operator monotone functions is as follows:

Corollary 5. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.9). If $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [\beta f''(\beta) + 2f'(\beta)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [\beta f''(\beta) + 2f'(\beta)] + b [(B - A)^2 - \delta] \\ &\leq Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\alpha f''(\alpha) + 2f'(\alpha)] + b [(B - A)^2 - \Delta] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\alpha f''(\alpha) + 2f'(\alpha)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.9) we derive

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, \mu)(t) = tf(t) - at - bt^2,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geq 0$, $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$ and μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$.

This gives that

$$\mathcal{C}'(\ell, \mu)(t) = f(t) + tf'(t) - a - 2bt$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}''(\ell, \mu)(t) = tf''(t) + 2f'(t) - 2b \geq 0.$$

By (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [\beta f''(\beta) + 2f'(\beta) - 2b] \\ &\leq Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) - b(B - A)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\alpha f''(\alpha) + 2f'(\alpha) - 2b], \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [\beta f''(\beta) + 2f'(\beta)] + b [(B - A)^2 - \delta] \\ &\leq Bf(B) - Af(A) - AD(f)(A)(B - A) - f(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\alpha f''(\alpha) + 2f'(\alpha)] + b [(B - A)^2 - \Delta]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b \left[(B - A)^2 - \delta \right] \geq 0$ and $b \left[(B - A)^2 - \Delta \right] \leq 0$, the last part of (3.8) is thus proved. \square

The case of operator convex functions is as follows:

Corollary 6. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.11). If $\beta \geq A$, $B \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq (B - A)^2 \leq \Delta$, then*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta f''(\beta) \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta f''(\beta) + c \left[(B - A)^2 - \delta \right] \\ &\leq f(B) - f(A) - D(f)(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta f''(\alpha) + c \left[(B - A)^2 - \Delta \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta f''(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.11) we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\ell, \mu)(t) = f(t) - a - bt - ct^2,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \geq 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}''(\ell, \mu)(t) = f''(t) - 2c \geq 0$ and by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [f''(\beta) - 2c] \\ &\leq f(B) - f(A) - D(f)(A)(B - A) - c(B - A)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [f''(\alpha) - 2c], \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \delta f''(\beta) + c \left[(B - A)^2 - \delta \right] &\leq f(B) - f(A) - D(f)(A)(B - A) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta f''(\alpha) + c \left[(B - A)^2 - \Delta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b \left[(B - A)^2 - \delta \right] \geq 0$ and $b \left[(B - A)^2 - \Delta \right] \leq 0$, the last part of (3.9) is thus proved. \square

Proposition 1. *Assume that $\beta \geq A_k \geq \alpha > 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $0 < \delta \leq \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right)^2 \leq \Delta$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \Delta$, then*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \leq J(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}, \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha).$$

Proof. From (3.1) we get

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\beta) \leq \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(A_k) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &\quad - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \left(A_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j A_j \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (3.11) by $p_k \geq 0$ and sum over k from 1 to n , we get the desired result. \square

The case of operator monotone functions is as follows:

Corollary 7. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and $A_k, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfy the assumption in Proposition 1, then*

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta [\beta f''(\beta) + 2f'(\beta)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k f(A_k) - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta [\alpha f''(\alpha) + 2f'(\alpha)].$$

Remark 1. *If we write the inequality (3.12) for the operator monotone function $f(t) = t^r, r \in (0, 1]$, then we have the power inequalities*

$$(3.13) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{2} \delta r(r+1) \beta^{r-1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{r+1} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^{r+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta r(r+1) \alpha^{r-1}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

$$(3.14) \quad 0 < \frac{\delta}{2\beta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln A_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha}.$$

The case of operator convex functions is as follows:

Corollary 8. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $(0, \infty)$ and $A_k, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfy the assumption in Proposition 1, then*

$$(3.15) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{2} \delta f''(\beta) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k f(A_k) - f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta f''(\alpha).$$

Remark 2. *If we write the inequality (3.16) for the operator convex function $f(t) = t^p, p \in [-1, 0] \cup [1, 2]$, then we have the power inequalities*

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta p(p-1) \beta^{p-2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^p - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta p(p-1) \alpha^{p-2}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \leq \delta \beta^{-3} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k^{-1} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right)^{-1} \leq \Delta \alpha^{-3}.$$

If we apply the inequality (3.15) for the operator convex function $f(t) = -\ln t, t > 0$, then we also obtain the logarithmic inequalities

$$(3.18) \quad 0 < \frac{\delta}{2\beta^2} \leq \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \ln(A_k) \leq \frac{\Delta}{2\alpha^2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [2] R. Coleman, *Calculus on Normed Vector Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301–306.
- [4] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008) 972–980.
- [5] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [6] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [7] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.
- [8] M. S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, An extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437** (2012), 2359–2365.
- [9] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.