

**LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE
PERSPECTIVES OF OPERATOR MONOTONE FUNCTIONS IN
HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$. We can define the *perspective* $\mathcal{P}_f(B, A)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{P}_f(B, A) := A^{1/2} f\left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2}\right) A^{1/2},$$

where $A, B > 0$.

Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$. We show in this paper among others that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1}\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ f'\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$.

If f is nonnegative on $(0, \infty)$, then for all $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1}\right] & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \left[f\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) - \frac{q}{n} f'\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)\right] & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Some applications for *weighted operator geometric mean* and *relative operator entropy* are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H . The absolute value of an operator A is the positive operator $|A|$ defined as $|A| := (A^* A)^{1/2}$.

It is known that [3] in the infinite-dimensional case the map $f(A) := |A|$ is not *Lipschitz continuous* on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ with the usual operator norm, i.e. there is no constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\||A| - |B|\| \leq L \|A - B\|$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

¹1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47A63, 47A30, 15A60, 26D15, 26D10.

²*Key words and phrases*. Operator monotone functions, Noncommutative perspectives, Weighted operator geometric mean, Relative operator entropy.

However, as shown by Farforovskaya in [10], [11] and Kato in [18], the following inequality holds

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \|A\| - \|B\| \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|A - B\| \left(2 + \log \left(\frac{\|A\| + \|B\|}{\|A - B\|} \right) \right)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $A \neq B$.

If the operator norm is replaced with *Hilbert-Schmidt norm* $\|C\|_{HS} := (\text{tr } C^*C)^{1/2}$ of an operator C , then the following inequality is true [1]

$$\left| \|A\| - \|B\| \right|_{HS} \leq \sqrt{2} \|A - B\|_{HS}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

The coefficient $\sqrt{2}$ is best possible for a general A and B . If A and B are restricted to be selfadjoint, then the best coefficient is 1.

It has been shown in [3] that, if A is an invertible operator, then for all operators B in a neighborhood of A we have

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \|A\| - \|B\| \right| \leq a_1 \|A - B\| + a_2 \|A - B\|^2 + O\left(\|A - B\|^3\right),$$

where

$$a_1 = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = \|A^{-1}\| + \|A^{-1}\|^3 \|A\|^2.$$

In [2] the author also obtained the following *Lipschitz type inequality*

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(A) - f(B)\| \leq f'(a) \|A - B\|$$

where f is an *operator monotone function* on $(0, \infty)$ and $A, B \geq aI_H > 0$.

One of the central problems in perturbation theory is to find bounds for

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|$$

in terms of $\|A - B\|$ for different classes of measurable functions f for which the function of operator can be defined. For some results on this topic, see [5], [12] and the references therein.

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. A real valued continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [4, p. 145]

$$(1.4) \quad t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda + t} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda + t)(\lambda + 1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t - 1} + \frac{1}{1 - t} \ln \left(\frac{u + t}{u + 1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t - 1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda + t)(\lambda + 1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.5) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

In 1934, K. Löwner [19] had given a definitive characterization of operator monotone functions as follows, see for instance [4, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.6) \quad f(t) = a + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} dw(\lambda)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure w on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} dw(\lambda) < \infty.$$

We recall the important fact proved by Löwner and Heinz that states that the power function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = t^\alpha$ is an operator monotone function for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, [17]. The function \ln is also operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

For other examples of operator monotone functions, see [15] and [16].

Let f be a continuous function defined on the interval I of real numbers, B a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space H and A a positive invertible operator on H . Assume that the spectrum $\text{Sp}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}) \subset \dot{I}$. Then by using the continuous functional calculus, we can define the *perspective* $\mathcal{P}_f(B, A)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{P}_f(B, A) := A^{1/2}f\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\right)A^{1/2}.$$

If A and B are commutative, then

$$\mathcal{P}_f(B, A) = Af(BA^{-1})$$

provided $\text{Sp}(BA^{-1}) \subset \dot{I}$.

For any function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the transpose \tilde{f} of f is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = xf(x^{-1}), \quad x > 0.$$

It is well known that (see for instance [21]), if $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$, then for all $A, B > 0$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f}}(A, B) = \mathcal{P}_f(B, A).$$

If f is nonnegative and operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$, then \tilde{f} is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$, see [21].

The following inequality is of interest, see [21]:

Theorem 2. *Assume that f is nonnegative and operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$. If $A \geq C > 0$ and $B \geq D > 0$, then*

$$\mathcal{P}_f(A, B) \geq \mathcal{P}_f(C, D).$$

It is well known that (see [8] and [7] or [9]), if f is an *operator convex function* defined in the positive half-line, then the mapping

$$(B, A) \mapsto \mathcal{P}_f(B, A)$$

defined in pairs of positive definite operators, is operator convex.

If $f_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $f_r(t) = t^r$, $r \in [0, 1]$, then

$$P_{f_r}(B, A) := A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^r A^{1/2} =: A\sharp_r B,$$

is the *weighted operator geometric mean* of the positive invertible operators A and B with the weight r .

We define the *weighted operator arithmetic mean* by

$$A\nabla_r B := (1-r)A + rB, \quad r \in [0, 1].$$

It is well known that the following *Young's type inequality* holds:

$$A\sharp_r B \leq A\nabla_r B$$

for any $r \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the function $f = \ln$, then

$$P_{\ln}(B, A) := A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2} =: S(A|B),$$

is the *relative operator entropy*, for positive invertible operators A and B .

Kamei and Fujii [13], [14] defined the *relative operator entropy* $S(A|B)$, for positive invertible operators A and B , which is a relative version of the operator entropy considered by Nakamura-Umegaki [20].

Motivated by the above results, we show in this paper among others that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) \| \\ & \leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ f' \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$.

If f is nonnegative on $(0, \infty)$, then for all $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) \| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1} \right] & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \left[f \left(\frac{q}{n} \right) - \frac{q}{n} f' \left(\frac{q}{n} \right) \right] & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Some applications for *weighted operator geometric mean* and *relative operator entropy* are also given.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

We start to the following identity of interest [6]:

Lemma 1. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $U, V > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & f(V) - f(U) = b(V - U) \\ & + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 ((1-t)U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right. \\ & \left. \times (V - U) ((1-t)U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} dt \right] dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6), then for $U, V > 0$ we have the representation

$$(2.2) \quad f(V) - f(U) = b(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left[V(V + \lambda)^{-1} - U(U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] dw(\lambda).$$

Observe that for $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & V(V + \lambda)^{-1} - U(U + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (V + \lambda - \lambda)(V + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + \lambda - \lambda)(U + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (V + \lambda)(V + \lambda)^{-1} - \lambda(V + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + \lambda)(U + \lambda)^{-1} + \lambda(U + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= \lambda \left[(U + \lambda)^{-1} - (V + \lambda)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.2) becomes, see also [16]

$$(2.3) \quad f(V) - f(U) = b(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[(U + \lambda)^{-1} - (V + \lambda)^{-1} \right] dw(\lambda).$$

Let $T, S > 0$. The function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ is operator monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, operator Gâteaux differentiable and the Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla f_T(S) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f(T + tS) - f(T)}{t} \right] = T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for $T, S > 0$.

Consider the continuous function f defined on an interval I for which the corresponding operator function is Gâteaux differentiable and for C, D selfadjoint operators with spectra in I we consider the auxiliary function defined on $[0, 1]$ by

$$f_{C,D}(t) = f((1-t)C + tD), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

If $f_{C,D}$ is Gâteaux differentiable on the segment $[C, D] := \{(1-t)C + tD, t \in [0, 1]\}$, then we have, by the properties of the Bochner integral, that

$$(2.5) \quad f(D) - f(C) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (f_{C,D}(t)) dt = \int_0^1 \nabla f_{(1-t)C+tD}(D - C) dt.$$

If we write this equality for the function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ and $C, D > 0$, then we get the representation

$$(2.6) \quad C^{-1} - D^{-1} = \int_0^1 ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} (D - C) ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} dt.$$

Now, if we replace in (2.6) $C = U + \lambda$ and $D = V + \lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (U + \lambda)^{-1} - (V + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 ((1-t)U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} (V - U) ((1-t)U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the representation (2.3), we derive (2.1). \square

We have the following identity for the difference of perspectives in the first variable [6]:

Theorem 3. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $A, B, P > 0$ we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) \\ &= b(B - A) + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 P((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} P dt \right] dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take $V = P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2}$ and $U = P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2}$ in (2.1), then we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2}\right) - f\left(P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2}\right) \\ &= b\left(P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} - P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2}\right) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 \left((1-t)P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} + tP^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} + \lambda \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} - P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left((1-t)P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} + tP^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} + \lambda \right)^{-1} dt \right] dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} - P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} = P^{-1/2}(B - A)P^{-1/2},$$

and

$$(1-t)P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} + tP^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} + \lambda = P^{-1/2}((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)P^{-1/2},$$

which gives

$$\left((1-t)P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2} + tP^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2} + \lambda \right)^{-1} = P^{1/2}((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1}P^{1/2}$$

and by (2.9),

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(P^{-1/2}BP^{-1/2}\right) - f\left(P^{-1/2}AP^{-1/2}\right) \\ &= bP^{-1/2}(B - A)P^{-1/2} \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 P^{1/2}((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} P^{1/2}P^{-1/2}(B - A)P^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times P^{1/2}((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} P^{1/2} dt \right] dw(\lambda) \\ &= bP^{-1/2}(B - A)P^{-1/2} \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 P^{1/2}((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} P^{1/2} dt \right] dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides of (2.10) by $P^{1/2}$ we obtain the desired identity (2.8). \square

Lemma 2. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $U, V > 0$ we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}(V) - \tilde{f}(U) \\ &= a(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (V - U)(1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.6) we have

$$f(t) = a + bt + t \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{t + \lambda} dw(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

If we put $\frac{1}{t}$ instead of t we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) &= a + b\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\frac{1}{t} + \lambda} dw(\lambda) \\ &= a + b\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{1 + t\lambda} dw(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and by multiplication with $t > 0$, we get

$$\tilde{f}(t) = b + ta + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{1 + t\lambda} dw(\lambda) = b + ta + \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + t\lambda}\right) dw(\lambda).$$

Therefore

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{f}(V) - \tilde{f}(U) = a(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \left[(1 + U\lambda)^{-1} - (1 + V\lambda)^{-1} \right] dw(\lambda).$$

From (2.6) we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 + U\lambda)^{-1} - (1 + V\lambda)^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 ((1-t)(1 + U\lambda) + t(1 + V\lambda))^{-1} ((1 + V\lambda) - (1 + U\lambda)) \\ & \quad \times ((1-t)(1 + U\lambda) + t(1 + V\lambda))^{-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \lambda(1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} (V - U)(1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.12) we get

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}(V) - \tilde{f}(U) \\ &= a(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \left[(1 + U\lambda)^{-1} - (1 + V\lambda)^{-1} \right] dw(\lambda) \\ &= a(V - U) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (V - U)(1 + \lambda[(1-t)U + tV])^{-1} dt \right) dw(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.11) is proved. \square

The dual identity is as follows [6]:

Theorem 4. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $C, D, Q > 0$ we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f}}(D, Q) - \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f}}(C, Q) \\ &= a(D - C) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 Q [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} (D - C) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} Q dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take $V = Q^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2}$ and $U = Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2}$ in (2.11), then we get

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}\left(Q^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2}\right) - \tilde{f}\left(Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2}\right) \\ &= a\left(Q^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2} - Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2}\right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 \left(1 + \lambda \left[(1-t)Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2} + tQ^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2} \right] \right)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(Q^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2} - Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2} \right) \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(1 + \lambda \left[(1-t)Q^{-1/2}CQ^{-1/2} + tQ^{-1/2}DQ^{-1/2} \right] \right)^{-1} dt \right) dw(\lambda) \\ &= aQ^{-1/2}(D - C)Q^{-1/2} \\ & \quad + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 \left[Q^{-1/2}(Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]) \right]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \times Q^{-1/2}(D - C)Q^{-1/2} \left[Q^{-1/2}(Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])Q^{-1/2} \right]^{-1} dt \right) dw(\lambda) \\ &= aQ^{-1/2}(D - C)Q^{-1/2} \\ & \quad + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 Q^{1/2} [(Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])]^{-1} (D - C) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [(Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])]^{-1} Q^{1/2} dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $Q^{1/2}$ we get the desired result (2.15). \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $C, D, Q > 0$ we have*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) \\ &= a(D - C) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 Q [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} (D - C) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} Q dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

Corollary 2. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $A, B, C, D > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & \mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) \\
&= b(A - C) + a(B - D) \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 B((1-t)C + tA + \lambda B)^{-1} (A - C) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times ((1-t)C + tA + \lambda B)^{-1} B dt \right] dw(\lambda) \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 C[C + \lambda[(1-t)D + tB]]^{-1} (B - D) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [C + \lambda[(1-t)D + tB]]^{-1} C dt \right) dw(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) = \mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) + \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D).$$

Since, by (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad & \mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) \\
&= b(A - C) + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 B((1-t)C + tA + \lambda B)^{-1} (A - C) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times ((1-t)C + tA + \lambda B)^{-1} B dt \right] dw(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.21) \quad & \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) \\
&= a(B - D) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 C[C + \lambda[(1-t)D + tB]]^{-1} (B - D) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [C + \lambda[(1-t)D + tB]]^{-1} C dt \right) dw(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

hence by (2.19)-(2.21) we obtain (2.18). \square

3. LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES

We have the following Lipschitz type inequality for the perspective in the first variable:

Theorem 5. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B - A)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} - b \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(f' \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) - b \right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $m_1 \neq m_2$. From (2.8), by taking the norm, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B - A)\| \\
& \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 \left\| P((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} P \right\| dt \right] d\omega(\lambda) \\
& \leq \|P\|^2 \|B - A\| \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left(\int_0^1 \left\| ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) d\omega(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

for $A, B, P > 0$.

We have

$$(1-t)A + tB + \lambda P \geq (1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p,$$

which implies that

$$((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-1}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

By taking the norm, we then get

$$\left\| ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} \right\| \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\left\| \left(((1-t)A + tB + \lambda P)^{-1} \right)^2 \right\| \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-2},$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

By (3.2) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B - A)\| \\
& \leq \|P\|^2 \|B - A\| \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-2} dt \right) d\omega(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

From the identity (2.8) for $B = m_2$, $A = m_1$ and $P = p$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p) \\
& = b(m_2 - m_1) + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left[\int_0^1 p((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-1} (m_2 - m_1) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-1} p dt \right] d\omega(\lambda) \\
& = b(m_2 - m_1) \\
& \quad + (m_2 - m_1)p^2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-2} dt \right) d\omega(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda p)^{-2} dt \right) d\omega(\lambda) \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{(m_2 - m_1)p^2} - \frac{b}{p^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and the inequality in the first branch of (3.1) is proved.

Let $m_1 = m_2 = m$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $B + \epsilon \geq m + \epsilon > 0$. From the first branch of (3.1) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B + \epsilon, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B + \epsilon - A)\| \\ & \leq \|P\|^2 \|B + \epsilon - A\| \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m + \epsilon, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m, p)}{\epsilon mp^2} - \frac{b}{p^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, using the continuity and differentiability of f ,

$$(3.5) \quad \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B - A)\| \leq \|P\|^2 \|B - A\| \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(m, p)}{\partial xp^2} - \frac{b}{p^2} \right).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{P}_f(x, y) := yf\left(\frac{x}{y}\right),$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(x, y)}{\partial x} := f'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

which give that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(m, p)}{\partial x} = f'\left(\frac{m}{p}\right)$$

and by (3.5) we deduce the second inequality in (3.1). \square

If the parameter $b \geq 0$ is not available, then we can state the following more practical bounds:

Corollary 3. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$. Then for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$ we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ f'\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality we get from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P)\| - b\|B - A\| \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P) - b(B - A)\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} - b \right] & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2 \\ \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^2} \left[f'\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) - b \right] & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(B, P) - \mathcal{P}_f(A, P)\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B-A\|}{p^2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} - b \right] + b \|B - A\| \\ \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B-A\|}{p^2} \left[f' \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) - b \right] + b \|B - A\| \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B-A\|}{p^2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_2, p) - \mathcal{P}_f(m_1, p)}{m_2 - m_1} \right] + b \|B - A\| \left(1 - \frac{\|P\|^2}{p^2} \right) \\ \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B-A\|}{p^2} f' \left(\frac{m}{p} \right) + b \|B - A\| \left(1 - \frac{\|P\|^2}{p^2} \right). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $1 - \frac{\|P\|^2}{p^2} \leq 0$ and since $b \geq 0$, we get by (3.7) the desired result (3.6). \square

Theorem 6. *Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$ we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - a(D - C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1} - a \right] & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \left[f \left(\frac{q}{n} \right) - \frac{q}{n} f' \left(\frac{q}{n} \right) - a \right] & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the representation (2.17) we get, by taking the norm, that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - a(D - C)\| \\ & \leq \|Q\|^2 \|D - C\| \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 \left\| [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$,

$$Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD] \geq q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2],$$

namely

$$(Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])^{-1} \leq (q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2])^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\left\| (Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])^{-1} \right\| \leq (q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2])^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| (Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD])^{-1} \right\|^2 \leq (q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2])^{-2}$$

and by integration,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 \left\| [Q + \lambda[(1-t)C + tD]]^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) dw(\lambda) \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2])^{-2} dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By utilising (3.9) and (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - a(D - C)\| \\ & \leq \|Q\|^2 \|D - C\| \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 (q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2])^{-2} dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If in the identity (2.17) we choose $D = n_2$, $C = n_1$ and $Q = q$ then we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1) \\ & = a(n_2 - n_1) + \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 q [q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2]]^{-1} (n_2 - n_1) \right. \\ & \quad \times [q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2]]^{-1} q dt \Big) dw(\lambda) \\ & = a(n_2 - n_1) \\ & \quad + q^2 (n_2 - n_1) \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 [q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2]]^{-2} dt \right) dw(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If $n_2 \neq n_1$, then by (3.12) we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \lambda \left(\int_0^1 [q + \lambda[(1-t)n_1 + tn_2]]^{-2} dt \right) dw(\lambda) \\ & = \frac{1}{q^2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1} - a \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of (3.11) and (3.13) we derive the first branch in (3.8).

Let $n_1 = n_2 = n$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $D + \epsilon \geq n + \epsilon > 0$. From the first branch of (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D + \epsilon) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - a(D + \epsilon - C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D + \epsilon - C\|}{q^2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n + \epsilon) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n)}{\epsilon} - a \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, using the continuity and differentiability of f ,

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - a(D - C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(q, n)}{\partial y} - a \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{P}_f(x, y) := yf\left(\frac{x}{y}\right),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(x, y)}{\partial y} & := f\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + yf'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)' = f\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - \frac{yx}{y^2}f'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \\ & = f\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - \frac{x}{y}f'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which give that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_f(q, n)}{\partial y} = f\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) - \frac{q}{n}f'\left(\frac{q}{n}\right),$$

and the second branch of (3.8) is also proved. \square

Remark 1. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$, then $a = f(0)$ and we have

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C) - f(0)(D - C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1} - f(0) \right] & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \left[f\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) - \frac{q}{n} f'\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) - f(0) \right] & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

provided $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$.

Corollary 4. Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone and nonnegative in $(0, \infty)$. Then for all $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(Q, D) - \mathcal{P}_f(Q, C)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^2} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(q, n_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(q, n_1)}{n_2 - n_1} \right] & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \left[f\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) - \frac{q}{n} f'\left(\frac{q}{n}\right) \right] & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to the one provided in the proof of Corollary 3.

Corollary 5. Assume that the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.6). Then for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$, $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) - b(A - C) - a(B - D)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|B\|^2}{m_2} \|A - C\| \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_1, m_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(n_1, m_2)}{m_1 - n_1} - b \right], & m_1 \neq n_1 \\ \left[f'\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right) - b \right], & m_1 = n_1 \end{cases} \\ & + \frac{\|C\|^2}{n_1} \|B - D\| \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(n_1, m_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(n_1, n_2)}{m_2 - n_2} - a \right], & m_2 \neq n_2 \\ \left[f\left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) - \frac{n_1}{n_2} f'\left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) - a \right], & m_2 = n_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorems 5 and 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) - b(A - C) - a(B - D)\| \\ & = \|\mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) + \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) - b(A - C) - a(B - D)\| \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{P}_f(A, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, B) - b(A - C)\| \\ & + \|\mathcal{P}_f(C, B) - \mathcal{P}_f(C, D) - a(B - D)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\|B\|^2}{m_2} \|A - C\| \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(m_1, m_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(n_1, m_2)}{m_1 - n_1} - b \right], & m_1 \neq n_1 \\ \left[f'\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right) - b \right], & m_1 = n_1 \end{cases} \\ & + \frac{\|C\|^2}{n_1} \|B - D\| \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}_f(n_1, m_2) - \mathcal{P}_f(n_1, n_2)}{m_2 - n_2} - a \right], & m_2 \neq n_2 \\ \left[f\left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) - \frac{n_1}{n_2} f'\left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) - a \right], & m_2 = n_2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.17). \square

4. SOME EXAMPLES

If $f_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $f_r(t) = t^r$, $r \in [0, 1]$, then

$$P_{f_r}(B, A) := A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^r A^{1/2} =: A \#_r B,$$

is the *weighted operator geometric mean* of the positive invertible operators A and B with the weight r .

Observe also that

$$P_{f_r}(x, y) = y^{1/2} \left(y^{-1/2} x y^{-1/2} \right)^r y^{1/2} = x^r y^{1-r}, \quad x, y > 0.$$

From (3.6) we get for the power function

$$(4.1) \quad \|P \#_r B - P \#_r A\| \leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p^{r+1}} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m_2^r - m_1^r}{m_2 - m_1} \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ r m^{r-1} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m \end{cases}$$

for all $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$.

From (3.16) we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \|D \#_r Q - C \#_r Q\| \leq \frac{\|Q\|^2 \|D - C\|}{q^{2-r}} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n_2^{1-r} - n_1^{1-r}}{n_2 - n_1} \right) & \text{if } n_2 \neq n_1, \\ \frac{(1-r)}{n} & \text{if } n_2 = n_1 = n \end{cases}$$

for all $C \geq n_1 > 0$, $D \geq n_2 > 0$, $Q > q > 0$.

If we take the function $f = \ln$, then

$$P_{\ln}(B, A) := A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2} =: S(A|B),$$

is the *relative operator entropy*, for positive invertible operators A and B .

Observe also that

$$P_{\ln}(x, y) := y^{1/2} \ln \left(y^{-1/2} x y^{-1/2} \right) y^{1/2} = y \ln \left(\frac{x}{y} \right), \quad x, y > 0.$$

If we use the inequality (3.6) for the logarithmic function, then we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \|S(P|B) - S(P|A)\| \leq \frac{\|P\|^2 \|B - A\|}{p} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

where $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq p > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Araki and S. Yamagami, An inequality for Hilbert-Schmidt norm, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981), 89-96.
- [2] R. Bhatia, First and second order perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **208/209** (1994), 367-376.
- [3] R. Bhatia, Perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226/228** (1995), 639-645.
- [4] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [5] R. Bhatia, D. Singh and K. B. Sinha, Differentiation of operator functions and perturbation bounds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998), no. 3, 603-611.

- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Noncommutative perspectives of operator monotone functions in Hilbert spaces, Preprint [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00241>], Preprint *RGMI Res. Rep. Coll.* **23** (2020), Art. 118.
- [7] A. Ebadian, I. Nikoufar and M. E. Gordji, Perspectives of matrix convex functions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108** (2011), no. 18, 7313–7314.
- [8] E. G. Effros, A matrix convexity approach to some celebrated quantum inequalities, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106** (2009), 1006–1008.
- [9] E. G. Effros and F. Hansen, Noncommutative perspectives, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **5** (2014), no. 2, 74–79.
- [10] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, Estimates of the closeness of spectral decompositions of self-adjoint operators in the Kantorovich-Rubinshtein metric (in Russian), *Vesln. Leningrad. Gos. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. Astronom.* **4** (1967), 155–156.
- [11] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, An estimate of the norm $\|f(B) - f(A)\|$ for self-adjoint operators A and B (in Russian) *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst.* **56** (1976), 143–162.
- [12] Yu. B. Farforovskaya and L. Nikolskaya, Modulus of continuity of operator functions. *Algebra i Analiz* **20** (2008), no. 3, 224–242; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **20** (2009), no. 3, 493–506.
- [13] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann’s interpolational method for operator means. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 4, 541–547.
- [14] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 3, 341–348.
- [15] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301–306.
- [16] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [17] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [18] T. Kato, Continuity of the map $S \rightarrow |S|$ for linear operators, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 143–162.
- [19] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.
- [20] M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961) 149–154.
- [21] I. Nikoufar and M. Shamohammadi, The converse of the Loewner–Heinz inequality via perspective, *Lin. & Multilin. Alg.*, **66** (2018), NO. 2, 243–249.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.