

**LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR \mathcal{D} -LOGARITHMIC
INTEGRAL TRANSFORM OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN
HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ we consider the following \mathcal{D} -logarithmic integral transform

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \ln\left(\frac{\lambda+T}{\lambda}\right) d\lambda,$$

where the integral is assumed to exist for T a positive operator on a complex Hilbert space H .

We show among others that, if $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A)\| \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(s) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda + s} d\mu(\lambda), \quad s > 0.$$

Some examples for integral transforms $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(\cdot, \cdot)$ related to power function, dilogarithmic function and exponential integral are also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H . The absolute value of an operator A is the positive operator $|A|$ defined as $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

It is known that [3] in the infinite-dimensional case the map $f(A) := |A|$ is not *Lipschitz continuous* on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ with the usual operator norm, i.e. there is no constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\||A| - |B|\| \leq L \|A - B\|$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

However, as shown by Farforovskaya in [7], [8] and Kato in [14], the following inequality holds

$$\||A| - |B|\| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|A - B\| \left(2 + \log \left(\frac{\|A\| + \|B\|}{\|A - B\|} \right) \right)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $A \neq B$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz type inequalities, Operator monotone functions, Operator inequalities, Logarithmic operator inequalities. Power inequalities.

If the operator norm is replaced with *Hilbert-Schmidt norm* $\|C\|_{HS} := (\text{tr } C^*C)^{1/2}$ of an operator C , then the following inequality is true [1]

$$\||A| - |B|\|_{HS} \leq \sqrt{2} \|A - B\|_{HS}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

The coefficient $\sqrt{2}$ is best possible for a general A and B . If A and B are restricted to be selfadjoint, then the best coefficient is 1.

It has been shown in [3] that, if A is an invertible operator, then for all operators B in a neighborhood of A we have

$$\||A| - |B|\| \leq a_1 \|A - B\| + a_2 \|A - B\|^2 + O(\|A - B\|^3)$$

where

$$a_1 = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = \|A^{-1}\| + \|A^{-1}\|^3 \|A\|^2.$$

An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. A real valued continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$. In [2] the author also obtained the following *Lipschitz type inequality*

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\| \leq f'(a) \|A - B\|$$

where f is an *operator monotone function* on $(0, \infty)$ and $A, B \geq a > 0$.

One of the problems in perturbation theory is to find bounds for $\|f(A) - f(B)\|$ in terms of $\|A - B\|$ for different classes of measurable functions f for which the function of operator can be defined. For some results on this topic, see [4], [9] and the references therein.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [15], see for instance [5, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = f(0) + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

For some recent results related to operator monotone functions we refer to [10], [11] [7] and the references therein.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [5, p. 145]

$$(1.2) \quad s^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+s} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $s > 0$, $s \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+s)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln s}{s-1} + \frac{1}{1-s} \ln \left(\frac{u+s}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\ln s}{s-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+s)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.4) \quad \ln s = (s-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+s)}$$

If we integrate (1.2) over s from 0 to $t > 0$, we get by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t^r}{r} &= \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+s} \right) ds \right) \lambda^{r-1} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \ln \left(\frac{t+\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

giving the identity of interest

$$t^r = \frac{r \sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \ln \left(\frac{t+\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda, \quad t > 0 \text{ and } r \in (0, 1].$$

Recall the *dilogarithmic function* $\text{dilog} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\text{dilog}(t) := \int_1^t \frac{\ln s}{1-s} ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Some particular values of interest are

$$\text{dilog}(1) = 0, \quad \text{dilog}(0) = \int_1^0 \frac{\ln s}{1-s} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{\ln s}{s-1} ds = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2,$$

and

$$\text{dilog}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{12}\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\ln 2)^2.$$

If we integrate the identity (1.3) over s from 0 to $t > 0$, we get by Fubini's theorem

$$\int_0^t \frac{\ln s}{s-1} ds = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda+s} ds \right) \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)} d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)} \ln \left(\frac{t+\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\ln s}{s-1} ds &= \int_0^1 \frac{\ln s}{s-1} ds + \int_1^t \frac{\ln s}{s-1} ds = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \int_1^t \frac{\ln s}{1-s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \text{dilog}(t) \end{aligned}$$

then we get the identity of interest

$$\frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \text{dilog}(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)} \ln \left(\frac{t+\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

Motivated by the above representations, we define the *\mathcal{D} -logarithmic transform* for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(t) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \ln \left(\frac{\lambda+t}{\lambda} \right) d\mu(\lambda),$$

where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (1.9) exists for all $t > 0$. Also, when μ is the usual Lebesgue measure, then

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w)(t) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \ln\left(\frac{\lambda+t}{\lambda}\right) d\lambda.$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(t) &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \ln\left(1 + \frac{t}{\lambda}\right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) [\ln(\lambda+t) - \ln(\lambda)] d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and one can use either of these representations when is needed.

If we use the \mathcal{D} -logarithmic transform for the kernel $w_{\ell^{r-1}}(\lambda) := \frac{r \sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{\ell^{r-1}})(t) = t^r, \quad t \geq 0$$

while for the kernel $w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda+1}$ we have

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}})(t) = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \text{dilog}(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the recent paper [6] we introduced the following *integral transform*

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(s) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+s} d\mu(\lambda), \quad s > 0,$$

for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (2.3) exists for all $s > 0$.

For μ the Lebesgue usual measure, we put

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{D}(w)(s) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+s} d\lambda, \quad s > 0.$$

Several examples of integral transforms $\mathcal{D}(w, \mu)$ have also been given in [6].

If we integrate the identity (1.3) over s from 0 to $t > 0$, we get by Fubini's theorem

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(s) ds &:= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda+s} ds \right) w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \ln\left(\frac{\lambda+t}{\lambda}\right) d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$, which provides the equality of interest

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(s) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

provided that the integral on the right side exists for all $t > 0$.

2. PRELIMINARY FACTS

Start to the following identity for the logarithmic function:

Lemma 1. *For all $A, B > 0$ we have the identity:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln B - \ln A &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A)(s + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have from (1.4) for $A, B > 0$ that

$$(2.2) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s+1} \left[(B-1)(s+B)^{-1} - (A-1)(s+A)^{-1} \right] ds.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-1)(s+B)^{-1} - (A-1)(s+A)^{-1} \\ &= B(s+B)^{-1} - A(s+A)^{-1} - \left((s+B)^{-1} - (s+A)^{-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & B(s+B)^{-1} - A(s+A)^{-1} \\ &= (B+s-s)(s+B)^{-1} - (A+s-s)(s+A)^{-1} \\ &= 1 - s(s+B)^{-1} - 1 + s(s+A)^{-1} = s(s+A)^{-1} - s(s+B)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-1)(s+B)^{-1} - (A-1)(s+A)^{-1} \\ &= s(s+A)^{-1} - s(s+B)^{-1} - \left((s+B)^{-1} - (s+A)^{-1} \right) \\ &= (s+1) \left[(s+A)^{-1} - (s+B)^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.2) we get

$$(2.3) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \left[(s+A)^{-1} - (s+B)^{-1} \right] ds.$$

Let $T, S > 0$. The function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$, operator Gâteaux differentiable and the Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla f_T(S) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f(T+tS) - f(T)}{t} \right] = T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for $T, S > 0$.

Consider the continuous function f defined on an interval I for which the corresponding operator function is Gâteaux differentiable on the segment $[C, D] : \{(1-t)C + tD, t \in [0, 1]\}$ for C, D selfadjoint operators with spectra in I . We consider the auxiliary function defined on $[0, 1]$ by

$$f_{C,D}(t) := f((1-t)C + tD), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we have, by the properties of the Bochner integral, that

$$(2.5) \quad f(D) - f(C) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (f_{C,D}(t)) dt = \int_0^1 \nabla f_{(1-t)C+tD}(D-C) dt.$$

If we write this equality for the function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ and $C, D > 0$, then we get the representation

$$(2.6) \quad C^{-1} - D^{-1} = \int_0^1 ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} (D-C) ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} dt.$$

Since, by (2.6) we have

$$(2.7) \quad (s + A)^{-1} - (s + B)^{-1} \\ = \int_0^1 (s + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (s + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt,$$

for all $s \geq 0$, hence by (2.3) and (2.7) we get (2.1). \square

Lemma 2. For all $A, B > 0$ we have the identity:

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A) \\ = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda).$$

Proof. For all $A, B > 0$ we have

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A) \\ = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) [\ln(\lambda + B) - \ln \lambda] d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) [\ln(\lambda + A) - \ln \lambda] d\mu(\lambda) \\ = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) [\ln(\lambda + B) - \ln(\lambda + A)] d\mu(\lambda).$$

Since, by (2.1) we get

$$\ln(\lambda + B) - \ln(\lambda + A) \\ = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + (1-t)((\lambda + A)) + t(\lambda + B))^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times (\lambda + B - (\lambda + A)) (s + (1-t)((\lambda + A)) + t(\lambda + B))^{-1} dt \right) ds$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$, then by multiplying with $w(\lambda)$ and integrating over $\mu(\lambda)$ we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) [\ln(\lambda + B) - \ln(\lambda + A)] d\mu(\lambda) \\ = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda).$$

Finally, by (2.9) and (2.10) we get (2.8). \square

Corollary 1. If $B \geq A > 0$, then $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) \geq \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A)$, namely $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(\cdot)$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. If $B - A \geq 0$, then by multiplying both sides with $(s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}$ we get

$$(s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \geq 0$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s, \lambda \geq 0$.

If we integrate of over $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) ds \geq 0.$$

Further, if we multiply this inequality by $w(\lambda) \geq 0$, integrate over the positive measure $\mu(\lambda)$ and use the identity (2.8) we derive the desired inequality. \square

Remark 1. Since, by (1.7),

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og\left(w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}}\right)(t) = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \text{dilog}(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

and $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og\left(w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}}\right)$ is operator monotone, then the function $-\text{dilog}$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We have the following Lipschitz type inequality:

Theorem 2. Assume that $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A)\| \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By taking the norm in (2.8) we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(B) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(A)\| \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| \left(\int_0^1 (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \times (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) \right\| ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \times (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\| dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B > 0$.

Assume that $m_2 > m_1$. Then

$$s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB \geq (1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda,$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s, \lambda \geq 0$.

This implies that

$$(s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda)^{-2}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s, \lambda \geq 0$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda)^{-2} dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& = \frac{1}{m_2 - m_1} \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda)^{-1} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times (m_2 - m_1) ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + s + \lambda)^{-1} dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

If in the identity (2.8) we take $A = m_1$, $B = m_2$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_1) \\
& = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (s + \lambda + (1-t)m_1 + tm_2)^{-1} (m_2 - m_1) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times (s + \lambda + (1-t)m_1 + tm_2)^{-1} dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m_2 - m_1} [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

The case $m_2 < m_1$ goes in a similar way and we also obtain (3.4).

Assume that $m_2 = m_1 = m$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, then $B + \epsilon \geq m + \epsilon > m$. From (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m + \epsilon - m} [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m + \epsilon) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu)(m)]
\end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, using the continuity and differentiability of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (s + \lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w, \mu))'(m) = \mathcal{D}(w, \mu)(m),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the second part of (3.1). \square

We have:

Lemma 3. *Assume that function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1), where $b \geq 0$ and μ is a positive measure on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(\ell, \mu)(t) = F_f(t) - bt$$

provided the function

$$(3.6) \quad F_f(t) := \int_0^t \frac{f(s) - f(0)}{s} ds$$

is defined for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. From (1.1) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{f(s) - f(0)}{s} - b = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{s + \lambda} d\mu(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(s)$$

where $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

By taking the integral over s on $(0, t)$, we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{f(s) - f(0)}{s} ds - bt = \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(\ell, \mu)(s) ds = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(\ell, \mu)(t)$$

for $t > 0$, and the proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Lemma 3 and if $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|F_f(B) - F_f(A) - b(B - A)\| \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{F_f(m_2) - F_f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} - b \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(\frac{f(m) - f(0)}{s} - b \right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|F_f(B) - F_f(A)\| \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{F_f(m_2) - F_f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{f(m) - f(0)}{s} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The inequality (3.8) follows by (3.1) for $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(\ell, \mu)(t) = F_f(t) - bt$, $t > 0$. By the triangle inequality we have

$$\|F_f(B) - F_f(A)\| - b\|B - A\| \leq \|F_f(B) - F_f(A) - b(B - A)\|$$

and by (3.8) we derive (3.9). \square

Remark 2. *Assume that $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$. Consider the kernel $w_{\ell^{r-1}}(\lambda) := \frac{r \sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{\ell^{r-1}})(t) = t^r, \quad t \geq 0$$

and by (3.1),

$$(3.10) \quad \|B^r - A^r\| \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{m_2^r - m_1^r}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ r m^r & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

For the kernel $w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda+1}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{(\ell+1)^{-1}})(t) = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 - \text{dilog}(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

and by (3.1),

$$(3.11) \quad \|\text{dilog}(B) - \text{dilog}(A)\| \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{\text{dilog}(m_1) - \text{dilog}(m_2)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ u(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

where

$$u(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\ln t}{t-1}, & t \neq 1, t > 0, \\ 1, & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

If we take $f(t) = \ln(t+a)$, for $a, t > 0$, then we have

$$F_{\ln(t+a)}(t) := \int_0^t \frac{\ln(s+a) - \ln(a)}{s} ds = \int_0^t \frac{1}{s} \ln\left(\frac{s}{a} + 1\right) ds.$$

If we change the variable $u = \frac{s}{a}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{1}{s} \ln\left(\frac{s}{a} + 1\right) ds &= \int_0^{t/a} \frac{1}{ua} \ln(u+1) a du = \int_0^{t/a} \frac{1}{u} \ln(u+1) du \\ &= -\operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{t}{a} + 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$F_{\ln(t+a)}(t) = -\operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{t}{a} + 1\right), \quad t > 0.$$

By (3.9) we then get

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| \operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{1}{a}B + 1\right) - \operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{1}{a}A + 1\right) \right\| \leq \|B - A\| \times \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{m_1}{a} + 1\right) - \operatorname{dilog}\left(\frac{m_2}{a} + 1\right)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{\ln(m+a) - \ln a}{m} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

4. MORE EXAMPLES

If we consider the positive kernel $w_{\exp(-a)}(\lambda) := \exp(-a\lambda)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, then, after some calculations

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-a\lambda) \ln(\lambda + t) d\lambda = \frac{1}{a} [\ln t + E_1(at) \exp(at)],$$

for $t > 0$, where the *exponential integral* is given by

$$E_1(t) := \int_t^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du.$$

For $a = 1$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda) \ln(\lambda + t) d\lambda = \ln t + E_1(t) \exp(t),$$

For $t = 0$, we derive

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda) \ln(\lambda) d\lambda = -\gamma,$$

where γ is Euler–Mascheroni constant.

For $a > 0$, by changing the variable $a\lambda = \nu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \exp(-a\lambda) \ln(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\nu) \ln\left(\frac{\nu}{a}\right) \frac{1}{a} d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty [\exp(-\nu) \ln \nu - \exp(-\nu) \ln a] d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{a} (-\gamma - \ln a) = -\frac{\ln a + \gamma}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{\exp(-a\cdot)})(t) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-a\lambda) \ln\left(\frac{\lambda+t}{\lambda}\right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} [\ln(at) + E_1(at) \exp(at) + \gamma] \end{aligned}$$

and, for $a = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{\exp(-\cdot)})(t) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda) \ln\left(\frac{\lambda+t}{\lambda}\right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \ln(t) + E_1(t) \exp(t) + \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 1 we conclude that the function $\ln(t) + E_1(t) \exp(t)$ is *operator monotone on* $(0, \infty)$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}og(w_{\exp(-\cdot)}))'(t) &= \frac{1}{t} + E_1'(t) \exp(t) + E_1(t) \exp(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} + \exp(t) \left[E_1(t) - \frac{e^{-t}}{t} \right], \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$, then by (3.1) we obtain:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\| \ln(B) + E_1(B) \exp(B) - \ln(A) - E_1(A) \exp(A) \| \\ &\leq \|B - A\| \\ &\times \begin{cases} \frac{\ln(m_2) + E_1(m_2) \exp(m_2) - \ln(m_1) - E_1(m_1) \exp(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{1}{m} + \exp(m) \left[E_1(m) - \frac{e^{-m}}{m} \right] & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the positive kernel $w_{(\cdot+a)^{-2}}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{(\lambda+a)^2}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $a > 0$, then, after some calculations

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\lambda+t)}{(\lambda+a)^2} d\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{t \ln t - a \ln a}{a(t-a)}, & \text{if } t \neq a, \\ \frac{\ln a + 1}{a}, & \text{if } t = a \end{cases}$$

for $t > 0$.

If $a = 1$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\lambda+t)}{(\lambda+1)^2} d\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{t \ln t}{t-1}, & \text{if } t \neq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 1 \end{cases}$$

for $t > 0$.

For $t = 0$, we derive

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\lambda)}{(\lambda + a)^2} d\lambda = \frac{\ln a}{a}$$

for $a > 0$.

Therefore

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Log}(w_{(\cdot,+a)^{-2}})(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t(\ln t - \ln a)}{a(t-a)}, & \text{if } t \neq a, \\ \frac{1}{a}, & \text{if } t = a. \end{cases}$$

For $a = 1$,

$$\mathcal{D}\text{Log}(w_{(\cdot,+1)^{-2}})(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t \ln t}{t-1}, & \text{if } t \neq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Using Corollary 1 we conclude that the function $\mathcal{D}\text{Log}(w_{(\cdot,+1)^{-2}})$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

Assume that $1 > A \geq m_1 > 0$, $1 > B \geq m_2 > 0$, then by (3.1) we obtain:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-B)^{-1} B \ln B - (1-A)^{-1} A \ln A \right\| \\ & \leq \|B - A\| \\ & \quad \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m_2 - m_1} \left(\frac{m_2 \ln m_2}{m_2 - 1} - \frac{m_2 \ln m_2}{m_2 - 1} \right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(\frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{\ln m}{(m-1)^2} \right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Araki and S. Yamagami, An inequality for Hilbert-Schmidt norm, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981), 89-96.
- [2] R. Bhatia, First and second order perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **208/209** (1994), 367-376.
- [3] R. Bhatia, Perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226/228** (1995), 639-645.
- [4] R. Bhatia, D. Singh and K. B. Sinha, Differentiation of operator functions and perturbation bounds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998), no. 3, 603-611.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Operator monotonicity of an integral transform of positive operators in Hilbert spaces with applications, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **23** (2020), Art. 65, 15 pp. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v23/v23a65.pdf>].
- [7] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, Estimates of the closeness of spectral decompositions of self-adjoint operators in the Kantorovich-Rubinshtein metric (in Russian), *Vesln. Leningrad. Gos. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. Astronom.* **4** (1967), 155-156.
- [8] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, An estimate of the norm $\|f(B) - f(A)\|$ for self-adjoint operators A and B (in Russian) *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst.* **56** (1976), 143-162.
- [9] Yu. B. Farforovskaya and L. Nikolskaya, Modulus of continuity of operator functions. *Algebra i Analiz* **20** (2008), no. 3, 224-242; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **20** (2009), no. 3, 493-506.
- [10] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301-306.
- [11] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008) 972-980.
- [12] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47-52.

- [13] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [14] T. Kato, Continuity of the map $S \rightarrow |S|$ for linear operators, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 143–162.
- [15] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.